## **Bonneville Power Administration**

## memorandum

DATE: February 2, 2012

REPLY TO ATTN OF:

**KEP-CELILO** 

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Mark Hadley

Lineman Foreman III – TFIF-Idaho Falls

<u>Proposed Action</u>: Wood pole replacement along the Minidoka Power House-Unity No. 1 and Unity-Heyburn No. 1, 138-kV transmission line rights-of-way.

**PP&A Project No.:** 2192

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3 Routine maintenance activities...for structures, rights-of-way, infrastructures such as roads, equipment... routine maintenance activities, corrective....are required to maintain... infrastructures...in a condition suitable for a facility to be used for its designated purpose.

<u>Location</u>: The project area is located in Cassia County, Idaho, in Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) Idaho Falls District. The projects lands are comprised of private ownership and are used for agricultural purposes. Project locations are listed below:

Project Location						
Township	Range	Section(s)	Line Name	Structures		
11S	24E	11	Minidoka PH-Unity	17/12, 18/3		
11S	23E	11	Unity - Heyburn	1/9		

**Proposed by:** BPA

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace deteriorating wood poles and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) along the subject transmission lines. A total of five wood poles will be replaced on various structures along the Minidoka Power House-Unity No. 1 and Unity-Heyburn No. 1 138 kv transmission lines. Replacement will be in-kind and will utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger will be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement. New landing construction or access road improvements are not planned or anticipated at these locations. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996) as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996;

61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211.

Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

With the actions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We, therefore, determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Joseph C. Sharpe, for: Frederick J. Walasavage Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce Date: February 2, 2012

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

Name of Proposed Project:	Pole Replacements	Iouse-Unity No. 1 and U	nity-Heyburn Wood		
Work Order #: 297315 & 2	97321				
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.					
Environmental Reso	ources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)		
1. Historic Properties and Cult	ural Resources	X			
<ul> <li>Crews and equipment are to use maintenance performed will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop, the area will be a substitution of the event that archaeological or vicinity must stop the event that arc</li></ul>	be limited to the existing re historic materials are disc esecured and the SHPO a	oad prism. covered during project activit	ies, work in the immediate		
3. Floodplains or wetlands		X			
4. Areas of special designation	ı	X			
5. Health & safety		X			
6. Prime or unique farmlands		X			
7. Special sources of water		X			
8. Other (describe)		X			
Supporting documentation in the Effects Determination for Three		Species			

Signed: <u>/s/Frederick J. Walasavage</u> Date: <u>February 2, 2012</u>