



Some lessons learned from 20 years in RedOx Flow Battery R&D

Dr Steve Clarke, CEO Applied Intellectual Capital, Alameda CAc

DOE Workshop Washington DC March 2012

www.apicap.com





• AIC's involvement in RFB R&D

- Some key lessons learned
- Some remaining challenges to be overcome

AIC Applied Intellectual Capital

- Technology consulting
 - Electrochemical and materials focus
 - Clients include leading industrials, VCs, DOE, DOD and EPA
 - 33,000 ft. facility for laboratory, engineering, rapid prototyping and testing
- Technology venturing (own microfund)
 - IP generated by consulting and R&D
 - Leverages labs, facilities and consulting successes
- Combined resources
 - Proven business development teamStart-up to IPO
 - ☑ Strategic relationships extend our capability
 - ☑ 19 years of revenue generation and portfolio building



Simbol Materials: Lithium refining module. Built and tested in-house.

AIC Core Technology and IP











- Ionic liquids (eutectic salts, Lewis acids)
 - Novel electrochemistry
 - Changes the electrochemical series
 - DSSC, MIL batteries, metals recovery
- Advanced materials and nano-structures
 - Novel catalysts
 - High performance electrode materials (Magneli phase Ti and Perovskites)
 - Novel membranes
- Reduction oxidation (RedOx)
 - Basis of many biological processes
 - Closed loop processes
 - Batteries, DSSC, bio-tech
- Electrochemical Engineering
 - Chemical Engineering, CFD, Stress, Heat, Current flow
 - Rapid prototyping
 - Pilot plant design and construction
 - Pilot Test Facility





- Some of the RFB chemistries we have worked on for others
 - Zn/Br, Zn/Cl, V/V, Fe/Cr
- Some of the RFB chemistries we developed privately
 - Ce/Zn
 V/V
 Zn/Air
 Co/Zn
 Ce/V
 - Ce/Ti
 - "Soluble lead" Pb/Pb MSA





- AIC invented the Ce/Zn RFB in 1999
 - At 2.4V OCV it is the highest voltage aqueous RFB couple
 - Both Ce and Zn in Methane Sulfonic Acid deliver exceptional reaction kinetics
 - 10,000A/m2
 - The chemistry was novel and extensively patented
 - The materials used are low cost
- Between 1999 and 2009 AIC raised ~\$40 million to support this R&D effort
 - In 2005 Plurion was relocated to the UK (Scotland) as there was zero support for RFB's in the US at the time
 - In 2009 Scottish Enterprise purchased all of the outstanding shares in Plurion
 - In late 2009 Plurion was closed down because of the economic down turn and government cutbacks

RedOx Flow Battery engineering





- RFB flow cell design
 - Novel low restriction flow paths with zero bypass (shunt) current
 - Novel flow cell design with self balancing pressure (low ∆p)
- Materials
 - Novel nano-structured noncarbon electrodes
 - Novel low cost membranes and membrane supports
- Materials testing and establishing QAS's
 - Electrolytes
 - Pumps, pipes and valves
 - Materials of construction





- AIC's involvement in RFB R&D
- Some key lessons learned
- Some remaining challenges to be overcome







- Gas turbines are the default option
 - Now ubiquitous on all large wind farms
 - Utilities understand them and feed them
- Extensively engineered, high reliability, plug and play, leased not purchased





- Fuel cells are only ever discharged an RFB must be charged as well
 - Charging is far more challenging than discharging
 - Materials that are robust in discharge reactions often fail under charging - e.g carbon
 - Good discharge catalysts may be poor charging catalysts
- An RFB will need to store charged liquid electrolytes for extensive periods of time

AIC RFBs require trade-offs and compromises that are unique to RFBs



- An RFB must power itself and this imposes complex trade offs, e.g:
 - High voltage couples and reactive chemistries v materials of construction
 - Low voltage couples and low reactive chemistries v large footprint and electrolyte lakes
 - Shunt (bypass) current losses v pumping losses
 - Expensive catalysts v electrolyzer power density
 - Good reaction kinetics and temperature v materials
- An RFB must be able to self start with zero external power

AIC Understanding these compromises requires tools and skill in using them





- Designing an RFB requires comprehensive design tools
 - Computational Fluid Dynamics
 - Flow path and Shunt Current modeling
 - Heat management
 - Vibration and stress
- But most of all it needs a holistic "Performance and Economics" model







Don't even think about ordering injection moulds for your RFB electrolyzer - until you have a fleet of RFB's operating flawlessly for 20,000 cycles

AIC Expect to pull pilot cells apart - often



Don't act surprised when you need to pull that shiny new electrolyser apart after 3 cycles

Design your pilot systems to support regular, efficient tear down and re-assembly

AIC Avoiding the "Death by Demonstration" trap



- Learn from the gas turbine industry
 - Never, ever, ever demonstrate in public
 - "Demonstrations" must be flawless re-enactments of perfect runs
- Build your own test facility and test at scale first

AIC | Pilot testing drives performance and economics – not computer simulation





- Make the effort to validate your CFD models
 - They generally don't handle electrode reactions well
 - Often assume water as the fluid
- Develop and validate scalable systems
 - 1/100th to 1/10th to test materials, designs and chemistries at high cycle life
 - ¹/₄ to Full Scale to validate the system
- Use these to drive the "Performance and Economics Model"





- AIC's involvement in RFB R&D
- Some key lessons learned
- Some remaining challenges to be overcome

AIC A key unresolved challenge of RFB's is Round Trip Efficiency

- Customers are just not buying that "60% RTE is good enough"
- RFB electrolyzer designs need to move beyond conventional electrolyzer concepts
 - Micro channel architectures
 - Plug flow systems
 - Self Fluidized Beds
- Electrode surfaces and catalyst interfaces need to be optimized for charging and discharge





- Already widely accepted in China with growing acceptance in India
- Proven at scale in the US Chino the biggest storage project you have never heard of
- High quality LAB's are now as low as \$100/kWh and projected to drop to \$70/kWh
- Developments in Bipolar Lead Acid may deliver 10,000 cycles at >80% DOD

AIC A larger challenge is the scale up of RFB production facilities

- In 2007 we modeled the minimum economic scale for an RFB manufacturing facility to be 2GWh of shippable RFB products/year
 - At a cost of \$1-2 million/MWh/year, this would require a capital investment of \$2 billion
- This is similar to the cost of building a new Gas Turbine manufacturing facility
- Compare this to the cost of a "green field" Lead Acid facility at \$50,000/MWh/yr



- Even with its current popularity, funding of RFB R&D is sparse and often poorly applied
- What little there is, is spread over countless startups
 - Many are walking down the same blind alleys that others have walked down before
- There are no centers of excellence in the key elements of RFB R&D
 - How many times do we need to reinvent this wheel?
- Even with \$40 million in funding, and some novel approaches to RFB development, Plurion was chronically underfunded and failed to thrive