

Northern New Mexico Citizens' Advisory Board Meeting



January 19, 2022
1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.
Hybrid Meeting (Staff Only)

Minutes

Meeting Attendees

Department of Energy

1. Michael Mikolanis, Manager, Environmental Management Los Alamos
 2. Lee Bishop, Deputy Designated Federal Officer, Environmental Management Los Alamos
 3. John Evans, Environmental Management Los Alamos
 4. Cheryl Rodriguez, Environmental Management Los Alamos
 5. Miquela Vargas, Environmental Management Los Alamos
 6. David Nickless, Environmental Management Los Alamos
 7. Lee Ocker, Environmental Management Los Alamos
 8. Rhonda Ford (EM-4.31), Environmental Management Headquarters
-

NNMCAB Members

1. Cherylin Atcitty, Chair
 2. Elena Fernandez, Vice-Chair
 3. Ubaldo Gallegos
 4. Sterling Grogan
 5. Angelica Gurulé
 6. Mark Hayden
 7. Robert Hull
 8. Roger Life
 9. Beverly Martin
 10. David Neal
 11. Jenelle Roybal
 12. Miguel Vigil
-

NNMCAB Absences

1. Stanley Riveles
-

NNMCAB Staff

1. Menice Santistevan, Executive Director
2. Bridget Maestas, Executive Assistant

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

Guests

1. Felicia Aguilar, N3B
2. Dino Chavarria, Santa Clara Environment Director
3. Erich Evered, N3B
4. Ed Birnbaum, Public
5. Anne Laurent, Los Alamos County
6. Mikkel Kelly, N3B
7. Catherine Juarez, Los Alamos National Laboratory
8. Sarah Chandler, Environmental Management Los Alamos
9. Michael Erickson, N3B
10. Tim Goering, Los Alamos National Laboratory
11. Jeff Holland, N3B
12. Joe Legare, N3B
13. Daryl Lucero, Pueblo of Jemez Natural Resources Technician
14. Maire O’Neil, Los Alamos Reporter
15. Suzanne Schwartz, Taosenos for Peaceful and Sustainable Futures
16. Thomas McCrory, Environmental Management Los Alamos
17. Kathryn Keenan, N3B
18. James Murphy, Public
19. Eric Chavez, Field Representative for Senator Ben Ray Lujan
20. Joe Ritchey, Environmental Management Los Alamos
21. Kelsey Shank, theEDGE
22. Rick Shean, New Mexico Environment Department
23. Wayne Barber, Exchange Monitor Publications
24. David Abelson, N3B
25. Stephanie Gallagher, Environmental Management Los Alamos
26. Kristin Henderson, N3B
27. Ricardo Maestas, New Mexico Environment Department
28. Scott Kovac, Nuclear Watch New Mexico
29. Evelyn Naranjo, Public
30. Donovan Robinson, Office of Management and Budget
31. Maggie Hart Stebbins, Natural Resources Trustee
32. Mark Turnbough, Consultant
33. Kristen Van Horn, Los Alamos National Laboratory
34. Marianne Wilkerson, Los Alamos National Laboratory
35. Neelam Dhawan, New Mexico Environment Department
36. Siona Briley, New Mexico Environment Department
37. Kathy Walker, N3B
38. LVH
39. Np811002

***The written minutes are intended as a synopsis of the meeting.**

1 **Minutes**

2 **I. Call to Order**

3 The meeting of the Northern New Mexico Citizens' Advisory Board (NNMCAB) was held on
4 January 19, 2022, in a hybrid format with only the staff attending in person. Mr. Lee Bishop,
5 Deputy Designated Federal Officer (DDFO) stated that on behalf of the Department of
6 Energy (DOE) the meeting of the NNMCAB was called to order at 1:00 p.m.

7 Mr. Bishop recognized Ms. Cherylin Atcitty, the NNMCAB Chair. Ms. Atcitty presided at the
8 meeting.

9 The meeting of the NNMCAB was open to the public and posted in The Federal Register in
10 accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

11 **II. Welcome and Introductions**

12 Mr. Bishop welcomed everyone to the meeting via WebEx. He stated that due to the
13 Omicron Variant being highly transmissible but less impactable as the Delta Variant, the
14 members were attending this meeting virtually out of an abundance of caution. Mr. Bishop
15 stated that he spoke with Mr. Mikolanis and they did not want to cancel the contracts with
16 the audio-visual company and Ohkay Conference Center at the last minute, so the staff was
17 attending the meeting in person. Mr. Bishop turned the meeting over to Ms. Atcitty.

