# Low-Cost LED Luminaire for General Illumination

2014 Building Technologies Office Peer Review

Paul Fini

CREE Santa Barbara Technology Center



paul\_fini@cree.com CREE SBTC

## **Project Summary**

#### Timeline:

#### Key Partners: None

Start date: 8/1/12 Planned end date: 7/31/14

Key Milestones

- 1. White LED with custom primary optic providing 95% optical efficiency compared to conventional hemispherical lens LED: August 2013 (complete)
- 2. Fixture surface luminance of 20 lm/in<sup>2</sup>: February 2014 (complete)
- 3. Prototype mechanical fixture with a cost reduction of 33% over the mechanicals cost for the CR24 troffer product: July 31, 2014 (upcoming)

#### Budget:

Total DOE \$ to date: \$2,031,285 Total future DOE \$: \$312,662

#### Target Market/Audience:

Numerous residential, commercial, and industrial end users who currently utilize conventional fluorescent troffers.

#### Project Goal:

Develop a low-cost, high efficacy LED **troffer** suitable for indoor lighting. This troffer will provide 4000 lumens at an efficacy of >90 Im/W and a color temperature of 3500 K, with a color rendering index (CRI) of ≥90. A cost reduction of >30% will be achieved relative to Cree's CR24 troffer.





### **Purpose and Objectives**

**Problem Statement: normalized cost** (in \$/klm) of LED-based lighting has improved steadily, but needs a step function reduction to encourage broad replacement of low-cost fluorescent fixtures.







Target Market and Audience: there are ∼2.4B installed linear fluorescent fixtures in the U.S., most of which are in troffer form.\* Replacing these with LED equivalents could yield 58 TWh of energy savings by 2030.\*\*



\* "2010 U.S. Lighting Market Characterization", Navigant Consulting 2012.
\*\* "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Navigant Consulting 2012.
CREECO
Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

## **Purpose and Objectives (cont.)**

#### Impact of Project:

- 1. Output: low-cost, high-efficacy, and high color quality LED troffer platform
- 2. Impact path:
  - a. Near term: Evaluation of technology by Cree luminaire production groups
  - b. Int. term: Mass manufacture of troffers based on adopted technology elements
  - c. Long term: Further improvements in normalized cost; proliferation to other platforms



## Approach

Approach:

- Efficient LEDs with desirable broad emission vs. angle: increase inter-LED spacing
- High system optical efficiency with minimized complexity, assembly cost
- No heat sinks and minimized mechanical elements
- Cost-effective driver with integrated controls



System Efficacy:  $E_{sys} = E_{LED} * E_{op} * E_{th} * E_{el}$ 

Key Issues:

- LED Efficacy: no compromises or color quality for desired wide emission profile
- Thermal management: achieved without conventional heat sinks
- Optical Diffuser: no glare and high luminance uniformity (no visible "pixelation")

#### **Distinctive Characteristics**:

- Minimized optical losses within the system
- Combined subsystem functionality
- Simplified assembly, low Bill of Materials (BOM)







## **Progress and Accomplishments**

#### Lessons Learned:

- Wider LED emission vs. angle: LEDs spaced further apart (fewer in system)
- Inter-LED and LED-diffuser spacing must be co-optimized
- Luminaire subsystems (optical, thermal, mechanical electrical) are interdependent

### Key Accomplishments:

- Novel LED: efficacy at 3500K / 90CRI comparable to domed lens components
- Luminaire: < 4% LED luminous flux thermal roll-off at steady state without costly heat sinks
- Luminaire: Diffusing optics yielding system optical efficiency > 95%



#### Market Impact:

- LED design based on project prototype already adapted by Cree LED production group and ramped into mass production
- New LED design in use in Cree luminaires, and also being evaluated for new form factors





## **Project Integration and Collaboration**

### **Project Integration**:

- Cree Santa Barbara Technology Center (SBTC) leads project
- SBTC collaborates with other Cree R&D and pre-production groups to evaluate results and determine priorities & future direction

