#### Geothermal Technologies Office 2013 Peer Review



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy



### Single-well Low Temperature CO<sub>2</sub>-based Engineered Geothermal System

Project Officer: Tim Reinhardt Total Project Funding: \$2 million April 22, 2013

This presentation does not contain any proprietary confidential, or otherwise restricted information.

Principal Investigator: Alan D. Eastman Presenter Name: Mark P. Muir Organization: GreenFire Energy Track Name: Low Temperature Track

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

### Objective

# Demonstrate the feasibility of utilizing supercritical CO2 as a geothermal fluid



## Statement of Principal Objectives Phase I

- ✓ Summary report on "State of the Art" complete
- Preliminary engineering design and cost estimate 25% complete
- Sensitivity test on design and cost estimate 0% complete
- Passive seismic network 5-station network installed in August 2011, interim reports available
- ✓ Choose MOHC In progress, nearly complete
- ✓ Permitting some complete, others in preparation



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

### Additional Knowledge Gaps To be Addressed, as Possible

- ✓ Frictional losses in 2-phase flow in production wells
- ✓ Losses to the formation
- ✓ Chemical impact on formation
- ✓ Power generation with turbines adapted for use with CO<sub>2</sub>
- ✓ Viability of the thermosiphon concept
- ✓ Injection pressure required

#### ✓ Economics



#### Impact on Geothermal Industry

- ✓ Reduction in capital costs per MW for EGS by as much as 2/3rds
- ✓ Greater revenue from non-energy projects
  - High-value uses
  - Single-pass uses
  - Smaller, more fundable projects
  - Avoid transmission costs and delays
- ✓ Reductions in cost of carbon capture
- ✓ Status of direct air-capture projects



### Relationship to GTP Goals

- ✓ Create hydrosheared reservoir
- ✓ Reduce generating costs to \$0.06 per kWh or less
- Demonstrate baseload power generation from an EGS project



### High-Value, Single-Pass Uses

- ✓ Manufacture of industrial commodity chemicals
- ✓ Extractions
  - Bitumen from oil sands
  - Keragen from oil shale
  - Rare Earth elements from ore or waste
- ✓ Processing of nuclear waste
- ✓ Status of direct air-capture projects



#### Two Technical Tasks to Date

- Passive Seismic Network
  - Chose site in 2011 based on temperatures in existing wells
  - Designed network with input from Foulger Consulting
  - Installed network in August 2011
  - Three interim reports to date
- Select MOHC PNNL responsibility

#### **Future Directions**



#### Completion of Phase I

- Most immediate need raise \$2 million in additional funds
  - We expect this to take at least six months
  - Keep the company going
  - Restart permitting process
  - Restart and expand passive seismic network
- UIC permit will take 18 months. Other permits will take less time.

Milestone or Go/No-Go	Status & Expected Completion Date
Raise \$2 million in additional funds	In process, October 2013
Complete permitting	In process, March 2015

#### **Future Directions**



#### Completion of Phase II

- Raise \$6 million in additional funds
- Commence fieldwork upon completion of permits
  - Install CO<sub>2</sub> supply wells
  - Install EGS well
  - Log
  - Hydroshear with CO<sub>2</sub>
  - Begin testing
- Testing will take 18 months.

Milestone or Go/No-Go	Status & Expected Completion Date				
Raise \$6 million in additional funds	Phased investment with previous funding				
Commence fieldwork	9 months, December 2015				
Test well	18 months, June 2017				

- ✓ We are seeking additional funding to complete Phase I (permitting and other tasks)
- Need to augment Phase II funding to complete the project (drilling, hydroshearing and testing)
- Our project has implications for a wide range of technologies beyond geothermal
  - Chemical manufacture
  - Energy storage
  - Mining
- ✓ Economics may be far superior to water-based EGS
  - Much lower capital costs per MW
  - Possible much greater revenue leading to use of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>



Timeline:	Planned C: Start Date		Planned End Date			Actual Start Date		Current End Date	
	9/30/1	9/30/10		9/30/14	9/30	9/30/10		9/30/15	
Budget:	Federal Share	Cost Sh	are	Planned Expenses to Date	Actual Expenses to Date	Valu Work Co to D	mpleted	Funding needed to Complete Work	
	\$2,000,000	\$2,530,	000	\$460,000	\$339,000	\$325	,000	\$83,000	

- Management Activities:
  - Working with PNNL on project for Bonneville Power Administration on large-scale energy storage project
  - Working with Brian McPherson at EGI on CO<sub>2</sub>-EGS modeling project data from our project will be used for model verification
  - Possible joint projects with NRAP
- Project behind schedule due to inadequate funding.