### HIGH-EFFICIENCY LOW-COST SOLAR RECEIVER FOR USE IN A SUPERCRITICAL CO<sub>2</sub> Recompression Cycle



### PI/Presenter: Shaun Sullivan

### **Project Start Date: 01 September 2012**

- I. OVERVIEW: Description, Objectives, Comparison to Baseline
- II. APPROACH: Modeling, Manufacturing Development, and Testing
- III. EFFORTS TO DATE:
  - a) Layout
  - b) Numerical Modeling
  - c) Manufacturing Trials
  - d) Cost Modeling
  - e) Component Testing
- IV. RESULTS and SIGNIFICANCE
- V. CHALLENGES and MITIGATIONS
- VI. FUTURE WORK



### **PROJECT OVERVIEW**

**DESCRIPTION**: This project aims to develop and build a prototype highefficiency, low-cost, highly-compact solar receiver that will enable the adaptation of a supercritical carbon dioxide ( $sCO_2$ ) recompression cycle to a CSP heat source.

	PERFORMANCE METRIC	ENTRANCE BASELINE (non-S-CO <sub>2</sub> state-of-the-art)	PROJECT TARGET	EXIT DELIVERABLE (Deliverable Phase)	CONTRIBUTION TO LCOE REDUCTION
	Receiver Creep Life	30,000 hours	40,000 hours	Receiver Creep-Life Analysis Report (1)	Extended Solar Plant Life
	Receiver Fatigue Life	10,000 thermal cycles w/o failure	≥ 10,000 thermal cycles w/o failure	Receiver Fatigue-Life Analysis Report (1)	Extended Solar Plant Life
	Receiver Cost	\$150-200/kW <sub>th</sub>	\$30/kW <sub>th</sub>	Production-level BOM cost roll- up incl. fab. (prelim 1/final 2)	Reduction in cost, mass of receiver, supporting structures
	Receiver Pressure Drop	5% DP/P	< 5% DP/P	Extended surface tube flux test report (2)	Contributes to high efficiency engine cycle, reduction in field or dish size
	HTF Exit Temperature	400-600°C	750°C	On-sun receiver test report (3)	Enables use of highly efficient (≥50%) S-CO <sub>2</sub> cycle for solar application
	Average Annual Thermal <b>ŋ</b> <sub>receiver</sub>	80-95%	> 92%	On-sun receiver test report (3)	Contributes to high efficiency engine cycle, reduction in field or dish size
Î					

### **OBJECTIVES AND GOALS:**.



### **PROGRAM APPROACH**

- Numerical Modeling
  - Fast and flexible finite difference HT and flow models
  - Supported by concurrent CFD studies
- Manufacturing Trials
  - Developing and demonstrating new methods
- Component Testing
  - Demonstrating creep life, fatigue life, and oxidation resistance of critical components in laboratory test rigs
- Prototype Demonstration
  - On-sun evaluation of a solar receiver test loop



### LAYOUT DEVELOPMENT

- Internal (cavity-type) vs. External Receiver

South-facing field ~5 MW<sub>th</sub> maximum Higher efficiency

> Full-Surround Field 100+ MW<sub>th</sub> max. Lower efficiency



• Tube or Plate/Panel Construction







### PLAIN/CENTER-BODY TUBE F-D ANALYSIS





### **EXTENDED-SURFACE TUBE F-D ANALYSIS**





**FrBraytonEnergy** 

### **EXTENDED HEAT TRANSFER SURFACES**





## WIRE-MESH BONDING

- The geometry of the wire matrix is controlled and oriented to optimize strength and thermal performance
- The surface topology of the cut mesh is critical to effective metallurgical bonding; cutting methods and post-cut treatments were thoroughly explored



Wire mesh matrix with US dime shown for scale



Cutaway micrograph showing wire strands and inter-wire flow-space



# **COST MODELING**



#### **Overall Assumptions**

- Design definition is sufficient to provide quantity, material type, volume (cm<sup>3</sup>) and a basis for developing a manufacturing strategy per component
- All units are factory 'production'; i.e. 1st unit is not a prototype
- No non-recurring costs (engineering, prototype testing, permitting, etc.)

#### **Raw Material Costs**

- Raw material costs based on purchase order database and/or vendor quotes
- Material costs used in this model are sourced from prevailing data

#### Labor Costs

- Labor costs are calculated by developing a manufacturing flow process per component and assigning times and labor type to each step in the process
- Manufacturing process based on experience with similar components
- Hourly Labor Costs
- Wage rates as per Bureau of Labor Statistics National Labor Rates NAICS 333600 Engine, Turbine, and Power Transmission Equipment Manufacturing

#### Learning Curve

- Learning curve is a log function based on the T.P. Wright learning curve. Each time the quantity produced is doubled, the projected cost equals the original cost multiplied by the learning curve percentage.
- Learning curve is assumed continuous. Each type of manufacturing technology has a unique learning curve
- The learning curve value is assigned based on a combination of NASA's cost estimation and costing consultants referenced for previous cost models

## **EFFORTS TO DATE: COMPONENT TESTING**

- High-Temp. Furnace
  High Flux Test Rig
  - Oxidation Resistance
  - Creep Life



- - Fatigue Life
    - Thermo-Mechanical Deflections





### HYDROSTATIC BURST TESTING

#### As Fabricated



Observed failures have been in the parent material, not in the metallurgical bond or mesh matrix

#### **Initial Hydrostatic Test Results**

P, MPa	Notes
78.6	Distortion, No Burst
79.3	Distortion, No Burst
82.7	Distortion, No Burst
60.7	Failure

\* Versus 50 MPa Requirement

#### After 79 MPa Hydrostatic Internal Pressure





## **RESULTS AND THEIR SIGNIFICANCE**

- Development of finite-difference Models to analyze candidate receiver architectures
  - Both external and internal cavity-type layouts
  - Identified IN-625 layouts that meet life and H.T. req's.
    - Receiver performance goals, though ambitious, are attainable
- Agreements & NDAs signed with sCO<sub>2</sub> CSP engine developers
  - discussions on state-points, requirements, solar fluxes, etc.
    - The better the communication between interested parties, the more directly applicable the design may be to a real CSP installation
- Bonding of wire-mesh matrices to substrate material
  - Development of low-cost manufacturing methods
  - Demonstrated burst pressures > 50 MPa requirement
    - Architectures are capable of performing at the required high pressures and elevated temperatures
- Developed cost models to analyze candidate designs
  - Preliminary Indications suggest that the aggressive cost targets are indeed reasonable

The technologies under development are capable of meeting the program objectives



# CHALLENGES (AND MITIGATIONS)

- It is challenging to identify a solar field configuration (and hence solar flux profile) that is relevant to the sCO<sub>2</sub> CSP engine systems currently under development.
  - Representative fluxes can be initially applied with suitable margins provided on performance and temperatures
- Implementation of wire mesh matrices has required engineering and manufacturing development to refine the process and achieve the desired results.
  - Wire matrix, wavy fin, and center-body tube configurations have been identified which meet the heat transfer and pressure-drop requirements
  - Brayton Energy's experience with unit-cell plate-fin gas turbine recuperators (rated to 10,000 psi, suitable for sCO<sub>2</sub> applications) is being leverage.



## FUTURE WORK

- Install components in test rigs for testing
- Finish preliminary system modeling for candidate designs; down-select design for prototyping
- Produce annualized performance prediction for fully implemented design
- Develop, fabricate, and install solar test rig
- Fabricate and test prototype solar receiver on test rig

