

Better Buildings Residential Workforce/ Business Partners Peer Exchange Call Series: Contractor Rating and Feedback Systems Call Slides and Discussion Summary

April 24, 2014



Agenda

- Call Logistics and Introductions
- BBRN and Peer Exchange Call Overview
- Featured Speakers
 - Thomas Bregman Energize New York
 - Laura Parsons California Center for Sustainable Energy
- Discussion
 - What experiences have you had with contractor rating and feedback systems?
 - What has worked well? What has not worked well? Other lessons?
 - Do you make customer reviews of contractors available to the public? If so, have you put any restrictions on what is shared?
 - How have contractors reacted to the rating/feedback systems? Any backlash?
 - Are there any legal considerations for designing rating systems?
 - Other questions/issues related to contractor rating and feedback systems?
- Future Call Topics Poll





2

Call Participants

- California Center for Sustainable Energy
- City of Milwaukee (Me2)
- Efficiency Vermont
- Empower Efficiency (Palm Springs, CA)
- Energize NY
- Greater Cincinnati Energy Alliance
- Michigan Saves
- New York State Energy Research and Development Authority
- San Francisco Department of the Environment
- Snohomish County, WA PUD
- Sustainable Connections (Bellingham, WA)





Better Buildings Residential Network

- <u>Better Buildings Residential Network</u>: Connects energy efficiency programs and partners to share best practices to increase the number of American homes that are energy efficient.
 - <u>Membership</u>: Open to organizations committed to accelerating the pace of existing residential upgrades. Commit to providing DOE with annual number of residential upgrades, and information about benefits associated with them.
 - Benefits:
 - Peer Exchange Calls
 - Tools, templates, & resources
 - Newsletter updates on trends
- Recognition: Media, materials
- Optional benchmarking
- Residential Solution Center

For more information & to join, email <u>bbresidentialnetwork@ee.doe.gov</u>.

- Better Buildings Residential Network Group on Home Energy Pros Join to access:
 - Peer exchange call summaries and calendar
 - Discussion threads with energy efficiency programs and partners
 - Resources and documents for energy efficiency programs and partners

http://homeenergypros.lbl.gov/group/better-buildings-residential-network





Better Buildings Residential Network Group on Home Energy Pros Website







Buildinas

5

Peer Exchange Call Series

There are currently 6 Peer Exchange call series:

- Data & Evaluation
- Financing & Revenue
- Marketing & Outreach
- Multi-Family/ Low Income Housing
- Program Sustainability
- Workforce/ Business Partners
- Calls are held the 2nd and 4th Thursday of every month at 12:30 and 3:00 ET
- Upcoming calls:
 - May 8, 12:30 ET: Program Sustainability: Voluntary Initiatives
 - May 8, 3:00 ET: Multi-Family/Low-Income: Outreach to Multi-Family Landlords and Tenants
 - May 22, 12:30 ET: Data & Evaluation: BBRN Member Reporting
 - May 22, 3:00 ET: Financing: Project Performance Relative to Loan Performance
- Send call topic ideas or requests to be added to additional call series distribution lists to <u>peerexchange@rossstrategic.com</u>.





Contractor Rating/Feedback Systems Lessons Learned: Thomas Bregman, Energize New York





Energize NY Contractor Ratings Index

THOMAS BREGMAN DIRECTOR, ENERGIZE NY RESIDENTIAL APRIL 24, 2014



Problem and Solution



- Goal -> Help homeowners overcome EE adoption barriers
- Barrier -> Contractor selection
- Hurdle -> 60+ HP contractors accredited by BPI-NYSERDA
- Plan -> Simplify process of selecting a contractor
- Method -> Create "Energize Comfort Corps" (ECC)
- Tool -> Develop Contractor Ratings Index



Contractor Ratings Index Scoring Criteria

- Customer Satisfaction Surveys
 - 10 questions (score 1-5)
 - updated quarterly
 - post-assessment & post-upgrade
- Number of BPI accreditations
- Number of completed HP jobs
 - ECC eligibility threshold (5 jobs in previous 4 quarters)
 - awards points on a sliding scale
- Business structure
 - Highest score vertically integrated (HVAC, insulation, air sealing, etc.)
 - Intermediate score general contractor with sub-contractors
 - Lowest score referral to other contractor





ECC & Contractor Ratings Index Results



- 7 current ECC members
- 404 jobs completed by ECC members*
- 16 other active HP contractors
- 45 jobs completed by other contractors

*Represents 90% of all HP jobs in Westchester



Energize NY Production Growth







Lessons Learned: Energize NY

- Fewer contractors is better. Customers are overwhelmed by a long list of contractors; the simplified "Energy Comfort Corps" subset of contractors helped homeowners choose.
- Work with active contractors to gather their feedback.
- Don't overpromise what the rating system will provide contractors may not always "click" with homeowners, which may not be reflected in ratings.
- Inform homeowners that they still need to perform due diligence by asking contractors questions to select one.
- The rating tool is very helpful for many homeowners. The ten-question survey is the single most important factor incorporated into the ECC rating.





