

**FINAL
WASTE MANAGEMENT
PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
for
Managing Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of
Radioactive and Hazardous Waste**

Volume II of V

Site Data Tables

**U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Management
1000 Independence Ave.
Washington, DC 20585**

WASTE

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**HH
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED**

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

Contents

II.1.0	Introduction	1-1
II.1.1	Scope	1-1
II.1.2	General Description of Table Formats	1-4
II.1.3	Description of Health Risk Data Tables	1-5
II.1.4	Description of Air Quality Data Tables	1-15
II.1.5	Description of Water Resources Data Tables	1-19
II.1.6	Description of Socioeconomic Impacts Data Tables	1-21
II.1.7	Description of Cost Data Tables	1-24
II.2.0	Argonne National Laboratory-East (ANL-E)	2-1
II.2.1	ANL-E LLMW	2-1
II.2.2	ANL-E LLW	2-17
II.2.3	ANL-E TRUW	2-31
II.3.0	BNL	3-1
II.3.1	BNL LLMW	3-1
II.3.2	BNL LLW	3-17
II.4.0	FEMP	4-1
II.4.1	FEMP LLMW	4-1
II.4.2	FEMP LLW	4-17
II.5.0	Hanford Site	5-1
II.5.1	Hanford LLMW	5-1
II.5.2	Hanford LLW	5-20
II.5.3	Hanford TRUW	5-34
II.5.4	Hanford HLW	5-47
II.5.5	Hanford HW	5-57
II.6.0	INEL	6-1
II.6.1	INEL LLMW	6-1

II.6.2	INEL LLW	6-20
II.6.3	INEL TRUW	6-34
II.6.4	INEL HLW	6-47
II.6.5	INEL HW	6-57
II.7.0	LANL	7-1
II.7.1	LANL LLMW	7-1
II.7.2	LANL LLW	7-17
II.7.3	LANL TRUW	7-31
II.7.4	LANL HLW	7-44
II.7.5	LANL HW	7-45
II.8.0	LLNL	8-1
II.8.1	LLNL LLMW	8-1
II.8.2	LLNL LLW	8-17
II.8.3	LLNL TRUW	8-31
II.9.0	NTS	9-1
II.9.1	NTS LLMW	9-1
II.9.2	NTS LLW	9-17
II.9.3	NTS TRUW	9-31
II.10.0	ORR	10-1
II.10.1	ORR LLMW	10-1
II.10.2	ORR LLW	10-20
II.10.3	ORR TRUW	10-34
II.10.4	ORR HLW	10-47
II.10.5	ORR HW	10-48
II.11.0	PGDP	11-1
II.11.1	PGDP LLMW	11-1
II.11.2	PGDP LLW	11-17
II.11.3	PGDP TRUW	11-31
II.12.0	Pantex Plant	12-1

II.12.1	Pantex LLMW	12-1
II.12.2	Pantex LLW	12-17
II.13.0	PORTS	13-1
II.13.1	PORTS LLMW	13-1
II.13.2	PORTS LLW	13-17
II.14.0	RFETS	14-1
II.14.1	RFETS LLMW	14-1
II.14.2	RFETS LLW	14-17
II.14.3	RFETS TRUW	14-31
II.15.0	SNL-NM	15-1
II.15.1	SNL-NM LLMW	15-1
II.15.2	SNL-NM LLW	15-17
II.15.3	SNL-NM TRUW	15-31
II.16.0	SRS	16-1
II.16.1	SRS LLMW	16-1
II.16.2	SRS LLW	16-20
II.16.3	SRS TRUW	16-34
II.16.4	SRS HLW	16-47
II.16.5	SRS HW	16-57
II.17.0	WIPP	17-1
II.17.1	WIPP LLMW	17-1
II.17.2	WIPP LLW	17-1
II.17.3	WIPP TRUW	17-2
II.18.0	WVDP	18-1
II.18.1	WVDP LLMW	18-1
II.18.2	WVDP LLW	18-16
II.18.3	WVDP TRUW	18-30
II.18.4	WVDP HLW	18-31

SITE DATA TABLES

II.1.0 Introduction

Volume II is an integral part of the Office of Environmental Management's (EM's) Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (WM PEIS), which portrays the impacts of EM's waste management activities at each of the 17 major DOE sites evaluated in the WM PEIS.

II.1.1 Scope

Impacts are displayed for each of the 17 major sites in tabular form as a complement to the impact discussions in waste-type Chapters 6 through 10 (see Table II.1.1). The chapters present background information on each waste type, volume data, existing capacities for managing the wastes, and assumptions used in the waste-type analysis. Readers should refer to these chapters and to Chapter 5, "Impact Analysis Methodologies," for a more thorough discussion of the methodologies, assumptions and definitions associated with these impacts. A synopsis of key definitions and assumptions is also presented at the rear of this introductory section.

Table II.1-1. Waste-Type Chapters

Chapter 6	Impacts of the Management of Low-Level Mixed Waste
Chapter 7	Impacts of the Management of Low-Level Waste
Chapter 8	Impacts of the Management of Transuranic Waste
Chapter 9	Impacts of the Management of High-Level Waste
Chapter 10	Impacts of the Management of Hazardous Waste

Sites were evaluated only when the sites were considered as potential treatment, storage, and/or disposal locations for a given waste type. Not all sites were involved in the alternatives for all waste types. Table II.1-2 presents waste types considered for treatment, storage and/or disposal at the 17 major sites.

Table II.1-2. Waste Types Considered for Treatment, Storage and/or Disposal at Major DOE Sites

SITES	LLMW	LLW	TRUW	HW	HLW
1. ANL-E	X	X	X		
2. BNL	X	X			
3. FEMP	X	X			
4. HS	X	X	X	X	X
5. INEL	X	X	X	X	X
6. LANL	X	X	X	X	
7. LLNL	X	X	X		
8. NTS	X	X	X		
9. ORR	X	X	X	X	
10. PGDP	X	X	X		
11. Pantex	X	X			
12. PORTS	X	X			
13. RFETS	X	X	X		
14. SNL-NM	X	X	X		
15. SRS	X	X	X	X	X
16. WIPP			X		
17. WVDP	X	X			X

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Argonne National Laboratory-East (Illinois) 2. Brookhaven National Laboratory (New York) 3. Fernald Environmental Management Project (Ohio) 4. Hanford Site (Washington) 5. Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (Idaho) 6. Los Alamos National Laboratory (New Mexico) 7. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (California) 8. Nevada Test Site (Nevada) 9. Oak Ridge Reservation (Tennessee) | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 10. Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (Kentucky) 11. Pantex Plant (Texas) 12. Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (Ohio) 13. Rocky Flats Plant (Colorado) 14. Sandia National Laboratory- Albuquerque (New Mexico) 15. Savannah River Site (South Carolina) 16. Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (New Mexico) 17. West Valley Demonstration Plant (New York) |
|--|---|

Fifteen impact categories were analyzed; however, they do not apply to all wastes types, as shown in Table II.1-3 below.

Table II.1-3. Impact Categories Associated With the Waste Types

Impact Category	LLMW	LLW	TRUW	HW	HLW
1. Treatment and Disposal (LLMW & LLW), Treatment (TRUW, HW), or Storage (HLW): Estimated Number of Fatalities	x	x	x	x	x
2. Treatment (LLMW, LLW, TRUW, HW) or Storage (HLW): Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	x	x	x	x*	x
3. Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	x	x			
4. Treatment and Disposal (LLMW & LLW) or Treatment (TRUW): MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	x	x	x		
5. Treatment and Disposal (LLMW & LLW) or Treatment (TRUW): MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	x	x	x		
6. Treatment and Disposal (LLMW) or Treatment (TRUW, HW): Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	x		x	x	
7. Emissions in tons per year of criteria pollutants	x	x	x	x	x
8. Percent standard/guide of criteria pollutants	x	x	x	x	x
9. Percent standard/guideline for hazardous air pollutants and toxic air pollutants	x	x	x	x	x
10. Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	x	x	x	x	x
11. Radionuclide Concentration in Ground Water (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact and Remote Handled)	x	x			
12. Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact and Remote Handled)	x				
13. Socioeconomics Impacts	x	x	x	x	x
14. Infrastructure Impacts	x	x	x	x	x
15. Cost	x	x	x	x	x

* Cancer incidences only.

II.1.2 General Description of Table Formats

Sections II.2 through II.18 present the impacts of managing radioactive and hazardous wastes at each of the 17 major sites. Typical sections are subdivided by waste type, then by impact category. Impacts are presented in the form of tables for applicable impact categories and sites. Each of these tables provides a comparative analysis: displaying the magnitude of a given impact for each of the alternatives analyzed by this PEIS. [These alternatives are described in detail in Chapter 3 as well as in the specific waste-type chapters (see Table II.1-1)].

When reviewing the impacts and costs identified for the No Action Alternative for LLMW and TRUW, it is important to realize that the results for indefinite storage of those waste types are based on the initial 20 years of that indefinite period. This is consistent with the period of analysis for the other alternatives; however, not shown are the impacts from storage expected beyond this 20-year time frame. The longer term storage impacts and costs are likely to exceed those for the first 20 years, not only as a result of routine indefinite storage operations, but also from degradation of facilities and containers. This differs from the effects predicted for the action alternatives for management of the 20-year forecast of LLMW and TRUW, where risks to workers and the offsite population, and other impacts and costs, are reduced following disposal. The No Action Alternative does not reduce or avoid impacts and costs; rather it causes impacts and costs to be experienced every year for an indefinite period of time. A discussion of the longer term impacts expected for indefinite storage of LLMW can be found in Section 6.16 of Chapter 6, Volume I. A brief discussion of the longer term effects of storage of TRUW can be found in Section 8.3.1 of Chapter 8, Volume I, with a more detailed assessment in the *Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Disposal Phase Draft Supplemental II Environmental Impact Statement* (DOE/EIS-0026-S-2).

It should also be noted that the No Action Alternative for HLW does not provide enough canister storage capacity for all of the canisters that would be produced after treatment of HLW. Provision of adequate storage would lead to costs and impacts as great as shown for the other HLW alternatives. A discussion of the assumptions made to address this shortage of storage capacity in the HLW analyses is contained in Section 9.3.1 of Chapter 9, Volume I.

Impact tables are often further subdivided to present impacts associated with the treatment of waste versus those associated with the disposal of waste. A glossary is provided in Volume I for acronyms and selected terms appearing under these two major headings in the tables. Also in Volume I, Chapter 5 provides a detailed explanation of each of the 14 impact categories.

The site tables that present information for LLMW and LLW include two columns, one labeled with a T for Treatment and the other labeled with a D for Disposal. The information in these two columns represents, for the alternative concerned, the number of sites that are treating LLMW or LLW, and the number of sites disposing LLMW or LLW.

The site tables that present information for TRUW include three columns, one labeled CH Treat, the next labeled RH Treat, and the third labeled Treat STD (treatment standard). The information in these three columns represents, for the alternative concerned, the number of sites treating contact-handled TRUW, the number of sites treating remote-handled TRUW, and the treatment standard that the sites are using (i.e., to meet current waste acceptance criteria at WIPP (WIPP WAC), or to reduce the potential for gas generation after disposal, or to meet land disposal restrictions (LDRs) under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

The site tables that present information for HLW include a column that is labeled with an S for Storage. The information in this column represents, for the alternative concerned, the number of sites storing HLW.

The site tables that present information for HW include a column that is labeled with a T for Treatment. The information in this column represents, for the alternative concerned, the number of sites treating HW.

II.1.3 Description of Health Risk Data Tables

Background

Health risk impacts can result from exposure to radiation and chemicals and from physical trauma associated with constructing and operating treatment, storage and/or disposal facilities or transporting waste. Health effects resulting from radiation exposure, whether from sources external or internal to the body, can affect either the exposed individual (known as “somatic” effects, such as cancer) or descendants of the exposed individual (known as “genetic” effects). Chapter 5 of Volume I provides additional information about the methodologies and assumptions used in the health risk analysis.

The WM PEIS evaluated potential health risks to a number of receptor populations and individuals including:

- The offsite population—those individuals living within an 80 km (50-mile) radius of the site, as well as along transportation routes
- Noninvolved workers population—the workers on DOE sites who are not involved directly in waste management activities
- Waste management workers population (or “waste management workers”)—onsite employees working in an installation’s waste management facilities, including workers involved in the waste management process, construction workers who build the waste management facilities, and those operating the trucks and trains that transport the waste
- Maximally exposed individual (MEI) for the offsite population—hypothetical individual in the offsite population who would receive the highest total lifetime multimedia dose
- MEI for the noninvolved worker population—hypothetical individual in the noninvolved worker population who would receive the highest total lifetime multimedia dose
- Hypothetical farm family most exposed lifetime MEI—hypothetical individual in the most exposed lifetime of the farm family who would receive the highest dose from groundwater contamination following disposal of LLW and LLMW

- A hypothetical intruder—an individual who would experience maximum potential risks from direct contact with disposed LLW and LLMW upon the loss of institutional control. The hypothetical intruder risks are not reported in Volume II Site Data Tables; see Chapters 6 and 7 of Volume I for this information.
- A waste management worker—an individual who would experience potential noncancer effects, as estimated using the Exposure Index, following exposure to the hazardous chemical constituents of LLMW, TRUW, and HW.

Population impacts focus on the *total number* of people in each population who would experience adverse health impacts if a particular alternative is implemented. Individual impacts focus on the *probability* (e.g., one-in-one million) that the individual would experience an adverse health impact over his or her lifetime.

The types of potential health impacts evaluated include:

- Fatalities from physical hazards
- Latent cancer fatalities from radiation exposure
- Cancer incidences from radiation or chemical exposure
- Genetic effects from radiation exposure
- Noncancer effects from chemical exposure (e.g., headaches, nasal irritation, liver or kidney toxicity, neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity, and reproductive and developmental toxicity)

The WM PEIS did not estimate the incidence of nonfatal cancers from exposure to radionuclides. However, the number of nonfatal cancers can be derived from the total cancer incidence estimates by subtracting the estimated number of fatal cancer cases. Note that both the total cancer incidence and the nonfatal cancer incidence values are overestimated by factor of about two because the estimates contain a relatively large component of skin cancers. The International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) dose conversion factor (see "Interpreting the Health Risk Tables") used in the WM PEIS to estimate total cancer incidence includes incidences of skin cancer. However, the internal exposure pathways evaluated in the WM PEIS (i.e., inhalation or ingestion of radionuclides) are not likely to induce large numbers of skin cancer cases.

Data in the risk tables are presented in scientific notation; see Table II.1-4 for an explanation of this format.

Table II.1-4. Scientific Notation and "E" Notation

Scientific notation is used in the WM PEIS to express numbers that are so large or so small that they can be difficult to read or write. Scientific notation is based on the use of positive and negative powers (or exponents) of 10. A number written in scientific notation is expressed as the product of a number between 1 and 10 times a positive or negative power of 10. Some positive and negative powers of 10 include:

Positive Powers of 10
 $10^1 = 10 \times 1 = 10$
 $10^2 = 10 \times 10 = 100$
 and so on, therefore,
 $10^6 = 1,000,000$ (or 1 million)

Negative Powers of 10
 $10^{-1} = 1/10 = 0.1$
 $10^{-2} = 1/100 = 0.01$
 and so on, therefore,
 $10^6 = 0.000001$ (or 1 in 1 million)

A power of 10 is also commonly expressed as "E," where "E" means "x 10." For example, 3×10^5 can also be written as $3E+05$, and 3×10^{-5} is equivalent to $3E-05$. Therefore, $3E+05=300,000$ and $3E-05=0.00003$.

The health risk data in this volume use "E" notation with negative exponents. An important value for relative comparison of health risk probability estimates is the number "1 in 1 million." This value appears in the data tables as "1E-06." It can also be expressed as 1×10^{-6} , and is equivalent to 0.000001, or 1/1,000,000.

Tables II.1-5 and II.1-6 provide indices for the health risk information contained in the Volume II Site Data Tables. Interpreting the results of the health risk analyses involves consideration of both uncertainties and appropriate standards. See Chapter 5 of Volume I for a further discussion of these issues.

The Volume II Site Data Tables are organized by waste type. Table II.1-5 indicates the health risk endpoint/population receptor combinations that were evaluated for each waste type. Table II.1-6 presents similar information for individual receptors.

Table II.1-5. Crosswalk of Health Risk Information for Receptor Populations Contained in Volume II Site Data Tables

Receptors	Health Risk Endpoints					Number of Radiation Genetic Effects
	Number of Radiation Cancer Fatalities	Number of Physical Trauma Fatalities	Number of Radiation Cancer Incidences	Number of Chemical Cancer Incidences	Number of Radiation Genetic Effects	
Offsite Population	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	NA	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, TRUW, HW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW
Noninvolved Workers	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	NA	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, TRUW, HW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW
WM Workers	LLMW, LLW, TRUW, HLW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW, HLW, HW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW, HLW	LLMW, TRUW, HW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW, HLW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW, HLW

NA = not applicable.

Table II.1-6. Crosswalk of Health Risk Information for Receptor Individuals Contained in Volume II Site Data Tables

Receptors*	Health Risk Endpoints					Noncancer Effects (Hazard Index/ Exposure Index)
	Radiation Cancer Fatality Probability	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Noncancer Effects (Hazard Index/ Exposure Index)	
Offsite MEI	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, TRUW, HW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, TRUW, HW	LLMW, TRUW, HW
Noninvolved Worker MEI	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, TRUW, HW	LLMW, LLW, TRUW	LLMW, TRUW, HW	LLMW, TRUW, HW
WM Worker	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	LLMW, TRUW, HW
Hypothetical Farm Family MEI (most exposed lifetime)	LLMW, LLW	LLMW, LLW	LLMW	LLMW, LLW	LLMW, LLW	LLMW

*Volume II risk tables do not contain results for the Hypothetical Intruder. See Chapters 6 and 7 of Volume I and Appendix D in Volume II for results for this receptor (LLMW and LLW only).

NA = not applicable.

Table II.1-7 provides a summary of the exposure pathways evaluated for treatment, storage and disposal activities.

Table II.1-7. Exposure Pathways for Treatment, Storage, Transportation, and Disposal Activities

Waste Processing Phase	Pathway	Potentially Exposed Populations and Individuals
Treatment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Routine emissions 	Atmospheric <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inhalation • Ingestion of crops and animals Direct Radiation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public within 50-mile radius • Onsite employees, evenly distributed within site borders (atmospheric only) • Onsite MEI (atmospheric only) • Offsite MEI (atmospheric only) • Waste management worker (inhalation and direct radiation only)
Storage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Routine emissions 	Atmospheric <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inhalation • Ingestion of crops and animals Direct Radiation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public within 50-mile radius • Onsite employees, evenly distributed within site borders (atmospheric only) • Onsite MEI (atmospheric only) • Offsite MEI (atmospheric only) • Waste management worker (inhalation and direct radiation only)
Disposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Routine emissions 	Atmospheric <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inhalation Groundwater <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ingestion of drinking water • Irrigation of crops • Watering of livestock • Bathing Direct Radiation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waste management worker (atmospheric and direct radiation during disposal operations only) • Hypothetical farm family (ingestion of groundwater and food) • Hypothetical intruder (ingestion of crops and soil, inhalation of soil particulates, direct radiation)

Interpreting the Health Risk Tables

This section provides some examples of the types of health risk tables found in this volume and describes how the data should be interpreted using the following series of tables for management of LLMW. Table II.1-8 presents information on the estimated number of fatalities resulting from treatment and disposal of LLMW for several receptor groups (i.e., Waste Management Workers, Offsite Population, Noninvolved Workers). Since each of these receptor groups contains multiple individuals, the estimated health risks are presented as the number of potential adverse health effects in each population. For example, under the "Treatment" heading, "Waste Management Workers" are the first receptor group listed. Under the "Waste Management Workers" heading, the column entitled "Radiation Exposure" contains the estimated number of latent cancer fatalities resulting from radiation exposure of waste management workers.

