

Better Buildings Residential Network Multifamily & Low-Income Housing Peer Exchange Call Series: Cost-Effective Modeling and Savings Projections for Multifamily Projects

June 26, 2014

Call Slides and Discussion Summary



Agenda

- Welcome
- Call Logistics and Introductions
- Residential Network and Peer Exchange Call Overview
- Featured Speakers:
 - Brian Kennedy, Austin Energy
 - Steve O'Malley, Vermont Energy Investment Corporation
- Discussion:
 - What experience has your organization had with modeling, projecting savings, and cost-effectiveness screening for multifamily buildings?
 - What strategies have worked well for EE programs using energy modeling?
 - What has not worked well? What strategies can programs use to overcome challenges with modeling?
 - What ideas or tips do you have to make programs more effective by using energy modeling and projections?
 - Other questions/issues related to modeling and savings projections?
- Poll: Future Call Topics





Participating Programs and Organizations

- American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy
- Austin Energy
- City of Farmington Hills, MI
- City of Greensboro, NC
- City and County of San Francisco, CA
- Community Office for Resource Efficiency (Aspen, CO)
- Davis Energy Group
- Efficiency Nova Scotia
- Elevate Energy (Energy Impact Illinois)
- International Center for Appropriate and Sustainable Technology

- Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (Illinois Home Performance)
- PECI Inc. (Portland, OR)
- Snohomish County Public Utility District (Everett, WA)
- StopWaste (Oakland, CA)
- Vermont Energy Investment Corporation (Efficiency Vermont, DC Sustainable Energy Utility)





Better Buildings Residential Network

- <u>Better Buildings Residential Network</u>: Connects energy efficiency programs and partners to share best practices to increase the number of American homes that are energy efficient.
 - <u>Membership</u>: Open to organizations committed to accelerating the pace of existing residential upgrades. Commit to providing DOE with annual number of residential upgrades, and information about benefits associated with them.
 - Benefits:
 - Peer Exchange Calls
 - Tools, templates, & resources
 - Newsletter updates on trends
- Recognition: Media, materials
- Optional benchmarking
- Residential Solution Center

For more information & to join, email <u>bbresidentialnetwork@ee.doe.gov</u>.

- Better Buildings Residential Network Group on Home Energy Pros Join to access:
 - Peer exchange call summaries and calendar
 - Discussion threads with energy efficiency programs and partners
 - Resources and documents for energy efficiency programs and partners

http://homeenergypros.lbl.gov/group/better-buildings-residential-network





Better Buildings Residential Network Group on Home Energy Pros Website







Peer Exchange Call Series

There are currently 6 Peer Exchange call series:

- Data & Evaluation
- Financing & Revenue
- Marketing & Outreach
- Multifamily/ Low-Income Housing
- Program Sustainability
- Workforce/ Business Partners
- Calls are held the 2nd and 4th Thursday of every month at 12:30 and 3:00 ET
- Upcoming calls:
 - July 10: Program Sustainability Incorporating Behavior Change Efforts into Energy Efficiency Programs
 - July 10: No second call due to summer vacations
 - July 24: Data & Evaluation Cost-Effective, Customer-Focused and Contractor-Focused Data Tracking Systems
 - July 24: Financing Effective Loan Program Design and Integration with Contractors
- Send call topic ideas or requests to be added to additional call series distribution lists to <u>peerexchange@rossstrategic.com</u>





Energy Raters and Modeling Lessons Learned: Brian Kennedy, Austin Energy



www.austinenergy.com





Energy Modeling in the MF Sector

Brian Kennedy, Austin Energy, June 26, 2014

CLEAN, AFFORDABLE, RELIABLE ENERGY AND EXCELLENT CUSPOMER SERVICE



Background



AE existing MF program since late 80s Awarded \$10 M ARRA grant in 2010

Energy Returns SF & MF performancebased programs





- Representative 10% sample test-in and test-out
- RESNET-certified raters
- REM/Rate or Design to estimate % savings
- Performance rebates
- Comprehensive packages of upgrades
- Mandatory low-flow devices, partner w/ AWU
- BB grant team perform QA/QC on raters and contractors











- Program was very successful
 - ~1,600 units upgraded
 in 1 year
- Success brought strain on resources
- Upfront solicitation of stakeholder input
- Coordination issues
- Need to clearly define modeling parameters
- QA of REM files







