

Nuclear Waste Facility Siting Experience Database: Content and Structure

Fuel Cycle Research & Development

*Prepared for
U.S. Department of Energy
Nuclear Fuels Storage and
Transportation Campaign*

*Laura Price, Ralph Rogers, Martha
Pendleton, Walter Walkow, Aubrey
Edge, Rob Rechard*

Sandia National Laboratories

April 15, 2013

FCRD-NFST-2013-000109

SAND2013-2480P



DISCLAIMER

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.



Sandia National Laboratories

Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the US Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

SUMMARY

In January 2012, the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future released a report recommending that the United States adopt a new approach to siting and developing nuclear waste management and disposal facilities [BRC, 2012]. It was recommended that this process be consent-based, transparent, phased, adaptive, standards- and science-based, and governed by partnership arrangements. A similar approach was successfully used to site, license, and open the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. The Blue Ribbon Commission supports this approach because it provides the flexibility required to move through the entire process of building waste disposal facilities and at the same time garners the level of public trust and confidence necessary to guarantee their completion.

To ensure that future siting efforts are informed by past experience, the Blue Ribbon Commission further recommended that the United States Department of Energy develop and implement a database to track, manage, and make available the knowledge that has been gained from past experience in siting nuclear waste facilities. In response to this recommendation, the Siting Experience Archive was developed and provides an archive of documented experience relating to nuclear waste facility siting efforts. This report describes the Siting Experience Archive, its content, and its use.

The Siting Experience Archive houses over 700 documents relating to nuclear waste storage and disposal siting efforts (in the United States and abroad), and United States' efforts to site an advanced fuel cycle. The Archive is not intended to be a comprehensive collection of all possible siting experiences; rather it is intended to be a compilation of documents sufficient to be a resource to the Department of Energy (and its contractors) in learning about the multiple and varied nuclear waste facility siting experiences. The Siting Experience Archive can be accessed through a website that resides on the External Collaboration Network at Sandia National Laboratories. In addition to the documents, the website provides a link to Blue Ribbon Commission background information, its 2012 report, the Department of Energy's response to that report, and brief summaries of eight different siting experiences and four European collaborative programs. Users can search this information using structured or free text search criteria. Links to other associated external web sites are also provided.

CONTENTS

SUMMARY	iii
ACRONYMS	viii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. WEBSITE AND DATABASE	1
2.1 Website	1
2.2 Database	2
3. SEA CONTENT	3
3.1 Documents	3
3.2 Summary of BRC Recommendations	6
3.3 Highlighted Countries and Waste Management Programs	7
3.4 Document Center	19
3.4.1 See All Documents in the SEA	20
3.4.2 Search by Country	21
3.4.3 Search by Source	23
3.4.4 Search by Site	24
3.4.5 Search by Type of Facility	25
3.4.6 Search by Title, Author, Date, and Type of Geologic Media	26
3.4.7 Text Search	27
3.4.8 Search by Decade of Publication	28
3.5 Help Center	29
3.6 External Links	29
4. CONCLUSIONS	31
References	32
Appendix A Documents Currently in the Siting Experience Archive	33

FIGURES

Figure 1. Screenshot of the Home Page of the SEA	2
Figure 2. Screenshot of BRC Recommendations for Siting Nuclear Waste Facilities	7
Figure 3. Screenshot of Summary of the MRS Program in the United States	8
Figure 4. Screenshot of Summary of the WIPP	9
Figure 5. Screenshot of Summary of Yucca Mountain	10
Figure 6. Screenshot of Summary of the Finnish Waste Management Siting Experience	11
Figure 7. Screenshot of Summary of the Swedish Waste Management Siting Experience	12
Figure 8. Screenshot of Summary of the Canadian Waste Management Siting Experience	13

Figure 9. Screenshot of Summary of the Spanish Waste Management Siting Experience.....	14
Figure 10. Screenshot of Summary of the French Waste Management Siting Experience	15
Figure 11. Screenshot of Summary of RISCOM	16
Figure 12. Screenshot of Summary of FSC	17
Figure 13. Screenshot of COWAM Summary	18
Figure 14. Screenshot of InSOTEC Summary	19
Figure 15. Screenshot of the Document Center	20
Figure 16. Screenshot of List of All Documents in SEA.....	21
Figure 17. Screenshot of Country Search Page.....	22
Figure 18. Screenshot of Source Search Page.....	24
Figure 19. Screenshot of Site Search Page	25
Figure 20. Screenshot of Type of Facility Search Page	26
Figure 21. Screenshot of Title, Author, Date, and Type of Geologic Media Search Page	27
Figure 22. Screenshot of Free Text Search Page	28
Figure 23. Screenshot of Decade of Publication Search Page	29
Figure 24. Screenshot of External Links Page	30

TABLES

Table 1. Sources of Documents in the SEA.....	4
Table 2. Countries discussed in a document (or documents) in the Archive.....	6

ACRONYMS

AAAS – American Association for the Advancement of Science

ACNW – Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste

ANDRA – French National Radioactive Waste Management Agency

ARGONA – Arenas for Risk Governance

ASME – American Society of Mechanical Engineers

ATC – Centralized Storage Facility (Spain)

BRC – Blue Ribbon Commission

CARGO – Comparison of Approaches to Risk Governance

CARL Project – Citizen stakeholders, Agencies responsible for radioactive waste management, social science Research organizations, and Licensing and regulatory authorities Project

CFR – Code of Federal Regulations

CHK - Checking

CORWM – Committee on Radioactive Waste Management

COWAM – Community Waste Management

CRS – Congressional Research Service

DOE – United States Department of Energy

EA – Environmental Assessment

ECA – Energy Communities Alliance

ECN – External Collaboration Network

EPA – Environmental Protection Agency

EPRI – Electric Power Research Institute

FAQ – Frequently Asked Questions

FR – Federal Register

FSC – Forum on Stakeholder Confidence

FY – Fiscal year

GNEP – Global Nuclear Energy Partnership

HLW – High Level Waste

IAEA – International Atomic Energy Agency

INMM – Institute of Nuclear Materials Management

InSOTEC - International Socio-Technical Challenges for Implementing Geological Disposal

IS – Interim Storage

ISFSI – Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations

JAEA – Japan Atomic Energy Agency
MRS – Monitored Retrievable Storage
MUA – Multiattribute Utility Analysis
NAS – National Academy of Sciences
NEA – Nuclear Energy Agency
NRC – Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NUMO – Nuclear Waste Management Organization of Japan
NV - Nevada
NWMO – Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)
NWTRB – United States Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board
OECD – Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
ONDRAF-NIRAS – Belgian Agency for Radioactive Waste and Enriched Fissile Materials
ORDIMIP – Regional Observatory of Industrial Waste of Midi- Pyrénées
PEIS – Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
PFS – Private Fuel Storage
PTA – Participatory Technology Assessment
RD&D – Research, Development, and Demonstration
SAFIR – Safety Assessment and Feasibility Interim Report
SEA – Siting Experience Archive
SKB – Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Company
SKI – Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate
SNF – Spent Nuclear Fuel
SNL – Sandia National Laboratories
T&MSS – Technical and Management Support Services
USA – United States of America
WENRA – WGWD – Western European Nuclear Regulator's Association Working Group on Waste and Decommissioning
WIPP - Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
WP – Work Package
YIMBY – Yes, In My Back Yard

NUCLEAR FUELS STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION CAMPAIGN

NUCLEAR WASTE FACILITY SITING EXPERIENCE DATABASE: CONTENT AND STRUCTURE

1. INTRODUCTION

In January 2012, the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future (BRC) recommended that the United States (U.S.) adopt a new approach to siting and developing nuclear waste management and disposal facilities [BRC, 2012]. It was recommended that this process be consent-based, transparent, phased, adaptive, standards- and science-based, and governed by partnership arrangements. A similar approach was successfully used to site, license, and open the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP). The BRC believed that this type of approach can provide the flexibility required and sustain the public trust and confidence needed to see controversial facilities through to completion.

The BRC further recommended that, to ensure that future siting efforts are informed by past experience, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) should establish a database of the experience that has been gained and relevant documentation that has been produced in efforts to site nuclear waste facilities, both in the U.S. and abroad. The Siting Experience Archive (SEA) implements this recommendation by providing an archive of documentation regarding efforts to site nuclear waste facilities, both in the U.S. and abroad. This report describes the database, its content, and its use in satisfaction of Milestone M3FT-13SN0905025 in the Nuclear Fuels Storage and Transportation Planning Project Campaign.

The SEA houses documents that contain information related to nuclear waste storage and nuclear waste disposal siting efforts, as well as efforts to site an advanced nuclear fuel cycle. The Archive is not intended to be a comprehensive library of all possible documents related to siting; rather it is intended to be a compilation of documents sufficient to be a resource to the DOE and its contractors so that future siting efforts can be informed by past experience.

2. WEBSITE AND DATABASE

The SEA is a dynamic web page that is primarily database-driven. This design allows the database to change without having to modify the actual web page. The SEA website can be accessed at <https://collaborate.sandia.gov/sites/SEA/SitePages/SEA-homepage.aspx>. Users currently need to be authorized to access the website; authorization can be obtained by sending an email to llprice@sandia.gov. The components of the SEA are described in the following subsections.

2.1 Website

A website with a relational database, the SEA, has been established on Sandia National Laboratories' (SNL) External Collaboration Network (ECN) (see Figure 1). The site is directly accessible from the Internet. Roles and responsibilities are clearly defined and assigned to users who are authorized to access

the SEA, ensuring limited access to information where required. Currently, all users must be authorized to use the site and their identity must be authenticated with a password.



Figure 1. Screenshot of the Home Page of the SEA

Hosting the Archive on the ECN allows a large number of concurrent users to access the site. The web server and database are continuously available, and meet SNL requirements for security and software quality assurance. The website and user interface were developed using the SharePoint platform.

2.2 Database

A SQL Server database is used to organize the information associated with each document. The types of information stored for each document are discussed in Section 3.1. The Enterprise Search technology is used to search the documents in the database, which allows users to enter, categorize and securely retrieve data. The SEA provides the ability to do structured searches (e.g., perform a search using pre-selected terms) as well as unstructured searches (e.g., perform a search using terms

typed in by the user). The information produced when a search is performed is formatted by SQL Server Reporting services.

3. SEA CONTENT

The SEA contains documents, a summary of the BRC recommendations, summaries of the siting experiences of a few highlighted countries, summaries of some international collaborative efforts to study siting experiences, and links to external websites. These are discussed below.

3.1 Documents

The procedure for identifying documents for the Archive started with searching the internet and having discussions with knowledgeable people. These searches included keyword searches as well as searches of websites of national and international organizations involved in nuclear waste issues. The internet searches themselves became exercises in following links to new information. New documents were also identified by reviewing the documents that had already been uploaded into the Archive. The focus was on collecting documents related to consent-based siting efforts, not on, for example, documents related to site characterization results or performance assessment results.

Nearly half of the documents in the Archive are specific to the United States' experience in siting radioactive waste facilities, and almost half of the documents are specific to countries outside the U.S. The remaining documents are not specific to any particular country, but discuss siting of radioactive waste facilities in general.

An effort was made to obtain information across a broad spectrum of countries. For example, the Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) has developed information profiles on all of its member countries, all of which have been included in the Archive, even though a few of the countries do not have nuclear power plants generating electricity. In addition, the Archive contains reports from the *Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management* from a wide spectrum of nations. The objectives of the Joint Convention are to 1) achieve and maintain worldwide safety in spent fuel and radioactive waste management, by improving national measures and international cooperation, 2) ensure effective defense against potential hazards during all stages of spent fuel and radioactive waste so that society and the environment are protected now and in the future, and 3) prevent accidents with radiological consequences and mitigate consequences if they occur during any stage of spent fuel or radioactive waste management.

Over 700 documents have been obtained and now exist in the Archive library (refer to Appendix A for a complete listing). These documents cover a variety of topics related to siting of nuclear waste facilities such as legal decisions and guidance; regulatory decisions and guidance; site screening and selection; and environmental assessment. Most documents are in English; in a few cases, appendices written in foreign languages have been included for completeness. All documents are in a searchable format such that words in the document can be found when a user performs a search. Therefore, it was not necessary to establish and assign keywords for each document; when a user searches the SEA for a particular word or phrase, all documents containing that word or phrase are returned to the user.

Documents that are copyright protected were not included in the Archive unless permission to upload the document was received from the originating organization. For copyright-protected documents, the Archive includes a summary and a link to the copyright protected documents (about thirty documents).

The documents in the SEA cover approximately one hundred different sites. Originally, this report was to give a short synopsis of each site for which documentation was obtained, but given the unexpectedly large number of sites, a synopsis of each site will not be provided.

To facilitate the efficiency and effectiveness of the search results, metadata were identified and stored for each document. Metadata descriptions include the following:

- Title of document or other type of resource
- Author(s)/Organization
- Publisher and location of publication
- Publication date
- Source of document
- Document number (if applicable)
- Type of document or resource (e.g., Word™ document, pdf, or video)
- Copyright restrictions (if applicable)
- Other restrictions on public release
- Country (if applicable)
- Geologic media (if applicable)
- Type of facility (storage, disposal, or advanced nuclear fuel cycle)
- Summary of document or resource
- Creator/modifier/reviewer and dates of creation/modification/review

In general, document summaries were generated from information found in the document itself, e.g., the abstract or executive summary. The document summary provides a synopsis of the document's content, giving the user a high-level view of the information contained within the document. The user can elect to download the document, open the document, or move to the next one in the list. All metadata information has been quality assured for accuracy. The use of metadata to perform searches is discussed further in Section 3.4

The documents in the SEA were obtained from a variety of sources; these sources are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. Sources of Documents in the SEA

Source	Source	Source
American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)	Government of the United Kingdom	Sandia National Laboratories (SNL)
American Nuclear Society	Harvard University/University of Tokyo	Science Applications International Corporation
American Physical Society	Industrial College of the Armed Forces	SSS and SAGE Publications
Arenas for Risk Governance (ARGONA)	InSOTEC	State of Minnesota
Argonne National Laboratory	Interagency Review Group on Nuclear Waste Management	State of Mississippi
American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME)	International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)	State of Nevada
Battelle Human Affairs Research	Japan Nuclear Cycle	State of Texas

Source	Source	Source
Centers	Development Institute	
Battelle Memorial Institute	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management	State of Wyoming
Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future (BRC)	Journal of Risk Management	Stockholm Centre For Organizational Research
Comparison of Approaches to Risk Governance (CARGO)	Journal of Risk: Health, Safety, and Environment	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)
CARL Project	Karita Research	Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI)
Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich
Columbia Basin Consulting Group	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	The Central Savannah River Area Community Team
Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	Los Alamos National Laboratory	The Coalition Opposed To High-Level Nuclear Waste
Congressional Research Service	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	The Rand Corporation
Community Waste Management (COWAM)	Monitored Retrievable Storage (MRS) Review Commission	The Yakama Nation
Dupont	N.Y.U. Environmental Law Journal	U. S. Congress
Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance, LLC	National Academy of Engineering	U. S. Court of Appeals
Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)	National Academy of Public Administration	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Energy Policy	National Academy of Science	U. S. Geological Survey
Environmental Law and Policy Annual Review	New York University School of Law	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union	Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA)	U.S. Chamber of Commerce
European Union	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) (Canada)	U.S. Department of Energy
French National Radioactive Waste Management Agency (ANDRA)	Nuclear Watch New Mexico	U.S. Department of Interior
Golder Associates	Nuclear Waste Management Organization of Japan (NUMO)	U.S. General Accounting Office
Government of Australia	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Government of Canada	Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation	U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board
Government of Finland	Office of the Nuclear Waste Negotiator	Union of Concerned Scientists
Government of Germany	Office of Waste Isolation	University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Government of Hungary	ONDRAF/NIRAS	Vanderbilt University

Source	Source	Source
Government of Japan	Pacific Northwest Laboratory	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences
Government of Switzerland	Posiva Oy	Western European Nuclear Regulator's Association Working Group on Waste and Decommissioning (WENRA-WGWD)
Government of the Czech Republic	Private Fuel Storage L.L.C.	Westinghouse Savannah River Company
Government of the Republic of Lithuania	Public Citizen	World Nuclear Association
Government of the Republic of Slovenia	Rockwell International	
Government of the Slovak Republic	San Juan County, Utah	


Some documents discuss the nuclear waste management status of various countries; Table 2 gives the countries that are discussed in a document (or documents) in the Archive.

Table 2. Countries discussed in a document (or documents) in the Archive.

Country	Country	Country
Argentina	Hungary	Romania
Australia	Iceland	Russian Federation
Austria	Ireland	Slovak Republic
Belgium	Italy	Slovenia
Brazil	Japan	Spain
Canada	Korea	Sweden
Croatia	Latvia	Switzerland
Czech Republic	Lithuania	Ukraine
Denmark	Luxembourg	United Arab Emirates
Estonia	Mexico	United Kingdom
Finland	Morocco	United States
France	Netherlands	Uruguay
Germany	Norway	
Greece	Poland	

3.2 Summary of BRC Recommendations

The BRC studied the history of nuclear waste management in the U.S. and abroad, and developed some recommendations for the DOE regarding the siting of nuclear waste management and disposal facilities in the future [BRC, 2012]. These recommendations are presented on the SEA website, as shown in Figure 2.



The screenshot shows the homepage of the Siting Experience Archive (SEA) for the U.S. Department of Energy. The header features the SEA logo, the U.S. Department of Energy seal, and a photograph of a large nuclear waste storage tank. The menu bar includes links for SEA - HOME, BRC INFO, INT'L PROGRAMS, DOCUMENT CTR., HELP CTR., EXTERNAL LINKS, and ADMIN. A search bar is also present. The main content area is titled "BRC Summary of Nuclear Waste Management and Recommendations for Siting Nuclear Waste Facilities". It discusses the BRC's report and its recommendations for a new approach to siting nuclear waste facilities. The recommendations are listed as follows:


1. Consent-based – in the same sense that affected communities have an opportunity to decide whether to accept facility siting decisions and retain significant local control.
2. Transparent – in the sense that all stakeholders have an opportunity to understand key decisions and engage the process in a meaningful way.
3. Phased – in the sense that key decisions are revisited and modified as necessary along the way rather than being pre-determined.
4. Adaptive – in the sense that process itself is flexible and produces decisions that are responsive to new information and new technical, social, or political developments.
5. Standards- and science-based – in the sense that the public can have confidence that all facilities meet rigorous, objective, and consistently applied standards of safety and environmental protection
6. Governed by partnership arrangements or legally enforceable agreements between the implementing organization and host states, tribes, and local communities.