Overcoming Challenges: Energize NY

- There was trepidation from NYSERDA and backlash from contractors over the appearance of recommending specific contractors. However, contractors that are serious about home performance worked hard to make the list.
- The display of numerical rankings on the website can cause confusion (e.g. a contractor rated 4.7 would always be preferable to a 4.2). A categorical rating (e.g., A, B, C rating or AAA, AA, A rating) can help mitigate that problem.
- Energize NY currently uses a 0-5 scale, with a listing of industry certifications and work performed.
- Factoring in performance after upgrades into the ratings would be useful, but would require additional resources.
- Energize NY: <u>http://energizeny.org/</u>





Contractor Rating/Feedback Systems Lessons Learned: Laura Parsons California Center for Sustainable Energy



Yelp-Style Contractor Reviews

Lessons Learned from the San Diego Hero Alliance, a Better Buildings Pilot Program run by the California Center for Sustainable Energy

> Laura Parsons California Center for Sustainable Energy www.energycenter.org





Classic Residential, Inc.

Oceanside, CA (619) 818-5793 www.classicresidentialinc.com ₪

* This business is veteran owned or employs one or more veterans. Energy Hero Feedback:

☆☆☆☆☆(3 responses)

The test was performed in a very professional, fun and efficient way. The assessor was on time and very nice. After all the recommended measures were completed -upgrade to tankless water heater and... Read more

MOCARD Inc.

Chula Vista, CA (619) 426-2096 www.mocardgroup.com & Energy Hero Feedback:

2222 222 222 222 222 2222 22

Just had our home energy assessment today and we were most impressed with the thoroughness and professionalism of the company Mocard Inc.

ASI Hastings Heating and Air

San Diego, CA (619) 590-9300 www.asiheatingandair.com * This business gives a military discount (call company for details). * This business is veteran owned or employs one or more veterans. Energy Hero Feedback:

22222 222 222 2222 222 222 2222 2222 222 222 2

ASI did not show for the assessment appointment. Response from ASI Hastings: I sincerely apologize, we have a note in the file that indicates that the customer cancelled this appointment.... Read more

Del Mar Restoration

Del Mar, CA

Survey on User Reviews

In December 2012, we sent a survey to the Hero Alliance participants that completed home energy assessments to ask them about the usefulness of the reviews. We received 23 completed responses.

Question 1. When choosing a contractor to perform your home energy assessment, did you use the reviews on the San Diego Hero Alliance website? (www.sdhero.org/contractors)



The five people who selected "no" or "unsure" connected with their contractor at a CCSE workshop, or were referred to the Hero Alliance website by their contractor, and therefore did not use the reviews to choose a contractor. The 18 people that used the reviews answered the next question:









Questions

- How do we resolve disputes with contractors that challenge bad reviews?
 - Build consensus with contractors on a dispute-resolution process at the very beginning
 - Possibly have each review expire after 12 months, so no one review will permanently harm a company
 - Make reviews and 5-star ratings only one of several criteria that users can sort by
- How do we ensure respectful and truthful comments?
- How do we avoid liability of possibly appearing to endorse certain companies?



Lessons Learned: Yelp-Style Contractor Reviews in San Diego, CA

- The inclusion of user comments with contractor ratings helped provide a diversity of information, enabling homeowners to select contractors based on the factors most important to them.
- Contractors were able to immediately view and post replies to negative reviews, but if this approach were done at a larger scale, a dispute resolution process might be needed.
- Some contractors were wary of Yelp and some convincing helped assure them that the ratings system would be transparent and fair.
- Moderating comments can use a lot of time and resources (but, California Center for Sustainable Energy did not edit reviews).
- The San Diego Heroes Alliance pilot program ran for nearly a year, and has concluded.





22

Discussion: Contractor Rating and Feedback Systems

- What experiences have your organizations had with contractor rating and feedback systems?
 - What has worked well? What has not worked well in those systems? Other lessons you've learned?
- Do you make customer reviews of contractors available to the public? If so, have you put any restrictions on what is shared?
- How have contractors reacted to the rating/feedback systems?
 - Has there been any backlash?
 - What steps have you taken to make rating/feedback systems helpful to both customers and (good) contractors?
- Are there any legal considerations for the design of rating systems?
- Other questions/issues related to contractor rating and feedback systems?





Discussion: Contractor Rating and Feedback Systems

- It is not necessary to use customer reviews to refer customers to specific contractors (this can raise liability concerns).
- Some contractors may need to be eliminated from the recommended list if they receive poor reviews – have a process in place.
- Consider waiting until a contractor has a minimum number of reviews before posting any of them.
- A working group of contractors could potentially help establish buy-in to the dispute resolution process.
- Michigan Saves switched from a county-based contractor search to a zip code-based search with 1-5 star rating and customer comments. This helped lower the barrier for homeowners choosing from their database of over 300 contractors.





Discussion: Contractor Rating and Feedback Systems (Continued)

- To further help customers select the appropriate contractor, customer ratings can be incorporated into a tiered system that includes other factors (e.g., number of upgrades completed, pass/fail rate, and days to complete each upgrade).
- Follow-up calls are often necessary to remind customers to fill out surveys, which consumes resources. Energize NY called every customer with a completed upgrade to ask them to post a review. This approach helped collect reviews, but required significant effort.
- Simple surveys can be easier to get customers to fill out quickly (e.g, surveys that focus only on numerical ratings). This can be helpful for programs with large volumes of upgrades.





Future Call Topics Poll

Which of the following topics, if any, are of interest for future Workforce/Peer Exchange calls?

- Lead Generation: Balancing Program and Contractor Roles: 80%
- Engaging Efficiency First Chapters and Other Trade Associations: 80%
- Training and Mentoring Strategies and Resources: 20%
- Incubating New Home Performance Businesses: 0%
- Other ideas: 0%

If you would like to share your experiences on a call or have other ideas for a call topic, contact <u>peerexchange@rossstrategic.com</u>





26