Table II.1-8. LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker			Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker		
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure			Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	--	4.4E-02	9.1E-02	2.6E-02	1.2E-04	NA	NA	NA	
Decentralized	37	16	1.9E-02	2.1E-01	1.3E-03	6.1E-06	1.24E-02	3.91E-02		
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.0E-02	4.7E-02	6.0E-06	2.8E-08	NA	NA		
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.0E-02	4.7E-02	6.0E-06	2.8E-08	NA	NA		
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.0E-02	4.7E-02	6.0E-06	2.8E-08	NA	NA		
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.0E-02	4.7E-02	6.0E-06	2.8E-08	NA	NA		
Centralized	1	1	1.0E-02	4.7E-02	6.0E-06	2.8E-08	NA	NA		

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; -- = disposal of LLMW is not considered for this alternative; NA = not applicable.

Waste management workers are assumed to be exposed to direct radiation during the 10-year operational lifetime of the treatment facility. However, radiation-induced latent cancer fatalities are estimated over the entire 70-year lifetime of the workers since a fatal cancer could occur throughout the lifetime of exposed workers. The numbers in this column are all less than one, ranging from 0.01 to 0.044. These values mean that less than one worker cancer fatality is estimated to result from direct radiation exposure received during treatment of LLMW under each of the waste management alternatives at this site. The data listed under the column headings "Offsite Population Radiation Exposure" and "Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure", as well as the column labeled "Waste Management Workers Radiation Exposure" under the "Disposal" heading can be interpreted in a similar manner.

The columns labeled "Physical Hazards" for waste management workers list the estimated numbers of worker fatalities resulting from physical trauma encountered during construction and operation of the treatment and disposal facilities. These values were estimated using an assumed 10-year period for facility construction followed by a 10-year period of operation.

Similar types of population level impact tables present estimates of the number of cancer and genetic effects in various receptor groups, as shown in Table II.1-9 below.

Table II.1-9. LLMW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population						Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	--	5.2E+01	8.8E-02	3.8E-04	5.2E-03	2.5E-01	4.2E-04	7.9E-06	2.5E-05	1.1E+02	1.6E-01	2.2E-06	6.7E-03	
Decentralized	37	16	2.6E+00	4.5E-03	1.8E-05	2.6E-04	1.2E-02	2.1E-05	3.7E-07	1.2E-06	4.8E+01	6.7E-02	2.2E-04	2.9E-03	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.2E-02	2.0E-05	1.4E-07	1.2E-06	5.6E-05	9.6E-08	3.0E-09	5.6E-09	2.6E+01	3.6E-02	1.1E-06	1.6E-03	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.2E-02	2.0E-05	1.4E-07	1.2E-06	5.6E-05	9.6E-08	3.0E-09	5.6E-09	2.6E+01	3.6E-02	1.1E-06	1.6E-03	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.2E-02	2.0E-05	1.4E-07	1.2E-06	5.6E-05	9.6E-08	3.0E-09	5.6E-09	2.6E+01	3.6E-02	1.1E-06	1.6E-03	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.2E-02	2.0E-05	1.4E-07	1.2E-06	5.6E-05	9.6E-08	3.0E-09	5.6E-09	2.6E+01	3.6E-02	1.1E-06	1.6E-03	
Centralized	1	1	1.2E-02	2.0E-05	1.4E-07	1.2E-06	5.6E-05	9.6E-08	3.0E-09	5.6E-09	2.6E+01	3.6E-02	1.1E-06	1.6E-03	

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; -- = disposal of LLMW is not considered for this alternative.

This table represents estimates of the radiation doses received by the receptor groups as well as the number of cancer incidences and genetic effects. Note that radiation dose estimates for populations are presented in the tables in units of "person-rem," which is the sum of the radiation dose, measured in units of rems, received by each individual in the receptor group. Numbers of adverse health effects are estimated based on the application of risk factors to the dose estimates produced by the models used in the analysis. The risk factors used, listed below, are from the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) published in 1990:

<u>Endpoint</u>	<u>Risk Factors for Public Receptors</u>	<u>Risk Factors for Workers</u>
Cancer incidence	0.0017/rem-lifetime	0.0014/rem-lifetime
Cancer fatality	0.0005/rem-lifetime	0.0004/rem-lifetime
Genetic effects	0.0001/rem-lifetime	0.00006/rem-lifetime

For example, for the "Offsite Population," under the No Action Alternative, the estimated dose is 52 person-rem. Multiplying this value by the cancer incidence risk factor for public receptors of 0.0017 cancer/rem-lifetime listed above produces the estimate of 0.088 cancers listed in the table. For "Waste Management Workers," under the Decentralized Alternative, the estimated dose is 48 person-rem. Multiplying this dose by the genetic effects risks factor for workers of 0.00006 genetic effects/rem-lifetime listed above produces the estimate of 2.9E-03 listed in the table. Similar calculations can be made using the dose estimates and the appropriate cancer fatality risk factor to obtain the radiation fatality values listed in Table II.1-8. Note that slight differences in values are due to rounding and that the risk factors for public receptors were used to calculate risks for the "Noninvolved Workers". Also note that the risk factors presented above are used only in converting radiation doses to estimates of adverse health effects; the chemical cancer incidence values listed in the table are calculated in a different manner (see Chapter 5 of Volume I and Appendix D for additional information).

The WM PEIS also evaluated health risk impacts to hypothetical individuals estimated to experience the maximum exposure (i.e., the maximally exposed individual, or MEI) within the offsite population and noninvolved worker receptor groups (for treatment) and the most exposed lifetime of the farm family (for disposal). Table II.1-10 is an example of an MEI table that presents data on cancer fatality probabilities resulting from treatment and disposal. Since the MEI receptors are by definition single individuals, the risk estimates are *probabilities* that the MEI within each receptor population would experience an adverse health impact. Chapter 5 of Volume II contains a discussion of risk benchmarks that may be helpful in interpreting the risk probability estimates. Note that the MEI radiation exposure estimates are presented in units of "rem." Similar tables present information on probabilities of cancer incidence and genetic effects.

Table II.1-10 is an example of an MEI table that presents data on cancer fatality probabilities resulting from treatment an disposal.

Table II.1-10. LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	--	1.4E-07	1.2E-07	NA
Decentralized	37	16	7.2E-09	6.1E-09	8.5E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.3E-11	2.8E-11	NA
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.3E-11	2.8E-11	NA
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.3E-11	2.8E-11	NA
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.3E-11	2.8E-11	NA
Centralized	1	1	3.3E-11	2.8E-11	NA

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; MEI = maximally exposed individual; -- = disposal of LLMW is not considered for this Alternative; NA = not applicable.

Table II.1-11 is an example of a table that presents noncancer health risk data. Noncancer health risk estimates are for single individuals and result from exposure to chemicals that are not believed to cause cancer. The data presented in the table are index values rather than probabilities. Both the Hazard Index (for the Offsite population MEI, Noninvolved Worker MEI, and the Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI) and the Exposure Index (for the WM Worker) are ratios of concentrations. They compare the estimated exposure concentrations of chemicals to concentrations thought to be protective of health (i.e., concentrations that should not produce adverse health effects upon prolonged exposure). If the index or ratio values exceed 1, there is a potential for adverse noncancer health effects. Values below 1 indicate that adverse noncancer health effects are not of concern. Since the values in Table II.1-11 are less than 1, these receptors are not at risk for adverse noncancer health effects under the waste management alternatives evaluated at this site.

Table II.1-11. LLMW—Noncancer Health Risk for Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index	
No Action	3	--	2.7E-06	8.1E-06	4.7E-06	NA
Decentralized	37	16	1.8E-07	5.4E-07	6.0E-04	5.5E-01
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.2E-09	3.5E-09	4.7E-06	NA
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.2E-09	3.5E-09	4.7E-06	NA
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.2E-09	3.5E-09	4.7E-06	NA
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.2E-09	3.5E-09	4.7E-06	NA
Centralized	1	1	1.2E-09	3.5E-09	4.7E-06	NA

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; MEI = maximally exposed individual; -- = Disposal of LLMW is not considered for this alternative; NA = not applicable.
Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

II.1.4 Description of Air Quality Data Tables

DOE evaluated air quality impacts at each proposed waste management site on the basis of estimated increases in emissions of the six criteria air pollutants, hazardous air pollutants (which include radionuclides), and toxic air pollutants. Pollutant emission estimates were made for the construction, and operations and maintenance (O&M) activities of waste management facilities.

The Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 *et seq.*) regulates emissions of air pollutants. In those areas where criteria air pollution standards are not met (known as "nonattainment areas"), activities that introduce new sources of emissions from both "stationary" (e.g., treatment, storage, and/or disposal facilities) and mobile (e.g., vehicles and construction equipment) sources are regulated under the "General Conformity Rule." In this rule, EPA has established limits for each criteria pollutant for nonattainment areas. A Federal entity that seeks to engage in an activity that will result in emissions equal to or greater than those limits in a nonattainment area, in addition to obtaining a New Source Review permit, must also conduct a formal conformity determination.

In "attainment areas" (where criteria air pollution standards are met), new and existing sources of emissions from stationary sources are regulated. In these areas, regulations for the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) of ambient air quality apply. Allowable emission increases are known as PSD increments. A PSD permit is required for a new stationary source that equals or exceeds the allowable increase. A PSD permit is not required for criteria pollutant emissions from mobile sources.

Criteria air pollutants can be emitted from construction equipment and from vehicles that workers use to drive to the construction site. Both are considered to be "mobile sources." Criteria air pollutants are also emitted during the O&M of waste management facilities (stationary sources) and by vehicles that are driven by workers to the facility or used to transport waste (mobile sources). DOE evaluated air quality impacts for these pollutants at each site by comparing estimated increases in tons per year to the allowable emission limits (General Conformity Rules in nonattainment areas or PSD increments in attainment areas). Estimated concentrations resulting from criteria air pollutant emissions from facilities were also compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) (40 CFR 50).

Thermal treatment of waste will also result in emission of small quantities of hazardous and toxic air pollutants. Hazardous air pollutants, other than radionuclides, and toxic air pollutants were evaluated by comparing estimated ambient concentrations to EPA guidelines and State Ambient Allowable Limits. Radionuclides from air emissions were evaluated by comparing the annual radiation dose to a maximally exposed individual (MEI) with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants—10 millirems per year (mrem/yr) (40 CFR 61).

Major Types of Air Pollutants

Criteria Air Pollutants: carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), lead (Pb), ozone (O₃), and particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns in diameter (PM₁₀)

Hazardous Air Pollutants: 189 hazardous substances (including radionuclides) whose emissions are regulated by the Clean Air Act

Toxic Air Pollutants: Other toxic compounds regulated by EPA and state or local governments

Volume II contains three air quality tables for each site, for each waste type. The first is similar to example Table II.1-12 provided below, and shows the emissions of criteria air pollutants in tons per year for construction and operations. For construction, the table includes total emissions, and in parenthesis, emissions from construction equipment exhaust and worker vehicles. For operations, the table includes total emissions, and in parenthesis, emissions from stationary-sources (waste management facilities), and mobile-sources (worker and waste shipment vehicles).

Table II.1-12. Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	T	D	CO	NO ₂	Pb	PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC	CO	NO ₂	Pb	PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC		
No Action	3	--	12(1/11)	5(3/2)	0	0	0	2(1/1)	9(1/8)	4(2/2)	0	0	0	1((0/1)		
Decentralized	37	16	58(5/53)	24(13/11)	0	1(1/0)	1(1/0)	7(1/6)	50(0/50)	15(5/10)	0	3(3/0)	0	6(0/6)		
Regionalized	11	12	70(5/65)	25(12/13)	0	1(1/0)	1(1/0)	9(1/8)	52(1/51)	15(5/10)	0	4(4/0)	0	6(0/6)		
Centralized	1	1	93(25/68)	99(44/54)	0	6(5/1)	6(6/0)	38(6/32)	93(3/90)	75(33/42)	0	23(22/1)	2(2/0)	25(0/25)		

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; -- = disposal is not considered for this alternative. VOC = volatile organic compounds; CO = carbon monoxide; NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide; Pb = lead; PM₁₀ = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter; SO₂ = sulfur dioxide. Emissions < 1 ton per year are shown as zeros.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emissions).

The second table is similar to example Table II.1-13 provided below, and shows the percentage of applicable tons per year standards for emissions listed in the first table. The second table also shows the percentage of applicable National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs) for criteria air pollutant concentrations due to releases from incineration. Double dashes are used to show where information is not applicable or not available.

Table II.1-13. Percent of Standard/Guidelines for Criteria Air Pollutants

Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction											Operations & Maintenance										
			Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)											Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)							Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)			
			T	D	CO	NO ₂	Pb	PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC	CO(4)	NO ₂ (4)	Pb(4)	PM ₁₀ (5)	SO ₂ (4)	VOC(4)	CO	NO ₂	Pb	PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC		
No Action	3	--	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	1	4	0	5(1/4)	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
Decentralized	37	16	NA	NA	NA	3(2/1)	NA	NA	1	12	0	4(1/3)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized	11	12	NA	NA	NA	3(2/1)	NA	NA	1	13	0	10(2/8)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Centralized	1	1	NA	NA	NA	12(11/7)	NA	NA	3	82	1	25(5/20)	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0			

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; -- = disposal is not considered for this alternative; NA = not applicable; PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule. CO = carbon monoxide. NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM₁₀ = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO₂ = sulfur dioxide. VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

(1) GCR de minimis levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicle emissions).

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the

No Action and minimum treatment (no incineration) alternatives are assumed to be negligible.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant; therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

(5) Nonattainment area for this pollutant; therefore GCR de minimis levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).

The final table is similar to example Table II.1-14 provided below, and shows the percentages of the applicable concentration standards for hazardous and toxic air pollutants. The percentages of the 10 mrem per year total dose standard for the air pathway under the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) are also included in this table.

Table II.1-14. Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance							
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	
No Action	3	--	0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	
Regionalized	11	12	0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	
Centralized	1	1	1	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal;
 -- = disposal is not considered for this alternative; NA = not applicable.
 Percentages < 1% are shown as zeros.

II.1.5 Description of Water Resources Data Tables

DOE evaluated the effects on water availability from building and operating treatment, storage and disposal facilities. Impacts on surface water and groundwater availability were assessed by comparing current water use rates from municipal, surface water, or groundwater sources to projected requirements for construction or operation of waste management facilities. In addition, impacts on surface water were further assessed by examining the effect of potential withdrawals from and discharges to the major offsite water body at a given site. Volume II tables identify projected water usage under any alternative.

In addition, DOE evaluated the impacts to groundwater quality caused by the migration of radionuclides and chemicals that leach from LLMW and LLW disposal facilities over time. DOE calculated concentrations of radionuclides and hazardous components at a hypothetical well located 300 meters from the center of the disposal facility, and compared these to DOE or EPA drinking water standards. For radionuclides, most of the allowable drinking water concentrations equate to a 4 mrem per year effective dose equivalent.

The drinking water standards are used as comparison criteria for groundwater quality. Although they are not enforceable standards, they are often used as goals for contaminated site cleanup actions under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act. DOE and EPA established these criteria to protect human health, therefore groundwater concentrations of radionuclides and chemicals at or below these levels present a low risk.

The concentrations of hazardous constituents in the groundwater from disposal of LLMW are largely due to assumptions on the routing of wastes through the treatment system. As shown in the LLMW flow diagram in Chapter 6 (Figure 6.2-1), some wastes containing solvents were assumed to bypass the thermal treatment processes. The solvents in these wastes were not destroyed, but instead, ended up in the disposal facility. Some of these wastes contain solvents in large enough concentrations to cause drinking water standards to be exceeded when the wastes are disposed. In practice, LLMW to be disposed would meet EPA standards for treatment and disposal, and therefore should not produce major impacts to groundwater quality. Therefore, although the absolute values of the results for hazardous constituent contamination in groundwater are higher than would result from wastes treated to EPA standards, the results are still useful in showing the relative suitability of the sites. Even with the conservative assumptions used in the WM PEIS, drinking water standards were not exceeded at some sites. This may indicate that these sites are better for LLMW disposal than other sites.

The performance of disposal facilities at a specific site would be evaluated in greater detail in DOE's Performance Assessment process under DOE Order 5820.2A. This process would help to ensure that all regulatory requirements are met and significant contamination of groundwater would not occur.

Volume II contains two types of water resources tables for each site. The first type of table (Table II.1-15) presents the water use in gallons per day for construction and operations, along with the percent of current use and percent of streamflow for the water use. This table also provides the percent of streamflow for wastewater discharges, assuming all water used by the facility during operations is discharged. The first type of table is provided for all five waste types. The second type of table (Table II.1-16) provides results of the analysis of impacts to groundwater quality from the disposal of LLMW and LLW. Concentrations of radionuclides are shown as a percentage of drinking water standards. In addition, tables that show the percent of drinking water standards for hazardous constituents are provided for LLMW.

Table II.1-15. Impacts on Water Resources due to Increased Water Use

Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	--	9,920	0.1	0.00	11,491	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Decentralized	37	16	24,085	0.1	0.00	14,992	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized	11	12	24,085	0.1	0.00	14,992	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Centralized	1	1	7,888	0.0	0.00	4,686	0.0	0.00	0.00	

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; -- = disposal is not considered for this alternative. Water is supplied by surface water from the river. Current water use = 18,300,000 gallons/day. Wastewater is discharged to the River. Average flow rate of the River = 3,003,000,000 gallons/day.

Table II.1-16. Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Drinking Water Standard) for Disposal

Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	
	T	D*																						
No Action	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	1,000	600	600	0
Regionalized	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	1,000	600	600	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	1,000	600	600	0

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; -- = no disposal for this alternative.

II.1.6 Description of Socioeconomic Impacts Data Tables

DOE estimated the effects of expenditures for waste management on the regional economies at the 17 major sites and on the national economy. Regional economic effects were based on direct expenditures at each site for construction, operations and maintenance, and decontamination of treatment and disposal facilities. The region-of-influence (ROI), where these effects were evaluated, consists essentially of the counties of residence of site employees. The economy at each site was represented by employment, personal income, and industry output (revenue) data for the ROI counties. Transportation expenditures were not considered in the regional level analysis.

Regional economic effects were estimated on an annual basis. The impacts resulting from the construction and operation phase expenditures were combined to estimate total project effects at each site. For all alternatives, the construction phase at any site was assumed to take 4 years; the operations phase was assumed to follow the construction phase immediately and to take 15 years (a 10-year operations and maintenance period and a 5-year decontamination period). Five years was added to the operations phase to account for the continued effects on employment and income after this last phase ends. Job and personal income increases only are shown for each site in the Volume II site tables. Industry effects are listed in the impacts technical report.

In addition to the economic effects, proposed waste management activities could affect the social environment by increasing population. Potential population changes in the ROI were estimated using the direct labor requirement to calculate potential worker in-migration. These estimates were used to evaluate the likelihood that population changes would cause social effects, such as changes in community size and diversity, and effects on the provision of necessary social services.

