## **High Energy Density Lithium Battery**

## M. Stanley Whittingham State University of New York at Binghamton June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2016

Project ID # ES231

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

## Overview

#### Timeline

- Project start date: 10-01-2014
- Project end date: 9-30-2017
- Percent complete: 60%

## Budget

- Total project funding
  - DOE \$1,265,773
  - Contractor share: Personnel
- Funding received
  - FY15: 398k\$
  - FY16: 427k\$

## Barriers

- Barriers addressed
  - Higher volumetric energy density
  - Cyclability of conversion electrodes
  - Lower cost
  - Abuse-tolerant safer electrodes

### Partners

- National Laboratories
  - Brookhaven; Argonne
- Local Industry
  - Through NYBEST
- Academia
  - Electrolytes UC Boulder, URI, U Michigan, Army

- The primary objectives of our work are to:
  - Replace the present volume intensive carbon anode
  - Replace the present cathodes with ones where more than one Li reacts per transition metal
  - Lower the cost of materials and approaches
- The relevance of our work is:
  - Achieving the above objectives
    - Will increase the volumetric energy density of lithium batteries by > 50%
      - 1 kWh/liter at the cell level
    - Will increase the gravimetric energy density
      - $\geq 300$  Wh/kg at the cell level
    - Will lower the cost of tomorrow's batteries

- 1.1 Demonstrate synthesis and complete characterization of CuF<sub>2</sub>. (Dec. 14)
  Completed
- 1.2 Determine discharge product of CuF<sub>2</sub>. (March 15) **Completed**
- 1.3 Begin cyclability testing of CuF<sub>2</sub>. (June 2015) **Completed**
- 1.4 Demonstrate more than 100 cycles on Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe at 1.5 times the volumetric energy density of carbon. (Sept. 15) Completed
  \_\_\_\_\_Go/No-Go: Demonstrate cyclability of CuF<sub>2</sub>. <u>Criteria</u>: Capacity of 200 mAh/g over 10 cycles. (Sept-15) Completed
- 2.1 Determine the optimum composition Li<sub>x</sub>VOPO4. (Dec-15) Completed
- 2.2 Demonstrate VOPO<sub>4</sub> rate capability. (Mar-16) **Completed**
- 2.3 Demonstrate  $Sn_2Fe$  rate capability. (Jun-16) Underway
- 2.4 Demonstrate CuF<sub>2</sub> rate capability. (Sep-16) Underway
  - <u>Go/No-Go: Demonstrate lithiation method</u>. <u>Criteria</u>: A cycling cell containing lithium in one of the intercalation or conversion electrodes must be achieved. (Sept-16) Underway

- Replace intercalation carbon host with conversion reaction material
  - Allows for much higher capacities
    - Carbon only 350 Ah/kg and 0.8 Ah/liter
    - Pure lithium anode has around 2.5 times the volumetric capacity
  - Place emphasis on tin-based systems
    - Why Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe?
      - 804 Ah/kg and >2000 Ah/liter
      - > 2.5 times that of carbon
    - Protect with carbon coating
      - Initial BMR results promising
    - Safer than carbon and silicon
      - $\Box \Delta G Sn/Fe-SnO_2 160 \text{ kJ/mole Li}$
      - $\Box \Delta G \text{ Si-SiO}_2$  194 kJ/mole Li
      - $\Box \quad \Delta G \text{ C-CO}_2 \qquad 2366 \text{ kJ/mole Li}$



- Replace materials that react with  $\leq 1$  Li per transition metal - E.g. LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and LiCoO<sub>2</sub>
- By materials that can react with up to 2 Li per transition metal
- Two-pronged approach
  - Intercalation cathode
    - Essentially retain the crystal structure
    - The system  $VOPO_4$ -Li $VOPO_4$ -Li<sub>2</sub> $VOPO_4$  chosen
  - Conversion cathode
    - Destroy and rebuild the crystal structure
    - The system  $CuF_2 Cu + 2LiF$  chosen
      - Higher potential than other fluorides

• Why the choice of CuF<sub>2</sub> and VOPO<sub>4</sub>?

