BIOENERGY TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE



Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy



Lessons Learned, Challenges, and Future Needs

March 12, 2014

Jim Spaeth Demonstration and Deployment Program Manager

Outline

- I. Introduction
- II. Three Legged Stool
- III. Pilot, Demonstration, and Pioneer Scales
- IV. Portfolio Overview
- V. Lessons Learned
- VI. Challenges and Future Actions



BETO's Demonstration and Deployment Program



ENERGY

Renewable Energy

3 | Bioenergy Technologies Office

Success Depends On





DOE Financing Assistance - Technology Pipeline





Pilot, Demonstration, and Pioneer Plants



PILOT OBJECTIVES

- Technical Performance
 - · Prove conversion efficiencies
 - · Confirm mass and energy balance
- Operations
 - Determine feedstock and product specifications
 - Integrate technology from feedstock in through product out
 - Evaluate process sustainability metrics
- Scale-Up to Demonstration
 - Develop robust economic model

6 | Bioenergy Technologies Office

DEMONSTRATION OBJECTIVES

- Market Risk
- Manufacture product for commercial acceptance testing
- Operations
 - Generate over 1000 hours of continuous operational data
 - Balance sustainability performance across environmental, social, and economic dimensions
- Scale-Up to Pioneer
 - Validate commercial equipment specifications and performance

PIONEER OBJECTIVES

- Financial Risk
 - Prove technology is profitable to support robust replication of commercial facilities
- Feedstock Supply and Logistics
 - Demonstrate robust feedstock supply and offtake value chain
- Operations
 - Validate performance data and equipment design specifications
 - Verify sustainability performance across environmental, social, and economic dimensions



IBR Project Funding



7 | Bioenergy Technologies Office



Portfolio Geographic Diversity





| \$1,000,000,000 | \$1,500,000,000 | \$2,500,000,000 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| DOE Share | Cost Share | Total |











- Multiple new technology steps equates to higher risk ¹
- Feeding solid biomass to reactors continues to be a challenge ¹
- Commercially available, 'off-the-shelf' equipment
 - Does not necessarily integrate easily into new processes ¹
- Integrated pilot testing has high value for new technologies ¹
- Energy projects have **multi-decade time horizons** ...²

1 - Quantitative Assessment of R&D Requirements for Solids Processing Technology. E .W. Merrow (1986) R-3216-DOE/PSSP

2 – Koonin S, Gopstein A, Accelerating the Pace of Energy Change, Issues in Science and Technology, Dec 2010



Valley of Death for New Technologies: IPA Key Findings

- Commercializing some level of new technology 40% of projects fail
- New technology projects 80% don't meet performance expectations
- Incorrect assessment of the level of difficulty posed by underlying process
 - Leads to overoptimistic expectations on project and process performance
 - Average cost growth = 30%
 - Average schedule growth = 65%
 - Average production shortfalls over 50% in second 6 months of operation
 - Average startup durations 50% longer than industry average
- Shortcomings often don't surface until startup and operation
 - Only remedy is costly de-bottlenecking and corrective engineering
- Core lesson:
 - Must understand and accept higher levels of project and process risk



Figure 1 – Framework for Executing DOE Project Management for Integrated Biorefinery Projects



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ENERGY

Energy Efficiency &

Renewable Energy

INEOS New Planet Biorefinery





ENERGY Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

Myriant's Bio-Succinic Acid Plant





Abengoa Bioenergy





POET: Project LIBERTY





ENERGY Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

Future



Assumes DOE continued investment and RIN Value of \$0.50

Challenges, Future Needs and Actions