- Lessons learned used to inform program changes
- Packages of upgrades appealing
- Do not have full time resources to process modeling files
- Developed fillable .pdf with point system for contractors
 On-going utility bill tracking for comparison to modeling predictions





Experiences with Multifamily Modeling & Savings Projections: Austin Energy

- The performance-based modeling approach that Austin Energy used for multifamily properties in 2012-13 was resource intensive
 - It involved modeling estimated energy and water savings, staff QA/QC of REM files, and spot checks on raters and contractors
- With the success of the program and the end of the federal grant, Austin Energy no longer had the resources to continue with the same rebates and staff evaluation approach
- Austin Energy developed a rebate form with a point system for determining potential rebates based on different combinations of upgrade measures
 - Staff used information gained from implementation experience and feedback from contractors, raters, and customers to develop the form and point-based rebate system
 - One lesson was that residents didn't like to be "bothered" multiple times, and there were coordination challenges





Energy Raters and Modeling Lessons Learned: Steve O'Malley, Vermont Energy Investment Corporation



Experiences with Multifamily Modeling & Savings Projections: Vermont

- Vermont Energy Investment Corporation (VEIC) uses three approaches for modeling energy savings for multifamily properties:
 - REM/Rate software, which may not be as accurate for larger buildings with large common spaces and parking garages
 - E-Quest (free DOE software)
 - Excel spreadsheet calculations, which consider heat loss, air leakage, and other factors
- Most of the modeling of multifamily properties is done to claim energy savings, rather than to inform customer decisions
- There are three tiers of upgrades that are examined:
 - Lighting upgrades
 - Efficiency Vermont certification, which has incentives for different thresholds of upgrades
 - Home Performance with ENERGY STAR





Discussion Questions

- What experience has your organization had with modeling, projecting savings, and cost-effectiveness screening for multifamily buildings?
- What strategies have worked well for energy efficiency programs using energy modeling?
- What has not worked well? What strategies can programs use to overcome challenges with modeling?
- What ideas or tips do you have to make programs more effective by using energy modeling and projections?
- Other questions/issues related to modeling and savings projections?





Experiences with Multifamily Modeling & Savings Projections: San Francisco Bay Area

- California uses Energy Pro rather than REM/Rate software for modeling, which is time intensive
- San Francisco Bay Area program is working to streamline the software to minimize the on-site work and data inputs to what is really needed
- With this Energy Pro Light model, technical assistance providers can do the modeling and rating in less time. They are 80% correct, with 20% of the input
- It was more important for the program to make the modeling work in the real world than to have perfect estimates
- It doesn't make sense to measure everything: If you're not going to change the dishwasher, why get the serial number?
- For measures that customers will implement to get a rebate, the software allows more detail to be entered





Multifamily Modeling & Savings Projections: Point-Based Systems

- Several programs have used point-based systems for determining rebates for upgrade measures in single-family units, and some are expanding it to multifamily units (Austin, San Francisco)
- When developing point systems, consider the dollar to kilowatt ratio; otherwise the tendency could be for high rebates and low cost effectiveness







Multifamily Modeling & Savings Projections: Lessons and Challenges

- Common challenges include:
 - Comparing actual, post-upgrade savings and modeled savings estimates, considering that the scope for upgrades can change
 - Having sufficient staff time/resources for modeling and QA/QC
- What is cost-effective for the program may be different from what is cost-effective for the property owner
- Clearly communicate to property owners that models are not perfect
- The least number of times you need to disrupt a property (with rater, contractor, etc.), the better
 - Simplify the experience for the customer where possible (San Francisco, Austin)
- Pacific Northwest National Lab (PNNL) is working on a web-based Asset Score tool in which property owners could enter information about a commercial or multifamily building and learn about the energy efficiency savings opportunities, based on the condition of the asset





Future Call Topics Poll

- Which of the following topics are of interest for future multifamily/low-income housing peer exchange calls?
 - Strategies to overcome split incentive energy efficiency issues: 93%
 - Strategies for generating demand from large building upgrades: 43%
 - Working closely with state housing agencies to make energy efficiency upgrades more affordable and accessible: 43%
 - Air quality and safety in multifamily upgrades: 21%

If you would like to share your experiences on a call or have other ideas for a call topic, contact <u>peerexchange@rossstrategic.com</u>.