## • CuF<sub>2</sub>

- High theoretical energy density of 1874 Wh/kg
  - Compare 1000 Wh/kg and 587 Wh/kg theoretical for complete reaction of LiCoO<sub>2</sub> and LiFePO<sub>4</sub> respectively.
  - Theoretical specific capacity exceeding 500 mAh/g
  - Theoretical potential, 3.5 V, highest amongst the 3d transition metals

## • VOPO<sub>4</sub>

- Intercalation cathode
- High energy densities of 1080 Wh/kg and 3.5 kWh/L
  - > 1.5 times that of  $LiFePO_4$
  - Theoretical capacity of ~ 320 Ah/kg (double that of  $LiFePO_4$ )
  - Redox potentials at 3.9 V for  $V^{5+}\!/V^{4+}$  and  $\sim 2.5$  V for  $V^{4+}\!/V^{3+}$

- Low Volumetric Energy Density of Li batteries
  - Volumetric capacity of today's Li-ion batteries limited by carbon anode and less than 1 Li/transition metal
  - Find anode material with double the volumetric capacity of carbon
  - Find cathode material that reacts with approaching 2 Li
- Cyclability of conversion electrodes
  - Efficiency of known conversion reactions too low

### • High cost of lithium batteries

- Reduction of Materials and manufacturing costs
- Find anode material with double the volumetric capacity of carbon

### • Low Safety and Abuse-tolerance

- Find an anode that reacts with lithium faster
- Find thermally stable electrodes under all states of charge

# Milestone 1.1: $CuF_2$ synthesized and characterized: it forms a solid solution with $FeF_2$

# Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton



#### Synthesis of $Cu_{1-y}Fe_{y}F_{2}$ , y= 0, 0.2, 0.5;

Carbon or  $MoO_3$  composite synthesized by high energy ball-milling of  $CuF_2$  and  $FeF_2$ 

#### **XRD Characterization:**

- Fe is soluble in CuF<sub>2</sub> forming a solid solution:
  - Shift in the diffraction peak position
  - Change in lattice parameters
  - Both have similar structures.
    - CuF<sub>2</sub> distorted rutile structure
    - FeF<sub>2</sub> rutile structure
  - $MoO_3$  forms a composite: No solid solution.

	a (Å)	<i>b</i> (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	β(°)	<i>V</i> (Å <sup>3</sup> )
CuF <sub>2</sub>	4.595(3)	4.560(3)	3.295(1)	95.76(1)	68.71(3)
$Cu_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}F_2$	4.675(3)	4.642(3)	3.285(1)	90.62((1)	71.39(3)

# Milestone 1.2: Discharge products of $CuF_2/C$ and $Cu_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}F_2/C$ identified

Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton



#### CuF<sub>2</sub>

- Reaction complete at 1.8 V
- $CuF_2$  converted to Cu and LiF

#### Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Fe<sub>0.5</sub>F<sub>2</sub>

- Not complete at 1.8V
- Forms LiF and Cu
  - Peaks of Fe phase overlap with LiF phase, so cannot be identified

# Milestone 1.3: CuF<sub>2</sub> delivers near theoretical discharge capacity; Fe substitution improves reversibility

# Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton



#### Initial discharge capacity:

- $CuF_2$  delivers close to the theoretical capacity of 528 mAh/g
  - Best first cycle recharge reported
  - But, fast capacity fade thereafter
    - Around 150 mAh/g in the  $2^{nd}$  cycle
- Fe substitution,  $Cu_{1-y}Fe_yF_2$  improves the electrochemical performance
  - Improved reversibility
    - Around 300 mAh/g in the 5<sup>th</sup> cycle

#### **Go/NoGo: Demonstrate cyclability of CuF<sub>2</sub> Capacity of over 200 mAh/g over 10 cycles achieved**

Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton



- Effort focused on the solid solution  $Cu_{1-v}Fe_vF_2$  composite with carbon
  - Capacity is 290 mAh/g, exceeding the Go/No-Go target of 200 mAh/g
    - Best reported in literature
- Much superior to pure  $CuF_2$  or  $CuF_2$ /oxide mixtures

- Proof of principle test underway
  - Can a solid electrolyte allow the extended cycling of CuF<sub>2</sub> systems?
    - If yes, then we will search for an appropriate liquid electrolyte
  - PEO based electrolyte chosen
    - Initial discharge capacity comparable to organic liquid electrolytes
    - However stability issues at copper redox charging voltage
      - Now looking at other alternative solid/liquid electrolytes