18 Ms. Atcitty welcomed everyone to the January Board Meeting virtually and advised
19 everyone to ask questions in the chat box. Ms. Atcitty asked Ms. Santistevan to conduct roll
20 call for members and guests.
21

22 **III. Establishment of a Quorum (Roll Call)**

23 Ms. Menice Santistevan conducted roll call for board members and guests on WebEx. At the
24 call to order, 6 NNMCAB members were present or online. Ms. Santistevan stated that the
25 meeting was being recorded to produce the minutes of the meeting.
26

27 **IV. Approval of Agenda**

28 The board reviewed the agenda for the January 19, 2022, meeting. Ms. Atcitty opened the
29 floor to entertain a motion to approve the agenda.

30 Ms. Angelica Gurulé made a motion to approve the agenda; Mr. Mark Hayden seconded the
31 motion. The motion to approve the agenda passed.
32

33 **V. Approval of Minutes**

34 The board reviewed the minutes from the November 10, 2021, Board Meeting. Ms. Atcitty
35 opened the floor to entertain a motion.

36 Ms. Angelica Gurulé made a motion to approve the minutes as presented; Mr. Mark Hayden
37 seconded the motion. Ms. Atcitty opened the floor for discussion.

38 With no discussion, the motion to approve the minutes as presented passed.
39

1
2 **VI. Old Business**

3 **a. Update from Chair/Vice-Chair**

4 Ms. Atcitty thanked everyone for the opportunity to serve as Chair and stated that she is
5 becoming more familiar with the duties at this time and did not have anything to report.

6 Ms. Fernandez stated the like Ms. Atcitty, she is becoming more familiar with her duties
7 as well. She noted that they are moving forward with the Outreach Committee and
8 asked members that have submitted their name to Ms. Santistevan or anyone else
9 interested in joining the committee to please submit their name to her. Ms. Fernandez
10 thanked the staff for making the meeting virtual.

11 **b. Update from Committee Chairs**

12 Mr. Robert Hull stated that there were no committee meetings in December and there
13 was nothing to report. He noted that they did have an Executive Committee Meeting
14 and one of the items discussed that he would like to bring up is that Mr. Bishop had
15 made a decision about the monthly reports and how they would now be submitted
16 quarterly. Mr. Hull stated that he expressed his opinion that he does not believe that is
17 in the best interest of the NNM CAB and he intends to write a letter to Mr. Bishop to that
18 effect.

19 Mr. Bishop stated that the discussion was brought up with Mr. Mikolanis and the
20 previous Site Manager's decision was to provide the reports in a bi-monthly basis. Mr.
21 Bishop noted that he is working with Ms. Stephanie Gallagher on a report for the
22 NNM CAB. He noted that they are attempting to put together a one-page report on EM-
23 LA's progress as a solution to the bi-monthly reporting.

24 Mr. Mark Hayden stated that he attended the World Climate Change Conference in
25 Glasgow, Scotland. The following are a few highlights from the takeaways from the
26 conference:

- 27 • The conference was cancelled in 2020 due to COVID-19 but deemed important
28 enough to be urgent and go through even though there is a pandemic.
- 29 • The message from the conference was that the world is running out of time, the
30 acceleration of CO2 emissions and the impact on global warming is alarming. At
31 the current rate we will reach the tipping rate in 10 years or less where we can
32 no longer reverse the warming rate.
- 33 • If we can control the temperature to under 1.5° C through 2025, we do have
34 hope but as of now we are trending to double that rate.
- 35 • President Biden had many of his secretaries from the different Department of
36 Energies and Department of Environment and they are all working together on
37 the same page to support each other. The Labs are a piece of that as well to
38 share information and learn.
- 39 • Alaska's Glacier has moved back about 7 miles while its thickness has decreased
40 by more than a half a mile.
- 41 • The acidification of warming the oceans because of CO2 is also causing coral
42 reef destruction and loss of aquatic life.
- 43 • Many states are seeing higher fire seasons.

1
2 **VII. New Business**

3 **a. Consideration and Action on Draft Letter to EM-1, Concerning Public Outreach**
4 **(Approved by EM SSAB Chairs)**

5 Mr. Hull gave an overview of the recommendation. Mr. Hull reminded the members
6 that the protocol is to approve or not approve Chairs Recommendations, no updates or
7 edits could be made to the recommendation.