At the bottom of the page are logos for Sandia National Laboratories and the U.S. Department of Energy.

Figure 2. Screenshot of BRC Recommendations for Siting Nuclear Waste Facilities

3.3 Highlighted Countries and Waste Management Programs

The BRC report highlighted several siting efforts within the U.S. and abroad [BRC, 2012]. Summaries of these siting efforts are contained within the SEA and suggestions for further reading are provided to the user. The efforts summarized in the SEA are the Monitored Retrievable Storage (MRS) program, WIPP, Yucca Mountain, Finland, Sweden, Canada, Spain, and France. Screen shots of these summaries are shown in Figures 3-10.



The screenshot shows the homepage of the SEA (Siting Experience Archive) website. The header features the U.S. Department of Energy logo and the text "SEA Siting Experience Archive" and "U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY". The main content area is titled "Monitored Retrievable Storage (MRS)". It includes a detailed description of the MRS program, historical context, and a "Selected Reading" section with a list of links. The footer contains logos for Sandia National Laboratories and the U.S. Department of Energy.

The Nuclear Waste Policy Act (1982) authorized the Department of Energy (DOE) to, among other things, remove spent fuel from commercial reactor sites beginning in 1998, and to develop a Monitored Retrievable Storage (MRS) site at which the waste would be stored prior to disposal. Sites subsequently selected by the DOE for a MRS were opposed by the elected officials of the potentially affected states. In 1987, under an amendment to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, the Office of the United States Nuclear Waste Negotiator was established with the intent of reaching agreements with states or Indian Tribes to host nuclear waste facilities under any "reasonable and appropriate terms." This also proved unsuccessful, although it should be noted that several communities (including Indian tribes) expressed formal interest in being considered. In each case, however, the host state was not supportive and the process did not move forward.

In 1996, several private companies formed a consortium and signed an agreement with the Skull Valley Goshute Indian Tribe to open an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation on the Tribe's reservation in Utah. The consortium applied for and received from the NRC a license to construct the proposed storage facility. However, it faced opposition from the State of Utah, and decisions by other federal agencies stopped the project. Subsequent court rulings have been in favor of the Tribe, but the future of the storage facility is still uncertain.

Selected Reading:

- [DOE Announces Three Potential Sites for Proposed Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility](#)
- [DOE Sends Proposal to Congress for Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility in Tennessee](#)
- [Siting of an MRS Facility: Identification of a Geographic Region that Reduces Transportation Requirements](#)
- [Recommendations on the Proposed Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility](#)
- [The Public Response to Monitored Retrievable Storage](#)
- [MRS Feasibility Assessment Grant](#)
- [The MRS Task Force: Economic and Non-Economic Incentives for Local Public Acceptance of a Proposed Nuclear Waste packaging and Storage Facility](#)
- [Nuclear Waste: Is There a Need for Federal Interim Storage? Report of the Monitored Retrievable Storage Review Commission](#)
- [A Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility: Technical Background Information](#)
- [Fighting Nuclear Waste at Skull Valley \(Native American Forum on Nuclear Issues, Paper 5\)](#)

[Add new link](#)

Sandia National Laboratories

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 3. Screenshot of Summary of the MRS Program in the United States

The screenshot shows the homepage of the Siting Experience Archive (SEA) with a banner featuring the U.S. Department of Energy logo and a large storage tank. The main content area is titled "Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP)". It includes a brief history of the project, a "Selected Reading" section with links to various documents, and logos for Sandia National Laboratories and the U.S. Department of Energy.

SEA - Siting Experience Archive

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEA - HOME BRC INFO. ■ INT'L PROGRAMS DOCUMENT CTR. HELP CTR. EXTERNAL LINKS ADMIN

Search this site...

SEA - Home > BRC-WIPP

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP)

The Atomic Energy Commission began investigating salt beds as possible repository sites in the late 1950s. In the early 1970s, an abandoned salt mine in Lyons, Kansas was investigated as demonstration site for disposal of high-level waste and low-level waste, but there was opposition to the project at the state level and technical problems emerged, so that project was not pursued further. Local politicians in Carlsbad, NM then invited the Atomic Energy Commission to explore the extensive and deep salt beds near their community as a potential repository for high-level waste.

Exploratory work at that site, which would eventually become the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), began in 1974, and the first shipment of waste was received for disposal in 1999. In the 25 years between first exploration and first waste disposal, the State of New Mexico filed several lawsuits, Congress passed several different pieces of legislation to enable the project to move forward, restrictions were placed on the type of waste that could be emplaced (only defense transuranic waste can be emplaced; no spent fuel or high level waste may be disposed of there), the State of New Mexico was given the authority to regulate mixed waste at the WIPP, and the Environmental Protection Agency (not the Department of Energy) was designated as the agency to certify that the WIPP met applicable environmental standards. Although there were obstacles in the path to the successful licensing and opening of WIPP, some of the keys to success were a generally supportive host community and a state government that was willing to participate in the process.

Selected Reading:


- [WIPP Chronology](#)
- [WIPP Site Selection and Early Site Studies](#)
- [Solving the U.S. Nuclear Waste Dilemma](#)
- [The WIPP Journey to Waste Receipt](#)
- [Site Selection and Evaluation Studies of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant \(WIPP\), Los Medanos, Eddy County, NM](#)
- [Evaluation of the Proposed WIPP Site in Southeast New Mexico](#)
- [Lessons Learned from U.S. Nuclear Waste Repository Programs](#)

[Add new link](#)

Sandia National Laboratories

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 4. Screenshot of Summary of the WIPP



The screenshot shows the homepage of the Siting Experience Archive (SEA) with a banner featuring the U.S. Department of Energy logo and a large image of a nuclear waste storage tank. The main navigation menu includes links for SEA - HOME, BRC INFO, INT'L PROGRAMS, DOCUMENT CTR, HELP CTR, EXTERNAL LINKS, and ADMIN. A search bar is also present. The page title is "Yucca Mountain (YM)". The main content area discusses the history of the Yucca Mountain project, mentioning the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, the recommendation of three sites, and the subsequent political and legal challenges. It also highlights factors contributing to the project's failure, such as unrealistic deadlines and lack of political support. A "Selected Reading" section lists several articles with small thumbnail images next to them, and a "Add new link" button is at the bottom.

The Nuclear Waste Policy Act (1982) authorized the United States Department of Energy to investigate sites as a part of the process of selecting repository locations. In May of 1986, the Department of Energy recommended three sites for detailed characterization: the Hanford site in Washington, Deaf Smith County in Texas, and Yucca Mountain in Nevada. In 1987, Congress amended the Nuclear Waste Policy Act to designate Yucca Mountain as the only site to be investigated further as a repository site. The Department of Energy continued with the process outlined in the Nuclear Waste Policy Act (1982) and in June 2008 submitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission a license application for construction authorization of a repository for high-level waste and spent nuclear fuel at Yucca Mountain. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission began reviewing the application, but the Department of Energy moved to withdraw the license application in February 2010, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission subsequently ended the review process before the review was completed. Several lawsuits were filed, and the future of the Yucca Mountain project is still uncertain, pending the outcome of these lawsuits. It should be noted that the State of Nevada objected to the selection of Yucca Mountain as the sole repository site, and remains opposed to disposal of high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel at Yucca Mountain.

The BRC concluded that several factors contributed to the Yucca Mountain experience. First, amending the Nuclear Waste Policy Act abruptly ended the site selection process and designated Yucca Mountain as the sole site, giving the impression that the repository location was based on political, rather than scientific and technical considerations. Second, the process was not consent-based, as Nevada did not consent to characterizing Yucca Mountain and remained opposed to the project. Third, unrealistic and rigid deadlines were set, deadlines that were not met, thus eroding confidence in the federal government's ability to manage high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel. Finally, the process for developing a repository was inflexible and made no provisions for an alternative path forward, should one become necessary.

Selected Reading:

 Solving the U.S. Nuclear Waste Dilemma	
 High-Level Nuclear Waste Disposal: Policy and Prognosis	
 Closing Yucca Mountain: Litigation Associated with Attempts to Abandon the Planned Nuclear Waste Repository	
 Civilian Nuclear Waste Disposal	
 Nuclear Waste Policy: How We Got Here	
 Environmental Views on the Geologic Disposal of Nuclear Materials	
 Revisiting America's Nuclear Waste Policy	

 [Add new link](#)

Figure 5. Screenshot of Summary of Yucca Mountain

The screenshot shows the homepage of the SEA (Siting Experience Archive) website. The header features the U.S. Department of Energy logo and the text "SEA Siting Experience Archive" and "U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY". The main content area is titled "Finland" and discusses the 1983 policy decision to manage SNF and the subsequent selection of Olkiluoto as the repository site. It includes a "Selected Reading" section with links to survey reports and a "Add new link" button. The footer includes logos for Sandia National Laboratories and the U.S. Department of Energy.

In 1983, Finland issued a major policy decision on the management of SNF and set a schedule and process to be used for selecting a final repository site. This schedule called for repository construction to begin in 2010 and for waste acceptance to begin in 2020. The process required the consent of the host municipality, and gave these municipalities veto power over the final selection of a site. A country-wide screening was followed by preliminary site investigations, which was in turn followed by detailed site investigations and environmental impact assessments for four sites.

All four sites were found suitable for spent fuel disposal in terms of long-term safety and geology, so the final decision was based on acceptance, economic, and development considerations. Olkiluoto, near the community of Eurajoki, was selected as the repository site by Posiva Oy, a private corporation jointly owned by nuclear power producers. By 2000, both the Eurajoki municipal and the Finnish Government approved the decision to move forward with the repository at Olkiluoto. An underground research tunnel was constructed in 2004 for the purpose of conducting detailed site characterization studies. On December 28, 2012, Posiva Oy submitted a license application for construction of the repository.

Selected Reading:

- [Survey of National Programs for Managing High-Level Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel](#)
- [Experience Gained from Programs to Manage HLW and SNF in the United States and Other Countries](#)
- [Final Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel in Olkiluoto](#)

[Add new link](#)

Sandia National Laboratories **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

Figure 6. Screenshot of Summary of the Finnish Waste Management Siting Experience

The screenshot shows the SEA (Siting Experience Archive) website. At the top, the U.S. Department of Energy logo is visible, along with the text "SEA Siting Experience Archive" and "U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY". The main content area is titled "Sweden". It contains a brief history of waste management in Sweden, mentioning SKB's initial studies in 1977 and the government's approval of a proposal in 2001. It also notes the selection of Forsmark in 2009 and a construction application submitted in March 2011. Below this, a section titled "Selected Reading" lists several documents with small thumbnail images to the right. At the bottom, there are logos for Sandia National Laboratories and the U.S. Department of Energy.

Price, Laura L.

Site Actions Browse Page

SEA Siting Experience Archive

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEA - HOME BRC INFO. INT'L PROGRAMS DOCUMENT CTR. HELP CTR. EXTERNAL LINKS ADMIN

Search this site...

SEA - Home > BRC-Sweden

Sweden BACK

In 1977, the privately owned Swedish waste management company, SKB, began studying a number of areas in different parts of Sweden to determine their suitability to host a geologic repository for spent nuclear fuel. Further investigation was recommended for those areas that had favorable geologic data and had permission from the land-owner to carry out such investigations. In 1985, SKB ended these investigations because of growing opposition and because the government asked it to.

In 1992, SKB sent a letter to all Swedish municipalities inviting interested parties to volunteer as host sites for a spent fuel repository. Two municipalities agreed to a feasibility study, but in both cases the subsequent referendum resulted in the municipality rejecting further participation. SKB then conducted further feasibility studies and identified five potentially promising sites. Three of these communities already contained nuclear facilities, and in 2001 the government approved SKB's proposal to investigate the three sites further. Shortly thereafter, two of the three communities consented to these further investigations. One of those sites, Forsmark near the municipality of Östhammar, was selected in 2009 because of its geologic characteristics. In March 2011, SKB submitted a construction application for the repository; operations are anticipated to begin in 2025.

Selected Reading:

- [Actual Implementation of a Spent Nuclear Fuel Repository in Sweden: Seizing Opportunities](#)
- [Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Spent Nuclear Fuel – a Technical Endeavour and a Social Challenge](#)
- [Survey of National Programs for Managing High-Level Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel](#)
- [Experience Gained from Programs to Manage HLW and SNF in the United States and Other Countries](#)
- [EPRI Review of Geologic Disposal for Used Fuel and High Level Radioactive Waste: Volume III – Review of National Repository Programs](#)
- [Factors Affecting Public and Political Acceptance for the Implementation of Geological Disposal](#)

Add new link

Sandia National Laboratories

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 7. Screenshot of Summary of the Swedish Waste Management Siting Experience

The screenshot shows the Siting Experience Archive (SEA) website. At the top, there is a navigation bar with links for Site Actions, Browse, Page, and a user profile for 'Price, Laura L.'. The main header features the SEA logo and the text 'Siting Experience Archive' and 'U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY'. Below the header, a menu bar includes 'SEA - HOME', 'BRC INFO.', 'INT'L PROGRAMS', 'DOCUMENT CTR.', 'HELP CTR.', 'EXTERNAL LINKS', and 'ADMIN'. A search bar is also present. The main content area is titled 'Canada' and discusses the Canadian Government's policy framework for radioactive waste. It mentions the Nuclear Fuel Waste Act of 2002 and the Nuclear Waste Management Organization. Below this, a section titled 'Selected Reading' lists several documents with small icons next to them. At the bottom of the page, there are logos for Sandia National Laboratories and the U.S. Department of Energy.

In 1996, the Canadian Government established a Policy Framework for Radioactive Waste. In this policy, waste owners are responsible for funding, organizing, managing, and operating nuclear waste facilities, and the government is responsible for overseeing the activities of the waste owners. The Nuclear Fuel Waste Act, passed in 2002, established the Nuclear Waste Management Organization as the organization responsible for developing, in collaboration with Canadians, an approach for the long-term management of nuclear waste that is socially acceptable, technical sound, environmentally responsible, and economically feasible.

In 2005, the Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) recommended the Adaptive Phased Management approach for establishing a repository. The NWMO began its process in May 2010 with a broad program to provide information, answer questions and build awareness among Canadians about the project and siting process. Twenty one communities expressed interest in learning more, and the "expression of interest" phase closed on September 30, 2012. A preliminary assessment of potential suitability for each of the 21 communities is currently ongoing.

Selected Reading:


- [Radioactive Waste Management Programmes in OECD/NEA Member Countries: Canada \[2012\]](#)
- [Canadian National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management: Final Report October 2011](#)
- [Learning More Together: Annual Report 2011](#)
- [Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada's Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel](#)
- [Survey of National Programs for Managing High-Level Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel](#)

[Add new link](#)

Sandia National Laboratories

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 8. Screenshot of Summary of the Canadian Waste Management Siting Experience



The screenshot shows the SEA homepage with a banner for the U.S. Department of Energy. The main content area is titled "Spain" and discusses the establishment of ENRESA in 1984 and the selection of Villar de Cañas as a candidate municipality for a Centralized Temporary Storage facility. Below this, a "Selected Reading" section lists five documents with download icons. The footer features logos for Sandia National Laboratories and the U.S. Department of Energy.

Site Actions ▾ Browse Page Price, Laura L ▾

SEA
Siting Experience Archive
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

SEA - HOME BRC INFO. INT'L PROGRAMS DOCUMENT CTR. HELP CTR. EXTERNAL LINKS ADMIN Search this site...

SEA - Home > BRC-Spain BACK

Spain

In 1984, Spain established ENRESA as a state-owned company responsible for managing radioactive waste and decommissioning of nuclear plants. According to the General Radioactive Waste Plan, which ENRESA is required to review every four years, Spain is pursuing a Centralized Temporary Storage facility for spent fuel and high-level waste. Deep geological disposal has been the subject of extensive study and R&D in Spain and is currently retained as the preferred option for the final disposal of spent fuel and high level waste.

To address the siting process of the Centralized Temporary Storage facility for spent fuel and high-level waste the government created in 2006 an Interministerial Commission. It was to be responsible for establishing the criteria to be met by the storage facility, for providing necessary information to municipalities that might be interested in hosting the Centralized Temporary Storage facility, and for organizing a public call for the selection of candidate municipalities to host the site. After an intensive and nation-wide information campaign, the Commission published a call in 2009 for the selection of candidate municipalities to house the Centralized Temporary Storage facility. This call was made within a framework of transparency and voluntary acceptance by the municipalities. Fourteen municipalities responded; several subsequently withdrew and one did not meet all requirements, leaving 8 municipalities to be evaluated by the Interministerial Commission. In December 2011, the government announced that the municipality of Villar de Cañas in the Autonomous Region of Castilla-La Mancha had been selected.

Selected Reading:

- [Siting Process for a Centralized Storage Facility \(ATC\) for Spent Fuel and High Level Waste in Spain](#)
- [Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management: Fourth Spanish National Report](#)
- [COWAM in Practice: Spanish Prospective Case Study](#)
- [Survey of National Programs for Managing High-Level Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel](#)

[Add new link](#)

Sandia National Laboratories U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 9. Screenshot of Summary of the Spanish Waste Management Siting Experience



Price, Laura L -

Site Actions Browse Page

SEA
Siting Experience Archive
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

SEA - HOME BRC INFO. INT'L PROGRAMS DOCUMENT CTR. HELP CTR. EXTERNAL LINKS ADMIN Search this site...

SEA - Home > BRC-France

France BACK

In 1991, France established its Agency for Radioactive Waste Management (ANDRA) for the purposes of developing a strategy and performing research on managing France's high-level and intermediate-level long-lived radioactive waste. ANDRA is a government-owned public service agency. In 2006, the French Parliament adopted two laws significant to radioactive waste management. One law created a Nuclear Safety Authority, an independent administrative authority that supervised nuclear safety and radiation protection, and informed the public on these subjects. The law also more firmly established local information committees, which are groups of citizens who monitor important nuclear facilities in their communities. The second law specified that reversible disposal in deep geological formations is the preferred solution for management of high-level radioactive waste, and that the geological formation selected for the repository must have been studied through an underground laboratory.