**Reaction: SnO ground together with Ti powder and carbon at RT** 

- Ratio of components and grinding time critical
  - Carbon
    - Source of carbon not important, carbon black or graphite
      - Graphite leads to slightly higher capacities
    - Need 10:1 carbon:tin atom ratio
      - 5:1 carbon:tin leads to larger amounts and greater crystallinity of tin metal
        - Results in lower capacity retention and lower Coulombic efficiency
  - Titanium
    - Optimum is a Ti/Sn ratio of 0.25
      - Lower amounts lead to an increased 1st cycle excess capacity
  - Grinding time and media
    - 8 hours was the optimal ball-milling time
    - Hard steel balls are needed as soft balls lead to chromium contamination
    - Carbon is needed to prevent the melting of the tin

Full details: Zhixin Dong et al, Advanced Science, 3\_1500229\_2016

# Milestones 1.4 and 2.3: Sn-Fe-C anode showed excellent cycling for 140+ cycles at both C/10 and C rates

# Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton



Zhixin Dong et al, Advanced Science, 3\_1500229\_2016

#### Milestone 2.3: Other Sn-Fe anode compositions, synthesis approaches and 1<sup>st</sup> cycle excess capacity

Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton

#### Synthesis approaches beyond mechanical grinding

- Modified polyol approach
  - Carbon free Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe and Sn<sub>5</sub>Fe
  - By controlling temperature and reactants ratio
- Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe and Sn<sub>5</sub>Fe
  - Good capacity retention
  - Capacity exceeds graphite
  - Excess 1<sup>st</sup> cycle capacity





# Milestone 2.1: Determine the optimum composition of $Li_xVOPO_4$

Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton

- Hydrothermal synthesis provides diffraction pure material
  - However, this material contains protons.
- Solid State reactions at 700 800° C
  - Provides pure ε-LiVOPO<sub>4</sub> phase\*
    - Higher capacity than  $\varepsilon$ -VOPO<sub>4</sub> phase
    - Contains a source of lithium
    - Stable in air
  - Grinding with carbon
    - Gives a conductive coating
    - Reduces particle size to around 200 nm
      - Characterized by broad diffraction peaks





Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton

- LiVOPO<sub>4</sub> ball milled with carbon:
  - Gives improved electronic conductivity
  - Gives smaller particle size of around 200 nm
    - Leads to higher cycling capacity
      - Achieved milestone of 50 cycles above 200 mAh/g



#### Milestones 2.2: Demonstrate rate capability of LiVOPO<sub>4</sub>

- LiVOPO<sub>4</sub> ball milling with carbon:
  - Leads to higher cycling capacity
  - Improves the rate capability
    - 80% of the practical capacity at 0.1 C can be retained at 1 C
    - Capacity is recovered after high rate
      - Good reversibility
    - Rate capability milestone achieved
    - Extended cycling underway



#### **Response to 2015 Reviewers' Comments**

# Stan Whittingham SUNY at Binghamton

AMR 2015 reviewer comments were overall very positive and supportive of this step-out project. They recognized that the project was in its very early stages and noted several challenges that should be addressed:

- **Cathode CuF<sub>2</sub>**. The dissolution/migration and aggregation of copper species from the CuF<sub>2</sub> cathode that effect the cyclability of CuF<sub>2</sub>, also suggest that structural changes should be investigated:
  - The cyclability of  $CuF_2$  has been determined and is reported here.
  - Proof of concept experiments are underway to determine if complete cyclability is obtained if dissolution of Cu<sup>+</sup> is eliminated. This is being done using a solid electrolyte. Initial results are reported here.
- Anode  $Sn_2Fe/C$ . Here more data was requested to show full cycling curve, dissolution of the SEI, and high irreversible capacity.
  - The full cycling curve is shown now in the presentation. The coulombic efficiency of this anode is over 99.9% after the first few cycles. The initial excess capacity is being investigated.