8 The Board reviewed EM SSAB Chairs' Recommendation Concerning Public Outreach. Ms.
9 Atcitty opened the floor to entertain a motion to approve the recommendation.

10 Ms. Fernandez made a motion to approve the recommendation; Ms. Gurulé seconded
11 the motion. The motion to approve the recommendation passed unanimously.

12 **b. Consideration and Action on Draft Ten Year Strategic Plan Development (Approved by**
13 **EM SSAB Chairs)**

14 Mr. Hull gave an overview of the recommendation.

15 The Board reviewed EM SSAB Chair's Recommendation on Draft Ten Year Strategic Plan
16 Development. Ms. Atcitty opened the floor to entertain a motion to approve the
17 recommendation.

18 Mr. Mark Hayden made a motion to approve the recommendation; Ms. Fernandez
19 seconded the motion.

20 Mr. Sterling Grogan objected the motion as he had only seen the recommendation that
21 morning and did not have adequate time to review it. Ms. Santistevan stated that he
22 could have a further discussion with the Designated Federal Official and noted that the
23 recommendation could not be delayed any further. Ms. Atcitty stated that there was a
24 motion, a second and a vote on the floor and the motion to pass the recommendation
25 passed.

26 **VIII. EM-LA Field Office Update**
27

28 Mr. Michael Mikolanis , Manager, EM-LA, gave a presentation titled, "Los Alamos National
29 Laboratory Legacy Environmental Cleanup Q1 FY22 Update" An electronic copy of the
30 presentation may be obtained from the NNMCAB Staff (menice.santistevan@em.doe.gov or
31 bridget.maestas@em.doe.gov or by calling (505) 989-1662.

32 **IX. Update from N3B**
33

34 Mr. Joe Legare, Executive Officer, N3B gave the update. Mr. Legare stated that it is their 4-
35 year Anniversary, they began transition on this day four years ago.

36 **Safety**

37 Safety for N3B is a gateway as it is for DOE, nothing gets done if we are not safe. It is a
38 priority and a value.

39 N3B is continuing under the COVID protocols, what this means is that they have pulled back
40 a little bit from the office, they never fully came back. Where they were was at 25%, but
41 they have stepped back from that a little bit in the field where they have robust protocols

1 and social distancing is somewhat easier. They are continuing to operate where they have
2 operations scheduled and planned.

3 They have not had any recordable injuries in December or January, which is injuries in which
4 Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) did not consider it to be recordable.
5 They have not had a lost time injury in 273,000 work hours. Last year they reached 1 million
6 hours but then they had a recordable injury.

7 N3B's current emphasis is on ergonomics and ensuring that every teleworker, office worker
8 and field worker has their workstation evaluated for ergonomic conditions.

9 **Look Ahead (3 Mission Areas – Waste, Water and Land)**

10 **Waste**

11 N3B's goal this year is to ship 400 cubic meters (m3), the easy rule of thumb is to times it by
12 5 which is 200 55-gallon drums of mixed low-level waste off site. N3B has currently shipped
13 50 m3 out of the 400 and they are on schedule to meet their goal by the end of the fiscal
14 year (FY).

15 Shipments to Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) – N3B's goal for this FY is 30 shipments, it is
16 also their goal for the calendar year. They have currently made 15 shipments to WIPP, 13 in
17 the first quarter and 2 in the second quarter.

18 The other aspect of waste is looking ahead at getting more waste ready to ship. This could
19 be remediation or repacking of drums, drilling and draining water out of drums, etc. They
20 have gone through a readiness activity that will open one of the new process lines in Dome
21 231. They recently completed a contractor readiness for the glove bag operations in Dome
22 231. They are correcting the deficiencies that were identified. DOE will come in and provide
23 a Federal Readiness in six to eight weeks. Once N3B follows up on any issues that may have
24 been identified, they will be able to start operating and processing waste in those glove bags
25 safely.

26 The other process that will help to feed their waste shipments is what they call corrugated
27 metal pipes (CMP). Picture a 2 ½ foot culvert that is 20 feet long, corrugated metal, and
28 filled with cement, and in this case, it is cement with radioactive material. It is currently
29 being stored fairly near the surface, several feet down in Area G. They are working toward
30 the readiness process to where they can unearth the CMPS and move them to the other end
31 of Area G where they are currently assembling in Dome 235, a new piece of equipment
32 called the shear. This will size reduce the CMPs into 5 pieces to ship to WIPP.