Meuse and Haute-Marne counties agreed to host an underground research laboratory for a deep geological repository. The results of studies to date will be presented during public debates scheduled for 2013, followed by submission of a license application. The license application will be submitted to territorial communities for comment, and will be subject to a public inquiry. Assuming the process proceeds smoothly, authorization to construct the repository is anticipated in 2017, and start of repository operations is anticipated around 2025.

Selected Reading:

- [Site Selection for a Geological Repository in France](#)
- [Experience Gained from Programs to Manage HLW and SNF in the United States and Other Countries](#)
- [EPRI Review of Geologic Disposal for Used Fuel and High Level Radioactive Waste: Volume III – Review of National Repository Programs](#)
- [Sustainable Territorial Development Associated with Radioactive Waste Management](#)
- [Site Selection for a Geological Repository in France](#)
- [Survey of National Programs for Managing High-Level Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel](#)
- [COWAM in Practice: French National Stakeholder Group: Prospective Case Study: French Report on the Cooperative Investigation](#)

Add new link

Sandia National Laboratories U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 10. Screenshot of Summary of the French Waste Management Siting Experience

In addition, several European collaborative efforts are summarized and highlighted in the SEA: RISCOM; Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (FSC), which is sponsored by the NEA; Community Waste Management (COWAM); and International Socio-Technical Challenges for Implementing Geological Disposal (InSOTEC). Figures 11 – 14 provide screenshots of the SEA webpages for each of these collaborative efforts.

The screenshot shows the homepage of the Siting Experience Archive (SEA) website. The header features the SEA logo, the U.S. Department of Energy seal, and a background image of a nuclear waste storage tank. The main navigation menu includes links for SEA - HOME, BRC INFO, INT'L PROGRAMS, DOCUMENT CTR, HELP CTR, EXTERNAL LINKS, and ADMIN. A search bar is also present. The page content discusses the RISCOM project, which is described as different from others due to its use of a theoretical model and its focus on transparency and public participation. It details the project's structure and methodology, including the Viable Systems Model (VSM) and the TASCOI acronym. A 'Selected Reading' section lists three documents with download icons. The footer includes the Sandia National Laboratories logo and the U.S. Department of Energy seal.

Price, Laura L. -

SEA - Siting Experience Archive

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEA - HOME BRC INFO. INT'L PROGRAMS DOCUMENT CTR. HELP CTR. EXTERNAL LINKS ADMIN Search this site...

SEA - Home > Int-RISCOM

RISCOM

The RISCOM project is different from the others in that it develops and employs a theoretical model. The overall objective of the project is characterized as supporting "transparency of decision-making processes in the nuclear waste programmes of the participating organizations, and also the European Union, by means of a greater degree of public participation." RISCOM II had six "Work Packages." Work Package 1 involved studies of issues raised by performance assessment. Work Package 2 involved an organizational model and a method designed to investigate structural issues affecting transparency. In Work Package 3 a special meeting format was used to promote the development of consensus and a "European approach" to public participation. Work Package 4 involved analysis, and limited experimental testing, of public participation processes. In Work Package 5 a hearing format was developed with particular emphasis on creating non-adversarial situations. Two topical workshops and a final workshop were conducted under Work Package 6.

The RISCOM Model includes the Viable Systems Model (VSM) and a methodology designed to transform basic principles to practical applications. The VSM is an organizational model that includes five interrelated communications loops that are described and analyzed in an effort to improve transparency for interactions between waste management organizations and stakeholders. The methodology employed is called TASCOI (the acronym stands for Transformation, Actors, Suppliers, Customers, Owners, Intervenors).

Selected Reading:

- Transparency and Public Participation in Radioactive Waste Management RISCOM II Final report
- Public Values and Stakeholder Involvement - A New Framework for Performance Assessment
- Structure for Transparency in Nuclear Waste Management Comparative Review of the Structures for Nuclear Waste Management in France, Sweden and the UK

 Sandia National Laboratories

 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 11. Screenshot of Summary of RISCOM

Site Actions Browse Page

Price, Laura L.

SEA

Siting Experience Archive

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEA - HOME BRC INFO. INT'L PROGRAMS DOCUMENT CTR. HELP CTR. EXTERNAL LINKS ADMIN Search this site...

SEA - Home > Int-FSC BACK

Forum on Stakeholder Confidence

The FSC was established primarily to serve the Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) Radioactive Waste Management Committee (RWMC) constituencies, including implementers, regulators, policy makers, research & development personnel from member nations that are involved in interactions with stakeholders. The FSC identifies a mandate (NEA, 2004) that includes:

- To define, oversee and carry out work program activities in the strategic area of public perception and stakeholders' confidence as assigned by the RWMC.
- To advise the RWMC on major and emerging issues in the area of public perception and stakeholders' confidence related to waste management.
- To act as a forum to share experience in achieving stakeholders' confidence and, in particular, in how to obtain the confidence of local communities, and their representatives and intermediaries with the technical decision makers.
- To analyze today's processes for embedding waste management programs into a socio-political decision-making context.
- To identify opportunities for harmonized views of Member countries, regarding:
 - Successful and unsuccessful experiences in interacting with stakeholders.
 - Technical concerns of stakeholders.
 - Effective means of communicating with technical and non-technical audiences.

Selected Reading:

[Learning and Adapting to Societal Requirements](#)

[Reflections on Siting Approaches for Radioactive Waste Facilities: Synthesising Principles Based on International Learning](#)

[Add new link](#)

Sandia National Laboratories

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 12. Screenshot of Summary of FSC

The screenshot shows the SEA (Siting Experience Archive) website. At the top, the U.S. Department of Energy logo is displayed next to the text "SEA Siting Experience Archive" and "U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY". The main content area features a photograph of a large radioactive waste storage tank. Below the logo, a navigation bar includes links for "SEA - HOME", "BRC INFO.", "INT'L PROGRAMS", "DOCUMENT CTR.", "HELP CTR.", "EXTERNAL LINKS", and "ADMIN". A search bar with the placeholder "Search this site..." and a magnifying glass icon is also present. The main content area is titled "COWAM" and discusses the "Community Waste Management" project, which was proposed to the European Commission Research Directorate in 1999. It details the project's phases (COWAM 1, 2, and in Practice) and five work packages. Below this, a section titled "Selected Reading" lists nine documents with their titles and download icons. At the bottom of the page, there are logos for "Sandia National Laboratories" and the "U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY".

Community Waste Management, was proposed as a project to the European Commission Research Directorate in 1999. The project began in 2000 and has been conducted in three phases, i.e. COWAM 1, COWAM 2, and COWAM in Practice. As an example of the COWAM approach to exploring public participation in decision-making processes, the work performed during COWAM 2 was divided into five "Work Packages." Work Package 1 involved a "local democracy" research group that shared knowledge about local committee building. Work Package 2 involved a research group on "influence of local actors on the national decision-making process." Work Package 3 involved a research group on "quality of the decision-making process." Work Package 4 involved a "long term governance" research group. Work Package 5 reported the results of three annual National Sessions that involved COWAM participants. The results of the work are reported in a suite of documents organized around these work packages.

Selected Reading:

- [COWAM Network: Nuclear waste management from a local perspective Reflections for a Better Governance Final Report](#)
- [Cooperative Research on the Governance of Radioactive Waste Management Final synthesis report](#)
- [Roadmap for Local Committee Construction Better paths towards the governance of radioactive waste](#)
- [Influence of Local Actors on National Decision-Making Processes Final Report](#)
- [Quality of Decision-making Processes Decision-making processes in radioactive waste governance - Insights and Recommendations](#)
- [Long term governance for radioactive waste management FINAL REPORT OF COWAM2 - WORK PACKAGE 4](#)
- [National Insights WP 5 Final Report](#)
- [European-level Guidelines for the Inclusive Governance of Radioactive Waste Management Ensure that local communities are not simply 'affected' but are influential and sustainable](#)

[Add new link](#)

 Sandia National Laboratories

 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 13. Screenshot of COWAM Summary

Price, Laura L -

Site Actions Browse Page

SEA
Siting Experience Archive
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

SEA - HOME BRC INFO. INT'L PROGRAMS DOCUMENT CTR. HELP CTR. EXTERNAL LINKS ADMIN

Search this site...

SEA - Home > Int-InSOTEC BACK

InSOTEC

InSOTEC is a collaborative social sciences research project funded under the European Atomic Energy Community. It was initiated in 2007 and currently is in its second three-year phase. The project is focused on the complex interplay between technical and social questions and issues as they are manifested in the area of radioactive waste management. The design and implementation of geologic disposal have received special attention from the project.

InSOTEC has a special interest in negotiations that take place in terms of problem definition and proposed solutions, in the area of radioactive waste management. One aim of the project is to develop a fine-grained understanding of how the technical and the social influence, shape and build upon each other in the case of radioactive waste management and the design and implementation of geologic disposal.

The project includes four research oriented work packages:

WP1 provides a review of national and international Radioactive Waste Management focusing on the correlation of socio-political and techno-scientific challenges and whether or not they are acknowledged and dealt with as such.

WP2 consists of an assessment of mechanisms regarding the interaction of social and technical challenges through a number of case studies. These are: siting; technology transfer and transfer of socio-technical innovations; the issue of reversibility and irretrievability; and the demonstration of safety.

WP3 looks at arenas where socio-technical combinations on RWM are formed through the co-production of knowledge between different actors. For this reason, networks or spaces are explored where people and organizations from various backgrounds interact with each other and create knowledge through a process of dialogue. A particular case study is the Implementing Geological Disposal Technology Platform (IGD-TP).

WP4 links the research activity to the practice of Radioactive Waste Management and Geological Disposal by offering concluding reflections and recommendations.

Selected Reading:

- [Review of initiatives addressing socio-technical challenges of RWM & geological disposal in international programmes](#)
- [Socio-Technical Challenges to Implementing Geological Disposal: a Synthesis of Findings from 14 Countries](#)
- [Reflecting on the Implementing Geological Disposal Technology Platform as a knowledge network and potential scenarios for stakeholder involvement](#)

[Add new link](#)

Sandia National Laboratories

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 14. Screenshot of InSOTEC Summary

3.4 Document Center

Certain information about each document was collected to assist in performing searches, such as the country that is the subject of the document (if applicable), the source of the document, the particular site

discussed (if applicable), the type of facility (disposal, storage, or advanced nuclear fuel cycle), the author, the date of publication, the decade of publication, and the geologic media (if applicable). In addition, a “free text” search can be conducted. See Figure 15 for a screenshot of the Document Center. These various searches are discussed below.

Figure 15. Screenshot of the Document Center

After selecting the type of search to be performed and the parameters for the search (e.g., country), documents that meet the search criteria are retrieved and listed on the left hand side of the web page. Clicking on a document title opens a new window that contains summary information about the document. To open the document itself, the user can simply click on the title of the document located at the top of the document summary page. Otherwise, the user can simply close the summary page.

3.4.1 See All Documents in the SEA

To view a list of all the documents contained within the Archive, select “See Entire List of Documents in the SEA” from the Document Center. A Document List will appear as shown in Figure 16. This list is initially arranged in alphabetical order by the title of the document, but it can also be arranged by the year

of publication, the source organization, and the country. To organize the list of documents by title, year, source, or country, click on the up or down triangle in the header row of the list.

Title (click on item for details)	Year Published	Source Organization	Country
10 CFR Part 60 Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories Technical Criteria Final Rule	1983	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
2009 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REPORT managing today to prepare for tomorrow	2009	ANDRA (France)	France
A Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility: Technical Background Information	1991	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
A Mountain of Trouble: A Nation at Risk Report on the Impacts of the Proposed Yucca Mountain High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository (submitted to Spencer Abraham, Secretary of Energy)	2002	State of Nevada	USA
A Multiatribute Utility Analysis of Sites Nominated for Characterization for the First Radioactive-Waste Repository: A Decision Aiding Methodology, Part 1	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
A Multiatribute Utility Analysis of Sites Nominated for Characterization for the First Radioactive-Waste Repository: A Decision Aiding Methodology, Part 2	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
A Perspective on U.S. Nuclear Waste Policies for the Last Forty Years, submitted to the Blue Ribbon Commission	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
A Review of the Nuclear Waste Disposal Problem	1979	Argonne National Laboratory	-None-
A Small Town That Considered & Rejected Volunteering for an MRS Study	1993	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
Acceptance Review of the Topical Report Titled "Evidence of Extreme Erosion During the Quaternary Period" at Yucca Mountain, Nevada	1993	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
Activities of WENRA-WGWD	2010	WENRA-WGWD	-None-
ACTUAL IMPLEMENTATION OF A SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL REPOSITORY IN SWEDEN: SEIZING OPPORTUNITIES Synthesis of the FSC National Workshop and Community Visit Osthämmar, Sweden 4-6 May 2011	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Sweden
Additional Media Studies for Site Suitability Criteria	1978	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	USA
Analysis of the MUA Decision Methodology for HLW Repository Siting: Preclosure Utilities (Proceedings of the WM 1989 Conference)	1989	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA

Figure 16. Screenshot of List of All Documents in SEA

3.4.2 Search by Country

Most documents were country-specific, although a few were not. Table 2 lists all the countries associated with documents in the SEA. To find all documents that were identified as being specific to a particular country or countries, select “Search by Country(ies) of Interest” from the Document Center and pick the country or countries of interest from the list provided. This is shown in Figure 17. Once the country (or countries) of interest have been selected, either click “Apply” in the lower right hand side of the window or press “Enter” on the keyboard. To organize the list of documents by country, title, year, or source, click on the up or down triangle in the header row of the list.

Country	Title (click on item for more detail)	Publication Year	Source
Argentina	JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT FOURTH NATIONAL REPORT Argentina	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	Joint Convention Questions Posted To Argentina in 2009	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT THIRD NATIONAL REPORT	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Answers to Questions Posted by the Contracting Parties on the Argentina Second National Report	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT SECOND NATIONAL REPORT	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Answers to Questions Posted by the Contracting Parties on the Argentina First National Report	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT NATIONAL REPORT ARGENTINA 2003	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
Australia	National Radioactive Waste Management Act 2012	2012	Government of Australia
	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report of the Commonwealth of Australia 2011	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	Questions and Answers on Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from the Commonwealth of Australia 2008	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES AUSTRALIA	2009	Nuclear Energy Agency
	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from the Commonwealth of Australia 2008	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Australian National Report 2005	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Australian National Report 2003	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.
Austria	RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES AUSTRIA	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency

Figure 17. Screenshot of Country Search Page

The NEA provides useful summaries of the Radioactive Waste Management Programs of member countries. Some of the summaries were published in 2011, one was published in 2012, but most were published in 2005. These summaries include information on types of wastes, governmental policies, and organizations and departments that are responsible for radioactive waste management. The summaries also include information on R&D activities, legal frameworks, and financing for waste management activities. Links to websites where additional information can be found are also provided. The document titles include *RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES* along with a country name.

Another source of information about individual countries is the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management which held its first review meeting in 2003. Subsequent meetings were held in 2006, 2009, and 2012. Member nations were encouraged to produce reports to capture results of the review meeting; the SEA contains the reports that were made available on the internet. These reports include waste management policies and procedures, legislative and regulatory systems, waste inventories, and the names of the nuclear facilities. Although

some overlap exists with information found in the NEA reports, the Joint Convention reports focus on the policies and procedures designed to enhance the safety of nuclear reactors.

A third source of information about individual countries is a set of reports produced by InSOTEC, a social science research project sponsored by the European Union. InSOTEC began in 2011 and has produced reports for 13 countries, as well as topical reports. The three-year InSOTEC project is focused on the interplay between technical and social issues related to radioactive waste management.

The national reports from InSOTEC present a summary of current status and issues related to radioactive waste management within the country. The reports try to identify the principal “players” involved in the issues, including both governmental entities and non-governmental organizations. The sociological viewpoint of the reports gives them a different focus from the others discussed above. These reports attempt to identify places where technical and social issues are interwoven and to identify socio-technical challenges.

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory has hosted a series of conferences on Geologic Challenges in Radioactive Waste Isolation. The conferences have been held every five years since 1991. The proceedings of the four conferences are included in the Archive. These proceedings provide high level information from a wide spectrum of nations and are a very good starting point for anyone wishing to learn about these countries.

3.4.3 Search by Source

The “source” category can also be useful for some types of searches. In some cases the source is the same as the publisher, but this is not always the case. For instance, the “Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management” is identified as a source. All documents produced for Joint Convention meetings can be found under this source, but all of these documents have publishers that are government entities from the countries that produced the documents. To find all the documents that have been identified as coming from a particular source, select “Search by Source” from the Document Center and pick the source of interest from the drop-down list provided. Once the source has been selected, either click “Apply” in the lower right hand side of the window or press “Enter” on the keyboard. This is shown in Figure 18. To organize the list of documents by source, title, country, or year, click on the up or down triangle in the header row of the list.

Source	Title (click on item for more detail)	Country
AAAS	The Way Forward in the U.S.: Nuclear Waste Management (presentation to AAAS, San Diego, CA)	USA
American Nuclear Society	Report of the American Nuclear Society President's Special Committee on Used Nuclear Fuel Management Options	USA
American Physical Society	Consolidated Interim Strategic Commercial Spent Nuclear Fuel - A Technical and Programmatic Assessment (Discussion Paper)	USA
ANDRA (France)	ANDRA: Everything you ever wanted to know about radioactive waste management	France
	ANDRA: The French national radioactive waste management agency	France
	Making nuclear waste governable deep underground disposal and the challenge of reversibility	France
	2009 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REPORT managing today to prepare for tomorrow	France
	Radioactive materials and waste Planning Act of 28 June 2006	France
	Tome Architecture and management of a geological repository	France
ARGONA	Suggested Guidelines for Transparency and Participation in Nuclear Waste Management Programmes	-None-
	Towards implementation of transparency and participation in radioactive waste management programmes	-None-
	Towards implementation of transparency and participation in radioactive waste management programmes - Final Summary Report	-None-
Argonne National Laboratory	Should High-Level Waste Be Disposed of at Geographically Dispersed Sites?	USA
	The Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility: Scoping the Environmental Assessment Process	USA
	EMERGENCE OF COLLECTIVE ACTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL NETWORKING IN RELATION TO RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT	USA
	Emergence of interest groups on hazardous waste siting: how do they form and survive?	USA
	Radioactive Waste Isolation in Salt: Peer Review of the Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation's Reports on Preferred Repository Sites Within the Palo Duro Basin, Texas	USA
	Radioactive Waste Isolation in Salt: Special Advisory Report on the Status of the Office Nuclear Waste Isolation's Plans for Repository Performance Assessment	USA
	A Review of the Nuclear Waste Disposal Problem	-None-
ASME	Overview of Radioactive Waste Management for Presentation at the 17th Annual ASME Symposium on Nuclear Waste	USA

Figure 18. Screenshot of Source Search Page

3.4.4 Search by Site

Similarly, while most documents were site-specific, some were not. Some documents contained significant discussion of several sites; those documents that mentioned a particular site in passing without any significant discussion were not associated with the site in the database. Most of the sites identified involved siting efforts for disposal or storage of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste, although a few intermediate level waste and low-level waste disposal sites were included if the siting experience was relevant to consent-based siting. There are also a few documents that discuss siting efforts for an advanced nuclear fuel cycle in the U.S., associated with the now-defunct Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP). To find all documents that are identified as being specific to a particular site, select “Search by Site” from the Document Center and pick the site(s) of interest from the drop-down list provided. Once the site(s) has been selected, either click “Apply” in the lower right hand side of the window or press “Enter” on the keyboard. This is shown in Figure 19.