### **CREE's NPI approach**



### Partners, Subcontractors, and Collaborators:

• None

### **Communications**:

- Project results reported monthly and quarterly to DOE staff
- Presentations made at DOE SSL workshops
- Selected Cree LED component customers appraised of progress





#### Next Steps and Future Plans:

Fabricate and test full LED light engine from arrays of LEDs, with high (99.5%) solder attach yield
✓ Progress: 99% yield demonstrated for single LED array; optimization underway

 Verify low thermal roll-off (< 6%) for LED light engine as installed in fullscale system

✓ Progress: 3% demonstrated for single LED array; building full-scale demos

 Verify high optical efficiency (> 95%) of diffuser optics in full-scale assembled troffer

✓ Progress: 96% demonstrated for scaled-down prototypes

 Confirm reductions in system costs (BOM, anticipated assembly) relative to Cree's CR24 troffer

✓ Progress: assessment begun based on anticipated LED, other sub-system content





# **REFERENCE SLIDES**





Project Budget: \$2.34M Federal Share, \$700K Cree Cost Share

Variances: None

Cost to Date: \$2.03M Federal Share, \$597K Cree Cost Share

Additional Funding: 20% Cree Cost Share, as detailed above

Budget History									
8/1/12 – FY2013 (past)			014 rent)	FY2015 – <b>7/31/14</b> (planned)					
DOE	Cost Share	DOE	Cost Share	DOE	Cost Share				
\$1.17M	\$350K	\$703K	\$210K	-	-				





### **Project Plan and Schedule – Past Work**

Project Schedule										
Project Start: 8/1/12			pleted	l Worl	<					
Projected End: 7/31/14		Active Task (in progress work)								
		Milestone/Deliverable (Originally Planne								
	Milestone/Deliverable (Actua						ual)	al)		
		FY2013			FY2014					
Task	Q1 (Oct-Dec)	Q2 (Jan-Mar)	Q3 (Apr-Jun)	Q4 (Jul-Sep)	Q1 (Oct-Dec)	Q2 (Jan-Mar)	Q3 (Apr-Jun)	Q4 (Jul-Sep)		
Past Work										
Custom LED primary optic defined										
White LED w/ primary optic w/ 95% efficiency of std. domed LED										
Light engine with 97% solder attach process yield										
LED primary optic mfg. method selected						$\mathbf{b}$				
LED w/ >90% lumen maint., color shift <0.005 @ 500 hrs. accel. testing						•				
LED board integration process selected						•				
Specification of integrated thermal management										
Diffusing optics design specified										
Fixture thermal roll-off of <10%										
Diffusing optics with >85% optical efficiency										
Fixture surface luminance >20 Im/in <sup>2</sup>							•			



## **Project Plan and Schedule – Current/Future Work**

Project Schedule									
Project Start: 8/1/12		Completed Work							
Projected End: 7/31/14		Active Task (in progress work)							
		<ul><li>Milestone/Deliverable (Originally Planned)</li><li>Milestone/Deliverable (Actual)</li></ul>						d)	
		FY2013 FY2014							
Task	Q1 (Oct-Dec)	Q2 (Jan-Mar)	Q3 (Apr-Jun)	Q4 (Jul-Sep)	Q1 (Oct-Dec)	Q2 (Jan-Mar)	Q3 (Apr-Jun)	Q4 (Jul-Sep)	
Current/Future Work									
LED light engine w/ 5500lm at 3500K, 90 CRI									
Light engine wih 99.5% solder attach process yield									
LED w/ >90% lumen maint., color shift <0.004 @ 1000 hrs. accel. testing									
Light engine with >23% cost reduction over Cree CR24									
Diffusing optics with >90% optical efficiency									
Fixture thermal roll-off of <6%									
Prototype fixture components procured									
Prototype fixture mechnicals cost >33% lower than Cree CR24									
2x4 ft. LED troffer emitting 4000lm @ 90 LPW, 3500K, 90 CRI									
2x4 ft. LED troffer with COGS reduced by >30% from CR24									