Volume II contains one socioeconomic impacts table for each site, for each waste type. The table is similar to example Table II.1-17 below which presents information used to assess the impacts of the waste management alternatives on the regional economies and population. The cost required for the waste management alternatives is listed since this is the factor that drives the socioeconomic impacts. To be consistent with the socioeconomic baseline data (1990 census) on the regional economies, the costs listed here have been corrected to 1990 dollars from the costs in 1994 dollars appearing in the cost tables. The annual number of jobs and the percent annual change in the number of jobs in the ROI are supplied as an indicator of impacts to employment in the region. The annual income attributable to the waste management action, and the percent change in the annual income in the ROI are presented as a measure of economic effects. The percent change in the local population is provided as a measure of the potential for changes in community size and diversity that may produce adverse social effects.

Table II.1-17. Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal Alternatives

Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives						
			Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income			% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
No Action	T 3	D --	230	187	0.09	2.0	0.03	0.05	
Decentralized	T 37	D 16	759	772	0.36	8.2	0.13	0.17	
Regionalized	T 11	D 12	828	842	0.39	9.0	0.14	0.19	
Centralized	T 1	D 1	3507	3567	1.66	38.1	0.58	0.76	

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; ROI = region of influence; -- = disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared with 1990 baseline.

Land Use and Infrastructure Impacts

DOE examined the impacts of the waste management alternatives on land use by comparing the acreage required for construction of new treatment and disposal facilities to the acreage either designated for waste operations or suitable for development. Suitable land is the total site acreage, minus the acreage of existing structures, known cultural resource areas, sensitive habitats (including wetlands and wildlife management areas), prohibitive topographic features, surface waters, and any other features that would preclude development.

DOE evaluated the impacts on site infrastructure by comparing existing onsite capacities to new WM requirements for water, wastewater treatment, and power. Water and power were evaluated for both construction and operations; wastewater treatment was evaluated only for operations because wastewater from construction activities was assumed to be negligible. Where onsite maximum capacity information was unavailable, the proposed requirement was evaluated as a percentage of current use. Increased site employment was used as an indicator of increased demand on the community infrastructure.

Volume II contains one land use and infrastructure table for each site, for each waste type. Table II.1-18 provides an example of this table. The table provides information on land use, water supply systems, wastewater treatment systems, electrical power supply systems, and site employment. The table shows the acres required for the waste management action and the percent of designated or suitable land areas proposed to be used; the water, wastewater and power demands, and the corresponding percent of current capacity that would be required; and peak construction employment and the percent of current site employment that this construction employment represents.

Table II.1-18. Land Use and Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
			Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment (FTE)	
			T	D	--	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment
No Action	3	--	--	11.2	0.94	29,232	1.62	2,066	0.08	4.32	18.76	111	2			
Decentralized	37	16	--	7.5	0.63	11,457	0.64	5,603	0.22	0.97	4.24	430	10			
Regionalized	11	12	--	0.3	0.02	757	0.04	757	0.03	0.11	0.49	39	1			
Centralized	1	1	--	0.3	0.02	757	0.04	757	0.03	0.11	0.49	39	1			

Notes: T = treatment; D = Disposal; GPD = gallons per day; MW = megawatts; FTE = full-time employee; -- = disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

II.1.7 Description of Cost Data Tables

DOE estimated costs for building and operating treatment storage and disposal facilities, and for transportation, from both a life-cycle and process perspective, using 1994 dollars.

Life-Cycle Costs: DOE evaluated facility costs for four phases representing the life-cycle of the facilities and their operations: pre-operations, construction, operations and maintenance, and decontamination and decommissioning.

- Costs for pre-operation activities consist of technology and site adaptation, including bench scale tests and demonstration; permitting; plant startup and cold run costs; and related conceptual design, safety analysis, project management, and contingencies.
- Facility construction costs consist of building construction, equipment purchase and installation, contractor overhead, and related design; construction management; project management; and contingencies.
- Operations and maintenance costs consist of annual operations labor and material, maintenance labor and equipment, utilities, contractor supervision and overhead, and related project management and contingencies.
- Decontamination and decommissioning costs consist of facility decontamination and demolition, environmental closure, post-closure, and monitoring activities.

Process Costs: DOE also analyzed costs based on treatment, storage, disposal, and transportation activities.

- Treatment costs include costs to build and operate treatment facilities (such as wastewater treatment or incineration) and support facilities (such as maintenance and certification/shipping-facilities).
- Storage capacity, for the purpose of the WM PEIS analysis, was assumed to be sufficient for a number of alternatives as discussed in Chapters 6-10. When necessary, DOE estimated the costs to build and operate sufficient storage capacity.
- Disposal costs include costs to build and operate administration and receiving facilities for disposal as well as the actual disposal units.
- Transportation costs include the costs associated with the physical movement of the waste from one site to another, for either treatment or disposal. Transportation costs are evaluated for both truck transportation and rail shipments, and are displayed as total transportation costs for each alternative. They are not presented in the site data tables.

Volume II contains one table as shown in Table II.1-19 that displays costs for each of the above components. The table first displays total costs as the sum of facility costs (site cost tables exclude transportation). The next columns display total "facility" costs by life-cycle component, followed by columns that display total "facility" costs by process (e.g., treatment, storage, disposal—as applicable).

Table II.1-19. Cost

Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions)	Life-Cycle Costs					Functional Area Costs		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)	
No Action	3	--	207	16	53	125	14	88	118	0	
Decentralized	37	16	739	72	207	431	29	431	0	308	
Regionalized	11	12	96	8	18	61	10	96	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	96	8	18	61	10	96	0	0	

Notes: T = treatment; D = disposal; -- = disposal is not considered for this alternative. The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not add to the total cost. (1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.2.0 Argonne National Laboratory-East (ANL-E)

ANL-E currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, and TRUW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.2.1 ANL-E LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at ANL-E.

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	ANL-E—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-2.1-1	2-2
2.	ANL-E—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-2.1-2	2-3
3.	ANL-E—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-2.1-3	2-4
4.	ANL-E—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-2.1-4	2-5
5.	ANL-E—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-2.1-5	2-6
6.	ANL-E—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-2.1-6	2-7
7.	ANL-E—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-2.1-7	2-8
8.	ANL-E—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-2.1-8	2-9
9.	ANL-E—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-2.1-9	2-10
10.	ANL-E—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-2.1-10	2-11
11.	ANL-E—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-2.1-11	2-12
12.	ANL-E—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-2.1-12	2-13
13.	ANL-E—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-2.1-13	2-14
14.	ANL-E—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-2.1-14	2-15
15.	ANL-E—LLMW—Cost	II-2.1-15	2-16

Table II-2.1-1-1. ANL-E-LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	6.5E-05	1.8E-03	2.6E-04	1.2E-06	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	6.7E-05	6.4E-03	1.7E-05	7.3E-08	2.6E-05	3.2E-04	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.2E-05	3.8E-03	5.9E-07	8.6E-10	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.2E-05	3.8E-03	5.9E-07	8.6E-10	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.2E-05	3.8E-03	5.9E-07	8.6E-10	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.2E-05	3.8E-03	5.9E-07	8.6E-10	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	3.2E-05	3.8E-03	5.9E-07	8.6E-10	--	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-2.1-2. ANL-E-LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population						Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker					
	T	D	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Genetic Effects		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence	
			Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects		Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects		Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects
No Action	3	-	5.2E-01	8.8E-04	8.8E-04	6.2E-06	5.2E-06	3.3E-06	2.5E-03	4.2E-06	1.3E-07	1.3E-07	2.5E-07	1.6E-01	2.3E-04	2.3E-04	6.1E-09	9.8E-08
Decentralized	37	16	3.3E-02	5.6E-05	2.6E-06	2.6E-06	3.3E-06	1.9E-04	2.5E-07	2.5E-07	5.8E-09	5.8E-09	1.5E-08	1.7E-01	2.9E-04	2.9E-04	1.6E-07	1.0E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.2E-03	2.0E-06	1.2E-07	1.2E-07	1.2E-07	1.7E-06	2.9E-09	2.9E-09	1.3E-10	1.3E-10	1.7E-10	8.1E-02	1.1E-04	2.3E-09	2.3E-09	4.9E-06
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.2E-03	2.0E-06	6.2E-09	6.2E-09	1.2E-07	1.7E-06	2.9E-09	2.9E-09	1.3E-10	1.3E-10	1.7E-10	8.1E-02	1.1E-04	2.3E-09	2.3E-09	4.9E-06
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.2E-03	2.0E-06	6.2E-09	6.2E-09	1.2E-07	1.7E-06	2.9E-09	2.9E-09	1.3E-10	1.3E-10	1.7E-10	8.1E-02	1.1E-04	2.3E-09	2.3E-09	4.9E-06
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.2E-03	2.0E-06	6.2E-09	6.2E-09	1.2E-07	1.7E-06	2.9E-09	2.9E-09	1.3E-10	1.3E-10	1.7E-10	8.1E-02	1.1E-04	2.3E-09	2.3E-09	4.9E-06
Centralized	1	1	1.2E-03	2.0E-06	6.2E-09	6.2E-09	1.2E-07	1.7E-06	2.9E-09	2.9E-09	1.3E-10	1.3E-10	1.7E-10	8.1E-02	1.1E-04	2.3E-09	2.3E-09	4.9E-06

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-2.1.1-3. ANL-E—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	6.6E-02	9.2E-05	3.9E-06	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-2.1-4. ANL-E-LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	-	1.4E-09	1.2E-09	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	9.1E-11	7.4E-11	8.5E-07	
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.3E-12	8.7E-13	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.3E-12	8.7E-13	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.3E-12	8.7E-13	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.3E-12	8.7E-13	--	
Centralized	1	1	3.3E-12	8.7E-13	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-2.1-5. ANL-E--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Most Exposed Farm Family Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	2.8E-06	4.8E-09	3.9E-11	2.8E-10	2.9E-06	2.5E-07	1.2E-10	4.2E-09	1.2E-10	2.5E-10	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.8E-07	3.1E-10	1.7E-12	1.8E-11	1.5E-07	4.9E-12	2.5E-10	4.9E-12	1.5E-11	1.5E-11	1.7E-03	2.9E-06	1.4E-06	1.7E-07	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	6.5E-09	1.1E-11	3.9E-14	6.5E-13	1.7E-08	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	1.7E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	6.5E-09	1.1E-11	3.9E-14	6.5E-13	1.7E-08	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	1.7E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	6.5E-09	1.1E-11	3.9E-14	6.5E-13	1.7E-08	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	1.7E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	6.5E-09	1.1E-11	3.9E-14	6.5E-13	1.7E-08	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	1.7E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	6.5E-09	1.1E-11	3.9E-14	6.5E-13	1.7E-08	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	3.0E-12	1.2E-13	1.7E-13	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-2.1-6. ANL-E-LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		
			MEI Hazard Index	Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index	
No Action	3	-	1.0E-08	3.0E-08	1.7E-07	--
Decentralized	37	16	8.0E-10	2.4E-09	8.3E-06	1.7E-02
Regionalized-1	11	12	4.8E-12	1.4E-11	1.6E-07	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	4.8E-12	1.4E-11	1.6E-07	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	4.8E-12	1.4E-11	1.6E-07	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	4.8E-12	1.4E-11	1.6E-07	--
Centralized	1	1	4.8E-12	1.4E-11	1.6E-07	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
Exposure Index = Ratio of Exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-2.1-7. ANL-E-LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)								Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)					
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	No Action	3	-	2 (1/1)	2 (2/0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	10 (4/6)	11 (10/1)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-1	11	12	11 (2/9)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	7	6	11 (2/9)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	11 (2/9)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-4	4	6	11 (2/9)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	11 (2/9)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-2.1-8. ANL-E-LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	--	10 (9/1)	--	0	--	1 (1/0)	
Decentralized	37	16	--	44 (39/5)	--	1 (1/0)	--	7 (4/3)	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	27 (20/7)	--	0	--	6 (2/4)	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	27 (20/7)	--	0	--	6 (2/4)	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	27 (20/7)	--	0	--	6 (2/4)	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	27 (20/7)	--	0	--	6 (2/4)	
Centralized	1	1	--	27 (20/7)	--	0	--	6 (2/4)	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)							
			CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (6)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	5 (1/4)	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicles emission).

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized Alternative.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

(5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions)

(6) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions)

Table II-2.1-9. ANL-E-LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead	
	No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichloro-fluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride			
	No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--		
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-2.1-10. ANL-E-LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	1337	0.2	--	37	0.0	--	0.00	
Decentralized	37	16	2826	0.5	--	919	0.1	--	0.00	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1500	0.2	--	287	0.0	--	0.00	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1500	0.2	--	287	0.0	--	0.00	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1500	0.2	--	287	0.0	--	0.00	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1500	0.2	--	287	0.0	--	0.00	
Centralized	1	1	1500	0.2	--	287	0.0	--	0.00	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by groundwater in the Niagara Aquifer. Current water use = 626,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Des Plains River.
 Average flow rate of the Des Plains River = 582,000,000 gallons/day.
 -- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-2.1-11. ANL-E--LLMW--Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	
	T	D	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	233	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-2.1-12. ANL-E--LLMW— Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	30	0	2	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	6	0	0	20	1	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-2.1-13. ANL-E-LLMW--Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives						
			Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)	
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
No Action	3	-	19	19	<0.01	0.2	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Decentralized	37	16	53	52	<0.01	0.6	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-1	11	12	28	28	<0.01	0.3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-2	7	6	28	28	<0.01	0.3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-3	7	1	28	28	<0.01	0.3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-4	4	6	28	28	<0.01	0.3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Centralized	1	1	28	28	<0.01	0.3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-2.1-14. ANL-E-LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
	T	D	Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
No Action	3	-	0.6	0.05	1336	0.07	37	0.001	0.08	0.34	25	1				
Decentralized	37	16	2.3	0.2	2825	0.16	919	0.04	0.31	1.33	25	1				
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.3	0.10	1500	0.08	286	0.01	0.01	0.32	8	0.2				
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.3	0.10	1500	0.08	286	0.01	0.01	0.32	8	0.2				
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.3	0.10	1500	0.08	286	0.01	0.01	0.32	8	0.2				
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.3	0.10	1500	0.08	286	0.01	0.01	0.32	8	0.2				
Centralized	1	1	1.3	0.10	1500	0.08	286	0.01	0.01	0.32	8	0.2				

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
GPD = Gallons per Day
MW = Megawatts
(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-2.1-15. ANL-E—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)			
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)	
	No Action	3		-	22	2	7	13	0.4	9	13
Decentralized	37	16	59	4	14	38	3	56	--	3	
Regionalized-1	11	12	32	2	4	25	1	32	--	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	32	2	4	25	1	32	--	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	32	2	4	25	1	32	--	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	32	2	4	25	1	32	--	0	
Centralized	1	1	32	2	4	25	1	32	--	0	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.
(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.2.2 ANL-E LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following, portray the impacts of LLW at ANL-E. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	ANL-E—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-2.2-1	2-18
	2.	ANL-E—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-2.2-2	2-19
	3.	ANL-E—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-2.2-3	2-20
	4.	ANL-E—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-2.2-4	2-21
	5.	ANL-E—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-2.2-5	2-22
	7.	ANL-E—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-2.2-6	2-23
	8.	ANL-E—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-2.2-7	2-24
	9.	ANL-E—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-2.2-8	2-25
	10.	ANL-E—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-2.2-9	2-26
	11.	ANL-E—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-2.2-10	2-27
	13.	ANL-E—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-2.2-11	2-28
	14.	ANL-E—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-2.2-12	2-29
	15.	ANL-E—LLW—Cost	II-2.2-13	2-30

Table II-2.2-1. ANL-E-LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	4.2E-02	7.1E-02	2.3E-06	9.3E-09	--	--		
Decentralized		16	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	4.3E-02	6.3E-02		
Regionalized-1		12	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-3		6	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	3.2E-02	5.1E-02	2.5E-06	9.8E-09	--	--		

Note:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-2.2-2. ANL-E—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Worker			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	10**	6	4.7E-03	8.0E-06	4.7E-07	1.9E-05	3.2E-08	1.9E-09	1.1E+02	1.5E-01
Decentralized		16	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Regionalized-1		12	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Regionalized-2	11	12	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Regionalized-3		6	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Regionalized-4	7	6	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Regionalized-5	4	6	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Regionalized-6		2	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Regionalized-7		2	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Centralized-1		1	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Centralized-2		1	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Centralized-3	7	1	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Centralized-4	7	1	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03
Centralized-5	1	1	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	5.0E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-08	2.0E-09	7.9E+01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-3. ANL-E-LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	1.1E+02	1.5E-01	6.5E-03
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-4. ANL-E—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	10**	6	1.3E-11	9.4E-12	--
Decentralized		16	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	2.9E-05
Regionalized-1		12	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Regionalized-3		6	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Regionalized-6		2	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Regionalized-7		2	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Centralized-1		1	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Centralized-2		1	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Centralized-3	7	1	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Centralized-4	7	1	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--
Centralized-5	1	1	1.4E-11	1.0E-11	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
- - = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-5. ANL-E-LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI			Most Exposed Lifetime MEI			Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability				
	T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability						
No Action	10**	6	2.6E-08	4.4E-11	2.6E-12	1.9E-08	3.2E-11	1.9E-12	--	--	5.7E-02	9.7E-05	5.7E-06				
Decentralized		16	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-1		12	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-3		6	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-5	4	6	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-6		2	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-7		2	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Centralized-1		1	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Centralized-2		1	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Centralized-3	7	1	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Centralized-4	7	1	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				
Centralized-5	1	1	2.8E-08	4.7E-11	2.8E-12	2.0E-08	3.4E-11	2.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--				

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-6. ANL-E-LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)								Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)							
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	10**	6	10 (3/7)	9 (8/1)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0				
Decentralized		16	13 (5/8)	14 (13/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	19 (0/19)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)				
Regionalized-1		12	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Regionalized-2	11	12	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Regionalized-3		6	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Regionalized-4	7	6	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Regionalized-5	4	6	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Regionalized-6		2	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Regionalized-7		2	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Centralized-1		1	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Centralized-2		1	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Centralized-3	7	1	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Centralized-4	7	1	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Centralized-5	1	1	8 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-7. ANL-E-LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)										Operations & Maintenance Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)										Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (6)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC							
																					CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO
No Action	10**	6	--	36 (31/5)	--	0	--	6 (3/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Decentralized		16	--	58 (52/6)	--	1 (1/0)	--	9 (5/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-1		12	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-3		6	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-4		6	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-5		4	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-6		2	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-7		2	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-1		1	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-2		1	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-3	7	1	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-4	7	1	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-5	1	1	--	12 (6/6)	--	0	--	4 (1/4)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--							

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)										Operations & Maintenance Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)										Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (6)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC							
																					CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO
No Action	10**	6	--	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Decentralized		16	0	17 (2/15)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9 (0/9)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-1		12	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-3		6	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-4		6	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-5		4	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-6		2	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Regionalized-7		2	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-1		1	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-2		1	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-3	7	1	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-4	7	1	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							
Centralized-5	1	1	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--							

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
(1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicle emissions).
(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
(5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
(6) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-8. ANL-E-LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	10**	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	Tetrachloro-ethane	1,1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:

T= Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-9. ANL-E-LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	1875	0.3	--	640	0.1	--	<0.1	
Decentralized		16	12053	1.9	--	1014	0.2	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-1		12	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	11	12	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-3		6	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	7	6	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-5	4	6	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-6		2	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-7		2	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-1		1	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-2		1	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-3	7	1	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-4	7	1	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-5	1	1	2099	0.3	--	646	0.1	--	<0.1	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Water supplied by groundwater in the Niagara Aquifer. Current water use = 626,000 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to the Des Plains River. Average flow rate of the Des Plains River = 582,000 gallons/day.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-2.2-10. ANL-E-LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12																					
Regionalized-2		11																					
Regionalized-3		6																					
Regionalized-4		7																					
Regionalized-5		4																					
Regionalized-6		2																					
Regionalized-7		2																					
Centralized-1		2																					
Centralized-2		1																					
Centralized-3		7																					
Centralized-4		1																					
Centralized-5		1																					

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	10**	6	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	80	93	
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1		12																						
Regionalized-2		11																						
Regionalized-3		6																						
Regionalized-4		7																						
Regionalized-5		4																						
Regionalized-6		2																						
Regionalized-7		2																						
Centralized-1		2																						
Centralized-2		1																						
Centralized-3		7																						
Centralized-4		1																						
Centralized-5		1																						

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 - - = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-2.2-11. ANL-E-LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	10**	6	125	100	0.00	1.2	0.00	0.00
Decentralized		16	344	344	0.01	4.0	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-1		12	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-2	11	12	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-3		6	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-4	7	6	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-5		6	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-6		2	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-7		2	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Centralized-1		1	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Centralized-2		1	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Centralized-3	7	1	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Centralized-4	7	1	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00
Centralized-5	1	1	94	94	0.00	1.1	0.00	0.00

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-12. ANL-E-LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment		
T	D										(1)			
No Action	10**	6	0.8	0.06	1875	0.10	0.02	0.11	0.49	53	1			
Decentralized		16	4.1	0.33	12053	0.67	0.04	1.70	7.40	195	4			
Regionalized-1		12	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Regionalized-3		6	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Regionalized-6		2	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Regionalized-7		2	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Centralized-1		1	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Centralized-2		1	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Centralized-3	7	1	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Centralized-4	7	1	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			
Centralized-5	1	1	1.5	0.12	2099	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.90	59	1			

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1990 Site Employment.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-2.2-13. ANL-E-LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	142	8	28	101	5	142	0	0
Decentralized		16	389	30	93	177	89	106	0	283
Regionalized-1		12	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Centralized-1		1	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Centralized-2		1	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	106	9	30	61	6	106	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.2.3 ANL-E TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at ANL-E. These impacts are presented in the following tables.