Reporting Services - SiteResourceSearch - Windows Internet Explorer

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Star Favorites Webpage has expired Suggested Sites Web Slice Gallery

Reporting Services - SiteResourceSearch

SEA - Home > ReportSource

Actions Find Next 100% 

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Office of Nuclear Energy

Documents That Discuss Sites
288 Different Document(s)

NOTE: Documents may appear more than once because a document may discuss more than one site

Site	Document Where Site is Referenced (click item for details)	Year Published
Atomic City Site, Idaho	Evaluation of Three Sites for the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership	2008
	Department of Energy Selects Recipients of GNEP Siting Grants	2006
Barnwell Site, South Carolina	Evaluation of Three Sites for the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership	2008
	Department of Energy Selects Recipients of GNEP Siting Grants	2006
Beishan, China	Factors Affecting Public and Political Acceptance for the Implementation of Geological Disposal	2007
Big Rock Point Site, Michigan	Operation, decommissioning, and the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel. Big Rock Point; presentation to the BRC Subcommittee on Transportation and Storage	2010

Parameters
Select one or more Sites from the drop down list

Atomic City Site, Idaho, Barnwell !

(Select All)

Atomic City Site, Idaho

Barnwell Site, South Carolina

Beishan, China

Big Rock Point Site, Michigan

Bratsk, Czech Republic

Brezice, Slovenia

Bure, France

Cernavoda, Romania

City of Elliot Lake, Ontario, Canada

Clinch River, Tennessee

Connecticut Yankee Site, Connecticut

Copeland, United Kingdom

Cypress Creek Dome Site, Louisiana

Davis Canyon Site, Utah

Deaf Smith County Site, Texas

Dessel, Belgium

DOE Oak Ridge Reservation, Tennessee

Dukovany, Czech Republic

Dunkirk, France

Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance (ELE)

El Cabril, Spain

Elberton Pluton, Georgia

Elk Ridge, Utah

English River First Nation, Saskatchewan

Farceniennes, Belgium

Fleurus, Belgium

Forsmark, Sweden

Gibson Dome, Utah

Gorleben, Germany

Hanford Site, Washington

Hartsville, Tennessee

Hatcholmen Finland

Apply

Figure 19. Screenshot of Site Search Page

3.4.5 Search by Type of Facility

Three types of facilities are discussed in the SEA: disposal facilities, storage facilities, and advanced fuel cycle, which was the research focus for GNEP. Every document was designated as discussing a disposal facility, a storage facility, or the advanced nuclear fuel cycle. For documents that discussed both disposal and storage, a judgment was made as to which facility was more central to the document. To find all documents that are identified as being specific to disposal, storage, or the advanced nuclear fuel cycle select “Search by Type of Facility” from the Document Center. On the screen that appears, click on disposal, storage, or advanced nuclear fuel cycle from the table in the upper left-hand corner or click on the corresponding color from the pie chart. This is shown in Figure 20. Once the list of documents for the desired type of facility appears, the list can be organized by type of facility, title, year, or country by clicking on the up or down triangle in the header row of the list.




Figure 20. Screenshot of Type of Facility Search Page

3.4.6 Search by Title, Author, Date, and Type of Geologic Media

Documents can also be searched by title, author(s), date of publication, and type of geologic media involved (if applicable). To search by any of these four categories, click on the “Title, Author, Date, and Media Search” on the Document Center page. On the right-hand side of the page that appears, type in part of the title of interest, part of the name of the author of interest, the year of interest, and/or the type of geologic media of interest in the appropriate box and select “Apply” from the lower right hand corner or simply hit the “Enter” key on the keyboard. Make sure “All” is selected for the parameters for which search terms are not specified. This is shown in Figure 21. The up and down triangles in the header row of the list of documents that appears can be used to order the documents by title, year published, author, or geologic media.

The screenshot shows a Microsoft Internet Explorer window displaying a document search interface. The title bar reads "Reporting Services - TAGMYResourceSearch - Windows Internet Explorer" and the address bar shows the URL "https://collaborate.sandia.gov/sites/SEA/_layouts/ReportServer/RSViewerPage.aspx?rv:RelativeReportUrl=/sites/SEA/Re...". The main content area is titled "Title, Author, Geologic Media, and Year Published Document Search" and displays a table of 740 documents. The table has four columns: "Title (click on item for details)", "Year Published", "Author(s)", and "Geologic Media". The "Geologic Media" column is highlighted with an orange background. The sidebar on the right is titled "Parameters" and contains dropdown menus for "Select a Year or (All)" (showing "(All) - 740 Documents") and "Select a Geologic Media or (All)" (showing "(All) - 740 Documents"). It also has text input fields for "Enter a text string used to search for Authors or (All) for all authors" and "Enter a text string used to search for Titles or (All) for all Titles", both set to "(All)". The bottom right of the sidebar has an "Apply" button. The status bar at the bottom of the browser window shows "Local intranet | Protected Mode: Off" and "75%".

Figure 21. Screenshot of Title, Author, Date, and Type of Geologic Media Search Page

3.4.7 Text Search

Users can retrieve information from the SEA using a full-text search. This is a technique used to search the collection of documents by examining all of the words in every document as it attempts to match the search criteria entered by the user. To perform the full-text search, click on “Perform a “Free Text” Search” on the Document Center page and a form with text boxes will appear. To find all documents that contain all the words in a particular phrase, type the phrase into the “All of these words” text box and click on the “Search” button. To find all documents that contain an exact phrase, type that exact phrase into the “The exact phrase” text box and click on the “Search” button. To find all documents that contain any of the words in a particular phrase, type the phrase into the “Any of these words” text box and click on the “Search” button. To find all documents that do not contain a particular phrase, type the phrase into the “None of these words” text box and click on the “Search” button. To search only documents, check the “Search Documents” check box. To search both documents and webpages, make sure the “Search Documents” check box is not checked. This is shown in Figure 22.



Figure 22. Screenshot of Free Text Search Page

One limitation on performing this type of search is the quality of the document being searched. Full-text searches rely on image quality and character recognition. If the caliber of the document is such that the text is not clear or detailed enough, the search engine might fail to recognize the text entered by the user even though the text is present. Thus, the document would not be found.

3.4.8 Search by Decade of Publication

Documents can be searched by their decade of publication (e.g., 1970 through 1979). To search for a document by its decade of publication, click on “Search by Decade of Publication” on the Document Center page. A pie chart will appear, along with a table in the upper left-hand corner of the page, both of which give the number of documents published in a given decade (see Figure 23). To view all the documents published in a particular decade, click on the section of the pie chart corresponding to the decade of interest, or click on the decade of interest in the upper left-hand corner. The resulting list of documents can be sorted by title, date of publication, or source by clicking on the up and down triangles in the header row of the table.




Figure 23. Screenshot of Decade of Publication Search Page

3.5 Help Center

The Help Center contains a glossary of terms used in the SEA, answers to Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ), and an email contact for further questions or assistance. Each of these is accessed by clicking on the appropriate link.

3.6 External Links

There are several websites external to the SEA that may be of interest to users and that can provide the most recent information regarding siting of nuclear waste facilities; these websites are on the External Links tab on the home page. They are arranged by country or program (see Figure 24). Clicking on one of the links will open a website external to the SEA, unless the link has been changed by its owner. It should be noted that these links are beyond the control of the SEA administrators. Therefore, while the SEA administrators will attempt to keep the list current, there may be times when the links do not work. Furthermore, because these links are to sites not owned by SNL or the DOE, neither SNL nor DOE is responsible for the content of these websites.

SEA - Siting Experience Archive
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEA - Home BRC Info. I II Inv't Programs Document Ctr. Help Ctr. EXTERNAL LINKS ADMIN Search this site... [Q]

SEA - Home > ExternalLinks

External Links

Canada

- Canadian Nuclear Association website
- Canadian Nuclear Association Facebook page
- Canadian Nuclear Association Twitter page
- Canadian Nuclear Association YouTube site
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization website

Finland

- Posiva Oy website
- Selecting the Site: the Final Disposal at Olkiluoto

France

- ANDRA website
- French strategy for management of high-level waste

Japan

- NUMO Website
- NUMO Repository Site Selection Process

COWAM

- Community Waste Management
- COWAM Home Page
- COWAM 1
- COWAM 2
- COWAM In Practice

FSC

- Forum on Stakeholder Confidence
- FSC Home Page
- FSC Studies and Reports
- FSC Topical Sessions

Korea

- Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI) website
- KAERI Underground Research Tunnel

Sweden

- RIG website
- RIG Milestones
- RIG Publications (can search in English)

Switzerland

- Switzerland's National Cooperative for the Disposal of Radioactive Waste (Nagra)
- Nagra: Disposal – Where?

United Kingdom

- United Kingdom Nuclear Decommissioning Authority - HLW Management Solution
- United Kingdom Committee on Radioactive Waste Management

InSOTEC

- International Socio-Technical Challenges for Implementing Geological Disposal
- InSOTEC Home Page
- InSOTEC Project Activities
- InSOTEC Country Reports

Sandia National Laboratories **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

Figure 24. Screenshot of External Links Page

4. CONCLUSIONS

One of the recommendations made by the BRC was that the DOE establish a database of the experience that has been gained and relevant documentation that has been produced in efforts to site nuclear waste facilities, both in the U.S. and abroad [BRC, 2012]. The SEA implements this recommendation. The goal of the SEA is to provide information regarding past experiences in siting waste management facilities to ensure that future siting efforts can be informed by these past experiences.

The SEA includes over 700 documents that discuss both nuclear waste storage and nuclear waste disposal siting efforts, both in the U.S and abroad, as well as efforts in the U.S. to site an advanced fuel cycle. The SEA resides on the ECN at SNL. The website provides some background information regarding the BRC, its report to DOE, and DOE's response to that report, as well as summary information about eight different siting experiences and recommended reading for each of the eight siting experiences. Four different European collaborative programs are highlighted, once again with recommended reading about each of the programs. Users can search for documents using several different types of structured searches (country, source, site, type of facility, author, date of publication, decade of publication, and type of geologic media), and free text searches can be performed as well. Links to external websites are also provided.

References

BRC, 2012. Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future, [Report to the Secretary of Energy](#),
United States Department of Energy, January 2012.

Appendix A

Documents Currently in the Siting Experience Archive

The following table lists all the documents currently in the SEA. This table was created from a SEA database report and thus reflects the abbreviations, word spellings, etc. that are used in the SEA database.

Title (click on item for details)	Year Published	Source Organization	Country
<u>10 CFR Part 60 Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories Technical Criteria Final Rule</u>	1983	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>2009 SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT REPORT managing today to prepare for tomorrow</u>	2009	ANDRA (France)	France
<u>A Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility: Technical Background Information</u>	1991	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>A Mountain of Trouble: A Nation at Risk Report on the Impacts of the Proposed Yucca Mountain High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository (submitted to Spencer Abraham, Secretary of Energy)</u>	2002	State of Nevada	USA
<u>A Multiattribute Utility Analysis of Sites Nominated for Characterization for the First Radioactive-Waste Repository: A Decision Aiding Methodology, Part 1</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>A Multiattribute Utility Analysis of Sites Nominated for Characterization for the First Radioactive-Waste Repository: A Decision Aiding Methodology, Part 2</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>A Perspective on U.S. Nuclear Waste Policies for the Last Forty Years, submitted to the Blue Ribbon Commission</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>A Review of the Nuclear Waste Disposal Problem</u>	1979	Argonne National Laboratory	-None-
<u>A Small Town That Considered & Rejected Volunteering for an MRS Study</u>	1993	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Acceptance Review of the Topical Report Titled "Evidence of Extreme Erosion During the Quaternary Period" at Yucca Mountain, Nevada</u>	1993	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>Activities of WENRA-WGWD</u>	2010	WENRA-WGWD	-None-
<u>ACTUAL IMPLEMENTATION OF A SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL REPOSITORY IN SWEDEN: SEIZING OPPORTUNITIES Synthesis of the FSC National Workshop and Community Visit Östhammar, Sweden 4-6 May 2011</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Sweden
<u>Additional Media Studies for Site Suitability Criteria</u>	1978	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	USA
<u>Analysis of the MUA Decision Methodology for HLW Repository Siting: Preclosure Utilities (Proceedings of the WM 1989 Conference)</u>	1989	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>ANDRA: Everything you ever wanted to know about radioactive waste management</u>	2012	ANDRA (France)	France

<u>ANDRA: The French national radioactive waste management agency</u>	2010	ANDRA (France)	France
<u>Annex 1: ORDIMIP Case Study</u>	2011	COWAM	France
<u>Annex 3: An Historical and Prospective View of Romanian Decision Making in the Nuclear Domain</u>	2008	COWAM	Romania
<u>Annual Report 2010</u>	2011	Government of the Czech Republic	Czech Republic
<u>Annual Report 2010 on Radiation and Nuclear Safety in the Republic of Slovenia</u>	2010	Government of the Republic of Slovenia	Slovenia
<u>Annual Report 2011 NUCLEAR REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC</u>	2011	Government of the Slovak Republic	Slovak Republic
<u>ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC COMPILED ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT BRATISLAVA APRIL 2006</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovak Republic
<u>ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC COMPILED ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT BRATISLAVA APRIL 2009</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovak Republic
<u>ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC COMPILED ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT BRATISLAVA APRIL 2012</u>	2012	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovak Republic
<u>ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC COMPILED ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT BRATISLAVA OCTOBER 2003</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovak Republic
<u>Aspects of Governance in the Practical Implementation of the Concept of Reversibility for Deep Geological Disposal</u>	2009	COWAM	France
<u>ASSESSMENT OF THE GENERIC DISPOSAL SYSTEM SAFETY CASE CoRWM Position Paper</u>	2012	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>Attitudes towards radioactive waste in Switzerland</u>	2008	Government of Switzerland	Switzerland

<u>AUSTRIAN NATIONAL REPORT under the JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT May 2003</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Austria
<u>Balance of Power: Principles and Good Practices for Local Stakeholders to Influence National Decision-Making Processes DRAFT v6</u>	2006	COWAM	-None-
<u>Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future Report to the Secretary of Energy</u>	2012	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Bratrstvi repository</u>	2008	Government of the Czech Republic	Czech Republic
<u>Breaking the Logjam</u>	2009	New York University School of Law	USA
<u>Briefing on the Draft Area Recommendation Report</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Canadian National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Canada
<u>Canadian National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Second Report</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Canada
<u>Canadian National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Third Report</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Canada
<u>Case Histories of EA Documents for Nuclear Waste</u>	1985	Los Alamos National Laboratory	USA
<u>Characterization of Crystalline Rocks in the Lake Superior Region, USA: Implications for Nuclear Waste Isolation</u>	1984	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Choosing A Way Forward The Future Management of Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel Final Study</u>	2005	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Civilian Nuclear Spent Fuel Temporary Storage Options</u>	1998	Congressional Research Service	USA
<u>Civilian Nuclear Waste Disposal</u>	2011	Congressional Research Service	USA

<u>Closing the US Fuel Cycle: Siting Considerations for the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Facilities - Siting the Advanced Fuel Cycle Facility</u>	2008	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Closing Yucca Mountain: Litigation Associated with Attempts to Abandon the Planned Nuclear Waste Repository</u>	2011	Congressional Research Service	USA
<u>Comments by Lake Barrett, summary statement to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Comments on Discussion Document #1: Asking the Right Questions Comments by Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami</u>	2005	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Comments on Discussion Document #2: Understanding the Choices Comments by Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami</u>	2005	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Comments on the DOE Topical Report "Evaluation of the Potentially Adverse Condition 'Evidence of Extreme Erosion during the Quaternary Period' at Yucca Mountain, Nevada"</u>	1994	Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses	USA
<u>Comments- Performance Assessment (Probabilistic Risk Assessment) as a Tool in Focusing Scientific Evaluation of the WIPP site, presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Comments-Environmental Assessments</u>	1985	U.S. Department of Interior	USA
<u>Comments-Lessons learned from Site Evaluation Processes for WIPP and Yucca Mountain Sites, presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>COMMITTEE ON RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT EIGHTH ANNUAL REPORT 2011-12</u>	2012	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>COMMITTEE ON RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT SEVENTH ANNUAL REPORT 2010-11</u>	2011	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>Comparison of Approaches to Risk Governance</u>	2008	CARGO	-None-
<u>Consolidated Interim Storage of Commercial Spent Nuclear Fuel - A Technical and Programmatic Assessment (Discussion Paper)</u>	2007	American Physical Society	USA
<u>Consolidated Storage for Spent Nuclear Fuel from Decommissioned Commercial Sites, presentation to the BRC Subcommittee on Transportation and Storage</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA

<u>CONVENTION ON ACCESS TO INFORMATION, PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN DECISION-MAKING AND ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS</u>	1998	European Union	-None-
<u>Cooperative Research on the Governance of Radioactive Waste Management Final Synthesis Report</u>	2007	COWAM	-None-
<u>CORWM'S ADVICE TO GOVERNMENT ON OPTIONS FOR THE ACCELERATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF GEOLOGICAL DISPOSAL</u>	2012	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>COUNTRY REPORT – CZECH REPUBLIC NATIONAL REPORT ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	2008	Nuclear Energy Agency	Czech Republic
<u>COWAM 1 Group 2 Recommendations (Summary)</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>COWAM 1 Group Recommendations (in French)</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>COWAM 1 Recommendations of Group 6</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>COWAM 1 Recommendations of Group 8</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>COWAM Network: Nuclear waste management from a local perspective Reflections for a Better Governance Final Report</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>Critical Comments on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Standards 40 CFR 191</u>	1993	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Czech Republic National Report under the Joint Convention on Safety in Spent Fuel Management and Safety in Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Czech Republic
<u>Czech Republic National Report under the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Czech Republic
<u>Czech Republic National Report under the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Czech Republic
<u>Decision-making and Responsibilities within the Process of Providing Robust Interim Storage and the Implementation of Geological Disposal CoRWM Task 3.12</u>	2009	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom

<u>Dedicated-site, interim storage of high-level nuclear waste as part of the management system</u>	1980	National Academy of Science	USA
<u>Deep Geological Repository for radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel</u>	2011	Government of the Czech Republic	Czech Republic
<u>Defining an Affected Community</u>	2010	COWAM	-None-
<u>Demonstration and Dialogue: Mediation in Swedish Nuclear Waste Management</u>	2009	STOCKHOLM CENTRE FOR ORGANIZATIONAL RESEARCH	Sweden
<u>Department of Energy Plans for Developing a Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility</u>	1991	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Department of Energy Selects Recipients of GNEP Siting Grants</u>	2006	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Design and Evaluation of Public Hearings for Swedish Site Selection A Report from the RISCOM II Project</u>	2003	Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI)	Sweden
<u>Detailed programme for research and development 1999–2004 Background Report to RD&D-Programme 98</u>	1998	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Development of a High-Level Radioactive Waste Regulatory Structure, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Development of Repository Concepts for Volunteer Siting Environments</u>	2004	NUMO (Japan)	Japan
<u>Development of Site Suitability Criteria for the High Level Waste Repository for Lawrence Livermore Laboratories</u>	1977	Golder Associates	USA
<u>DIRECTIVES COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 2011/70/EURATOM of 19 July 2011</u>	2011	European Union	-None-
<u>DISCUSSIONS OF RECOMMENDATION GROUP 7 (COWAM 1)</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>Disposal and Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel-Finding the Right Balance- A Report to Congress and the Secretary of Energy</u>	1996	U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	USA
<u>Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Waste in a Proposed Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada Proposed Rule</u>	1999	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Waste in a Proposed Repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada Final Rule</u>	2001	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in a Proposed Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain, NV Final Rule</u>	2001	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA

<u>DOE Announces Three Potential Sites for Proposed Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility</u>	1985	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>DOE Seeks Public-Private Sector Expressions of Interest for Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Initiative</u>	2006	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>DOE Sends Proposal To Congress For Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility in Tennessee</u>	1987	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation for the Crystalline Repository Project, Overview</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project Volume 2, Part 1:Plates</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project, Volume 1 Part 1</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project, Volume 1 Part 2</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project, Volume 1 Part 3</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project, Volume 2, Part 2:Plates</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project, Volume 2, Part 3:Plates</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project, Volume 2, Part 4:Plates</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project, Volume 2, Part 5:Plates</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Area Recommendation Report for the Crystalline Repository Project, Volume 2, Part 6:Plates</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Evaluation of Area Studies of the U.S. Gulf Coast Salt Dome Basins Draft Technical Report (part 1)</u>	1981	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Draft Evaluation of Study Areas of the U.S. Gulf Coast Salt Dome Basins (part 2)</u>	1981	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Draft Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement - Summary</u>	2008	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Draft Proceedings of the National Waste Terminal Storage Program Information Meeting</u>	1979	Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation	USA
<u>Dukovany Repository</u>	2009	Government of the Czech Republic	Czech Republic

<u>Earning Public Trust and Confidence: Requisites for Managing Radioactive Waste - Final Report of the Secretary of Energy Advisory Board Task Force on Radioactive Waste Management</u>	1993	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>EMERGENCE OF COLLECTIVE ACTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL NETWORKING IN RELATION TO RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	1985	Argonne National Laboratory	USA
<u>Emergence of interest groups on hazardous waste siting: how do they form and survive?</u>	1985	Argonne National Laboratory	USA
<u>End of FY10 Report - Used Fuel Disposition Technical Bases and Lessons Learned- Legal and Regulatory Framework for the High-Level Waste Disposition in the United States</u>	2010	Sandia National Laboratories	USA
<u>Enhancing Credibility of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Policy, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Enhancing the Role of State and Local Governments in America's Nuclear Future: An Idea Whose Time Has Come (prepared for the Blue Ribbon Commission)</u>	2011	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Davis Canyon Site, Utah Volume 1</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Davis Canyon Site, Utah Volume 2</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Davis Canyon Site, Utah Volume 3</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Deaf Smith County Site, Texas Volume 1</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Deaf Smith County Site, Texas Volume 3</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Deaf Smith County Site, Texas, Volume 2</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Overview Davis Canyon Site, Utah</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Overview Deaf Smith County Site, Texas</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Overview Reference Repository Location, Hanford Site, Washington</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Overview Richton Dome Site, Mississippi</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA

<u>Environmental Assessment Reference Repository Location Hanford Site, Washington Volume 1</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Reference Repository Location Hanford Site, Washington Volume 2</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Reference Repository Location, Hanford Site, Washington Volume 3, Part 1</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Reference Repository Location, Hanford Site, Washington Volume 3, Part 2</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Reference Repository Location, Hanford Site, Washington Volume 3, Part 4</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Reference Repository Location, Hanford Site, Washington Volume 3, Part 5</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Reference Repository Location, Hanford Site, Washington, Volume 3, Part 3</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Richton Dome Site, Mississippi Volume 1</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Richton Dome Site, Mississippi Volume 2</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Richton Dome Site, Mississippi Volume 3</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada Research and Development Area, Nevada, Part 1</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada Research and Development Area, Nevada, Part 2</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada Research and Development Area, Nevada, Part 3</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada Research and Development Area, Nevada, Part 4</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada Research and Development Area, Nevada, Part 5</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada Research And Development Area, Nevada, Part 6</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Assessment Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada Research and Development Area, Nevada, Part 7</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Environmental Impact Statement- Management of Commercially Generated Radioactive Waste, Volume 2</u>	1980	U.S. Department of Energy	USA

<u>Environmental Permitting Guidance Radioactive Substances Regulation For the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010</u>	2011	Government of the United Kingdom	United Kingdom
<u>Environmental Statement Radioactive Waste Repository Lyons, Kansas</u>	1971	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission	USA
<u>Environmental Views on the Geologic Disposal of Nuclear Materials, presentation to the International Conference on Geologic Repositories</u>	1999	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>EPA Geologic Disposal Standards, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>EPA's Final Health and Safety Standard for Yucca Mountain, CRS Report to Congress</u>	2008	Congressional Research Service	USA
<u>EPRI Review of Geologic Disposal for Used Fuel and High Level Radioactive Waste Volume I—The U.S. Site Selection Process Prior to the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act</u>	2010	Electric Power Research Institute	USA
<u>EPRI Review of Geologic Disposal for Used Fuel and High Level Radioactive Waste Volume III—Review of National Repository Programs</u>	2010	Electric Power Research Institute	USA
<u>EPRI Review of Geologic Disposal for Used Fuel and High Level Radioactive Waste Volume IV—Lessons Learned</u>	2010	Electric Power Research Institute	USA
<u>EPRI Review of Geologic Disposal for Used Fuel and High Level Radioactive Waste Volume II - U.S. Regulations for Geologic Disposal</u>	2010	Electric Power Research Institute	USA
<u>Essential Elements of a State Technical Review and Lessons Unlearned on RAWD, presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Estudio Sociológico Sobre La A.M.A.C. Y El Hecho Nuclear En España (In Spanish)</u>	2007	COWAM	Spain
<u>EUROPEAN ATOMIC ENERGY COMMUNITY REPORT on implementation of the obligations under the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Second Review Meeting of the Contracting Parties</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	-None-
<u>European-level Guidelines for the Inclusive Governance of Radioactive Waste Management Ensure that local communities are not simply 'affected' but are influential and sustainable</u>	2010	COWAM	-None-
<u>Evaluating Site Suitability for a HLW Repository</u>	2004	NUMO (Japan)	Japan
<u>Evaluation of Potential Economic Impacts of 40CFR Part 197: Public Health and Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain, Nevada</u>	2001	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	USA

<u>EVALUATION OF THE PROPOSED WIPP SITE IN SOUTHEAST NEW MEXICO</u>	1979	Sandia National Laboratories	USA
<u>Evaluation of Three Sites for the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership</u>	2008	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Evaluation, testing, and application of Participatory Approaches in the Czech Republic - Guidelines on Approaches to Siting a Deep Repository</u>	2009	European Union	Czech Republic
<u>Executive Summary Environmental Impact Statement Waste Isolation Pilot Plant</u>	1980	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Executive Summary Review of Potential Host Rocks for Radioactive Waste Disposal in the Southeastern United States</u>	1980	Dupont	USA
<u>Expansion of the Repository for Spent Nuclear Fuel Environmental Impact Assessment Report</u>	2008	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>Experience Gained From Programs to Manage High-Level Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel in the United States and Other Countries - A Report to Congress and the Secretary of Energy</u>	2011	U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	-None-
<u>Expert Judgement in Performance Assessment</u>	2000	Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI)	Sweden
<u>Exploration of Crystalline Rocks for Nuclear Waste Repositories: Some Strategies for Area Characterization</u>	1986	U. S. Geological Survey	USA
<u>Factors Affecting Public and Political Acceptance for the Implementation of Geological Disposal</u>	2007	International Atomic Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Facts And Issues of Direct Disposal of Spent Fuel</u>	1993	Westinghouse Savannah River Company	USA
<u>Feasibility of Using Geoscientific Criteria for Early Screening of Large Geographic Areas That Would Be Unsuitable for Safely Hosting a Deep Geologic Repository</u>	2009	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Fighting nuclear waste at Skull Valley (Native American Forum on Nuclear Issues, Paper 5)</u>	2008	University of Nevada, Las Vegas	USA
<u>Final Detailed Siting Report Eddy-Lea Siting Report</u>	2007	Eddy-Lea Energy Alliance, LLC	USA
<u>Final disposal of spent nuclear fuel in Finnish bedrock - Hastholmen site report</u>	1999	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>Final Disposal of Spent Nuclear fuel in Finnish Bedrock - Kivettv Site Report</u>	1999	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>Final Disposal of Spent Nuclear fuel in Finnish Bedrock - Olkiluoto Site Report</u>	1999	Posiva Oy	Finland

<u>Final Disposal of Spent Nuclear fuel in Finnish Bedrock - Romuvaara Site Report</u>	1999	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>Final disposal of spent nuclear fuel in Olkiluoto</u>	2011	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>Final Environmental Impact Statement Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Volume 1 of 2</u>	1980	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Final Environmental Impact Statement Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Volume 2 of 2</u>	1980	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Final Environmental Impact Statement-Management of Commercially Generated Radioactive Waste, Volume 1</u>	1980	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Final Report Energy Park on the Savannah River Site, Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Siting Study</u>	2007	The Central Savannah River Area Community Team	USA
<u>FINAL REPORT ON GEOLOGICAL STUDIES PERTINENT TO SITE SUITABILITY CRITERIA FOR HIGH LEVEL WASTE REPOSITORIES</u>	1977	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	USA
<u>Financial Assistance Funding Opportunity Announcement - Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP) Siting Studies</u>	2006	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>First Meeting of the National Research Council Committee for Yucca Mountain Peer Review: Surface Characteristics, Preclosure Hydrology, and Erosion (Trip Report, submitted to the U.S. NRC)</u>	1995	Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses	USA
<u>FOREIGN PROGRAMS FOR THE STORAGE OF SPENT NUCLEAR POWER PLANT FUELS, HIGH-LEVEL WASTE CANISTERS AND TRANSURANIC WASTES</u>	1984	Battelle Memorial Institute	-None-
<u>Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (FSC) 10-year Record of Learning Factual List of Activities and Investigated Topics, and of People Who Contributed to Them</u>	2010	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (FSC) 2nd FSC WORKSHOP - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE Stakeholder Involvement and Confidence in the Process of Decision-making for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel in Finland</u>	2002	Nuclear Energy Agency	Finland
<u>Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (FSC) Belgian Workshop (November 2003) - Executive Summary and International Perspective</u>	2003	Nuclear Energy Agency	Belgium
<u>Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (FSC) Canadian Site Visit and Workshop - Summary and International Perspective</u>	2003	Nuclear Energy Agency	Canada
<u>Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (FSC) Coordination of Decision Making in Spain The "COWAM SPAIN" Initiative and the Current Project Under Consideration for a National Interim Storage Facility for Spent Fuel and High Level Waste</u>	2006	Nuclear Energy Agency	Spain

<u>Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (FSC) DISPOSAL OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE: THE FORMING OF A NEW APPROACH IN GERMANY Summary and international perspective FSC Community Visit and National Workshop Hitzacker, Hamburg, 5-8 October 2004</u>	2005	Nuclear Energy Agency	Germany
<u>Fostering a Durable Relationship Between a Waste Management Facility and its Host Community Adding Value Through Design and Process</u>	2007	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Four Pillars of Support for a Fast-Globalizing Nuclear Industry</u>	2012	World Nuclear Association	-None-
<u>Fourth Slovenian Report under the JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovenia
<u>France Fourth National Report on Compliance with the Joint Convention Obligations Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	France
<u>France Third National Report on compliance with the Joint Convention Obligations Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	France
<u>French Prospective Case Study</u>	2010	COWAM	France
<u>From Information and Consultation to Citizen Influence and Power Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (Pamphlet)</u>	2010	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>From Risk Analysis to the Safety Case. Values in Risk Assessments A Report Based on Interviews with Experts in the Nuclear Waste Programs in Sweden and Finland</u>	2004	Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI)	Sweden
<u>FUNDING ISSUES</u>	2008	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>General Guidelines for the Recommendation of Sites for Nuclear Waste Repositories - Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (DOCKET NO. RW-RM-96-100) (letter from U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to U.S. Department of Energy providing comments)</u>	1997	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>General Guidelines for the Recommendation of Sites for Nuclear Waste Repositories - Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Reopening Public Comment Period (62 FR 13355) and Hearing Transcripts January 23, 1997</u>	1997	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>General Guidelines for the Recommendation of Sites for Nuclear Waste Repositories- Notice of proposed rulemaking and public hearing</u>	1996	U.S. Department of Energy	USA

<u>General Guidelines for the Recommendation of Sites for Nuclear Waste Repositories; Yucca Mountain Site Suitability Guidelines</u>	2001	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>GENERAL IDEAS FOR FOLLOW-UP WORK THAT HAVE BEEN INSPIRED BY DISCUSSIONS WITHIN COWAM RECOMMENDATION GROUP 3</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>Geologic and Hydrologic Characterization and Evaluation of the Basin and Range Province Relative to the Disposal of High-level Radioactive Waste Part II Geologic and Hydrologic Characterization</u>	1985	U. S. Geological Survey	USA
<u>Geologic and Hydrologic Characterization and Evaluation of the Basin and Range Province Relative to the Disposal of High-level Radioactive Waste Part III Geologic and Hydrologic Evaluation</u>	1985	U. S. Geological Survey	USA
<u>Geologic and Hydrologic Characterization and Evaluation of the Basin and Range Province Relative to the Disposal of High-level Radioactive Waste, Part 1 Introduction and Guidelines</u>	1984	U. S. Geological Survey	USA
<u>Geologic Considerations in Siting a Repository for Underground Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Waste</u>	1987	Government of Canada	Canada
<u>Geologic Disposal Elements of Technically Credible, Workable, and Publicly Acceptable Regulations, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Geologic Disposal of Nuclear Waste 19th Annual Symposium SESSION I INTRODUCTORY SESSION II SEABED DISPOSAL SESSION III DISPOSAL IN ROCK SESSION IV DISPOSAL IN SALT</u>	1979	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Geologic Disposal of Radioactive Waste</u>	1983	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	-None-
<u>Geologic Factors in Nuclear Waste Disposal</u>	1978	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	USA
<u>Geologic Repositories (presentation for a short course, Introduction to Nuclear Chemistry and Fuel Cycle Separation at the Nevada Site Office, July 19-21, 2011)</u>	2011	Vanderbilt University	-None-
<u>Geological Challenges in Radioactive Waste Isolation Third Worldwide Review</u>	2001	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	-None-
<u>Geological Challenges in Radioactive Waste Isolation Fourth Worldwide Review</u>	2006	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	-None-
<u>GEOLOGICAL DISPOSAL OF HIGHER ACTIVITY RADIOACTIVE WASTES</u>	2009	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>Geological Disposal of Radioactive Waste in Japan</u>	2008	NUMO (Japan)	Japan

<u>Geological Problems in Radioactive Waste Isolation</u> <u>Second Worldwide Review Part 1</u>	1996	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	-None-
<u>Geological Problems in Radioactive Waste Isolation</u> <u>Second Worldwide Review Part 2</u>	1996	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	-None-
<u>Geological Problems in Radioactive Waste Isolation</u> <u>Second Worldwide Review Part 3</u>	1996	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	-None-
<u>Geological Problems in Radioactive Waste Isolation A</u> <u>WORLD WIDE REVIEW Workshop W3B, 28th</u> <u>International Geological Congress</u>	1989	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	-None-
<u>Geosphere Performance Indices: Comparative measures</u> <u>for site selection and safety assessment of deep waste</u> <u>repositories</u>	1999	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Press Release</u>	2006	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Global Nuclear Energy Partnership, presentation to the</u> <u>2008 ECA Annual Conference</u>	2008	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Global Nuclear Energy Partnership: Yucca Mountain's</u> <u>Savior or Savannah River's Foe?</u>	2008	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>GNEP Siting Process (Press Release)</u>	2006	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Grants Awarded</u>	1993	Office of the Nuclear Waste Negotiator	USA
<u>GREEK NATIONAL REPORT under the JOINT</u> <u>CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL AND</u> <u>ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE</u> <u>MANAGEMENT 2005</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Greece
<u>Guidance on the selection of PTA tools for stakeholders</u> <u>involved in radioactive waste governance Final Report</u> <u>COWAM2 Deliverable WP1/PTA-2</u>	2006	COWAM	-None-
<u>Guideline for Swiss Nuclear Installations Specific design</u> <u>principles for deep geological repositories and</u> <u>requirements for the safety case</u>	2009	Government of Switzerland	Switzerland
<u>H12: Project to Establish the Scientific and Technical</u> <u>Basis for HLW Disposal in Japan Project Overview</u> <u>Report</u>	2000	Japan Nuclear Cycle Development Institute	Japan
<u>H12: Project to Establish the Scientific and Technical</u> <u>Basis for HLW Disposal in Japan Supplementary Report</u> <u>Background of Geologic Disposal</u>	2000	Japan Nuclear Cycle Development Institute	Japan
<u>Handling and final disposal of nuclear waste Alternative</u> <u>disposal methods</u>	1986	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden

<u>Handling and final disposal of nuclear waste Hard Rock Laboratory</u>	1989	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Handling and final disposal of nuclear waste Siting of a deep repository</u>	1992	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Handling and final disposal of nuclear waste. Programme for research development and other measures. 1986</u>	1986	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Handling and final disposal of nuclear waste. Programme for research development and other measures. 1989</u>	1989	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Helping a Community Control its Future: Potential Negotiating Packages and Benefits for an MRS Host</u>	1993	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>High-Level Nuclear Waste Disposal: Policy and Prognosis</u>	1992	Industrial College of the Armed Forces	USA
<u>Historical Relationship Between Performance Assessment for Radioactive Waste Disposal and Other Types of Risk Assessment in the United States</u>	1999	Sandia National Laboratories	USA
<u>History of Repository Regulations, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>History, Structure and Institutional Overview of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982</u>	1986	The Rand Corporation	USA
<u>How to Shape a Successful Repository Program: Staged Development of Geologic Repositories for High-Level Waste</u>	2004	U.S. Department of Energy	-None-
<u>Identification of Sites Within the Palo Duro Basin: Volume 1-Palo Duro Location A</u>	1984	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Identification of Sites Within the Palo Duro Basin: Volume 2-Palo Duro Location B</u>	1984	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Identification of Sites Within the Palo Duro Basin: Volume 3-Responses to Comments</u>	1984	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Belgium</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Belgium
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Canada</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Canada
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Czech Republic</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Czech Republic
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Finland</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Finland

<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: France</u>	2012	InSOTEC	France
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Germany</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Germany
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Hungary</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Hungary
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Slovenia</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Slovenia
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Spain</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Spain
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Sweden</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Sweden
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: Switzerland</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Switzerland
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: the Netherlands</u>	2012	InSOTEC	Netherlands
<u>Identifying remaining socio-technical challenges at the national level: USA</u>	2012	InSOTEC	USA
<u>Illuminating the Decision Path: The Yucca Mountain Site Recommendation (Proceedings of the WM 2003 Conference)</u>	2003	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Implementation of a Dose standard After 10,000 years Final Rule</u>	2009	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RESOURCE DISINCENTIVE IN 40 CFR Part 191.14(e) AT THE WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT</u>	1993	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Implementation Plan for Deployment of Federal Interim Storage Facilities for Commercial Spent Nuclear Fuel</u>	1989	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Incentives and the Siting of Radioactive Waste Facilities</u>	1982	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	USA
<u>Industry Perspective on Options for Integrated Used Fuel Management, INMM Spent Fuel Seminar</u>	2012	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Influence of Local Actors on National Decision-Making Processes Final Report</u>	2007	COWAM	-None-
<u>Influence of Local Communities on Decision Processes: Experience of Copeland and Shetland Islands</u>	2007	COWAM	United Kingdom
<u>Initial Screening for Siting A Deep Geologic Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel Municipality of Brockton,</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management	Canada

<u>Ontario</u>		Organization (Canada)	
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL English River First Nation, Saskatchewan</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL Northern Village of Pinehouse, Saskatchewan</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL The Corporation of the Township of Ignace, Ontario</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL Township of Creighton, Saskatchewan</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL Township of Ear Falls, Ontario</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL Township of Hornepayne, Ontario</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL Township of Nipigon, Ontario</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL Township of Red Rock, Ontario</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL Township of Schreiber, Ontario</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL Township of White River, Ontario</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Initial Screening for Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel City of Elliot Lake, Ontario</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Initial Screening for Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel Municipality of Wawa, Ontario</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL The Corporation of the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL The Corporation of the Municipality of</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada

<u>South Bruce</u>			
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL The Corporation of the Town of Saugeen Shores</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>INITIAL SCREENING FOR SITING A DEEP GEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR CANADA'S USED NUCLEAR FUEL The Corporation of the Township of Huron-Kinloss</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Initial Screening for Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel Town of Blind River, Ontario</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Initial Screening for Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel Town of Spanish, Ontario</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Initial Screening for Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel Township of Manitouwadge, Ontario</u>	2013	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Initial Screening for Siting a Deep Geological Repository for Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel Township of The North Shore, Ontario</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Institutional Issues in the Planning of a Program to Dispose of High-Level Radioactive Wastes (A Rand Note prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy)</u>	1981	The Rand Corporation	USA
<u>Integrated account of method, site selection and programme prior to the site investigation phase</u>	2000	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>INTERIM STORAGE OF HIGHER ACTIVITY WASTES AND THE MANAGEMENT OF SPENT FUELS, PLUTONIUM AND URANIUM</u>	2009	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>Interim Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel A Safe, Flexible, and Cost-Effective Near-Term Approach to Spent Fuel Management</u>	2001	Harvard University/University of Tokyo	USA
<u>Interim Summary Report of the Safety Case 2009</u>	2010	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT NATIONAL REPORT OF BRAZIL FOR THE 4th REVIEW MEETING 2011</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Brazil
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Second National Report of Lithuania</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Lithuania

<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Third National Report of Lithuania</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Lithuania
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	1997	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	-None-
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management 3rd Finnish National Report</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Finland
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT 2nd Finnish National Report</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Finland
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management 3rd Finnish National Report</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Finland
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management 4th Finnish National Report</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Finland
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Answers to Questions Posted by the Contracting Parties on the Argentina First National Report</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Argentina
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Answers to Questions Posted by the Contracting Parties on the Argentina Second National Report</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Argentina
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Australian National Report 2003</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Australia
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Australian National Report 2005</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Australia
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel	-None-

<u>Management First Review Meeting of the Contracting Parties 3 to 14 November 2003 Vienna, Austria SUMMARY REPORT</u>		Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management First Spanish National Report</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Spain
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT FOURTH NATIONAL REPORT Argentina</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Argentina
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Fourth National Report of Switzerland</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Switzerland
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Fourth Review Meeting of the Contracting Parties 14 to 23 May 2012, Vienna, Austria FINAL SUMMARY REPORT</u>	2012	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	-None-
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Fourth Spanish National Report</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Spain
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT NATIONAL REPORT ARGENTINA 2003</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Argentina
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report for Ireland</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Ireland
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report for Uruguay</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Uruguay
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from Denmark</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Denmark
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from Denmark First Review Meeting, 3 – 14 November 2003</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste	Denmark

		Mgmt.	
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from Denmark Third Review Meeting, 11 - 22 May 2009</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Denmark
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from Estonia Second Review Meeting</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Estonia
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from Estonia Third Review Meeting</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Estonia
<u>Joint Convention on The Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National report from Iceland to the 2nd review meeting</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Iceland
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from Norway, fourth review meeting</u>	2012	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Norway
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from the Commonwealth of Australia 2008</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Australia
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report of Japan for the Fourth Review Meeting</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Japan
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report of Japan for the third Review Meeting</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Japan
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report of Japan for the third Review Meeting</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Japan
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report of the Commonwealth of Australia 2011</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Australia

<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT National Report of the Kingdom of the Netherlands First review conference</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Netherlands
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT National Report of the Kingdom of the Netherlands Fourth review conference</u>	2012	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Netherlands
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Report of the Federal Republic of Germany for the Fourth Review Meeting</u>	2012	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Germany
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the safety of Radioactive Waste Management Report under the Joint Convention by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany for the second review meeting</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Germany
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the safety of Radioactive Waste Management Report under the Joint Convention by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany for the third review meeting</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Germany
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT SECOND NATIONAL REPORT</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Argentina
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Second Review Meeting of the Contracting Parties 15 to 24 May 2006, Vienna, Austria SUMMARY REPORT</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	-None-
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Second Spanish National Report</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Spain
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management The National Report of Japan for the third Review Meeting</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Japan
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland NATIONAL REPORT PRESENTATION For the Second Review Meeting</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	United Kingdom

<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT THIRD NATIONAL REPORT</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Argentina
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Third Review Meeting of the Contracting Parties 11 to 20 May 2009, Vienna, Austria SUMMARY REPORT</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	-None-
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management. NATIONAL REPORT BY IRELAND</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Ireland
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management. NATIONAL REPORT BY IRELAND 2008</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Ireland
<u>Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management. NATIONAL REPORT BY IRELAND 2011</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Ireland
<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland NATIONAL REPORT PRESENTATION For the First Review Meeting</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	United Kingdom
<u>Joint Convention Questions Posted To Argentina in 2009</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Argentina
<u>Joint Resolution (Approving the site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada for the development of a repository)</u>	2002	U. S. Congress	USA
<u>Jonathan Edwards Summary Statement, BRC Disposal Subcommittee Meeting</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Key Issues Associated with Interim Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel</u>	2010	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	USA
<u>Kingdom of Belgium Fourth meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2012	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Belgium
<u>Kingdom of Belgium Second meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel	Belgium

<u>Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>		Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	
<u>Korean second national report under the Joint Convention on the safety of spent fuel management and on the safety of radioactive waste management</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Korea
<u>Korean Third National Report under the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Korea
<u>LEARNING AND ADAPTING TO SOCIETAL REQUIREMENTS</u>	2004	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Learning More Together Annual Report 2011</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Lessons Learned from Site Evaluation Processes for WIPP and Yucca Mountain sites, presented to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Lessons Learned from US Nuclear Waste Repository Programs, presented to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Lessons Learned from Yucca Mountain: How to Improve the Process in the United States for Developing Facilities for Geologic Disposal of Used Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste, submitted to the Blue Ribbon Commission</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Lessons Learned in the UK for Deep Geological Disposal Site Selection (presentation to the NWTRB)</u>	2010	U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	United Kingdom
<u>Letter - The Department of Energy Does Not Plan to Use an Abandoned Salt Mine at Lyons, Kansas, for Nuclear High-Level Waste Disposal (EMD-82-64)</u>	1982	U.S. General Accounting Office	USA
<u>Letter from Detlof Von Winterfeldt to Ben Rusche providing comments on the MUA</u>	1986	-None-	USA
<u>Letter from Nuclear Watch New Mexico to Timothy Frazier, U.S. Department of Energy (Scoping comments on the GNEP Programmatic EIS)</u>	2007	Nuclear Watch New Mexico	USA
<u>Letter from R. Milner, U.S. DOE to J. Holonich, U.S. NRC responding to U.S. NRC staff comments on the Topical Report, "Evaluation of the Potentially Adverse Condition 'Evidence of Extreme Erosion during the Quaternary Period' at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.</u>	1995	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Letter from Wyoming Governor Mike Sullivan to the Fremont County Commissioners halting the MRS project</u>	1992	State of Wyoming	USA

<u>Letter to U.S. NRC from Private Fuel Storage re: Private Fuel Storage Facility Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Application for 10 C.F.R Part 72 License</u>	1997	Private Fuel Storage L.L.C.	USA
<u>Local independent expertise as a mechanism of influence on national policy processes: the independent assessment of ANDRA's research programme led by the IEER on request of the CLIS of Bure.</u>	2005	COWAM	-None-
<u>Local Liaison Committees and National Association of Local Liaison Committees: the French experience</u>	2009	COWAM	France
<u>Locating a Repository in the Ring of Fire</u>	2004	EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union	Japan
<u>Location Performance Objections for the NNWSI Area-to-Location Screening Activity</u>	1984	Sandia National Laboratories	USA
<u>LONG TERM GOVERNANCE FOR RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT ANNEX OF THE FINAL REPORT OF COWAM2 - WORK PACKAGE 4</u>	2006	COWAM	-None-
<u>Long term governance for radioactive waste management FINAL REPORT OF COWAM2 - WORK PACKAGE 4</u>	2006	COWAM	-None-
<u>LONG-TERM ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEILLANCE AND HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT</u>	2009	COWAM	-None-
<u>MAKING CONSULTATION AND CONCURRENCE WORK</u>	1980	Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation	USA
<u>Making nuclear waste governable deep underground disposal and the challenge of reversibility</u>	2010	ANDRA (France)	France
<u>Making the decision-making basis for nuclear waste management transparent Summary of a pre-study report</u>	2007	Karita Research	Sweden
<u>Management of Uncertainty in Safety Cases and the Role of Risk - Workshop Proceedings</u>	2004	Nuclear Energy Agency	Sweden
<u>Managing Our Nuclear Waste Safely CoRWM's recommendations to Government</u>	2006	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>Managing the Nation's Commercial High Level Radioactive Waste</u>	1985	U.S. General Accounting Office	USA
<u>Map showing Locations of U.S. Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations</u>	2010	Union of Concerned Scientists	USA
<u>Measures of Geologic Isolation, submitted to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee Meeting, September 1, 2012</u>	2004	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA

<u>Mechanisms for Local Influence on National Decision Making Processes in Radioactive Waste Management Working Document for WP2</u>	2005	COWAM	-None-
<u>Mission and Concept of the Nuclear Waste Negotiator</u>	1991	-None-	USA
<u>Mission of JAEA</u>	2009	Government of Japan	Japan
<u>Moving Forward Together Triennial Report 2008 to 2010</u>	2011	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Moving Forward Together: Canada's Plan for the Long-Term Management of Used Nuclear Fuel - Suspension of Expressions of Interest</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Moving Forward Together: Process for Selecting a Site for Canada's Deep Geological Repository for Used Nuclear Fuel</u>	2010	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>MRS Feasibility Grant Technical Progress Report</u>	1993	San Juan County, Utah	USA
<u>MRS Role in Reducing Technical Uncertainties in Geologic Disposal</u>	1990	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	USA
<u>MRS/IS Facility Co-Located with a Repository: Preconceptual Design and Life Cycle Cost Estimates</u>	1982	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Multiattribute Utility Analysis as a Framework for Public Participation-Siting a Hazardous Waste Facility</u>	1996	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>NATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR MANAGEMENT AND REGULATION OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE AND DECOMMISSIONING -JAPAN</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Japan
<u>National Insights WP 5 Final Report</u>	2007	COWAM	-None-
<u>National Plan for Siting High-Level Radioactive Waste Repositories and Environmental Assessment Public Draft</u>	1982	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>National Radioactive Waste Management Act 2012</u>	2012	Government of Australia	Australia
<u>National Report 2005 Morocco</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Morocco
<u>NATIONAL REPORT FOR THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT 2003</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Korea

<u>National Report of Brazil for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Brazil
<u>NATIONAL REPORT OF POLAND ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT Polish 3rd national report</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Poland
<u>NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC COMPILED IN TERMS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT 2005</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovak Republic
<u>NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC COMPILED IN TERMS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT APRIL 2003</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovak Republic
<u>NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC COMPILED IN TERMS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT June 2008</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovak Republic
<u>NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC COMPILED IN TERMS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADWASTE MANAGEMENT August 2011</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovak Republic
<u>National Report On the implementation of the obligations under the Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management 4th Review meeting of the Contracting Parties Republic of Latvia</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Latvia
<u>National Report on the measures taken by Luxembourg to fulfill the obligations laid down in the: "Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management"</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Luxembourg
<u>National Report on the measures taken by Luxembourg to fulfill the obligations laid down in the: "Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management" 2009</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Luxembourg
<u>National Waste Terminal Storage Program Management and Technical Program Plan for the Period FY 1976 through FY 1978</u>	1976	Office of Waste Isolation	USA
<u>Notice of Availability of Draft Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement</u>	2008	U.S. Department of Energy	USA

<u>Notice of Cancellation of the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP) Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS)</u>	2009	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>NRC COMMENTS ON DOE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE DAVIS CANYON SITE</u>	1985	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>NRC COMMENTS ON DOE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE DEAF SMITH COUNTY SITE</u>	1985	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>NRC Comments on DOE Draft Environmental Assessment of the Hanford Site</u>	1985	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>NRC Staff Comments on DOE Early Site Suitability Evaluation (letter to B.J. Youngblood, NRC from D.W. Moeller, ACNW)</u>	1992	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>Nuclear Power Safety in Lithuania Annual Report 2011</u>	2011	Government of the Republic of Lithuania	Lithuania
<u>Nuclear Regulatory Legislation</u>	2011	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>Nuclear Waste and Native America: The MRS Siting Exercise</u>	1998	Journal of Risk: Health, Safety, and Environment	USA
<u>Nuclear Waste Disposal: Alternatives to Yucca Mountain</u>	2009	Congressional Research Service	USA
<u>Nuclear Waste Facility Siting and Local Opposition, report commissioned by the Blue Ribbon Commission</u>	2011	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>NUCLEAR WASTE MANAGEMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIATION: AN EXPLORATORY ANALYSIS</u>	1980	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Nuclear Waste Operation of Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility Is Unlikely by 1998</u>	1991	U.S. General Accounting Office	USA
<u>Nuclear Waste Policy Act, as Amended with Appropriations Acts Appended</u>	2004	U. S. Congress	USA
<u>Nuclear Waste Policy Act: How We Got Here, presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Nuclear Waste: Is There A Need for Federal Interim Storage? Report of the Monitored Retrievable Storage Review Commission</u>	1989	Monitored Retrievable Storage Review Commission	USA
<u>Nuclear-Waste Disposal in Geologic Repositories</u>	1982	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	-None-