33 **Land**

34 A lot of this is a continuation of the work that they did last year close to the site boundary by
35 White Rock and Bandelier also called the Southern Boundaries. There are individual canyons
36 in that area that they go in and characterize, pulling hundreds of samples. If there is a
37 known area of contamination due to historical sampling, they will get right at that. They will
38 do some combination of characterization and remediation depending on what they find.

39 Another area is Middle DP Road, this area was covered in Mr. Mikolanis' update earlier.

40 **Water**

41 Wells R-71 and R-72 are regional wells that N3B is working with NMED and DOE to ensure
42 that they are properly drilled and installed to collect reliable characterization data from the

1 Chromium plume. The two wells will be installed this month and next month (January and
2 February). Looking ahead, well R-73 will start later this year and will also be to characterize
3 the groundwater. They should be starting to drill in the next few months. Well R-74 will be
4 related to the characterization of the RDX plume.

5
6 **X. Public Comment**

7 Ms. Atcitty opened the floor for public comment at 3:16 p.m.

8 Mr. Scott Kovac, Nuclear Watch New Mexico, thanked the NNMCAB on their work on how
9 to increase public participation. One suggestion Mr. Kovac has would be to allow the public
10 to ask clarifying comments/questions during the presentations during the meetings. He also
11 would like to consider allowing for more formal input from the public like having a seat for
12 the public at the table. Mr. Kovac also likes the NNMCAB's suggestion that DOE should hold
13 10-year Strategic Vision public meetings every year. He noted that he also liked the idea that
14 Public tutorial meetings should be held in advance of any formal Public Comment period in
15 order to build a common knowledge base. Mr. Kovac stated that we are starting another
16 year with new opportunities. He suggested some Ideas for possible recommendations to
17 DOE.

- 18 • Material Disposal Area (MDA) C Corrective Measures Evaluation (CME) which is at
19 the Environment Department's desk now. He would like to see a recommendation
20 with no cap and cover because the contaminants seem to be migrating out of MDA
21 C.
- 22 • MDA L has Interim Measures (IM) also and he would like to see the soil vapor
23 extraction equipment to keep running because contaminants also seem to be
24 migrating out of MDA L.
- 25 • Chromium IM – NMED has requested a new and updated Work Plan for the IM and
26 it is too bad that the Work Plan is not due until September. Mr. Kovac thinks that
27 the public needs more information on pumping in the plume.

28 Mr. Kovac stated that he ran across a document titled, "Semiannual Progress Report on
29 Chromium Plume Control Interim Measure Performance, January through June 2021," and is
30 on the N3B Electronic Public Reading Room Website. Mr. Kovac read the following quotes
31 from this document:

32 *"In recent meetings with DOE, NMED expressed the urgency for a new interim measures*
33 *workplan to reduce and control migration of the chromium plume. The new workplan*
34 *will be based on information obtained over the past three years of monitoring the*
35 *performance and effectiveness of DOE's interim measures and will adequately address*
36 *NMED's technical comments. Of specific concern is the need for adequate delineation of*
37 *chromium at depth, emphasizing extraction over injection to accomplish mass removal*
38 *and plume control, addressing the unfavorable responses identified by NMED, improving*
39 *performance metrics including groundwater modeling and improving the effectiveness*
40 *of the interim measures to move toward the corrective measures evaluation."*

41 Mr. Kovac stated that since 2016, DOE's IM has removed approximately 300 pounds of
42 chromium from the regional aquifer. NMED has quoted that ss of April 2021, this three-year
43 period elapsed and adjustments to the system performance are now necessary to refocus
44 the IM to Its original goal of mass removal via groundwater extraction to build toward the

1 final remedy. He reminded the NNMCAB that there is an estimated 160,000 pounds of
2 Chromium that was released into the underground. Mr. Kovac stated that DOE has removed
3 300 pounds and they were trying to keep the plume from crossing the boundary. He again
4 noted that 160,000 pounds of Chromium was released into the underground between 1956
5 and 1972.

6 Mr. Kovac stated that another mention was DOE's use of monthly averages instead of actual
7 synoptic data is not consistent with the industry standards, it does not comply with
8 discharge permit requirements, and does not provide a better understanding of the long-
9 term changes in the water table caused by the IM activities. He noted that it seems like
10 NMED likes the extraction, it's good, but apparently the injection into the plume just pushes
11 the Chromium around. Mr. Kovac stated that maybe it is time for a public hearing or
12 something on the Chromium plume discharge permit depending on what the DOE comes up
13 with on their new Work Plan. He noted that we still don't know the vertical or horizontal
14 extents of the plume and the characterization of the Chromium is lacking. Mr. Kovac stated
15 that we need to focus and reassess the work on the IM.