Figure 2-48 High Energy Density Lithium Battery: Stanley Whittingham (Binghamton University) - Electrochemical Energy Storage

#### Brookhaven and Argonne National Laboratories

- Synchroton: Ex-situ and in-situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction, PDF (pair distribution function) and XAS (X-ray absorption) studies
- Center for Functional Nanomaterials @ BNL: TEM studies

#### • Academia

- Working with DOE funded electrolyte efforts (will use their improvements)
  - U. Colorado and U. Michigan on solid electrolytes
  - U. Rhode Island and Army on liquid electrolytes and electrolyte additives

#### • Industry

- As this is a new project working through NYBEST to disseminate information
- NYBEST (New York Battery and Energy Storage Technology Consortium)
  - Building collaborations between Industry, Academia, and Government

#### • CuF<sub>2</sub> conversion cathode

- Cyclability of electrode
  - Dissolution of copper species

#### VOPO<sub>4</sub> intercalation cathode

- Long-term stability of structures when two Li are intercalated

#### • Nano-Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe

- Long term cycling
- Cost effective synthesis methods
  - Mechanochemical method
    - Find collaborator to determine viability of mechanochemical manufacturing
  - Find alternative synthesis approaches

#### • Lithium incorporation in full cell (3<sup>rd</sup> year)

- Neither electrode presently contains Li

#### **Proposed Future Work**

- Copper Fluoride, CuF<sub>2</sub>
  - Cyclability
    - Determine impact of electrolyte
      - Is solubility of copper species a solvable issue?
    - Determine rates of reaction
    - Determine optimum composition of CuF<sub>2</sub> composite
- Vanadyl Phosphate, LiVOPO<sub>4</sub>
  - Determine long-term cyclability over both redox plateaus
- Anode: Tin-Iron-Carbon Composite, Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe
  - Increase cycling performance to 500 cycles
  - Incorporate into full cells with cathode

#### Summary

#### • Sn-Fe Conversion Anodes

- $Sn_2Fe$  has more than 50% higher volumetric capacity than carbon
  - Carbon plays a critical and active role in mechanochemical material
  - Chemically synthesized  $Sn_2Fe$  cycles, but with lower capacity
    - Found good cycling for Sn<sub>5</sub>Fe

#### • CuF<sub>2</sub> Conversion Cathodes

- Synthesized and characterized copper fluoride material
  - Pure  $CuF_2$  formed, as well as solid solution  $Cu_{1-v}Fe_vF_2$ 
    - Substituted retains capacity much better
  - Working on alternative electrolytes
  - Will work with other CuF<sub>2</sub> efforts

#### • LiVOPO<sub>4</sub> Intercalation Cathodes

- $LiVOPO_4$  cycles well over V<sup>4+</sup>/V<sup>5+</sup> and V<sup>4+</sup>/V<sup>3+</sup> redox couples
  - Initial capacities exceed 200 Ah/kg

# **Technical Back-Up Slides**

#### **Calculation of capacity of Sn-Fe-C composite:** Volumetric energy density exceeds carbon

- Gravimetric capacity:
  - Measured reversible capacity of 600 Ah/kg of total composite
  - Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe contributes 804 Ah/kg
  - Remainder contributed by carbon
    - Must be  $C_2Li$ 
      - 1100 Ah/kg
      - Theoretical capacity of 760 Ah/kg for total composite
    - If  $C_6$ Li then theoretical capacity is 490 Ah/kg
- Volumetric capacity:
  - Approaches 1.6 Ah/cc, based on above value of 600 Ah/kg

- Free energy of formation of oxide:
  - -394.36 kJ/mole for C to CO<sub>2</sub>
  - -519.6 kJ/mole for Sn to SnO<sub>2</sub>
  - -371.1 kJ/mole for Fe to  $\frac{1}{2}$  Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>
  - -705.5 kJ/mole for oxidation of Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe to SnO<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>
  - -850.7 kJ/mole for oxidation of Si to SiO<sub>2</sub>
- Free energy of oxidation per lithium stored:
  - -2366 kJ/Li for a carbon anode
  - -160 kJ/Li for a Sn<sub>2</sub>Fe anode
  - -193 kJ/mole for a Si anode

Assumptions: 6 C/Li and 4.4 Li/Sn or Si

Even if substantial amounts of carbon are used with the Sn and Si anodes, they will still generate less heat than graphite alone