<u>Nuke Waste 101: People, Politics, and Policies on a Critical Issue</u>	1993	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>OAK RIDGE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS: GEOLOGIC ISOLATION AND ACTINIDE PARTITIONING*</u>	1976	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Olkiluoto Site Description 2006</u>	2007	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>On Petitions for Review of Orders of the Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Energy, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (decision)</u>	2004	U. S. Court of Appeals	USA
<u>Operation, Decommissioning, and Interim Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel, La Crosse, presentation to the BRC Subcommittee on Transportation and Storage</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Operation, decommissioning, and the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel, Big Rock Point; presentation to the BRC Subcommittee on Transportation and Storage</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Operation, decommissioning, and the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel, Connecticut Yankee, presentation to the BRC Transportation and Storage Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Operation, Decommissioning, and the Interim Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel, Rancho Seco, presentation to the BRC Subcommittee on Transportation and Storage</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Operation, Decommissioning, and the Interim Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel, Yankee Rowe, presentation to the BRC Subcommittee on Transportation and Storage</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Options for Developing Public and Stakeholder Engagement for the Storage and Management of Spent Nuclear Fuel (SNF) and High Level Waste (HLW) in the United States</u>	2011	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Overview of ONWI's Salt Site Selection Program</u>	1983	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Overview of Radioactive Waste Management for Presentation at the 17th Annual ASME Symposium on Nuclear Waste</u>	1980	ASME	USA
<u>Owl Creek Energy Project: A Solution to the Spent Fuel Temporary Storage Issue</u>	1999	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Panel 1 - What are the essential elements of technically credible, workable, and publically acceptable regulations for disposal (in geologic repositories)?, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA

<u>Paradox Area Characterization Summary and Location Recommendation Report</u>	1982	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Part 191-Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Management and Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel, High-Level Waste and Transuranic Radioactive Wastes [50 FR 38084, Sept. 19, 1985. Redesignated and amended at 58 FR 66415, Dec. 20, 1993]</u>	2011	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	USA
<u>Part 60-Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Waste in Geologic Repositories</u>	2001	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>PART 960—GENERAL GUIDELINE FOR THE PRELIMINARY SCREENING OF POTENTIAL SITES FOR A NUCLEAR WASTE REPOSITORY SOURCE: 49 FR 47752, Dec. 6, 1984, unless otherwise noted.</u>	2010	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>PART 963—Yucca Mountain Site Suitability Guidelines</u> Source: 66 FR 57336, Nov. 14, 2001, unless otherwise noted.	2001	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Participación Institucional De Los Municipios En El Proceso De Toma De Decisiones Para El Emplazamiento De Un Almacenamiento De Residuos Radiactivos (In Spanish)</u>	2004	COWAM	Spain
<u>Partnering for Long-Term Management of Radioactive Waste - Evolution and Current Practice in Thirteen Countries</u>	2010	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Performance Assessment (Probabilistic Risk Assessment) as a Tool in Focusing Scientific Evaluation of the WIPP Site, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Performance Assessment of the Proposed Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility</u>	1986	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Performance Assessment, Participative Processes and Value Judgements Report from the First RISCOM II Workshop</u>	2001	Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI)	Sweden
<u>Permian Basin Location Recommendation Report</u>	1983	Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation	USA
<u>Petition by the State of Nevada to Institute Rulemaking to Amend 10 CFR Part 63-Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories at Yucca Mountain, Nevada</u>	2002	State of Nevada	USA
<u>POSITION PAPER ON PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT (Draft)</u>	2011	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>POSITION PAPER ON PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT (Final)</u>	2011	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom

<u>POSIVA Annual Report 2011</u>	2011	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>Possible Locations of Monitored Retrievable Storage from a Spent Fuel Transportation Perspective</u>	1994	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Possible strategies for geoscientific classification for high-level waste repository site selection</u>	1993	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Preliminary Site Requirements and Considerations for a Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility</u>	1991	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Presentation as part of the Panel on Regulation, BRC Disposal Subcommittee Meeting</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Principles and Good Practices for Local Actors to Influence National Decision-Making Processes DRAFT v2</u>	2005	COWAM	-None-
<u>PROGRAM PLAN FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BEDDED SALT PILOT PLANT</u>	1973	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	USA
<u>Proposed framework for decision-making processes</u>	2005	COWAM	-None-
<u>Prospective Case Study - Romania</u>	2009	COWAM	Romania
<u>Prospective Case Study - Slovenia</u>	2009	COWAM	Slovenia
<u>Prospective Case Study - Spain</u>	2009	COWAM	Spain
<u>Prospective Case Study – United-Kingdom</u>	2010	COWAM	United Kingdom
<u>Public and Stakeholders Engagement in the Decision Processes of the Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (CoRWM)</u>	2006	COWAM	United Kingdom
<u>PUBLIC CONCERNS AND CHOICES REGARDING NUCLEAR WASTE REPOSITORIES</u>	1981	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Public Health and Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain, Nevada (40 CFR 197) - Final Rule Response to Comments Document</u>	2001	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	USA
<u>Public Health and Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain, NV</u>	2001	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	USA
<u>Public Health and Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain, NV Final Rule</u>	2008	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	USA

<u>Public Health and Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain, NV Proposed Rule</u>	2005	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	USA
<u>Public Involvement in Radioactive Waste Management Decisions</u>	1994	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Public Involvement in Repository Site Selection for Nuclear Waste: Towards a More Dynamic View in Decision-Making Processes</u>	2006	Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich	Switzerland
<u>Public Meeting, Western New York Nuclear Service Center Options Study</u>	1978	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>PUBLIC MEETINGS ON NUCLEAR WASTE MANAGEMENT: THEIR FUNCTION AND ORGANIZATION</u>	1981	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>PUBLIC PERCEPTIONS OF INDUSTRIAL RISKS: THE CONTEXT OF PUBLIC ATTITUDES TOWARD RADIOACTIVE WASTE</u>	1981	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>PUBLIC POLICY ISSUES IN NUCLEAR WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	1978	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Public Values and Stakeholder Involvement - A New Framework for Performance Assessment</u>	2003	Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI)	Sweden
<u>Quality of Decision-making Processes Decision-making processes in radioactive waste governance - Insights and Recommendations</u>	2007	COWAM	-None-
<u>Questions and Answers about the Canadian National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2012	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Canada
<u>Questions and Answers about the Report under the Joint Convention by the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany for the third review meeting</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Germany
<u>Questions and Answers about the Canadian National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Second Report</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Canada
<u>Questions and Answers about the Canadian National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management Third Report</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Canada
<u>Questions and Answers on Czech Republic National Report under the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Czech Republic

<u>Questions and Answers on Czech Republic National Report under the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Czech Republic
<u>Questions and Answers on France Third National Report on compliance with the Joint Convention Obligations Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management September</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	France
<u>Questions and Answers on Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from Denmark</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Denmark
<u>Questions and Answers on Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from Denmark Third Review Meeting, 11 - 22 May 2009</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Denmark
<u>Questions and Answers on Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management National Report from the Commonwealth of Australia 2008</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Australia
<u>Questions and Answers on Kingdom of Belgium Second meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Belgium
<u>Questions and Answers on Second Slovenian Report under the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovenia
<u>Questions and Answers on the Fourth Slovenian Report under the JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovenia
<u>Questions and Answers on the First Report prepared in the framework of the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2002	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Hungary
<u>Questions and Answers on the Second Report prepared in the framework of the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Hungary
<u>Questions and Answers on the Third Report prepared in the framework of the Joint Convention on the Safety of</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel	Hungary

<u>Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>		Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	
<u>Questions and Answers on the Third Slovenian Report under the JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovenia
<u>Questions and Answers on United States of America Third National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	USA
<u>Radioactive materials and waste Planning Act of 28 June 2006</u>	2006	ANDRA (France)	France
<u>Radioactive Racism: The History of Targeting Native American Communities with High-Level Atomic Dumps</u>	2005	Public Citizen	USA
<u>Radioactive Waste Disposal in Geological Formations International Conference Braunschweig ("City of Science 2007") November 6 – 9, 2007 Proceedings</u>	2007	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	-None-
<u>Radioactive Waste Isolation in Salt: Peer Review of the Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation's Reports on Preferred Repository Sites Within the Palo Duro Basin, Texas</u>	1984	Argonne National Laboratory	USA
<u>Radioactive Waste Isolation in Salt: Special Advisory Report on the Status of the Office Nuclear Waste Isolation's Plans for Repository Performance Assessment</u>	1983	Argonne National Laboratory	USA
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN CANADA</u>	2008	Nuclear Energy Agency	Canada
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN FINLAND</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Finland
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN FRANCE</u>	2012	Nuclear Energy Agency	France
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN HUNGARY</u>	2009	Nuclear Energy Agency	Hungary
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN SWEDEN</u>	2009	Nuclear Energy Agency	Sweden
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN THE NETHERLANDS</u>	2007	Nuclear Energy Agency	Netherlands
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN THE UNITED KINGDOM</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	United Kingdom
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	USA

<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT IN REP. OF KOREA</u>	2010	Nuclear Energy Agency	Korea
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES AUSTRALIA</u>	2009	Nuclear Energy Agency	Australia
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES AUSTRIA</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Austria
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES BELGIUM</u>	2010	Nuclear Energy Agency	Belgium
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES CANADA</u>	2012	Nuclear Energy Agency	Canada
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES CZECH REPUBLIC</u>	2008	Nuclear Energy Agency	Czech Republic
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES FINLAND</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Finland
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES FRANCE</u>	2012	Nuclear Energy Agency	France
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES GERMANY</u>	2005	Nuclear Energy Agency	Germany
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES HUNGARY</u>	2009	Nuclear Energy Agency	Hungary
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES ITALY</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Italy
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES JAPAN</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Japan
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES KOREA</u>	2012	Nuclear Energy Agency	Korea
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES MEXICO</u>	2005	Nuclear Energy Agency	Mexico
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES NETHERLANDS</u>	2008	Nuclear Energy Agency	Netherlands
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES NORWAY</u>	2005	Nuclear Energy Agency	Norway

<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES POLAND</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Poland
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES SLOVAK REPUBLIC</u>	2005	Nuclear Energy Agency	Slovak Republic
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES SPAIN</u>	2005	Nuclear Energy Agency	Spain
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES SWEDEN</u>	2010	Nuclear Energy Agency	Sweden
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES SWITZERLAND</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Switzerland
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES UNITED KINGDOM</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	United Kingdom
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES IN OECD/NEA MEMBER COUNTRIES UNITED STATES</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	USA
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN ITALY</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Italy
<u>Radioactive Waste Repositories and Host Regions: Envisaging the Future Together Synthesis of the FSC National Workshop and Community Visit Bar-le-Duc, France</u>	2010	Nuclear Energy Agency	France
<u>Radioactive Waste Repository Project: Technical Status Report for Period Ending September 30, 1971</u>	1971	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	USA
<u>RADIOACTIVE WASTES: PUBLIC ATTITUDES TOWARD DISPOSAL FACILITIES</u>	1978	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Radioactivity and ionising radiation</u>	2005	Government of the Czech Republic	Czech Republic
<u>Rating Plan for the GNEP Siting Studies Applications</u>	2008	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Rationale for Geologic Isolation of High-level Radioactive Waste and Assessment of the Suitability of Crystalline Rocks</u>	1980	U. S. Geological Survey	USA
<u>RD&D Programme 2007 Programme for research, development and demonstration of methods for the management and disposal of nuclear waste</u>	2007	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>RD&D Programme 2010 Programme for research, development and demonstration of methods for the management and disposal of nuclear waste</u>	2010	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden

<u>RD&D-Programme 2001 Programme for research, development and demonstration of methods for the management and disposal of nuclear waste</u>	2001	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>RD&D-Programme 2004 Programme for research, development and demonstration of methods for the management and disposal of nuclear waste, including social science research</u>	2004	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>RD&D-Programme 98 Treatment and final disposal of nuclear waste Programme for research, development and demonstration of encapsulation and geological disposal</u>	1998	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Re: Oppose Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP) funding for reprocessing irradiated nuclear fuel</u>	2006	Public Citizen	USA
<u>Recommendation By The Secretary of Energy of Candidate Sites For Characterization for The First Radioactive-Waste Repository</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Recommendation by the Secretary of Energy Regarding the Suitability of the Yucca Mountain Site for a Repository under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982</u>	2002	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Recommendation Group 5 - Final Conclusions (COWAM 1)</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>Recommendations on the Proposed Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility</u>	1985	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Recommendations/propositions/reflections of Group # 4 (COWAM 1)</u>	2003	COWAM	-None-
<u>Recommended new criteria for the selection of nuclear waste repository sites in Columbia River Basalts and U.S. Gulf Coast domed salt</u>	1980	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	USA
<u>Recovering Public Trust and Confidence in Managing Radioactive Waste (Workshop Summary)</u>	1991	National Academy of Public Administration	USA
<u>Reflecting on the Implementing Geological Disposal Technology Platform as a knowledge network and potential scenarios for stakeholder involvement</u>	2012	InSOTEC	-None-
<u>Reflections on Siting Approaches for Radioactive Waste Facilities: Synthesising Principles Based on International Learning</u>	2012	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Region-To-Area Screening Methodology for the Crystalline Repository Project</u>	1985	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Regional Geological Assessment of the Devonian-Mississippian Shale Sequence of the Appalachian, Illinois, and Michigan Basins Relative to Potential Storage/Disposal of Radioactive Wastes</u>	1983	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	USA
<u>Regional Summary and Recommended Study Areas for the Texas Panhandle Portion of the Permian Basin</u>	1983	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA

<u>Regulations for Geologic Disposal, presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Relations between DOE Facilities and their Host Communities: A Pilot Review</u>	2000	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Report of Early Site Suitability Evaluation of the Potential Repository Site at Yucca, Mountain Nevada</u>	1992	Science Applications International Corporation	USA
<u>Report of the American Nuclear Society President's Special Committee on Used Nuclear Fuel Management Options</u>	2011	American Nuclear Society	USA
<u>Report of the Peer Review on the Early Site Suitability Evaluation of the Potential Repository Site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada</u>	1992	Science Applications International Corporation	USA
<u>REPORT ON HUNGARIAN ATOMIC ENERGY AUTHORITY'S ACTIVITIES IN 2010</u>	2010	Government of Hungary	Hungary
<u>Report on NWMO-First Nations Quebec and Labrador Sustainable Development Institute Project, Discussion Project between the First Nations and the NWMO</u>	2009	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>Report to Congress Concerning Negotiations with the State of Washington as Required by Section 117(c) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982</u>	1986	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Report to Congress on the Demonstration of the Interim Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel from Decommissioned Nuclear Power Reactor Sites</u>	2008	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Report to the Chairman, Committee on Natural Resources, House of Representatives - Nuclear Waste Funds Spent to Identify a Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility</u>	1993	U.S. General Accounting Office	USA
<u>Report to the President by the Interagency Review Group on Nuclear Waste Management</u>	1979	Interagency Review Group on Nuclear Waste Management	USA
<u>REPUBLIC OF CROATIA NATIONAL REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT 3rd report</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Croatia
<u>REPUBLIC OF HUNGARY NATIONAL REPORT Document prepared in the framework of the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management First Report.</u>	2002	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Hungary
<u>REPUBLIC OF HUNGARY NATIONAL REPORT Fourth Report prepared within the framework of the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Hungary

<u>REPUBLIC OF HUNGARY NATIONAL REPORT Second Report prepared in the framework of the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Hungary
<u>REPUBLIC OF HUNGARY NATIONAL REPORT Third Report prepared in the framework of the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Hungary
<u>Response of the UK Government and the Department of the Environment, Northern Ireland to the Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (CoRWM) Report on 'Geological Disposal of Higher Activity Radioactive Wastes'</u>	2009	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>Response to Comments Amendments to the Public Health and Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain, Nevada 40 CFR 197 Final Rule</u>	2008	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency	USA
<u>Response to Comments from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on the Report of Early Site Suitability Evaluation of the Potential Repository Site at Yucca Mountain (Enclosure 1)</u>	1993	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Response to Comments from the U.S. Department of Interior, State of Nevada and Affected Counties on the Report of Early Site Suitability Evaluation of the Potential Repository Site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada (Enclosure 2)</u>	1993	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Results of NRC Staff Review of Topical Report on Extreme Erosion</u>	1994	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>Results of Screening Activities in Salt States Prior to the Enactment of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act</u>	1984	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Rethinking the Challenge of High-Level Nuclear Waste Strategic Planning for Defense High-Level Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel</u>	2007	The Yakama Nation	-None-
<u>Rethinking the Nuclear Waste Program: Lessons Learned from the Crystalline Repository Project</u>	1988	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Review Comments on Draft Environmental Assessment Richton Dome Site Mississippi</u>	1985	State of Mississippi	USA
<u>Review of initiatives addressing socio-technical challenges of RWM & geological disposal in international programmes</u>	2012	InSOTEC	-None-
<u>Review of MRWS White paper</u>	2008	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom

<u>Review of Potential Host Rocks for Radioactive Waste Disposal in the Piedmont Province of Georgia</u>	1980	Dupont	USA
<u>Review of the U.S. Department of Energy Technical Basis Report for Surface Characteristics, Preclosure Hydrology, and Erosion</u>	1995	National Academy of Science	USA
<u>Review of U.S. Department of Energy Technical Basis Report for Surface Characteristics, Preclosure Hydrology, and Erosion (presentation to the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board)</u>	1996	U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	USA
<u>Revisiting America's Nuclear Waste Policy</u>	2009	U.S. Chamber of Commerce	-None-
<u>Richard repository</u>	2008	Government of the Czech Republic	Czech Republic
<u>Roadmap for Local Committee Construction Better paths towards the governance of radioactive waste</u>	2006	COWAM	-None-
<u>Robust and rational decision making processes in risk society</u>	2012	Karita Research	Sweden
<u>Round Table on Nuclear Provisions on the initiative of the Belgian Minister for Climate and Energy 28–29 March 2011, Brussels</u>	2011	ONDRAF/NIRAS	Belgium
<u>S. Kraft e-mail to J. Kotek, BRC, providing locations of ISFSI in the US</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Safe Management of Nuclear Waste and Used Nuclear Fuel</u>	2005	World Nuclear Association	-None-
<u>Safety Case Plan 2008</u>	2008	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>Salt Repository Project Closeout Status Report</u>	1988	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Scoping Study of Salt Domes, Basalts, and Crystalline Rock as Related to Long Term Risk Modeling for Deep Geologic Disposal of Nuclear Waste</u>	1978	Golder Associates	USA
<u>Screening and Identification of Sites for a Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility</u>	1985	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>SECOND NATIONAL REPORT OF AUSTRIA On the Implementation of the Obligations of the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Austria
<u>SECOND REVIEW MEETING JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the	Luxembourg