16 With no further public comment Ms. Atcitty closed the comment period at 3:23 p.m.

17
18 **XI. Update from New Mexico Environment Department**

19 Mr. Rick Shean, Hazardous Waste Bureau Chief, gave the update for NMED.

20 **Hazardous Waste Bureau Staff Work at LANL** – Finalized Appendix B of the Consent Order
21 for Federal FY 2022. NMED hosted a meeting on January 6, 2022 where they went over the
22 accomplishments for 2021 and presented milestones for 2022. The presentation and
23 Appendix B is available on their website.

24 **DP Middle Road** – DOE is collecting field confirmatory samples. NMED expects to have a
25 solid waste management unit (SWMU) report due to them by April of this year.

26 **Chromium Groundwater Contamination** – NMED has provided comments on the Semi-
27 Annual Chromium Interim Measures Report that was submitted September 2021. NMED is
28 still working with DOE on their response to some of their comments and they hope to have
29 the new Work Plan submitted in September 2022. NMED has reviewed comments on an
30 R-70 assessment report that was submitted December 15th. NMED approved the drilling
31 work plan for R-73. NMED is currently reviewing the results of extended purging of wells
32 R-28 and R-42. DOE and NMED have come to an agreement about the need to replace R-28
33 and they are still evaluating the data for R-42 to see if it can be rehabilitated successfully or
34 replaced at this point.

35 **Other Consent Order Documents** – NMED has reviewed two quarterly reports for R-25 plug
36 and abandonment and they are working with DOE to find a path forward for abandoning the
37 well. NMED has also reviewed periodic monitoring reports for MDA AB and Technical Area
38 16 260 monitoring groups and they have approved Phase 2 Investigation Work Plan for the
39 Threemile Canyon Aggregate Area, Revision 3.

40 **Permit Related LANL Activities** – NMED is still reviewing the permit renewal application and
41 they are holding regular meetings with DOE to resolve the administrative incomplete
42 comments they have made on it.

1 **WIPP Update** – NMED is going through the Class 3 Permit Modification for the excavation of
2 a new shaft and associated connecting drifts. NMED approved the modification and final
3 order in October 27, 2021 and a Notice of Appeal was submitted on November 5, 2021.
4 NMED is currently working with their Office of General Council to review this and consider
5 the next steps going forward so the approved modification currently remains in effect.

6 The 10-year permit renewal is going, the application was submitted by DOE on March 31,
7 2020 and is still under technical review at NMED.

8 The Class 3 modifications for new panels 11 and 12 were submitted July 30, 2021 and the 60-
9 day comment period was completed October 4, 2021. On December 17, 2021 NMED
10 requested that WIPP consolidate the Class 3 with the renewal application and the new
11 deadline for that submittal is March 17, 2022.

12 The Class 2 modification to update Panel 8 Volatile Organic Compound Room-Based Limits
13 was submitted October 15, 2021. A public meeting was held on November 9, 2021. The 60-
14 day comment period ended on December 20, 2021. NMED planned to take final action by
15 February 12, 2022.

16 **Shipments Update (Calendar Year)**

17 Total shipments to WIPP so far this year have been four, two of which are from LANL and
18 the other two are from Idaho. There have been 47 total containers emplaced underground at
19 WIPP so far this year.

20 **XII. Presentation**

21 Mr. Lee Bishop, EM-LA and Mr. Chris Catechis, NMED, presented on, “2016 Compliance
22 Order on Consent Appendix B Proposed Milestones Fiscal Year 2022” An electronic copy of
23 the presentation may be obtained from the NNMCAB Staff
24 (menice.santistevan@em.doe.gov or bridget.maestas@em.doe.gov or by calling (505) 989-
25 1662.
26

27 **XIII. DDFO Follow-Up**

28 **Mr. Grogan’s questions from WebEx Chat:**

29 Two questions for EM regarding the proposed new power line: 1. Could the new
30 transmission line be placed in one of the existing right of way? 2. Could the required power
31 be produced by solar or wind, and produced on site at LANL?
32

33 Mr. Bishop stated that he would take both questions back to NNSA. He is aware of the
34 power line just as the members are from reading about it in the press but will have to take
35 them to NNSA.

