

PMC-EF2a

(20402)

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER
NEPA DETERMINATION**



RECIPIENT: State of Illinois

STATE: IL

PROJECT TITLE : I-55 Corridor and City of Springfield Electric Vehicle Project

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number	Procurement Instrument Number	NEPA Control Number	CID Number
	DE-EE0000824	GFO-0000824-002	0

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

B5.1 Actions to conserve energy, demonstrate potential energy conservation, and promote energy-efficiency that do not increase the indoor concentrations of potentially harmful substances. These actions may involve financial and technical assistance to individuals (such as builders, owners, consultants, designers), organizations (such as utilities), and state and local governments. Covered actions include, but are not limited to: programmed lowering of thermostat settings, placement of timers on hot water heaters, installation of solar hot water systems, installation of efficient lighting, improvements in generator efficiency and appliance efficiency ratings, development of energy-efficient manufacturing or industrial practices, and small-scale conservation and renewable energy research and development and pilot projects. The actions could involve building renovations or new structures in commercial, residential, agricultural, or industrial sectors. These actions do not include rulemakings, standard-settings, or proposed DOE legislation.

Rational for determination:

DOE is proposing to provide \$340,000 in EECBG funding to the State of Illinois to develop an electric vehicle charging station corridor. The corridor would connect Chicago and Springfield with level II (240V) and level III (480V), DC quick chargers (DCQC), every 50 miles. DOE funds would pay for the charging station equipment.

The level II and level III DCQC stations would be located along the I-55 corridor connecting Chicago and Springfield. The State of Illinois proposes to install the DCQC's at state facilities, Amtrak and Metra stations, university and college campuses and private retail partners such as hotels, gas stations and fast food restaurants.

Charging stations would be installed at existing parking lots. Installation would require standard trenching for an underground cable, similar to installation of other electrical equipment (i.e., parking lot lighting, transformer, etc.) This would involve minimal disturbance to the parking lot. All work would be done on previously disturbed land.

Based on this information, DOE has determined that the work outlined is consistent with activities identified in Categorical Exclusion B5.1 (actions to conserve energy).

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If you intend to make changes to the scope or objective of your project you are required to contact the Project Officer identified in Block 11 of the Notice of Financial Assistance Award before proceeding. You must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved.

Note to Specialist :

Cristina Tyler 9.6.2011

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: _____

Date: _____

9/6/11

NEPA Compliance Officer

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

Field Office Manager review required

NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:

- Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.
- Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Field Office Manager

On this date, I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination. I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS. I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.

I have reviewed the proposed action and the NCO's determination and I concur with the NCO's determination that the proposed action is within a categorical exclusion and does not require a full-scale EIS.