<u>MANAGEMENT Answers to the Questions of Contracting Parties on the National Report submitted by Luxembourg</u>		Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	
<u>SECOND REVIEW MEETING JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT Written questions to submitted to Luxembourg</u>	2009	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Luxembourg
<u>Second Slovenian Report under the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovenia
<u>Sectoral Plan for Deep Geological Repositories Conceptual Part</u>	2008	Government of Switzerland	Switzerland
<u>Selected List of Publicly Available EPRI Technical Reports Relevant to Blue Ribbon Commission Subcommittee Topics</u>	2011	Electric Power Research Institute	USA
<u>Selection of Away-From-Reactor Facilities for Spent Nuclear Fuel Storage</u>	2007	International Atomic Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Short Advance Summary of My Presentation on Sept. 1, 2010 to the "Disposal Subcommittee" of the "Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future"</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Should High-Level Waste Be Disposed of at Geographically Dispersed Sites?</u>	1992	Argonne National Laboratory	USA
<u>Site Evaluation & Management Lessons Learned from Yucca Mountain, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Site Evaluation Process, presentation to the BRC Waste Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Site Evaluation Process-Yucca Mountain Examples, summary statement to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Site Investigations for a Bedded Salt Pilot Plant in the Permian Basin</u>	1973	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Site Locality Identification Study: Hanford Site Volume I: Methodology, Guidelines, and Screening</u>	1980	Rockwell International	USA
<u>Site Screening and Selection (presented- General Training on Methodologies for Geologic Disposal in North America, IAEA Network of Centers of Excellence)</u>	2008	International Atomic Energy Agency	USA

<u>Site selection – siting of the final repository for spent nuclear fuel</u>	2011	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Site Selection and Characterization Processes for Deep Geologic Repositories</u>	1997	Sandia National Laboratories	USA
<u>Site Selection and Evaluation studies of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), Los Medanos, Eddy County, NM</u>	1977	Sandia National Laboratories	USA
<u>Site Selection for a Geologic Repository in France</u>	2010	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	France
<u>Site Selection Procedure for Repository Sites Recommendations of the AkEnd-Committee on a Site Selection Procedure for Repository Sites</u>	2002	Government of Germany	Germany
<u>Siting a Deep Geologic Repository for Spent Nuclear Fuel- A Technical Endeavour and a Social Challenge</u>	2008	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Siting Challenges in the Context of Integrated Nuclear Waste Management (presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission on Nuclear Waste)</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Siting GNEP at the Savannah River Site: Using Legacy and Infrastructure in a Commercial Energy Park Concept</u>	2008	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Siting of an MRS Facility: Identification of a Geographic Region That Reduces Transportation Requirements</u>	1985	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Siting Process for a Centralized Storage Facility (ATC) for Spent Fuel and High Level Waste in Spain, presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	Spain
<u>Siting Process for HLW Repository in Japan</u>	2002	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	Japan
<u>Siting Study For Hanford Advanced Fuels Test & Research Center</u>	2007	Columbia Basin Consulting Group	USA
<u>SOCIAL ISSUES AND ENERGY ALTERNATIVES: THE CONTEXT OF CONFLICT OVER NUCLEAR WASTE</u>	1980	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Socio-Technical Challenges to Implementing Geological Disposal: a Synthesis of Findings from 14 Countries</u>	2012	InSOTEC	-None-
<u>Solving the U.S. Nuclear Waste Dilemma</u>	2010	Environmental Law and Policy Annual Review	USA
<u>Some Principles for Siting Controversial Decisions: Issues from the US Experience with High Level Nuclear Waste</u>	2004	Journal of Risk Management	USA

<u>Spent Fuel Storage Requirements 1991-2040</u>	1991	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Spent Nuclear Fuel Management: How Centralized Interim Storage Can Expand Options and Reduce Costs, paper prepared for the Blue Ribbon Commission</u>	2011	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Spent-Fuel Test-Climax: An Evaluation of the Technical Feasibility of Geologic Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel in Granite</u>	1986	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	USA
<u>SRS Public Involvement in Waste Management Has Resulted in Effective Decisions Supported by the Public Including Disposal Changes and Top-To-Bottom Review Initiative Consensus</u>	2003	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>STAKEHOLDER CONFIDENCE AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL Inauguration, First Workshop and Meeting of the NEA Forum on Stakeholder Confidence in the Area of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2000	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Stakeholder Dialogue: Experience and Analysis</u>	2001	Karita Research	-None-
<u>State of Minnesota Review of the U.S. Department of Energy's National Survey of Crystalline Rocks</u>	1986	State of Minnesota	USA
<u>State of Nevada letter providing comments on EPA's "Public Health and Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain, Nevada; Proposed Rule" (40 CFR Part 197)</u>	2005	State of Nevada	USA
<u>State of Texas Comments on Nuclear Waste Policy Act Draft Environmental Assessments for Deaf Smith County Site (DOE/RW-0014) and Swisher County Site, Texas (DOE/RW-0015): Addendum to Comments Submitted March 19, 1985</u>	1985	State of Texas	USA
<u>Statement by William M. Murphy for the Blue Ribbon Commission Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Stepwise Approach to Decision Making for Long-term Radioactive Waste Management Experience, Issues and Guiding Principles</u>	2004	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel (Specific Safety Guide)</u>	2012	International Atomic Energy Agency	-None-
<u>Strategy for the Management and Disposal of Used Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste</u>	2013	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Structure for Transparency in Nuclear Waste Management Comparative Review of the Structures for Nuclear Waste Management in France, Sweden and the UK</u>	2002	Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI)	Sweden

<u>Structuring local communities and development of local democracy for engagement in Radioactive Waste Management governance Brief: Participatory Assessment of Decision Making Process</u>	2009	COWAM	-None-
<u>Suggested Guidelines for Transparency and Participation in Nuclear Waste Management Programmes</u>	2010	ARGONA	-None-
<u>Summary Characterization and Recommendation of Study Areas for the Gulf Coast Interior Region</u>	1980	Battelle Memorial Institute	USA
<u>Summary of 40 CFR 191, prepared for BRC</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Summary of Comments for the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future, submitted to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Summary of National and International Radioactive Waste Management Programs</u>	1979	Battelle Memorial Institute	-None-
<u>Summary of the NAS Peer Review: Surface Characteristics, Preclosure Hydrology, and Erosion (presentation to the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board)</u>	1996	U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	USA
<u>Summary of the Value of State Oversight in DOE Waste Disposal Operations, for the Blue Ribbon Commission Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Summary Statement for Michael Voegele - Development of a High-Level Radioactive Waste Regulatory Structure, BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Summary Statement of Dan Schultheisz for the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Summary Statement of David H. Leroy Former United States Nuclear Waste Negotiator Before the Commission on America's Nuclear Future</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Summary Statement of Dr. Kasperson for the BRC Disposal Subcommittee Meeting</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Summary Statement of Steve Frishman, Technical Consultant-Agency for Nuclear Projects, State of Nevada</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Survey of National Programs for Managing High-Level Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel -A Report to</u>	2009	U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	-None-

<u>Congress and the Secretary of Energy</u>			
<u>Suspension of Expressions of Interest - background</u>	2012	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>SUSTAINABLE TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATED WITH RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	2009	COWAM	-None-
<u>Sweden's first national report under the Joint Convention on the safety of spent fuel management and on the safety of radioactive waste management</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Sweden
<u>Sweden's second national report under the Joint Convention on the safety of spent fuel management and on the safety of radioactive waste management</u>	2005	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Sweden
<u>Sweden's third national report under the Joint Convention on the safety of spent fuel management and on the safety of radioactive waste management</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Sweden
<u>Swedish Nuclear Waste Efforts</u>	1981	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	Sweden
<u>Synopsis of national decision-making processes</u>	2007	COWAM	-None-
<u>T&MSS Implementation Plan for Developing and Implementing a Method for Early Evaluation of Site Suitability</u>	1991	Science Applications International Corporation	USA
<u>Technical Basis Report for Surface Characteristics, Preclosure Hydrology and Erosion- Public Summary</u>	1995	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Technical Basis Report for Surface Characteristics, Preclosure Hydrology, and Erosion</u>	1995	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Technical overview of the SAFIR 2 report Safety Assessment and Feasibility Interim Report 2</u>	2001	ONDRAF/NIRAS	Belgium
<u>Technical Status of the Radioactive Waste Repository - A Demonstration Project for Solid Radioactive Waste Disposal</u>	1971	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	USA
<u>Tennessee's Response to the MRS Proposal</u>	1986	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>Testimony of the State of Utah Regarding the Department of Energy's Implementation of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (Submitted to House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs)</u>	1984	U. S. Congress	USA

<u>The Bridge - Linking Engineering and Society</u>	2003	National Academy of Engineering	-None-
<u>THE CONTROL OF SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING IN AUSTRIA</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Austria
<u>The control of safety of radioactive waste management and decommissioning in Switzerland</u>	2011	Nuclear Energy Agency	Switzerland
<u>The decision in principle by the Government on 21 December 2000 concerning Posiva Oy's application for the construction of a final disposal facility for spent nuclear fuel produced in Finland.</u>	2000	Government of Finland	Finland
<u>The Decision to Recommend Yucca Mountain and the Next Steps Toward Licensed Repository Development (Proceedings of the WM 2002 Conference)</u>	2002	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>The DOE Position on the MRS Facility</u>	1989	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>The Final Disposal Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel Environmental Impact Assessment Report</u>	1999	Posiva Oy	Finland
<u>The Final Report of the West Cumbria Managing Radioactive Waste Safely Partnership</u>	2012	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>The First Slovenian Report Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovenia
<u>The Global Nuclear Energy Partnership and the YIMBY Syndrome</u>	2008	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>The influence of the local community of Dunkirk on the process of elaboration of the 30th July 2003 Law for the prevention of natural and technological risks</u>	2005	COWAM	France
<u>The Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility: Scoping the Environmental Assessment Process</u>	1991	Argonne National Laboratory	USA
<u>The Monitored Retrievable Storage Proposal in the Context of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982</u>	1986	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>The MRS Task Force: Economic and Non-Economic Incentives for Local Public Acceptance of a Proposed Nuclear Waste Packaging and Storage Facility (conference paper)</u>	1987	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	USA
<u>THE NATIONAL REPORT OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	2006	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Russian Federation

<u>Prepared for the second Review Meeting</u>			
<u>The NUMO Structured Approach to HLW Disposal in Japan</u>	2007	NUMO (Japan)	Japan
<u>The Partnership Approach Forum on Stakeholder Confidence (Flyer)</u>	2010	Nuclear Energy Agency	-None-
<u>The Problem of used nuclear fuel: lessons for interim solutions from a comparative cost analysis</u>	2001	Energy Policy	USA
<u>The Public Response to MRS: An Interim Report</u>	1985	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>The RISCOM Model in practice – recent experiences from new areas of application</u>	2007	Karita Research	Sweden
<u>The Siting Record: An Account of the Programs of Federal Agencies and Events that Have led to the Selection of a Potential Site for a Geologic Repository for High-Level Radioactive Waste</u>	1996	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	USA
<u>The U.S. Department of Energy's Attempt to Site the Monitored Retrievable Storage Facility (MRS) in Tennessee, 1985-1987</u>	1988	State of Nevada	USA
<u>The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Public Health and Safety Standards for Yucca Mountain, Nevada (40 CFR Part 197) - 9072</u>	2009	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>THE UNITED KINGDOM's THIRD NATIONAL REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	United Kingdom
<u>The Value of State Oversight in DOE Waste Disposal Operations, presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>The Way Forward in the U.S.: Nuclear Waste Management (presentation to AAAS, San Diego, CA)</u>	2010	AAAS	USA
<u>The WIPP Journey to Waste Receipt</u>	1997	U.S. Department of Energy	-None-
<u>Theme 1: Affected communities and sustainable territorial development programme encompassing Radioactive Waste Management Brief 3: Community Benefits and Support Packages</u>	2009	COWAM	-None-
<u>Theme 2: Structuring local communities and development of local democracy for engagement in Radioactive Waste Management governance " Contribution of the local communities to safety and radiation protection around radioactive waste management sites"</u>	2009	COWAM	-None-

<u>Theme CHK-3 Impact of Nuclear Information on Young People Final Report</u>	2006	COWAM	Romania
<u>Theme CHK-4 Genesis of an Approach: From Public Non-Participation to Its Participation in LILW Site Selection Process in Slovenia</u>	2006	COWAM	Slovenia
<u>Third Slovenian Report under the JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Slovenia
<u>Thomas Cotton Summary Statement- Regulatory History, BRC Disposal Subcommittee Meeting</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Tim McCartin Summary Statement - Regulations for Geologic Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Waste, BRC Disposal Subcommittee Meeting</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Time to Rethink Nuclear Waste Storage</u>	1992	National Academy of Science	USA
<u>Tome Architecture and management of a geological repository</u>	2006	ANDRA (France)	France
<u>Tools for local stakeholders in radioactive waste governance: Challenges and benefits of selected Participatory Technology Assessment techniques PTA-1 study: Interim Report</u>	2005	COWAM	-None-
<u>Topical Report- Evaluation of the Potentially Adverse Condition "Evidence of Extreme Erosion during the Quaternary Period" at Yucca Mountain, Nevada</u>	1993	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Towards implementation of transparency and participation in radioactive waste management programmes</u>	2010	ARGONA	-None-
<u>Towards implementation of transparency and participation in radioactive waste management programmes - Final Summary Report</u>	2010	ARGONA	-None-
<u>Transmittal of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) "Technical Basis Report for Surface Characteristics, Preclosure Hydrology, and Erosion [letter from S. Brocoun (U.S. DOE) to J.J. Holonich (U.S. NRC)]</u>	1995	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Transparency and Public Participation in Radioactive Waste Management RISCOM II Final report</u>	2003	Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI)	Sweden
<u>TREATMENT AND FINAL DISPOSAL OF NUCLEAR WASTE SUPPLEMENT TO THE 1992 PROGRAMME IN RESPONSE TO THE GOVERNMENT DECISION OF DECEMBER 16, 1993</u>	1994	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden

<u>Treatment and final disposal of nuclear waste Äspö Hard Rock Laboratory</u>	1992	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Treatment and final disposal of nuclear waste Detailed R&D-Programme 1993–1998</u>	1992	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Treatment and final disposal of nuclear waste Programme for encapsulation, deep geological disposal, and research, development and demonstration Ch6-App</u>	1995	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Treatment and final disposal of nuclear waste Programme for encapsulation, deep geological disposal, and research, development and demonstration Foreword-Ch5</u>	1995	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Treatment and final disposal of nuclear waste Programme for research, development, demonstration and other measures</u>	1992	Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB)	Sweden
<u>Trip Report- NAS Committee Meeting to Review Surface Process Technical Basis Report, Las Vegas, NV 7/19 & 7/20/95 (memo to J. T. Greeves, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission)</u>	1995	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA
<u>Two Citizen's Task force and the Challenge of the Evolving Nuclear Waste Siting Process</u>	1990	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>U.S. Department of Energy Siting Guidelines at 10 CFR 963</u>	2002	Waste Management Symposia & Conferences	USA
<u>U.S. Nuclear Waste Law and Policy: Fixing a Bankrupt System</u>	2008	N.Y.U. Environmental Law Journal	USA
<u>U.S. Spent Nuclear Fuel Storage</u>	2012	Congressional Research Service	USA
<u>UK Government and Devolved Administration Response to the Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (CoRWM) Report on 'Interim Storage of Higher Activity Wastes and the Management of Spent Fuels, Plutonium and Uranium'</u>	2009	Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (United Kingdom)	United Kingdom
<u>UKRAINE NATIONAL REPORT DOCUMENT DEVELOPED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT 2003</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	Ukraine
<u>Underlying Yucca Mountain: The Interplay of Geology and Policy in Nuclear Waste Disposal</u>	2003	SSS and SAGE Publications	USA
<u>Understanding the Choices The Future Management of Canada's Used Nuclear Fuel Discussion Document 2</u>	2004	Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)	Canada
<u>UNITED ARAB EMIRATES FIRST NATIONAL REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel	United Arab Emirates

<u>JOINT CONVENTION ON THE SAFETY OF SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT AND ON THE SAFETY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT</u>		Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	
<u>United States of America Fourth National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2011	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	USA
<u>United States of America National Report Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2003	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	USA
<u>United States of America Third National Report for the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management</u>	2008	Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Mgmt. and on the Safety of Rad. Waste Mgmt.	USA
<u>Use of the 10 CFR Part 960 Siting Guidelines in Evaluating the Suitability of the Yucca Mountain Site</u>	1995	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>Using Geologic Conditions and Multiattribute Decision Analysis to Determine the Relative Favorability of Selected Areas for Siting a High-Level Radioactive Waste Repository</u>	1988	-None-	USA
<u>Viewing Back End of Nuclear Fuel Facilities Synoptically and Comparatively, presentation to the Blue Ribbon Commission</u>	2011	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>Voluntary vs. Directed Siting - or Somewhere In Between?</u>	1994	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	-None-
<u>WANTING THE UNWANTED: EFFECTS OF PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT IN THE LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE AND THE SITING OF REPOSITORY FACILITIES</u>	2007	CARL Project	-None-
<u>WASTE ISOLATION PROJECTS - FY 1977</u>	1978	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	USA
<u>What are the Chief Challenges for a Successful Spent Fuel and HLW Disposal Program?, presentation to the BRC Disposal Subcommittee</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>What Must DOE Consider in Its Analysis of the Proposed Global Nuclear Energy Partnership?</u>	2006	Public Citizen	USA
<u>White Paper Regarding Opposition to the High-Level Nuclear Waste Storage Facility Proposed by Private Fuel Storage on the Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indian Reservation Skull Valley, Utah</u>	2000	THE COALITION OPPOSED TO HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE	USA

<u>WIPP and EPA's Experience, prepared for the BRC</u>	2010	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future	USA
<u>WIPP Chronology</u>	2007	U.S. Department of Energy	USA
<u>WIPP Site Selection and Early Site Studies, presentation: General Training on Methodologies for Geologic Disposal in North America (IAEA Network of Centers of Excellence)</u>	2008	International Atomic Energy Agency	USA
<u>Yucca Mountain - Nevada's Perspective</u>	2010	State of Nevada	USA
<u>Yucca Mountain: The Administration's Impact on U.S. Nuclear Waste Management Policy</u>	2011	U. S. Congress	-None-
<u>Yucca Mountain: The Hazards of Nuclear Waste Storage and Transportation (Testimony-U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Energy and Air Quality)</u>	2002	U. S. Congress	USA