REV 3 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM	nent ID Number:
I. Project Title:	
Washington River Protection Solutions LLC - Proposed Actions For CY 2012 Sc Place Under CX B5.1, "Actions to Conserve Energy or Water"	heduled To Take
II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Praces displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):	oject Dimensions - e.g.,
Washington River Protection Solutions LLC (WRPS) will perform actions to co water on & near the Hanford Site during Calendar Year 2012. WRPS will perfo activities in accordance with the categorical exclusion (CX) limitations se CFR 1021, Appendices A & B to Subpart D, & CX B5.1. WRPS' facilities includ identified in the Tank Operations Contract Sections J.13 and J.14. Activiti include, but are not limited to:	rm all t forth in 10 e all those
• Actions to conserve energy or water, demonstrate potential energy or water and promote energy efficiency that would not have the potential to cause sinchanges in the indoor or outdoor concentrations of potentially harmful substractions include, weatherization, programmed lowering of thermostat settings timers on hot water heaters, installation or replacement of energy efficient flow plumbing fixtures, heating, ventilation, & air conditioning systems & installation of drip-irrigation systems, improvements in generator efficient efficiency ratings, efficiency improvements for vehicles & transportation, energy efficiency & conservation research & development & small-scale pilot • This includes actions such as building renovations or new structures, pro occur in a previously disturbed or developed area. • Also includes the development of improved tools, devices, meters, fuel ce components & systems related to energy conservation & energy processes.	gnificant tances. Covered , placement of t lighting, low- appliances; cy & appliance & small-scale projects, etc. vided that they
All locations are culturally exempt (see PNL-7264 & Battelle 9405630) &/or NHPA Section 106 review, HCRC# 2003-200-044. The majority of the WRPS facil classified as historical non-contributing/exempt properties under DOE/RL-97 To ensure there will be no ecological/biological or cultural impacts, prior initiation, 1) any non-exempt facilities or work will have the appropriate c obtained as needed, 2) ecological reviews will be obtained if needed, 3) al activities (including associated staging &/or laydown areas) will be perfor contiguous to an already developed area (where active site utilities & road accessible & no habitat/vegetation will be disturbed), & 4) if any cultural issues are identified, the identified issue(s) will be appropriately dealt by relevant company or Hanford Site procedures & regulations.	ities are -56, Revision 1. to work ultural reviews l work med within or s are readily or ecological
III. Reviews (if applicable):	
Biological Review Report #: N/A	
Cultural Review Report #: PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-200-044, 8	x DOE/RL-97-56 R
Additional Attachments:	
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES NO
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?	
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:	

IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process) Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA? If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number: And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process). V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process) Does the porposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical exclusion? If "NO," proceed to Section VI. If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:

		Document ID Number:				
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX			-00062			
Sitewide Categorical Excl	usion Criteria			YES	NO	
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?						
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?						
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?						
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?						
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?						
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).					СО	
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information and provide NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriate.						
VI. Categorical Exclusion)			YES	NO	
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?			\boxtimes			
List CX to be applied and c	complete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based o	on Eligibility Criteria of the NEP	A Determination F	rocedure	e):	
CX B5.1, "Actions to Conserve Energy or Water						
Categorical Exclusion Cr	iteria			YES	NO	
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?			\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?			\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?			\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?			\boxtimes			
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?						
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?						
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.						
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.						
VII. Approvals/Determina		Cianadan		D-4		
1-20-1	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date		
Initiator Cognizant Environmental	Holly Bowers	Holly YBow	U /	1/5/12		
Compliance Officer	Steen Killer	Sich		1-5	-12	
VIII. Approval/Determination						
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell						
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:						
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS						
Signature: Woody Fusall Date: 01/13/2012						