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	ANL-E—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-2.3-1	2-32
	2.	ANL-E—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-2.3-2	2-33
	4.	ANL-E—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-2.3-3	2-34
	5.	ANL-E—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-2.3-4	2-35
	6.	ANL-E—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-2.3-5	2-36
	7.	ANL-E—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-2.3-6	2-37
	8.	ANL-E—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-2.3-6	2-37
	9.	ANL-E—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-2.3-7	2-38
	10.	ANL-E—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-2.3-8	2-39
	13.	ANL-E—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-2.3-9	2-40
	14.	ANL-E—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-2.3-10	2-41
	15.	ANL-E—TRUW—Cost	II-2.3-11	2-42
			II-2.3-12	2-43

Table II-2.3-1. ANL-E--TRUW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Treatment									
	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards					
	Treat	Treat	Standard	Exposure	Hazards	Exposure	Exposure			
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	9.8E-07	2.8E-02	6.2E-07	3.2E-09			
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	8.8E-03	1.2E-01	2.0E-06	1.0E-08			
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	8.8E-03	4.5E-02	1.7E-06	9.0E-09			
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	8.8E-03	4.5E-02	1.7E-06	9.0E-09			
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	8.8E-03	4.5E-02	1.7E-06	9.0E-09			
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	8.8E-03	4.5E-02	1.7E-06	9.0E-09			

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-2.3-2. ANL-E-TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

Number of Sites		Treat	Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Workers			
CH	RH		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
16	5	WIPP WAC	1.2E-03	2.1E-06	3.8E-10	1.2E-07	6.5E-06	1.1E-08	8.0E-12	6.5E-10	2.5E-03	3.4E-06	4.8E-10	1.5E-07
16	5	WIPP WAC	4.0E-03	6.7E-06	1.5E-09	4.0E-07	2.1E-05	3.5E-08	3.1E-11	2.1E-09	2.2E+01	3.1E-02	1.9E-09	1.3E-03
5	2	Reduce Gas	3.5E-03	5.9E-06	2.9E-09	3.5E-07	1.8E-05	3.1E-08	6.0E-11	1.8E-09	2.2E+01	3.1E-02	2.8E-09	1.3E-03
5	2	LDR	3.5E-03	5.9E-06	2.9E-09	3.5E-07	1.8E-05	3.1E-08	6.0E-11	1.8E-09	2.2E+01	3.1E-02	2.8E-09	1.3E-03
3	2	LDR	3.5E-03	5.9E-06	2.9E-09	3.5E-07	1.8E-05	3.1E-08	6.0E-11	1.8E-09	2.2E+01	3.1E-02	2.8E-09	1.3E-03
WIPP	2	LDR	3.5E-03	5.9E-06	2.9E-09	3.5E-07	1.8E-05	3.1E-08	6.0E-11	1.8E-09	2.2E+01	3.1E-02	2.8E-09	1.3E-03

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Table II-2.3-3. ANL-E-TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	No Action**	16		5	WIPP WAC
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.1E-11	1.0E-11
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	9.7E-12	9.0E-12
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	9.7E-12	9.0E-12
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	9.7E-12	9.0E-12
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	9.7E-12	9.0E-12

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 ***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-2.3-4. ANL-E-TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment													
			Offsite			MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI			Radiation				
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Treatment Standard	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	
No Action **	16	5	6.9E-09	1.2E-11	0.0E+00	6.9E-13	6.5E-09	1.1E-11	0.0E+00	1.1E-11	6.5E-09	WIPP WAC	6.5E-09	1.1E-11	0.0E+00	6.5E-13
Decentralized ***	16	5	2.2E-08	3.7E-11	0.0E+00	2.2E-12	2.1E-08	3.5E-11	2.8E-14	2.1E-08	2.1E-08	WIPP WAC	2.1E-08	3.5E-11	2.8E-14	2.1E-12
Regionalized-1	5	2	1.9E-08	3.3E-11	1.3E-14	1.9E-12	1.8E-08	3.1E-11	5.4E-14	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	Reduce Gas	1.8E-08	3.1E-11	5.4E-14	1.8E-12
Regionalized-2	5	2	1.9E-08	3.3E-11	1.3E-14	1.9E-12	1.8E-08	3.1E-11	5.4E-14	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	LDR	1.8E-08	3.1E-11	5.4E-14	1.8E-12
Regionalized-3	3	2	1.9E-08	3.3E-11	1.3E-14	1.9E-12	1.8E-08	3.1E-11	5.4E-14	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	LDR	1.8E-08	3.1E-11	5.4E-14	1.8E-12
Centralized	WIPP	2	1.9E-08	3.3E-11	1.3E-14	1.9E-12	1.8E-08	3.1E-11	5.4E-14	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	LDR	1.8E-08	3.1E-11	5.4E-14	1.8E-12

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites then to WIPP.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-2.3-5. ANL-E—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	7.7E-13	2.3E-12	1.9E-08
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	5.9E-12	1.7E-11	3.8E-08
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.0E-11	3.0E-11	1.4E-07
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.0E-11	3.0E-11	1.4E-07
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.0E-11	3.0E-11	1.4E-07
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.0E-11	3.0E-11	1.4E-07

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-2.3-6. ANL-E-TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	CH	RH	STD	WIPP	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	Treat	Treat		WAC																				
No Action**	16	5		WIPP	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	6 (0/6)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Decentralized***	16	5		WIPP	34 (2/32)	12 (6/6)	0	0	1 (1/0)	5 (1/4)	10 (0/10)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-1	5	2		Reduce Gas	32 (2/30)	10 (4/6)	0	0	0	4 (0/4)	7 (0/7)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-2	5	2		LDR	22 (2/20)	10 (6/4)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	9 (0/9)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-3	3	2		LDR	22 (2/20)	10 (6/4)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	9 (0/9)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Centralized	WIPP	2		LDR	22 (2/20)	10 (6/4)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	9 (0/9)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								

Notes:
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emissions).
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emissions).
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-2.3-7. ANL-E—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction						
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
				CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	49 (23/26)	--	1 (0/0)	--	--	17 (2/15)
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	40 (16/24)	--	0	--	--	16 (2/14)
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	34 (25/9)	--	1 (1/0)	--	--	8 (2/6)
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	34 (25/9)	--	1 (1/0)	--	--	8 (2/6)
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	34 (25/9)	--	1 (1/0)	--	--	8 (2/6)

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Treat STD	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)						Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
	CH Treat	RH Treat	WIPP WAC		Operations & Maintenance						CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
					Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)											
	CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)		PM10 (6)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	9 (1/8)	0	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:

- Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
- CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
- VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
- (1) GCR only applies to pollutants in nonattainment.
- (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
- (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives assumed to be negligible.
- (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
- (5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
- (6) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
- ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
- *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-2.3-8. ANL-E—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
															Treatment Standard
No Action**	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized***	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	Operations & Maintenance										
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
														Treat
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	--	--	--

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled

Table II-2.3-9. ANL-E-TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations						
	CH	RH		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow			
	Treat	Treat		WIPP	WAC	WIPP	WAC	WIPP	WAC	WIPP			
No Action**	16	5	WIPP	WAC	--	--	--	921	0.1	--	--	--	<0.1
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP	WAC	4769	0.8	--	1509	0.2	--	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce	Gas	3207	0.5	--	941	0.2	--	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR		3726	0.6	--	941	0.2	--	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR		3726	0.6	--	941	0.2	--	--	--	<0.1
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR		3726	0.6	--	941	0.2	--	--	--	<0.1

Notes:
 Water supplied by groundwater in the Niagara Aquifer. Current water use = 626,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Des Plains River.
 Average flow rate of the Des Plains River = 582,000,000 gallons/day.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 -- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-2.3-10. ANL-E-TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	91	73	0.00	0.9	0.00	0.0
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	292	292	0.01	3.4	0.00	0.0
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	198	199	0.01	2.3	0.00	0.0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	295	295	0.01	3.4	0.00	0.0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	295	295	0.01	3.4	0.00	0.0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	295	295	0.01	3.4	0.00	0.0

Notes:
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-2.3-11. ANL-E-TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Acres Required	% of Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action**	16	5	0	0.00	921	0.05	921	0.05	0.09	0.41	0	0.00		
Decentralized***	16	5	2.7	0.23	4769	0.26	1509	0.06	0.44	1.93	172	3.90		
Regionalized-1	5	2	1.4	0.12	3207	0.18	941	0.04	0.27	1.17	114	2.60		
Regionalized-2	5	2	1.8	0.15	3726	0.21	941	0.04	0.31	1.34	207	4.60		
Regionalized-3	3	2	1.8	0.15	3726	0.21	941	0.04	0.31	1.34	207	4.60		
Centralized	WIPP	2	1.8	0.15	3726	0.21	941	0.04	0.31	1.34	207	4.60		

Notes:

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1990 Site Employment.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-2.3-12. ANL-E--TRUW--Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Retrieval Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	104	0	0	86	18	0	92	12
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	330	25	89	183	33	0	308	22
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	224	18	56	140	10	0	224	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	334	24	118	178	14	0	334	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	334	24	118	178	14	0	334	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	334	24	118	178	14	0	334	0

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.3.0 BNL

BNL currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW. The waste type is treated independently in the following sections.

II.3.1 BNL LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at BNL.

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	BNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-3.1-1	3-2
2.	BNL—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-3.1-2	3-3
3.	BNL—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-3.1-3	3-4
4.	BNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-3.1-4	3-5
5.	BNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-3.1-5	3-6
6.	BNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-3.1-6	3-7
7.	BNL—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-3.1-7	3-8
8.	BNL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-3.1-8	3-9
9.	BNL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-3.1-9	3-10
10.	BNL—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-3.1-10	3-11
11.	BNL—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-3.1-11	3-12
12.	BNL—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-3.1-12	3-13
13.	BNL—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-3.1-13	3-14
14.	BNL—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-3.1-14	3-15
15.	BNL—LLMW—Cost	II-3.1-15	3-16

Table II-3.1-1. BNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
			WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
	T	D	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	7.3E-05	2.0E-03	1.1E-04	7.0E-07	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1.2E-04	1.6E-02	1.2E-05	7.1E-08	6.1E-05	1.5E-03	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.7E-05	4.0E-03	5.2E-08	3.2E-10	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	5.7E-05	4.0E-03	5.2E-08	3.2E-10	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	5.7E-05	4.0E-03	5.2E-08	3.2E-10	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.7E-05	4.0E-03	5.2E-08	3.2E-10	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	5.7E-05	4.0E-03	5.2E-08	3.2E-10	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-3.1-2. BNL--LLMW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker				
	T	D	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		
			(person-rem)		(person-rem)		(person-rem)		(person-rem)		(person-rem)		(person-rem)		
No Action	3	-	3.9E-04	8.0E-06	2.3E-05	2.4E-06	2.8E-07	2.4E-06	2.8E-07	1.4E-07	1.4E-07	1.8E-01	2.6E-04	1.9E-08	1.1E-05
Decentralized	37	16	4.1E-05	7.3E-07	2.4E-06	2.4E-07	2.5E-08	2.4E-07	2.5E-08	1.4E-08	1.4E-08	3.1E-01	4.3E-04	1.9E-06	1.8E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.8E-07	9.0E-09	1.0E-08	6.4E-07	3.1E-10	1.1E-09	3.1E-10	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	1.4E-01	2.0E-04	1.6E-08	8.5E-06
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.0E-04	9.0E-09	1.0E-08	6.4E-07	3.1E-10	1.1E-09	3.1E-10	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	1.4E-01	2.0E-04	1.6E-08	8.5E-06
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.8E-07	9.0E-09	1.0E-08	6.4E-07	3.1E-10	1.1E-09	3.1E-10	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	1.4E-01	2.0E-04	1.6E-08	8.5E-06
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.8E-07	9.0E-09	1.0E-08	6.4E-07	3.1E-10	1.1E-09	3.1E-10	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	1.4E-01	2.0E-04	1.6E-08	8.5E-06
Centralized	1	1	1.8E-07	9.0E-09	1.0E-08	6.4E-07	3.1E-10	1.1E-09	3.1E-10	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	1.4E-01	2.0E-04	1.6E-08	8.5E-06

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-3.1-3. BNL—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.5E-01	2.1E-04	9.2E-06	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-3.1-4. BNL--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI	Noninvolved Worker MEI		Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
			Cancer Fatality Probability	Cancer Fatality Probability	Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	3	-	1.5E-09	7.8E-10		--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.6E-10	7.9E-11	1.1E-05		
Regionalized-1	11	12	7.0E-13	3.6E-13	--		
Regionalized-2	7	6	7.0E-13	3.6E-13	--		
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.0E-13	3.6E-13	--		
Regionalized-4	4	6	7.0E-13	3.6E-13	--		
Centralized	1	1	7.0E-13	3.6E-13	--		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-3.1-5. BNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Most Exposed Farm Family Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	3.0E-06	5.2E-09	1.3E-10	3.0E-10	3.0E-10	1.6E-06	1.6E-06	1.3E-10	1.6E-10	1.6E-10	1.6E-10	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	3.2E-07	5.4E-10	1.2E-11	3.2E-11	3.2E-11	1.6E-07	1.6E-07	1.2E-11	1.2E-11	1.6E-11	1.6E-11	2.1E-02	3.9E-05	8.1E-06	2.1E-06	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	1.5E-13	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	7.1E-10	7.1E-10	1.5E-13	1.2E-12	1.5E-13	7.1E-14	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	1.5E-13	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	7.1E-10	7.1E-10	1.5E-13	1.2E-12	1.5E-13	7.1E-14	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	1.5E-13	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	7.1E-10	7.1E-10	1.5E-13	1.2E-12	1.5E-13	7.1E-14	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	1.5E-13	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	7.1E-10	7.1E-10	1.5E-13	1.2E-12	1.5E-13	7.1E-14	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	1.5E-13	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	7.1E-10	7.1E-10	1.5E-13	1.2E-12	1.5E-13	7.1E-14	--	--	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-3.1-6. BNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal	
	T	D	Offsite		Noninvolved Worker MEI			WM Worker Exposure Index
			MEI Hazard Index	Hazard Index	Worker MEI Hazard Index	Hazard Index		
No Action	3	-	1.3E-07	1.3E-07	1.3E-07	4.4E-07	Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index --	
Decentralized	37	16	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	5.0E-05	1.6E-01	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	4.4E-07	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	4.4E-07	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	4.4E-07	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	4.4E-07	--	
Centralized	1	1	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	4.4E-07	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of Exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-3.1-7. BNL—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)								Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)							
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
	No Action	3	-	2 (1/1)	2 (2/0)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0			
Decentralized	37	16	11 (0/11)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0				
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0				
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0				
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0				
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0				
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0				

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-3.1-8. BNL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year											
			General Conformity Rule (1)											
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
									CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	3	-	--	8 (7/1)	--	--	--	2 (1/1)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	14 (5/9)	--	--	--	6 (1/5)	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)											
			Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)											
			CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	3	-	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicles emission).
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized Alternative.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).

Table II-3.1-9. BNL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
			0	0	0	--	0	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
			--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--
No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-3.1-10. BNL--LLMW--Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	1736	<0.1	--	117	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Decentralized	37	16	2083	<0.1	--	370	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	<0.1	--	42	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	<0.1	--	42	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	<0.1	--	42	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	<0.1	--	42	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized	1	1	0	<0.1	--	42	<0.1	--	<0.1	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Water supplied by groundwater in the Upper Glacial Aquifer and Magothy Aquifer. Current water use = 4,500,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to the Peconic River.
Average flow rate of the Peconic River = 1,000,000 gallons/day.
-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-3.1-11. BNL—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac 225	Ac 227	Am 241	Am 242	Am 243	C 14	Cs 135	Cs 137	Cm 242	Cm 244	Cm 246	I 129	Pb 210	Np 237	Ni 59	Ni 63	Pd 107	Pu 238	Pu 239	Pu 240	Pu 241
	T	D																					
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po 210	K 40	Pa 231	Ra 223	Ra 226	Sm 151	Se 79	Sr 90	Tc 99	Th 227	Th 228	Th 229	Th 230	Th 232	Sn 126	U 233	U 234	U 235	U 236	U 238	Y 90	Zr 93
	T	D																						
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-3.1-12. BNL—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	20	0	1	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloroethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	7	0	0	7	3	1	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-3.1-13. BNL—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	3	-	22	18	0.00	0.2	0.00	0.00
Decentralized	37	16	88	92	0.01	1.0	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-1	11	12	7	8	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-2	7	6	7	8	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-3	7	1	7	8	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-4	4	6	7	8	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00
Centralized	1	1	7	8	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-3.1-14. BNL—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
	T	D	Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)			
			Acres Required	% of Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW) (2)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	3	-	1.0	0.03	1736	0.03	117	0.01	0.23	0.49	10	0.3		
Decentralized	37	16	1.6	0.06	2083	0.03	370	0.02	0.2	0.42	86	2		
Regionalized-1	11	12	0.0	0.00	42	0.00	42	0.00	0.06	0.12	0	0		
Regionalized-2	7	6	0.0	0.00	42	0.00	42	0.00	0.06	0.12	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	0.0	0.00	42	0.00	42	0.00	0.06	0.12	0	0		
Regionalized-4	4	6	0.0	0.00	42	0.00	42	0.00	0.06	0.12	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	0.0	0.00	42	0.00	42	0.00	0.06	0.12	0	0		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment. (2) Electrical requirement for the Decentralized Alternative is less than that for the No Action Alternative because of the decreased waste volume after treatment.