36 **DDFO Follow-Up**

37 Mr. Bishop stated that the response to Recommendation 2021-02 was in DOE’s concurrence
38 process and should reach Mr. Mikolanic’s desk by next week and it would be out to the
39 NNMCAB by the next meeting.

40 Mr. Bishop stated that the next meeting would be a combined committee meeting in
41 February and the committees would be meeting to update their Work Plans. He noted that
42 Ms. Santistevan would be sending out the current Work Plans and members will be updating

1 them. Mr. Bishop stated that the March meeting would cover the Integrated Priority List too
2 see how DOE EM-LA manages their budget.

3 Mr. Bishop stated that the Waste Management Symposia would be held in person in
4 Phoenix and there is funding to send two members if anyone would like to sign up, please
5 let Ms. Santistevan know. The Symposia would be March 6-10, 2022.

6 Mr. Bishop stated that EM-LA has their new IT Security person on board and the Ad Hoc
7 Committee for the NNM CAB website can start hashing out how they want the Website to
8 look and start meeting when they are ready.

9 **a. Questions**

10 Ms. Fernandez asked Mr. Bishop about DOE and NMED requesting an extension of
11 deliberations about the Consent Order.

12 Mr. Bishop stated that DOE does not comment on the litigation.

13 Mr. Hull asked if the Ad Hoc committee for the NNM CAB Website had already been
14 established. Mr. Bishop stated that an Ad Hoc committee was in place and Ms. Fernandez
15 and Mr. Neal were on the committee. Mr. Hull stated that he would be interested in
16 participating in the committee also.

17 Mr. Neal stated that it had been over a year ago that the NNM CAB heard a presentation
18 from the UNM Cancer Registry that had excluded a couple of Counties that should have
19 been included. He noted that he is interested in knowing how the UNM Cancer Registry lines
20 up with the Energy Employees Occupational Illness and Compensation Act which is basically
21 compensating employees who get cancer and are eligible for benefits based on them being
22 employed at LANL.

23 Mr. Neal also asked about Technical Review Committees. He stated that he would like to see
24 some kind of effort where the NNM CAB Members can participate in these technical review
25 committees because it is in these committees that a direction to mitigate a certain legacy
26 waste occurs.

27 Mr. Bishop stated that he took notes and DOE would certainly look at that.

28 Mr. Hull stated that the development of a Work Plan is a challenge when everyone is sitting
29 in a room developing the plans and it will be an even greater challenge virtually. He asked if
30 there would be a straw man starting plan that the members can work from. He suggested
31 pre-planning before they have the meeting.

32
33 **XIV. Wrap-up Discussion and Questions**

34 Ms. Fernandez stated that she is grateful for the opportunity to meet virtually. She noted
35 that a reason the NNM CAB met in different areas was to reach the different communities
36 and now meeting virtually, it is a way to bring what the NNM CAB has to more communities
37 to increase participation.

38 Mr. Hayden asked if LANL has plans for re-forestation. Mr. Bishop stated that he would take
39 that question to NNSA.

40 Ms. Atcity thanked all the member for attending the meeting. She noted that the meeting
41 was very informative and there were a lot of good discussions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21
22
23
24

XV. Adjournment

Mr. Bishop thanked everyone for attending the meeting.

With no additional business to discuss, Mr. Bishop adjourned the meeting at 4:41 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ms. Cherylin Atcitty, Chair, NNM CAB

***Minutes prepared by Bridget Maestas, Executive Assistant, NNM CAB**

Attachments

- 1. Final NNM CAB Meeting Agenda for 01/19/2022**
- 2. Final NNM CAB Meeting Minutes for 11/10/2021**
- 3. EM SSAB Chairs Recommendation, “Concerning Public Outreach”**
- 4. EM SSAB Chairs Recommendation, “Draft Ten Year Strategic Plan Development”**
- 5. Presentation by Michael Mikolanis, “Los Alamos National Laboratory Legacy Environmental Cleanup Q1 FY22 Update”**
- 6. Presentation by Lee Bishop, “2016 Compliance Order on Consent Appendix B Milestones for Fiscal Year 2022”**

Public Notice:

***All NNM CAB meetings are recorded. Audio CD’s will be placed on file for review at the NNM CAB office, 94 Cities of Gold Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87506. The written minutes are intended as a synopsis of the meeting.**