Table II-3.1-15. BNL—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
	No Action	3		-	25	2	4	9	10	10
Decentralized	37	16	84	14	44	21	6	84	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	8	0	0	4	5	8	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	8	0	0	4	5	8	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	8	0	0	4	5	8	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	8	0	0	4	5	8	0	0
Centralized	1	1	8	0	0	4	5	8	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.3.2 BNL LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray impacts of LLW at BNL. These tables are presented as follows:

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	BNL — LLW — Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-3.2-1	3-18
2.	BNL — LLW — Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-3.2-2	3-19
3.	BNL — LLW — Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-3.2-3	3-20
4.	BNL — LLW — Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-3.2-4	3-21
5.	BNL — LLW — Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-3.2-5	3-22
7.	BNL — LLW — Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-3.2-6	3-23
8.	BNL — LLW — Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-3.2-7	3-24
9.	BNL — LLW — Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-3.2-8	3-25
10.	BNL — LLW — Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-3.2-9	3-26
11.	BNL — LLW — Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-3.2-10	3-27
13.	BNL — LLW — Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-3.2-11	3-28
14.	BNL — LLW — Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-3.2-12	3-29
15.	BNL — LLW — Cost	II-3.2-13	3-30

Table II-3.2-1. BNL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
			WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
	T	D	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	6.0E-02	5.1E-02	2.2E-06	1.1E-08				
Decentralized	--	16	3.6E-02	2.2E-02	9.7E-09	2.4E-11	3.8E-02	2.3E-02		
Regionalized-1	--	12	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	3.1E-11	--	--		
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-3	--	6	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-6	--	2	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-7	--	2	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Centralized-1	--	1	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Centralized-2	--	1	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	3.4E-09	2.0E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	3.9E-02	4.3E-02	2.3E-06	1.2E-08	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-3.2.2-2. BNL—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Worker		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	10**	6	4.4E-03	7.4E-06	4.4E-07	2.3E-05	3.9E-08	2.3E-09	1.5E+02	2.1E-01
Decentralized	--	16	1.9E-05	3.3E-08	1.9E-09	4.8E-08	8.1E-11	4.8E-12	9.1E+01	1.3E-01	5.5E-03
Regionalized-1	--	12	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Regionalized-2	11	12	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Regionalized-3	--	6	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Regionalized-4	7	6	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Regionalized-6	--	2	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Regionalized-7	--	2	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Centralized-1	--	1	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Centralized-2	--	1	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Centralized-3	7	1	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Centralized-4	7	1	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03
Centralized-5	1	1	4.7E-03	7.9E-06	4.7E-07	2.4E-05	4.1E-08	2.4E-09	9.9E+01	1.4E-01	5.9E-03

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-3.2-3. BNL--LLW--Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6				
Decentralized	--	16	9.4E+01	1.3E-01	5.6E-03	
Regionalized-1	--	12				
Regionalized-2	11	12				
Regionalized-3	--	6				
Regionalized-4	7	6				
Regionalized-5	4	6				
Regionalized-6	--	2				
Regionalized-7	--	2				
Centralized-1	--	1				
Centralized-2	--	1				
Centralized-3	7	1				
Centralized-4	7	1				
Centralized-5	1	1				

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.
** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-3.2-4. BNL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Probability	
No Action	10**	6	2.9E-11	1.3E-11	1.3E-11	--
Decentralized	--	16	1.3E-13	2.7E-14	4.6E-04	--
Regionalized-1	--	12	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Regionalized-3	--	6	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Regionalized-6	--	2	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Regionalized-7	--	2	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Centralized-1	--	1	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Centralized-2	--	1	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	3.1E-11	1.3E-11	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative
** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction

Table II-3.2-5. BNL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal Hypothetical		
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability						
No Action	10**	6	5.8E-08	9.9E-11	5.8E-12	2.5E-08	4.3E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.3E-11	2.5E-12	9.2E-01	1.6E-03	9.2E-05			
Decentralized	--	16	2.6E-10	4.4E-13	2.6E-14	5.3E-11	9.0E-14	5.3E-15	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Regionalized-1	--	12	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Regionalized-2	11	12	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Regionalized-3	--	6	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Regionalized-4	7	6	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Regionalized-5	4	6	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Regionalized-6	--	2	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Regionalized-7	--	2	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Centralized-1	--	1	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Centralized-2	--	1	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Centralized-3	7	1	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Centralized-4	7	1	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			
Centralized-5	1	1	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	2.7E-08	4.6E-11	2.7E-12	--	--	--			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 ** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-3.2-6. BNL--LLW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)									Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)								
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**	6	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Decentralized	--	16	23 (8/14)	25 (22/3)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	12 (0/12)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	3 (1/2)	12 (0/12)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-1	--	12	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-2	11	12	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-3	--	6	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-4	7	6	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-5	4	6	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-6	--	2	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-7	--	2	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Centralized-1	--	1	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Centralized-2	--	1	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Centralized-3	7	1	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Centralized-4	7	1	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Centralized-5	1	1	10 (2/8)	7 (5/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction

Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-3.2-7. BNL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Construction Percent of Tons/Year							
			General Conformity Rule (1)							
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Decentralized	16	16		100 (89/2)						15 (9/7)
Regionalized-1	12	12		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Regionalized-2	11	12		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Regionalized-3	7	6		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Regionalized-4	4	6		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Regionalized-5	2	2		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Regionalized-6	2	2		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Regionalized-7	1	1		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Centralized-1	7	1		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Centralized-2	7	1		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Centralized-3	7	1		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Centralized-4	7	1		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)
Centralized-5	1	1		28 (20/6)						6 (2/4)

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)										Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)							
			Standard or Guideline (2)										Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)							
			CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC						
No Action	10**	6																		
Decentralized	16	16	0	14 (4/10)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	12	12		4 (0/4)																
Regionalized-2	11	12																		
Regionalized-3	7	6																		
Regionalized-4	4	6																		
Regionalized-5	2	2																		
Regionalized-6	2	2																		
Regionalized-7	1	1																		
Centralized-1	7	1																		
Centralized-2	7	1																		
Centralized-3	7	1																		
Centralized-4	7	1																		
Centralized-5	1	1																		

T = Treatment
D = Disposal

** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicles emission).

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized Alternative.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

(5) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions)

Table II-3.2-8. BNL-LLW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide
No Action	10**	6	0									
Decentralized		16	0									
Regionalized-1		12	0									
Regionalized-2	11	12	0									
Regionalized-3		6	0									
Regionalized-4	7	6	0									
Regionalized-5	4	6	0									
Regionalized-6		2	0									
Regionalized-7		2	0									
Centralized-1		1	0									
Centralized-2		1	0									
Centralized-3	7	1	0									
Centralized-4	7	1	0									
Centralized-5	1	1	0									

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action	10**	6										
Decentralized		16										
Regionalized-1		12										
Regionalized-2	11	12										
Regionalized-3		6										
Regionalized-4	7	6										
Regionalized-5	4	6										
Regionalized-6		2										
Regionalized-7		2										
Centralized-1		1										
Centralized-2		1										
Centralized-3	7	1										
Centralized-4	7	1										
Centralized-5	1	1										

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -.- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-3.2-9. BNL—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Decentralized	--	16	8,000	0.2	--	886	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-1	--	12	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	11	12	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-3	--	6	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	7	6	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-5	4	6	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-6	--	2	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-7	--	2	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-1	--	1	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-2	--	1	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-3	7	1	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-4	7	1	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-5	1	1	1,259	<0.1	--	442	<0.1	--	<0.1	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
GPD = Gallons per day
Water supplied by groundwater in the Upper Glacial and Magothy aquifers. Current water use = 4,500,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to the Peconic River. Average flow rate of the Peconic River = 1,000,000 gallons/day.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-3.2-11. BNL—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	10**	6	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Decentralized	-	16	203	212	0.02	2.4	<0.01	0.01
Regionalized-1	-	12	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-2	11	12	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-3	-	6	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-4	7	6	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-5	4	6	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-6	-	2	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-7	-	2	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Centralized-1	-	1	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Centralized-2	-	1	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Centralized-3	7	1	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Centralized-4	7	1	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01
Centralized-5	1	1	98	102	<0.01	1.2	<0.01	<0.01

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-3.2-12. BNL-LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment	
	T	D	Acres Required	% Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment
No Action	10**	6	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Decentralized	-	16	2.8	0.10	8000	0.13	865	0.04	1.10	3.14	113	3
Regionalized-1	-	12	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Regionalized-3	-	6	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Regionalized-6	-	2	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Regionalized-7	-	2	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Centralized-1	-	1	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Centralized-2	-	1	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Centralized-3	7	1	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Centralized-4	7	1	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74
Centralized-5	1	1	1.2	0.04	1258	0.02	441	0.02	0.12	0.26	62	1.74

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per day
 - = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.
 ** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-3.2-13. BNL—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Decentralized	--	16	230	17	72	129	12	110	0	120
Regionalized-1	--	12	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Regionalized-3	--	6	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Regionalized-6	--	2	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Regionalized-7	--	2	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Centralized-1	--	1	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Centralized-2	--	1	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	111	9	41	55	6	111	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost. (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.4.0 FEMP

FEMP currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW and LLW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.4.1 FEMP LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at FEMP. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	FEMP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-4.1-1	4-2
	2.	FEMP—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-4.1-2	4-3
	3.	FEMP—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-4.1-3	4-4
	4.	FEMP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-4.1-4	4-5
	5.	FEMP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-4.1-5	4-6
	6.	FEMP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-4.1-6	4-7
	7.	FEMP—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-4.1-7	4-8
	8.	FEMP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-4.1-8	4-9
	9.	FEMP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-4.1-9	4-10
	10.	FEMP—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-4.1-10	4-11
	11.	FEMP—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-4.1-11	4-12
	12.	FEMP—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-4.1-12	4-13
	13.	FEMP—LLMW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-4.1-13	4-14
	14.	FEMP—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-4.1-14	4-15
	15.	FEMP—LLMW—Cost	II-4.1-15	4-16

Table II-4.1-1. FEMP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
			WM Worker			Offsite Population	Noninvolved Workers	WM Worker		
	T	D	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure	Population Radiation Exposure	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards
No Action	3	-	3.8E-04	1.4E-02	3.2E-04	2.4E-06	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	2.9E-04	1.6E-01	2.6E-05	1.9E-07	2.9E-04	9.0E-03	2.9E-04	9.0E-03
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.7E-02	3.2E-01	7.0E-04	4.7E-06	2.9E-05	9.0E-03	2.9E-05	9.0E-03
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.5E-04	8.8E-02	1.4E-07	1.1E-09	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.5E-04	8.8E-02	1.4E-07	1.1E-09	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.5E-04	8.8E-02	1.4E-07	1.1E-09	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	2.5E-04	8.8E-02	1.4E-07	1.1E-09	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-4.1-2. FEMP--LLMW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	3	-	6.3E-01	1.1E-03	3.3E-05	6.3E-05	4.7E-03	8.0E-06	2.3E-06	4.7E-07	9.4E-01	1.3E-03	2.4E-06	5.6E-05
Decentralized	37	16	5.2E-02	8.8E-05	2.8E-06	5.2E-06	3.9E-04	6.5E-07	2.0E-07	3.9E-08	7.3E-01	1.0E-03	7.3E-05	4.4E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.4E+00	2.4E-03	7.9E-06	1.4E-04	9.4E-03	1.6E-05	5.5E-07	9.4E-07	6.8E+01	9.5E-02	3.5E-04	4.1E-03
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.9E-04	4.9E-07	9.2E-08	2.9E-08	2.1E-06	3.6E-09	6.4E-09	2.1E-10	6.3E-01	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	3.8E-05
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.9E-04	4.9E-07	9.2E-08	2.9E-08	2.1E-06	3.6E-09	6.4E-09	2.1E-10	6.3E-01	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	3.8E-05
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.9E-04	4.9E-07	9.2E-08	2.9E-08	2.1E-06	3.6E-09	6.4E-09	2.1E-10	6.3E-01	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	3.8E-05
Centralized	1	1	2.9E-04	4.9E-07	9.2E-08	2.9E-08	2.1E-06	3.6E-09	6.4E-09	2.1E-10	6.3E-01	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	3.8E-05

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Table II-4.1-3. FEMP--LLMW--Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	7.2E-01	1.0E-03	4.3E-05	
Regionalized-1	11	12	7.2E-01	1.0E-03	4.3E-05	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-4.1-4. FEMP--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	NonInvolved		Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	-	6.0E-09	2.1E-09	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	4.9E-10	1.7E-10	3.8E-05	3.8E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.3E-08	4.1E-09	3.8E-05	3.8E-05
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.7E-12	9.4E-13	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.7E-12	9.4E-13	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.7E-12	9.4E-13	--	--
Centralized	1	1	2.7E-12	9.4E-13	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-4.1-5. FEMP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	1.2E-05	2.0E-08	1.1E-09	1.2E-09	4.2E-06	7.7E-09	5.8E-10	8.9E-11	3.4E-11	4.2E-10	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	9.7E-07	1.7E-09	8.9E-11	9.7E-11	3.4E-07	5.8E-10	8.9E-11	3.4E-11	7.6E-02	1.3E-04	1.4E-05	7.6E-06	7.6E-06	7.6E-06	7.6E-06	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.6E-05	4.5E-08	2.5E-10	2.6E-09	8.3E-06	1.4E-08	2.5E-10	8.3E-10	7.6E-02	1.3E-04	1.4E-05	7.6E-06	7.6E-06	7.6E-06	7.6E-06	
Regionalized-2	7	6	5.4E-09	9.2E-12	2.9E-12	5.4E-13	1.9E-09	3.2E-12	2.9E-12	1.9E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	5.4E-09	9.2E-12	2.9E-12	5.4E-13	1.9E-09	3.2E-12	2.9E-12	1.9E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.4E-09	9.2E-12	2.9E-12	5.4E-13	1.9E-09	3.2E-12	2.9E-12	1.9E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	5.4E-09	9.2E-12	2.9E-12	5.4E-13	1.9E-09	3.2E-12	2.9E-12	1.9E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-4.1-6. FEMP--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		WM Worker Exposure Index	
			MEI Hazard Index	Worker MEI Hazard Index	Hazard Index		
No Action	3	-	7.3E-07	7.3E-07	7.1E-06	--	Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
Decentralized	37	16	1.2E-07	1.2E-07	5.6E-04	2.4E-01	
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.8E-07	3.8E-07	1.2E-03	2.4E-01	
Regionalized-2	7	6	6.3E-10	6.3E-10	6.7E-06	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	6.3E-10	6.3E-10	6.7E-06	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	6.3E-10	6.3E-10	6.7E-06	--	
Centralized	1	1	6.3E-10	6.3E-10	6.7E-06	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-4.1-7. FEMP—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)				
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	3	-	16 (8/8)	22 (20/2)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	2 (1/1)	3 (0/3)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	0			
Decentralized	37	16	38 (6/32)	23 (16/7)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	6 (2/4)	18 (0/18)	5 (1/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)			
Regionalized-1	11	12	57 (8/49)	30 (20/10)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	8 (2/6)	29 (0/29)	9 (3/6)	0	2 (2/0)	0	4 (0/4)			
Regionalized-2	7	6	9 (0/9)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Regionalized-3	7	1	9 (0/9)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Regionalized-4	4	6	9 (0/9)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Centralized	1	1	9 (0/9)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-4.1-8. FEMP-LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction							
			Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)							
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	--	22 (20/2)	--	--	--	6 (4/2)		
Decentralized	37	16	--	22 (16/6)	--	--	--	11 (3/8)		
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	30 (20/10)	--	--	--	16 (4/12)		
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	3 (1/2)	--	--	--	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	3 (1/2)	--	--	--	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	3 (1/2)	--	--	--	2 (0/2)		
Centralized	1	1	--	3 (1/2)	--	--	--	2 (0/2)		

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
			Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)											
	T	D	CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	3	-	0	2 (1/1)	0	1	0	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	5 (1/4)	0	2	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	9 (3/6)	0	12	0	7 (0/7)	0	0	0	0	3	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicles emission).

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized Alternative.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

(5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).

Table II-4.1-9. FEMP—LLMW—Percent of Standards/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
	No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
	No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-4.1-10. FEMP—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	17682	4.4	--	1013	0.3	--	<0.1	
Decentralized	37	16	17543	4.4	--	2799	0.7	--	0.2	
Regionalized-1	11	12	23556	5.9	--	4630	1.2	--	0.3	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2104	0.5	--	1296	0.3	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-3	7	1	2104	0.5	--	1296	0.3	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2104	0.5	--	1296	0.3	--	<0.1	
Centralized	1	1	2104	0.5	--	1296	0.3	--	<0.1	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per day
 Water supplied by groundwater in the Miami Valley Aquifer. Current water use = 400,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Great Miami River.
 Average flow rate of the Great Miami River = 1,832,000 gallons/day.
 -- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-4.1-11. FEMP—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																					
No Action	3	--	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	3	--	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	400	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	400	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-4.1-12. FEMP—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	20	0	9	9	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	20	0	9	9	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloroethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	7	0	0	8	4	3	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	7	0	0	8	4	3	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-4.1-13. FEMP--LLMW--Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
	No Action	3		-	95	83	0.01	
Decentralized	37	16	339	371	0.05	4.2	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-1	11	12	516	564	0.07	6.4	0.03	0.04
Regionalized-2	7	6	138	151	0.02	1.7	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-3	7	1	138	151	0.02	1.7	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-4	4	6	138	151	0.02	1.7	0.01	0.01
Centralized	1	1	138	151	0.02	1.7	0.01	0.01

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-4.1-14. FEMP—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
			Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
	T	D	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action	3	-	6.2	2.25	17682	1.11	1013	0.04	2.65	8.04	59	3
Decentralized	37	16	8.5	3.11	17543	1.10	2799	0.12	2.16	6.54	244	13
Regionalized-1	11	12	12.3	4.46	23556	1.47	4630	0.20	2.64	7.99	379	20
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.4	0.49	2104	0.13	1296	0.06	0.76	2.30	71	4
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.4	0.49	2104	0.13	1296	0.06	0.76	2.30	71	4
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.4	0.49	2104	0.13	1296	0.06	0.76	2.30	71	4
Centralized	1	1	1.4	0.49	2104	0.13	1296	0.06	0.76	2.30	71	4

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-4.1-15. FEMP—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)					Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)	
No Action	3	-	107	9	30	60	8	48	59	0	
Decentralized	37	16	384	42	118	198	24	343	0	41	
Regionalized-1	11	12	584	67	185	302	30	543	0	41	
Regionalized-2	7	6	156	11	36	98	10	156	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	156	11	36	98	10	156	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	156	11	36	98	10	156	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	156	11	36	98	10	156	0	0	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.
(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.4.2 FEMP LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at FEMP. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	FEMP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-4.2-1	4-18
	2.	FEMP—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-4.2-2	4-19
	3.	FEMP—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-4.2-3	4-20
	4.	FEMP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-4.2-4	4-21
	5.	FEMP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-4.2-5	4-22
	7.	FEMP—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-4.2-6	4-23
	8.	FEMP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-4.2-7	4-24
	9.	FEMP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-4.2-8	4-25
	10.	FEMP—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-4.2-9	4-26
	11.	FEMP—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-4.2-10	4-27
	13.	FEMP—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-4.2-11	4-28
	14.	FEMP—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-4.2-12	4-29
	15.	FEMP—LLW—Cost	II-4.2-13	4-30

Table II-4.2-1. FEMP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	6.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.3E-01	5.2E-04	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Treatment and/or Disposal is not considered under the alternative
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-4.2-2. FEMP—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Worker			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	4.6E+02	7.9E-01	4.6E-02	1.0E+00	1.8E-03	1.0E-04	1.6E+01	2.3E-02	9.7E-04
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-4.2-3. FEMP—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-4.2-4. FEMP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	4.4E-06	4.5E-07	--
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--
Regionalized-6			--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Treatment and/or disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-4.2-5. FEMP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	
	T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability						
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07	9.0E-04	1.5E-06	9.0E-08	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Treatment and Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-4.2-6. FEMP—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized - 1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized - 2	11	12	28 (1/27)	9 (4/5)	0	0	3 (0/3)	16 (0/16)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)			
Regionalized - 3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized - 4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized - 5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized - 6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized - 7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission).
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-4.2-7. FEMP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	10**	6	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Decentralized		16	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Regionalized-1		12	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	9 (4/5)	--	--	--	7 (1/6)	
Regionalized-3		6	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Regionalized-6		2	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Regionalized-7		2	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Centralized-1		1	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Centralized-2	7	1	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	0	--	--	--	0	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	0	--	--	--	0	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance															
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)										
			CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (6)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	10**	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	3 (0/3)	0	2 (2/0)	0	0	0	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds, NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicle emissions).
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
 (6) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-4.2-8. FEMP--LLW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
No Action	10**	6	0	
Decentralized		16	0	
Regionalized-1		12	0	
Regionalized-2	11	12	9	
Regionalized-3		6	0	
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	
Regionalized-6		2	0	
Regionalized-7	2	0	0	
Centralized-1		1	0	
Centralized-2		1	0	
Centralized-3	7	1	0	
Centralized-4	7	1	0	
Centralized-5	1	1	0	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	10**	6	
Decentralized		16	
Regionalized-1		12	
Regionalized-2	11	12	
Regionalized-3		6	
Regionalized-4	7	6	
Regionalized-5	4	6	
Regionalized-6		2	
Regionalized-7		2	
Centralized-1		1	
Centralized-2		1	
Centralized-3	7	1	
Centralized-4	7	1	
Centralized-5	1	1	

Notes:
T= Treatment
D = Disposal
.. = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-4.2-9. FEMP—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			Waste Water % Stream Flow
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	5254	1.3	--	2403	0.6	--	<0.1
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per day

Water supplied by groundwater in the Miami Valley Aquifer. Current water use = 400,000 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to the Great Miami River. Average flow rate of the Great Miami River = 1,823,000,000 gallons/day.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

-- = FEMP is only considered under the Regionalized 2 Alternative; Under the Regionalized 2 Alternative Stream Flow is not considered.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-4.2-10. FEMP-LLW-Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		AC	AC	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized*		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1*		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2*	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																							
No Action	10**	6	216	49	211	223	224	151	79	90	89	237	229	230	222	124	233	234	235	236	238	239	240	241	242
Decentralized*		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1*		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2*	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 * FEMP is shown as a candidate site for disposal in the Decentralized, Regionalized 1, and Regionalized 2 alternatives; however, this disposal would be for onsite waste only if FEMP is determined to have WM LLW. At present, FEMP does not report WM LLW.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 --- Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-4.2-11. FEMP—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	276	301	0.04	3.4	0.01	0.02
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5		6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ROI = Region of Influence
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
 -- = Treatment and Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-4.2-12. FEMP—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
			Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	T	D	10**	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized			16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1			12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.9	1.40	5254	0.33	0.11	2403	0.50	1.52	207	11				
Regionalized-3			6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.
 -- = Treatment and Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

Table II-4.2-13. FEMP—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	312	50	81	163	18	312	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.5.0 Hanford Site

Hanford currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, TRUW, HLW, and HW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.5.1 Hanford LLMW

Seventeen tables immediately following portray impacts of LLMW at INEL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	Hanford—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-5.1-1	5-3
	2.	Hanford—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.1-2	5-4
	3.	Hanford—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.1-3	5-5
	4.	Hanford—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-5.1-4	5-6
	5.	Hanford—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.1-5	5-7
	6.	Hanford—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-5.1-6	5-8
	7.	Hanford—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.1-7	5-9
	8.	Hanford—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.1-8	5-10
	9.	Hanford—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-5.1-9	5-11
	10.	Hanford—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-5.1-10	5-12
	11.	Hanford—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-5.1-11	5-13
	11.	Hanford—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Remote-Handled)	II-5.1-12	5-14
	12.	Hanford—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-5.1-13	5-15
	13.	Hanford—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Remote-Handled)	II-5.1-14	5-16

13.	Hanford—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-5.1-15	5-17
14.	Hanford—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-5.1-16	5-18
15.	Hanford—LLMW—Cost	II-5.1-17	5-19

Table II-5.1-1. Hanford—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker			Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker		
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure			Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	1.1E-01	3.8E-02	7.6E-03	3.1E-04	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.0E-01	5.2E-01	1.5E-03	5.6E-05	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	7.3E-02	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.2E-01	4.8E-01	1.4E-03	5.2E-05	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	7.3E-02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.4E-01	5.0E-01	1.3E-02	1.8E-04	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	7.6E-02	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.4E-01	5.0E-01	1.3E-02	1.8E-04	9.3E-12	2.1E-01	2.6E-05	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.4E-01	5.0E-01	1.3E-02	1.8E-04	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	7.6E-02	
Centralized	1	1	4.9E-01	1.7E+00	2.5E-02	3.3E-04	3.6E-01	3.6E-01	1.5E-01	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-5.1-2. Hanford—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population						Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker						
	T	D	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Genetic Effects		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		
			2.6E-02	5.0E-03	1.2E-05	1.1E-06	1.5E-03	2.9E-04		1.5E-03	1.0E-01	1.1E-03	1.7E-04		6.2E-06	7.3E-06	6.2E-05	1.0E-05	3.7E-01
No Action	3	-	1.5E+01	2.6E-02	1.2E-05	1.1E-06	1.5E-03	2.9E-04	1.0E-01	1.1E-03	1.7E-04	6.2E-06	7.3E-06	6.2E-05	1.0E-05	3.7E-01	3.5E-01	5.8E-05	1.4E-03
Decentralized	37	16	2.9E+00	5.0E-03	1.1E-06	1.1E-06	2.7E-04	2.9E-04	1.0E-01	1.7E-04	6.5E-07	1.0E-05	6.7E-07	1.0E-05	1.0E-05	4.1E-01	4.1E-01	1.3E-03	1.8E-02
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.7E+00	4.7E-03	1.1E-06	1.1E-06	2.6E-03	2.6E-03	3.5E-01	6.0E-04	7.1E-07	3.5E-05	7.1E-07	3.5E-05	3.5E-05	4.9E-01	4.9E-01	1.5E-03	2.1E-02
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.6E+01	4.5E-02	1.2E-06	1.2E-06	2.6E-03	2.6E-03	3.5E-01	6.0E-04	7.1E-07	3.5E-05	7.1E-07	3.5E-05	3.5E-05	4.9E-01	4.9E-01	1.5E-03	2.1E-02
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.6E+01	4.5E-02	1.2E-06	1.2E-06	2.6E-03	2.6E-03	3.5E-01	6.0E-04	7.1E-07	3.5E-05	7.1E-07	3.5E-05	4.9E-01	4.9E-01	1.5E-03	2.1E-02	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.7E+01	4.5E-02	1.2E-06	1.2E-06	2.7E-03	2.7E-03	3.5E-01	6.1E-04	7.4E-07	3.6E-05	7.4E-07	3.6E-05	5.1E-01	5.1E-01	1.6E-03	2.2E-02	
Centralized	1	1	5.0E+01	8.5E-02	1.2E-05	1.2E-05	5.0E-03	5.0E-03	6.6E-01	1.1E-03	7.3E-06	6.6E-05	7.3E-06	6.6E-05	1.2E+03	1.7E+00	1.8E-02	7.4E-02	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-5.1-3. Hanford—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	5.1E+02	7.0E-01	3.0E-02	
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.0E+02	7.0E-01	3.0E-02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	5.3E+02	7.4E-01	3.2E-02	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.3E+02	7.4E-01	3.2E-02	
Centralized	1	1	9.1E+02	1.3E+00	5.4E-02	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for No Action Alternative for LLMW.

Table II-5.1-4. Hanford—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	---	
No Action	3	-	1.6E-07	5.3E-07	---	
Decentralized	37	16	3.0E-08	9.7E-08	2.4E-04	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.8E-08	8.9E-08	2.2E-04	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.7E-07	3.1E-07	3.3E-04	
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.7E-07	3.1E-07	---	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.7E-07	3.1E-07	3.5E-04	
Centralized	1	1	5.2E-07	5.7E-07	4.9E-03	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
--- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-5.1-5. Hanford—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	3.1E-04	5.3E-07	4.1E-10	3.1E-08	1.1E-03	1.8E-06	1.4E-08	1.1E-07	1.9E-08	4.7E-01	8.0E-04	1.2E-04	1.2E-04	4.7E-05		
Decentralized	37	16	6.0E-05	1.0E-07	3.8E-11	6.0E-09	1.9E-04	3.3E-07	1.3E-09	1.9E-08	1.9E-08	4.3E-01	7.3E-04	1.1E-04	1.1E-04	4.3E-05		
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.6E-05	9.6E-08	3.6E-11	5.6E-09	1.8E-04	3.0E-07	1.3E-09	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	6.6E-01	1.1E-03	1.1E-04	1.1E-04	6.6E-05		
Regionalized-2	7	6	5.4E-04	9.2E-07	4.0E-11	5.4E-08	6.1E-04	1.0E-06	1.4E-09	6.1E-08	6.1E-08	7.0E-01	1.2E-03	1.2E-04	1.2E-04	7.0E-05		
Regionalized-3	7	1	5.4E-04	9.2E-07	4.0E-11	5.4E-08	6.1E-04	1.0E-06	1.4E-09	6.1E-08	6.1E-08	9.7E+00	1.6E-02	2.4E-04	2.4E-04	9.7E-04		
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.5E-04	9.3E-07	4.1E-11	5.5E-08	6.3E-04	1.1E-06	1.5E-09	6.3E-08	6.3E-08							
Centralized	1	1	1.0E-03	1.8E-06	4.1E-10	1.0E-07	1.1E-03	2.0E-06	1.4E-08	1.1E-07	1.1E-07							

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -.- = Disposal is not considered for the No Action Alternative for LLMW

Table II-5.1-6. Hanford—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index		
				WM Worker Exposure Index		
No Action	3	-	4.5E-07	1.6E-05	9.0E-05	--
Decentralized	37	16	5.1E-08	1.8E-06	3.6E-03	1.4E+00
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.0E-08	1.7E-06	3.6E-03	1.4E+00
Regionalized-2	7	6	5.5E-08	1.9E-06	4.0E-03	1.6E+00
Regionalized-3	7	1	5.5E-08	1.9E-06	4.0E-03	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.6E-08	2.0E-06	4.0E-03	1.6E+00
Centralized	1	1	4.5E-07	1.6E-05	2.6E-02	2.4E+00

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Disposal is not considered for No Action Alternative for LLMW.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-5.1-7. Hanford—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)					
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	3	-	12 (1/11)	5 (3/2)	0	0	0	2 (1/1)	9 (1/8)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Decentralized	37	16	58 (5/53)	24 (13/11)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	7 (1/6)	50 (0/50)	15 (5/10)	0	3 (3/0)	0	6 (0/6)				
Regionalized-1	11	12	70 (5/65)	25 (12/13)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	9 (1/8)	52 (1/51)	15 (5/10)	0	4 (4/0)	0	6 (0/6)				
Regionalized-2	7	6	91 (5/86)	30 (13/17)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	12 (2/10)	64 (1/63)	19 (6/13)	0	4 (4/0)	0	8 (0/8)				
Regionalized-3	7	1	57 (3/54)	19 (8/11)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	7 (1/6)	37 (1/36)	13 (6/7)	0	4 (4/0)	0	4 (0/4)				
Regionalized-4	4	6	91 (5/86)	30 (13/17)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	12 (2/10)	64 (1/63)	19 (6/13)	0	4 (4/0)	0	8 (0/8)				
Centralized	1	1	293 (25/268)	118 (64/54)	0	6 (5/1)	6 (6/0)	38 (6/32)	213 (3/210)	75 (33/42)	0	23 (22/1)	2 (2/0)	25 (0/25)				

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-5.1-8. Hanford—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)						
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	1	4	0	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1	12	0	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	1	13	0	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	1	14	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	1	14	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	1	14	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	3	82	1	147	5	1	0	0	0	2	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) Hanford is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the

No Action and minimum treatment (no incineration) alternatives are assumed to be negligible.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-5.1-9. Hanford—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
	No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1	0	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1	0	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1	0	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	1	0	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2 Trichloro,1,1	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
	No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-5.1-10. Hanford—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	3038	<0.1	<0.1	2573	<0.1	<0.1	--	
Decentralized	37	16	17456	0.2	<0.1	9054	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	17521	0.2	<0.1	8801	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	18548	0.2	<0.1	10166	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	13231	0.1	<0.1	7690	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	18548	0.2	<0.1	10166	0.1	<0.1	--	
Centralized	1	1	87637	0.9	<0.1	43085	0.5	<0.1	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by surface water in the Columbia River. Current water use = 9,567,000 gallons/day.
 Average flow rate of the Columbia River = 77,560,000,000 gallons/day.
 -- = Wastewater discharged to evaporation ponds.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-5.1-11. Hanford—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	Po
	T	D	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241	210
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93	93	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	500	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	400	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	700	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	700	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	3	0	10000	0	0	0	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-5.1-12. Hanford—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Remote-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D*	Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
No Action	3	-	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	T	D*	Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	Th	Th	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
No Action	3	-	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	232	230	233	234	235	236	238	239	240	241
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

* = In addition to the CH-LLMW disposal sites indicated below, disposal of RH-LLMW occurs at four sites (Hanford, INEL, ORR and SRS) for all alternatives except No Action.

"-" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-5.1-13. Hanford—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	100	0	60	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	100	0	60	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	100	0	60	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	100	0	70	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	100	0	100	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	80	0	0	90	0	20	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	70	0	0	90	0	20	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	80	0	0	90	0	30	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	80	0	0	100	0	30	0
Centralized	1	1	200	0	0	400	0	40	0

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-5.1-14. Hanford—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Remote-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

* = In addition to the CH-LLMW disposal sites indicated below, disposal of RH-LLMW occurs at four sites (Hanford, INEL, ORR and SRS) for all alternatives except No Action.

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-5.1-15. Hanford—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income			% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
	No Action	3		-	230	187	0.09	2.0	
Decentralized	37	16	759	772	0.36	8.2	0.13	0.18	
Regionalized-1	11	12	828	842	0.39	9.0	0.14	0.20	
Regionalized-2	7	6	864	878	0.41	9.4	0.14	0.22	
Regionalized-3	7	1	631	642	0.30	6.9	0.11	0.14	
Regionalized-4	4	6	864	878	0.41	9.4	0.14	0.24	
Centralized	1	1	3507	3567	1.66	38.1	0.58	0.76	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-5.1-16. Hanford—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	3	-	1.8	0.03	3038	0.00	2573	1.29	1.14	0.21	86	1		
Decentralized	37	16	10.2	0.17	17456	0.02	9054	4.53	1.57	0.24	409	3		
Regionalized-1	11	12	10.4	0.17	17521	0.02	8801	4.40	1.56	0.28	506	4		
Regionalized-2	7	6	10.9	0.18	18548	0.02	10166	5.08	1.58	0.29	667	5		
Regionalized-3	7	1	8.1	0.13	13232	0.02	7690	3.85	1.18	0.21	417	3		
Regionalized-4	4	6	10.9	0.18	18548	0.02	10166	5.08	1.66	0.30	473	3		
Centralized	1	1	50.2	0.83	87637	0.11	43085	21.54	8.33	1.52	2081	14		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per day
 FTE = Full-time equivalent
 MW = Megawatts
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-5.1-17. Hanford—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)			
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)	
No Action	3	-	260	18	37	182	23	113	147	0	
Decentralized	37	16	859	79	187	543	50	610	0	249	
Regionalized-1	11	12	937	92	240	548	56	697	0	240	
Regionalized-2	7	6	977	98	234	585	60	714	0	263	
Regionalized-3	7	1	714	76	207	400	31	714	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	977	98	234	585	60	714	0	263	
Centralized	1	1	3968	347	1017	2311	294	2926	0	1042	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In current 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.5.2 Hanford LLW

Thirteen of the 14 impact categories apply to LLW at Hanford. These impacts are presented in the following tables.

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	Hanford—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-5.2-1	5-21
	2.	Hanford—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.2-2	5-22
	3.	Hanford—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.2-3	5-23
	4.	Hanford—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-5.2-4	5-24
	5.	Hanford—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.2-5	5-25
	7.	Hanford—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.2-6	5-26
	8.	Hanford—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.2-7	5-27
	9.	Hanford—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-5.2-8	5-28
	10.	Hanford—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-5.2-9	5-29
	11.	Hanford—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-5.2-10	5-30
	13.	Hanford—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-5.2-11	5-31
	14.	Hanford—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-5.2-12	5-32
	15.	Hanford—LLW—Cost	II-5.2-13	5-33

Table II-5.2-1. Hanford—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	1.5E-01	1.7E-01	4.2E-07	1.5E-08	6.7E-01	4.4E-01		
Decentralized		16	9.9E-02	1.2E-01	2.7E-06	9.6E-08	3.7E-01	1.8E-01		
Regionalized-1		12	9.9E-02	1.2E-01	2.7E-06	9.6E-08	3.7E-01	1.8E-01		
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.0E-01	3.2E-01	3.6E-06	1.3E-07	3.1E-01	1.2E-01		
Regionalized-3		6	9.9E-02	1.2E-01	2.7E-06	9.6E-08	3.7E-01	1.8E-01		
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.1E-01	3.3E-01	5.0E-02	4.9E-04	3.1E-01	1.2E-01		
Regionalized-5	4	6	2.1E-01	3.3E-01	5.0E-02	4.9E-04	3.1E-01	1.2E-01		
Regionalized-6		2	9.9E-02	1.2E-01	2.7E-06	9.6E-08	1.1E+00	6.0E-01		
Regionalized-7		2	1.0E-01	1.8E-01	4.2E-06	1.5E-07	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	9.9E-02	1.2E-01	2.7E-06	9.6E-08	2.8E+00	1.4E+00		
Centralized-2		1	1.0E-01	1.8E-01	4.2E-06	1.5E-07	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	2.1E-01	3.3E-01	5.0E-02	6.1E-04	1.8E+00	8.9E-01		
Centralized-4	7	1	2.1E-01	3.3E-01	5.0E-02	6.1E-04	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	1.5E+00	2.1E+00	7.5E-02	9.7E-04	1.8E+00	8.8E-01		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-5.2-2. Hanford—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Worker			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	8.3E-04	1.4E-06	8.3E-08	3.0E-05	5.1E-08	3.0E-09	3.9E+02	5.4E-01	2.3E-02
Decentralized		16	5.4E-03	9.1E-06	5.4E-07	1.9E-04	3.3E-07	1.9E-08	2.5E+02	3.5E-01	1.5E-02
Regionalized-1		12	5.4E-03	9.1E-06	5.4E-07	1.9E-04	3.3E-07	1.9E-08	2.5E+02	3.5E-01	1.5E-02
Regionalized-2	11	12	7.1E-03	1.2E-05	7.1E-07	2.6E-04	4.4E-07	2.6E-08	4.9E+02	6.9E-01	3.0E-02
Regionalized-3		6	5.4E-03	9.1E-06	5.4E-07	1.9E-04	3.3E-07	1.9E-08	2.5E+02	3.5E-01	1.5E-02
Regionalized-4	7	6	9.4E+01	1.6E-01	9.4E-03	9.7E-01	1.7E-03	9.7E-05	5.2E+02	7.2E-01	3.1E-02
Regionalized-5	4	6	9.4E+01	1.6E-01	9.4E-03	9.7E-01	1.7E-03	9.7E-05	5.2E+02	7.2E-01	3.1E-02
Regionalized-6		2	5.4E-03	9.1E-06	5.4E-07	1.9E-04	3.3E-07	1.9E-08	2.5E+02	3.5E-01	1.5E-02
Regionalized-7		2	8.3E-03	1.4E-05	8.3E-07	3.0E-04	5.1E-07	3.0E-08	2.6E+02	3.6E-01	1.6E-02
Centralized-1		1	5.4E-03	9.1E-06	5.4E-07	1.9E-04	3.3E-07	1.9E-08	2.5E+02	3.5E-01	1.5E-02
Centralized-2		1	8.3E-03	1.4E-05	8.3E-07	3.0E-04	5.1E-07	3.0E-08	2.6E+02	3.6E-01	1.6E-02
Centralized-3	7	1	1.0E+02	1.7E-01	1.0E-02	1.2E+00	2.1E-03	1.2E-04	5.2E+02	7.2E-01	3.1E-02
Centralized-4	7	1	1.0E+02	1.7E-01	1.0E-02	1.2E+00	2.1E-03	1.2E-04	5.2E+02	7.2E-01	3.1E-02
Centralized-5	1	1	1.5E+02	2.5E-01	1.5E-02	1.9E+00	3.3E-03	1.9E-04	3.8E+03	5.3E+00	2.3E-01

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-5.2-3. Hanford—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	1.7E+03	2.3E+00	1.0E-01	
Decentralized		16	9.3E+02	1.3E+00	5.6E-02	
Regionalized-1		12	9.3E+02	1.3E+00	5.6E-02	
Regionalized-2	11	12	7.8E+02	1.1E+00	4.7E-02	
Regionalized-3		6	9.3E+02	1.3E+00	5.6E-02	
Regionalized-4	7	6	7.8E+02	1.1E+00	4.7E-02	
Regionalized-5	4	6	7.8E+02	1.1E+00	4.7E-02	
Regionalized-6		2	2.9E+03	4.0E+00	1.7E-01	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	6.9E+03	9.7E+00	4.2E-01	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	4.4E+03	6.2E+00	2.7E-01	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	4.4E+03	6.2E+00	2.6E-01	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-5.2-4. Hanford—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite		Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Hypothetical Farm Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	10**	6	8.6E-12	2.6E-11	1.4E-03	
Decentralized		16	5.5E-11	1.7E-10	2.5E-04	
Regionalized-1		12	5.5E-11	1.7E-10	2.5E-04	
Regionalized-2	11	12	7.3E-11	2.2E-10	1.1E-03	
Regionalized-3		6	5.5E-11	1.7E-10	2.5E-04	
Regionalized-4	7	6	9.6E-07	8.5E-07	3.4E-03	
Regionalized-5	4	6	9.6E-07	8.5E-07	3.4E-03	
Regionalized-6		2	5.5E-11	1.7E-10	4.1E-03	
Regionalized-7		2	8.5E-11	2.6E-10	--	
Centralized-1		1	5.5E-11	1.7E-10	1.2E-03	
Centralized-2		1	8.5E-11	2.6E-10	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	1.0E-06	1.1E-06	2.3E-03	
Centralized-4	7	1	1.0E-06	1.1E-06	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	1.5E-06	1.7E-06	2.3E-03	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-5.2-5. Hanford—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI			Most Exposed Lifetime MEI			Disposal					
	T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability			
No Action	10**	6	1.7E-08	2.9E-11	1.7E-12	5.2E-08	8.9E-11	5.2E-12	2.8E+00	4.8E-03	2.8E-04	2.8E+00	4.8E-03	2.8E-04			
Decentralized		16	1.1E-07	1.9E-10	1.1E-11	3.3E-07	5.6E-10	3.3E-11	5.0E-01	8.6E-04	5.0E-05	5.0E-01	8.6E-04	5.0E-05			
Regionalized-1		12	1.1E-07	1.9E-10	1.1E-11	3.3E-07	5.6E-10	3.3E-11	5.0E-01	8.6E-04	5.0E-05	5.0E-01	8.6E-04	5.0E-05			
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.5E-07	2.5E-10	1.5E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	4.4E-11	2.3E+00	3.9E-03	2.3E-04	2.3E+00	3.9E-03	2.3E-04			
Regionalized-3		6	1.1E-07	1.9E-10	1.1E-11	3.3E-07	5.6E-10	3.3E-11	5.0E-01	8.6E-04	5.0E-05	5.0E-01	8.6E-04	5.0E-05			
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.9E-03	3.3E-06	1.9E-07	1.7E-03	2.9E-06	1.7E-07	6.8E+00	1.2E-02	6.8E-04	6.8E+00	1.2E-02	6.8E-04			
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.9E-03	3.3E-06	1.9E-07	1.7E-03	2.9E-06	1.7E-07	6.8E+00	1.2E-02	6.8E-04	6.8E+00	1.2E-02	6.8E-04			
Regionalized-6		2	1.1E-07	1.9E-10	1.1E-11	3.3E-07	5.6E-10	3.3E-11	8.1E+00	1.4E-02	8.1E-04	8.1E+00	1.4E-02	8.1E-04			
Regionalized-7		2	1.7E-07	2.9E-10	1.7E-11	5.2E-07	8.8E-10	5.2E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-1		1	1.1E-07	1.9E-10	1.1E-11	3.3E-07	5.6E-10	3.3E-11	2.4E+00	4.1E-03	2.4E-04	2.4E+00	4.1E-03	2.4E-04			
Centralized-2		1	1.7E-07	2.9E-10	1.7E-11	5.2E-07	8.8E-10	5.2E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-3	7	1	2.1E-03	3.5E-06	2.1E-07	2.1E-03	3.6E-06	2.1E-07	4.6E+00	7.8E-03	4.6E-04	4.6E+00	7.8E-03	4.6E-04			
Centralized-4	7	1	2.1E-03	3.5E-06	2.1E-07	2.1E-03	3.6E-06	2.1E-07	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-5	1	1	3.1E-03	5.2E-06	3.1E-07	3.4E-03	5.8E-06	3.4E-07	4.6E+00	7.8E-03	4.6E-04	4.6E+00	7.8E-03	4.6E-04			

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-5.2-6. Hanford—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6	20 (11/9)	33 (30/2)	0	2 (2/0)	3 (3/0)	4 (3/1)	44 (0/44)	9 (0/9)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)								
Decentralized		16	44 (7/37)	26 (18/7)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	6 (2/4)	47 (0/47)	9 (0/9)	0	0	0	6 (0/6)								
Regionalized-1		12	44 (7/37)	26 (18/7)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	6 (2/4)	47 (0/47)	9 (0/9)	0	0	0	6 (0/6)								
Regionalized-2	11	12	80 (7/73)	34 (19/15)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	11 (2/9)	79 (0/79)	17 (1/16)	0	0	0	9 (0/9)								
Regionalized-3		6	44 (7/37)	26 (18/7)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	6 (2/4)	47 (0/47)	9 (0/9)	0	0	0	6 (0/6)								
Regionalized-4	7	6	84 (8/76)	36 (21/150)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	11 (2/9)	81 (0/81)	16 (0/16)	0	0	0	10 (0/10)								
Regionalized-5	4	6	84 (8/76)	36 (21/15)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	11 (2/9)	81 (0/81)	16 (0/16)	0	0	0	10 (0/10)								
Regionalized-6		2	99 (13/86)	51 (34/17)	0	3 (3/0)	3 (3/0)	13 (3/10)	115 (1/114)	28 (2/26)	0	0	0	14 (0/14)								
Regionalized-7		2	13 (2/11)	8 (6/2)	0	0	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	13 (0/13)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-1		1	197 (37/160)	128 (96/32)	0	8 (8/0)	9 (9/0)	28 (9/19)	238 (3/235)	71 (7/64)	0	2 (1/1)	0	30 (1/29)								
Centralized-2		1	13 (2/11)	8 (6/2)	0	0	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	13 (0/13)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-3	7	1	186 (29/157)	108 (77/31)	0	6 (6/0)	7 (7/0)	26 (7/19)	202 (3/199)	47 (7/40)	0	1 (1/0)	0	25 (1/24)								
Centralized-4	7	1	66 (6/60)	27 (15/12)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	8 (1/7)	70 (0/70)	30 (0/30)	0	1 (0/1)	0	10 (0/10)								
Centralized-5	1	1	431 (58/373)	225 (151/74)	0	13 (12/1)	14 (14/0)	60 (15/45)	442 (2/440)	116 (11/105)	0	7 (5/2)	0	54 (0/54)								

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-5.2-7. Hanford—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Percent of Yearly Construction General Conformity Rule (1)										Percent of Yearly Operations & Maintenance Standard or Guideline (2)										Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
	10**		(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
No Action	10**	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2		12	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-6		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-7		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-8		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-9		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-10		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-11		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-12		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-13		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-14		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-15		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-16		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-17		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-18		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-19		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-20		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-21		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-22		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-23		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-24		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-25		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-26		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-27		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-28		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-29		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-30		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-31		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-32		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-33		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-34		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-35		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-36		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-37		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-38		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-39		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-40		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-41		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-42		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-43		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-44		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-45		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-46		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-47		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-48		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-49		1	0																								

Table II-5.2-8. Hanford—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	10**	6	0
Decentralized		16	0
Regionalized-1		12	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0
Regionalized-3		6	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	2
Regionalized-5	4	6	2
Regionalized-6		2	0
Regionalized-7		2	0
Centralized-1		1	0
Centralized-2		1	0
Centralized-3	7	1	2
Centralized-4	7	1	2
Centralized-5	1	1	3

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	10**	6
Decentralized		16
Regionalized-1		12
Regionalized-2	11	12
Regionalized-3		6
Regionalized-4	7	6
Regionalized-5	4	6
Regionalized-6		2
Regionalized-7		2
Centralized-1		1
Centralized-2		1
Centralized-3	7	1
Centralized-4	7	1
Centralized-5	1	1

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 .. = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-5.2-9. Hanford—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	9236	0.1	<0.1	13096	0.1	<0.1	--	
Decentralized		16	17930	0.2	<0.1	8772	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-1		12	17930	0.2	<0.1	8772	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	22349	0.2	<0.1	10996	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-3		6	17930	0.2	<0.1	8772	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	22172	0.2	<0.1	11331	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	22172	0.2	<0.1	11331	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-6		2	32371	0.3	<0.1	12256	0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-7		2	6887	0.1	<0.1	1615	<0.1	<0.1	--	
Centralized-1		1	82007	0.9	<0.1	16522	0.2	<0.1	--	
Centralized-2		1	6887	0.1	<0.1	1615	<0.1	<0.1	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	86265	0.9	<0.1	31870	0.3	<0.1	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	16326	0.2	<0.1	7153	0.1	<0.1	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	199473	2.1	<0.1	101650	1.1	<0.1	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per day
 Water supplied by surface water in the Columbia River. Current water use = 9,567,000 gallons/day.
 Average flow rate of the Columbia River = 77,560,000,000 gallons/day.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.
 -- = Construction is not considered under No Action Alternative; Wastewater discharged to evaporation ponds.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-5.2-10. Hanford—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	0	227	244	242	244	244	14	137	244	244	244	129	210	237	43	187	218	239	240	241	241
Decentralized		16	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2		12	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3		7	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	10**	6	0	40	231	228	228	163	78	86	88	227	228	228	222	118	233	244	246	248	248	249	249	249
Decentralized		16	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	3000	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	600	0	0	0
Regionalized-2		12	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	600	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	2	0	3000	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	800	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	2	0	8000	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	7	0	9000	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized-1		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	3000	0	0	0
Centralized-3		7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	4	0	5000	0	0	
Centralized-4		1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized-5		1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	4	0	5000	0	0	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 ... = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-5.2-11. Hanford—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	10**	6	1081	880	0.41	9.4	0.14	0.25
Decentralized		16	756	769	0.36	8.2	0.13	0.16
Regionalized-1		12	756	769	0.36	8.2	0.13	0.16
Regionalized-2	11	12	1186	1206	0.56	12.9	0.20	0.28
Regionalized-3		6	756	769	0.36	8.2	0.13	0.16
Regionalized-4	7	6	1219	1240	0.58	13.2	0.20	0.29
Regionalized-5		6	1219	1240	0.58	13.2	0.20	0.29
Regionalized-6		2	1823	1854	0.87	19.8	0.30	0.38
Regionalized-7		2	210	214	0.10	2.3	0.04	0.04
Centralized-1		1	3591	3653	1.70	39.0	0.60	0.75
Centralized-2		1	210	214	0.10	2.3	0.04	0.04
Centralized-3	7	1	3153	3207	1.50	34.2	0.53	0.69
Centralized-4	7	1	884	899	0.42	9.6	0.15	0.21
Centralized-5	1	1	7036	7157	3.34	76.4	1.17	1.50

NOTES:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ROI = Region of Influence
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-5.2-12. Hanford—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	22.2	0.37	13096	0.02	13096	6.55	2.00	0.37	295	2		
Decentralized		16	10.3	0.17	17930	0.02	17930	4.39	2.70	0.49	287	2		
Regionalized-1		12	10.3	0.17	17930	0.02	17930	4.39	2.70	0.49	287	2		
Regionalized-2		11	11.6	0.19	22349	0.03	10996	5.50	3.40	0.62	564	4		
Regionalized-3		6	10.3	0.17	17930	0.02	8772	4.39	2.70	0.49	287	2		
Regionalized-4		7	12.7	0.21	22172	0.03	11331	5.67	3.50	0.64	591	4		
Regionalized-5		4	12.7	0.21	22172	0.03	11331	5.67	3.50	0.64	591	4		
Regionalized-6		2	15.5	0.25	32371	0.04	12256	6.30	10.98	2.00	663	5		
Regionalized-7		2	3.7	0.06	6887	0.01	1615	0.81	0.83	0.15	84	1		
Centralized-1		1	37.5	0.62	82007	0.10	16522	8.26	33.02	6.00	1238	9		
Centralized-2		1	3.7	0.06	6887	0.01	1615	0.81	0.83	0.15	84	1		
Centralized-3		7	32.7	0.54	86265	0.11	31870	15.94	34.62	6.29	1215	9		
Centralized-4		7	8.3	0.13	16326	0.02	7153	3.58	1.90	0.35	468	3		
Centralized-5		1	86.4	1.40	199473	0.25	101650	50.83	19.80	3.60	2891	20		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-5.2-13. Hanford—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
	No Action	10**		6	1223	11	37	1066	109	376
Decentralized		16	856	59	117	599	80	238	0	618
Regionalized-1		12	856	59	117	599	80	238	0	618
Regionalized-2	11	12	1342	124	224	881	112	962	0	380
Regionalized-3		6	856	59	117	599	80	238	0	618
Regionalized-4	7	6	1379	135	228	901	115	1000	0	380
Regionalized-5	4	6	1379	135	228	901	115	1000	0	380
Regionalized-6		2	2062	155	242	1275	391	238	0	1824
Regionalized-7		2	238	18	57	138	24	238	0	0
Centralized-1		1	4063	301	433	2556	774	238	0	3825
Centralized-2		1	238	18	57	138	24	238	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	3568	307	420	2475	365	1000	0	2568
Centralized-4	7	1	1000	100	192	653	54	1000	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	7961	674	1372	5246	669	5393	0	2568

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In current 1994 dollars.

Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.5.3 Hanford TRUW

Twelve of the 14 impact categories apply to TRUW at Hanford. These impacts are presented in the following tables.

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-5.3-1	5-35
2.	Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.3-2	5-36
4.	Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-5.3-3	5-37
5.	Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.3-4	5-38
6.	Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-5.3-5	5-39
7.	Hanford—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.3-6	5-40
8.	Hanford—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.3-7	5-41
9.	Hanford—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-5.3-8	5-42
10.	Hanford—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-5.3-9	5-43
13.	Hanford—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-5.3-10	5-44
14.	Hanford—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-5.3-11	5-45
15.	Hanford—TRUW—Cost	II-5.3-12	5-46

Table II-5-3-1. Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

40 TRUW Alternatives	Treatment						Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure
	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.2E-01	7.0E-02	5.3E-06	3.5E-07
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.3E-01	2.6E-01	2.3E-05	1.1E-06
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.3E-01	3.9E-01	4.1E-05	2.0E-06
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.3E-01	5.1E-01	1.7E-01	8.2E-03
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.3E-01	5.1E-01	1.7E-01	8.2E-03
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.6E-01	3.2E-01	6.5E-04	3.1E-05

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-5.3-2. Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers						
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence			
					1.5E-02	2.5E-05	2.6E-11		1.5E-08	4.6E-08		7.0E-08	7.0E-04	1.2E-06	1.6E-11	7.0E-08
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.6E-02	7.8E-05	8.4E-11	4.6E-08	2.3E-03	7.0E-04	1.2E-06	1.6E-11	7.0E-08	3.1E+02	4.3E-01	1.9E-08	1.9E-02
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	8.2E-02	1.4E-04	1.3E-10	8.2E-08	4.0E-03	4.0E-03	6.8E-06	7.9E-11	4.0E-07	3.3E+02	4.5E-01	1.1E-07	2.0E-02
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	3.3E+02	5.7E-01	1.3E-10	3.3E-02	1.6E+01	1.6E-03	2.8E-02	7.9E-11	1.6E-03	3.2E+02	4.5E-01	1.9E-07	1.9E-02
Regionalized-2	3	2	LDR	1.3E+00	2.2E-03	7.9E-11	1.3E-04	6.2E-02	6.2E-02	1.1E-04	4.7E-11	6.2E-08	4.1E+02	5.8E-01	7.1E-08	2.5E-02
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR													
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR													

Notes: ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Table II-5.3-3. Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	No Action**	16		5	WIPP WAC
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.8E-10	1.9E-09
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	8.5E-10	3.4E-09
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	3.4E-06	1.4E-05
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	3.4E-06	1.4E-05
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.3E-08	5.3E-08

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal of WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 ***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-5.3-4. Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												
			Offsite				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
					5.1E-10	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	1.2E-09	2.1E-06	3.1E-14	1.2E-10	2.1E-06	3.1E-14	1.2E-10	2.1E-06
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	3.0E-07	5.1E-10	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	1.2E-09	2.1E-06	3.1E-14	1.2E-10	2.1E-06	3.1E-14	1.2E-10	
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	9.6E-07	1.6E-09	<9.9E-14	9.6E-11	3.9E-06	6.6E-09	9.8E-14	3.9E-10	6.6E-09	9.8E-14	3.9E-10	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.7E-06	2.9E-09	<9.9E-14	1.7E-10	6.9E-06	1.2E-08	1.6E-13	6.9E-10	1.2E-08	1.6E-13	6.9E-10	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	6.8E-03	1.2E-05	<9.9E-14	6.8E-07	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	1.5E-13	2.8E-06	4.8E-05	1.5E-13	2.8E-06	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	6.8E-03	1.2E-05	<9.9E-14	6.8E-07	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	1.5E-13	2.8E-06	4.8E-05	1.5E-13	2.8E-06	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.7E-05	4.6E-08	<9.9E-14	2.7E-09	1.1E-04	1.8E-07	9.2E-14	1.1E-08	1.8E-07	9.2E-14	1.1E-08	

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal of WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites then to WIPP.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-5.3-5. Hanford—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

Exposure Index = TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	7.2E-13	2.5E-11	8.0E-07
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.5E-12	1.6E-10	1.6E-06
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	7.2E-12	2.5E-10	1.6E-06
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	7.0E-12	2.5E-10	1.4E-05
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	7.0E-12	2.5E-10	1.4E-05
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	5.1E-12	1.8E-10	1.6E-06

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-5.3-7. Hanford—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction				
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year				
				General Conformity Rule (1)				
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	Operations & Maintenance										
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year										
				Standard or Guideline (2)										
		CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	1	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	2	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	2	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) Hanford is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for alternatives that do not involve treatment to LDR (incineration) are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-5.3-8. Hanford—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	CH Treat	RH	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
															Treat
No Action**	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized***	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	7	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	7	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat	STD	Operations & Maintenance													
	CH Treat	RH			Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride				
			Treat	WIPP - WAC														
No Action**	16	5	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized***	16	5	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-5.3-9. Hanford—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations					
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow			
	16	5		--	--	--	2069	<0.1	<0.1			
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	52728	0.6	52728	0.6	<0.1	11744	0.1	<0.1	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	56413	0.6	56413	0.6	<0.1	13956	0.1	<0.1	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	64729	0.7	64729	0.7	<0.1	15519	0.2	<0.1	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	64729	0.7	64729	0.7	<0.1	15519	0.2	<0.1	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	64729	0.7	64729	0.7	<0.1	15519	0.2	<0.1	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	52910	0.6	52910	0.6	<0.1	6020	0.1	<0.1	--

Notes:

Water supplied by surface water in the Columbia River. Current water use = 9,567,000 gallons/day.

Average flow rate of the Columbia River = 77,560,000,000 gallons/day.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = Wastewater discharged to evaporation ponds.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-5.3-10. Hanford—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions)	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives				
					Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	254	207	0.10	2.2	0.03	0.14
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1600	1628	0.76	17.4	0.27	0.28
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1875	1908	0.89	20.4	0.31	0.33
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2202	2240	1.05	23.9	0.37	0.39
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2202	2240	1.05	23.9	0.37	0.39
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1602	1630	0.76	17.4	0.27	0.28

Notes:

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-5.3-11. Hanford—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives										
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
	CH	RH	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
	Treat	Treat											Treatment Standard
No Action**	16	5	0.0	0.00	2796	0.00	2796	1.40	0.3	0.05	0	0.00	
Decentralized***	16	5	21.8	0.36	52728	0.07	11744	5.87	2.6	0.47	788	5.50	
Regionalized-1	5	2	23.5	0.39	56413	0.07	13956	6.98	2.6	0.48	986	6.80	
Regionalized-2	5	2	24.7	0.41	64729	0.08	15519	7.76	3.6	0.66	1317	9.10	
Regionalized-3	3	2	24.7	0.41	64729	0.08	15519	7.76	3.6	0.66	1317	9.10	
Centralized	WIPP	2	21.0	0.35	52910	0.07	6020	3.01	4.9	0.88	874	6.10	

Notes:

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-5.3-12. Hanford—TRUW—Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Characterization (Millions)	Retrieval (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	287	0	0	252	35	0	235	52
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	1810	110	403	960	338	584	1188	39
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2122	156	480	1116	371	584	1446	93
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2491	187	679	1241	384	584	1813	95
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2491	187	679	1241	384	584	1813	95
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1813	128	445	917	323	584	1180	49

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to be assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.5.4 Hanford HLW

Nine of the 14 impact categories apply to HLW at Hanford. These impacts are presented in the following tables.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	Hanford—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-5.4-1	5-48
2.	Hanford—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-5.4-2	5-49
7.	Hanford—HLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.4-3	5-50
8.	Hanford—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.4-4	5-51
9.	Hanford—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-5.4-5	5-52
10.	Hanford—HLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-5.4-6	5-53
13.	Hanford—HLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Storage	II-5.4-7	5-54
14.	Hanford—HLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Storage	II-5.4-8	5-55
15.	Hanford—HLW—Cost	II-5.4-9	5-56

Table II-5.4-1. Hanford—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	WM Worker	
		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards
No Action	4	1.1E+00	4.2E-01
Decentralized	4	1.7E+00	6.4E-01
Regionalized-1	3	1.7E+00	6.4E-01
Regionalized-2	3	1.8E+00	6.7E-01
Centralized	1	2.1E+00	7.5E-01

Note:
S = Storage

Table II-5.4-2. Hanford—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Worker		
	S		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	4		2.7E+03	3.8E+00	1.6E-01
Decentralized	4		4.4E+03	6.1E+00	2.6E-01
Regionalized-1	3		4.4E+03	6.1E+00	2.6E-01
Regionalized-2	3		4.5E+03	6.4E+00	2.7E-01
Centralized	1		5.2E+03	7.2E+00	3.1E-01

Notes:
S = Storage

Table II-5.4-3. Hanford—HLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	S		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	4		2 (1/1)	3 (3/0)	0	0	0	0	13 (0/13)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Decentralized	4		9 (5/4)	14 (14/0)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	16 (0/16)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-1	3		9 (5/4)	14 (14/0)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	16 (0/16)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-2	3		9 (5/4)	14 (14/0)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	16 (0/16)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Centralized	1		11 (6/5)	15 (15/0)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	17 (0/17)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		

Notes:
 S = Storage
 Data is based on repository beginning to accept HLW canisters in 2015.
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

Table II-5.4-4. Hanford—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction						
		Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Operations & Maintenance										
		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)										
		CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
S = Storage
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds, NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
(1) Hanford is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
(2) Stationary-source emissions from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.
(3) Stationary-source emissions from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-5.4-5. Hanford—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	S		Total Radionuclides	Other Hazardous and Toxic Air Pollutants	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	S		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

S = Storage

-- = Emissions of hazardous and toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.

Table II-5.4-6. Hanford—HLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	S		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	4		22	<0.1	<0.1	3,200	<0.1	<0.1	--	
Decentralized	4		27	<0.1	<0.1	8,000	<0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-1	3		27	<0.1	<0.1	8,000	<0.1	<0.1	--	
Regionalized-2	3		27	<0.1	<0.1	8,000	<0.1	<0.1	--	
Centralized	1		28	<0.1	<0.1	8,000	<0.1	<0.1	--	

Notes:
 S = Storage
 Water supplied by surface water in the Columbia River. Current water use = 9,567,000 gallons/day.
 Average flow rate of the Columbia River = 77,560,000,000 gallons/day.
 Data is based on repository beginning to accept HLW canisters in 2015.
 -- = Wastewater discharged to evaporation ponds.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-5.4-7. Hanford—HLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Storage

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives						
	S		Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)		
			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)			
No Action	4		127	0.06	1.4	0.02	0.04		
Decentralized	4		285	0.13	3.0	0.05	0.08		
Regionalized-1	3		285	0.13	3.0	0.05	0.08		
Regionalized-2	3		291	0.14	3.1	0.05	0.08		
Centralized	1		310	0.14	3.3	0.05	0.08		

Notes:
 S = Storage
 (1) In 1990 dollars. The economic multiplier analysis only was applied to costs through 2015.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-5.4-8. Hanford—HLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Storage

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives										
		Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
No Action	4	1	0.02	3,200	<0.01	3,200	1.6	0.06	0.02	12	0	
Decentralized	4	14	0.23	8,000	0.01	8,000	4.0	0.10	0.03	39	0	
Regionalized-1	3	14	0.23	8,000	0.01	8,000	4.0	0.10	0.03	39	0	
Regionalized-2	3	14	0.23	8,000	0.01	8,000	4.0	0.10	0.03	40	0	
Centralized	1	16	0.26	8,000	0.01	8,000	4.0	0.10	0.03	43	0	

Notes:
 S = Storage
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

Table II-5.4-9. Hanford—HLW—Cost

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)		Cost by Functional Area (1)	
			Construction (Millions) (2)	Operations & Maintenance (3) (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Handling (Millions)
No Action	4	826	22	804	37	789
Decentralized	4	1426	280	1146	486	940
Regionalized-1	3	1426	280	1146	486	940
Regionalized-2	3	1463	280	1183	497	966
Centralized	1	1734	360	1374	688	1046
Centralized Delayed Acceptance	1	1780	400	1380	730	1050

Notes:
 S = Storage
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of Life Cycle Components = Sum of Functional Areas.
 (2) Construction costs are for the interim storage facilities.
 (3) Operations and maintenance costs include operation and maintenance of the interim storage facilities, and the handling of canisters (unloading/loading of canisters into or out of the interim storage facilities).

II.5.5 Hanford HW

Eleven of the 14 impact categories apply to HW at Hanford. These impacts are presented in the following tables.

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	Hanford—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-5.5-1	5-58
	3.	Hanford—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences	II-5.5-2	5-59
	5.	Hanford—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences	II-5.5-3	5-60
	6.	Hanford—HW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-5.5-4	5-61
	7.	Hanford—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.5-5	5-62
	8.	Hanford—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-5.5-6	5-63
	9.	Hanford—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-5.5-7	5-64
	10.	Hanford—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-5.5-8	5-65
	13.	Hanford—HW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-5.5-9	5-66
	14.	Hanford—HW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-5.5-10	5-67
	15.	Hanford—HW—Cost	II-5.5-11	5-68

Table II-5.5-1. Hanford—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Worker Physical Hazards
	T		
No Action	2		--
Decentralized	3		--
Regionalized-1	5		1.8E-02
Regionalized-2	2		--
Notes:			
-- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative			
T = Treatment			

Table II-5.5-2. Hanford--HW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite Population Chemical Cancer Incidence	Noninvolved Worker Chemical Cancer Incidence	WM Worker Chemical Cancer Incidence
No Action	2	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	4.3E-03	2.6E-03	2.9E-01
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-5.5-3. Hanford—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Treatment	
		Offsite MEI Cancer Incidence Probability	Noninvolved MEI Cancer Incidence Probability
No Action	T 2	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	1.4E-07	5.0E-06
Regionalized-2	2	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-5.5-4. Hanford—HW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		
	T		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action	2		--	--	--
Decentralized	3		--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5		1.9E-03	6.7E-02	5.9E+00
Regionalized-2	2		--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-5.5-5. Hanford—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	T		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	2		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-1	5		6 (4/2)	10 (10/0)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	0	0	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	0		
Regionalized-2	2		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		

Notes:
T = Treatment
Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

Table II-5.5-6. Hanford—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction						
		Percent of Tons/Year						
		General Conformity Rule (1)						
T	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Operations & Maintenance										
		Percent of Tons/Year						Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
		Standard or Guideline (2)						CO	NO2	SO2	Pb	PM10
T	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	0	1	0	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) Hanford is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the Regionalized-2 Alternative are assumed to be negligible since no waste is treated at Hanford under this alternative.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-5.5-7. Hanford--HW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	--	--	--	0	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	Total Radionuclides	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	--	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	--	0	9
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 -- = Emissions of certain hazardous or toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HW treatment facilities are assumed to be negligible.

Table II-5.5-8. Hanford—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction			Operations			
		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	1366	<0.1	<0.1	933	<0.1	<0.1	--
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 Water supplied by surface water in the Columbia River. Current water use = 9,567,000 gallons/day.
 Average flow rate of the Columbia River = 77,560,000,000 gallons/day.
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative, and where treatment is considered wastewater is discharged to evaporation ponds.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-5.5-9. Hanford—HW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives						
		Jobs		Income		% ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% ROI Population Increase (2)	
		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)			
T	Cost (Millions) (1)	Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% ROI Population Increase (2)		
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized 1	5	54	63	0.03	0.7	0.01	0.01	
Regionalized 2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

-- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-5.5-10. Hanford--HW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives		Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)			
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		5	1	0.017	1366	0.00	0.47	933	0.28	0.05	22	0		
Regionalized-2		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

Table II-5.5-11. Hanford—HW—Cost

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Government Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)	
			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Government (2) (Millions)	Commercial (Millions)
No Action	T 2	6	--	--	--	--	--	6
Decentralized	3	6	--	--	--	--	--	6
Regionalized-1	5	70	5	21	35	1	61	8
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 - - = Not considered for this site.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Government costs equal to the sum of the life-cycle components.

II.6.0 INEL

INEL currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, TRUW, HLW, and HW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.6.1 INEL LLMW

Seventeen tables immediately following portray impacts of LLMW at INEL. These tables are presented as follows:

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	INEL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-6.1-1	6-3
2.	INEL—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.1-2	6-4
3.	INEL—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.1-3	6-5
4.	INEL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-6.1-4	6-6
5.	INEL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.1-5	6-7
6.	INEL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-6.1-6	6-8
7.	INEL—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.1-7	6-9
8.	INEL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.1-8	6-10
9.	INEL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-6.1-9	6-11
10.	INEL—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-6.1-10	6-12
11.	INEL—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-6.1-11	6-13
11.	INEL—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Remote-Handled)	II-6.1-12	6-14
12.	INEL—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-6.1-13	6-15
12.	INEL—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Remote-Handled)	II-6.1-14	6-16

13.	INEL—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	6-17
14.	INEL—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	6-18
15.	INEL—LLMW—Cost	6-19

Table II-6.1-1. INEL--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	1.2E-01	6.0E-02	5.6E-04	1.5E-04	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.0E-01	4.3E-01	5.2E-05	7.0E-06	1.4E-01	4.6E-02	4.6E-02	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.0E-01	4.3E-01	5.2E-05	7.0E-06	1.4E-01	4.6E-02	4.6E-02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.1E-01	5.5E-01	6.3E-04	4.5E-05	1.7E-01	5.5E-02	5.5E-02	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.1E-01	5.5E-01	6.3E-04	4.5E-05	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.2E-01	8.8E-01	6.7E-04	4.8E-05	2.9E-01	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	
Centralized	1	1	7.1E-02	7.5E-02	4.9E-06	3.5E-07	--	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-6.1-2. INEL—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	4	-	1.1E+00	1.9E-03	1.9E-06	1.1E-04	3.0E-01	5.2E-04	2.6E-06	3.0E-05	3.0E+02	4.2E-01	2.2E-05	1.8E-02
Decentralized	3	16	1.1E-01	1.8E-04	1.8E-07	1.1E-05	1.4E-02	2.4E-05	2.4E-07	1.4E-06	2.6E+02	3.6E-01	4.0E-04	1.6E-02
Regionalized-1	37	12	1.1E-01	1.8E-04	1.8E-07	1.1E-05	1.4E-02	2.4E-05	2.4E-07	1.4E-06	2.6E+02	3.6E-01	4.0E-04	1.6E-02
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.3E+00	2.1E-03	2.0E-07	1.3E-04	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	2.7E-07	9.0E-06	2.8E+02	3.9E-01	5.1E-04	1.7E-02
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.3E+00	2.1E-03	1.6E-07	1.3E-04	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	2.2E-07	9.0E-06	2.8E+02	3.9E-01	5.1E-04	1.7E-02
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.3E+00	2.3E-03	4.7E-07	1.3E-04	9.7E-02	1.6E-04	6.1E-07	9.7E-06	3.0E+02	4.2E-01	1.2E-03	1.8E-02
Centralized	1	1	9.9E-03	1.7E-05	4.9E-09	9.9E-07	6.9E-04	1.2E-06	6.4E-09	6.9E-08	1.8E+02	2.5E-01	7.0E-06	1.1E-02

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-6.1-3. INEL—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			Radiation Genetic Effects
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02	2.1E-02
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02	2.1E-02
Regionalized-2	7	6	4.1E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	7.2E+02	1.0E+00	4.4E-02	4.4E-02
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-6.1-4. INEL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	3	-	7.0E-08	1.3E-07	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	6.5E-09	6.0E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-1	11	12	6.5E-09	6.0E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-2	7	6	7.8E-08	3.9E-08	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.8E-08	3.9E-08	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	8.4E-08	4.2E-08	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized	1	1	6.1E-10	3.0E-10	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-6.1-5. INEL--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed				Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	1.4E-04	2.4E-07	2.7E-10	1.4E-08	2.6E-04	4.4E-07	2.1E-09	2.6E-08	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	2.5E-11	1.3E-09	1.2E-05	2.0E-08	2.0E-10	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	2.5E-11	1.3E-09	1.2E-05	2.0E-08	2.0E-10	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	1.2E-09	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.6E-04	2.7E-07	2.6E-07	1.6E-08	7.8E-05	1.3E-07	2.2E-10	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.6E-04	2.7E-07	2.2E-11	1.6E-08	7.8E-05	1.3E-07	1.8E-10	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	7.8E-09	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.7E-04	2.8E-07	6.4E-11	1.7E-08	1.2E-05	1.4E-07	5.1E-10	8.3E-09	8.3E-09	8.3E-09	8.3E-09	8.3E-09	8.3E-09	8.3E-09	8.3E-09	
Centralized	1	1	1.2E-06	2.1E-09	6.7E-13	1.2E-10	6.0E-07	1.0E-09	5.3E-12	5.9E-11	5.9E-11	5.9E-11	5.9E-11	5.9E-11	5.9E-11	5.9E-11	5.9E-11	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

Table II-6.1-6. INEL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index	Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index	
No Action	3	-	2.6E-07	2.1E-06	2.0E-04	--	
Decentralized	37	16	4.1E-08	3.2E-07	1.1E-03	8.1E-02	
Regionalized-1	11	12	4.1E-08	3.2E-07	1.1E-03	8.1E-02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	4.3E-08	3.5E-07	1.3E-03	8.4E-02	
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.4E-08	2.7E-07	1.3E-03	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.3E-08	4.2E-07	1.6E-03	4.5E-02	
Centralized	1	1	7.7E-10	6.2E-09	4.3E-05	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for No Action Alternative for LLMW.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-6.1-7. INEL—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)													
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC						
	No Action	3	-	122 (45/77)	132 (117/15)	0	9 (9/0)	11 (11/0)	21 (12/9)	20 (2/18)	8 (4/4)	0	1 (1/0)	0	2 (0/2)	187 (83/104)	236 (215/21)	0	17 (17/0)	21 (21/0)	33 (21/12)	53 (2/51)	16 (6/10)	0	2 (2/0)	0
Decentralized	37	16	187 (83/104)	236 (215/21)	0	17 (17/0)	21 (21/0)	33 (21/12)	53 (2/51)	16 (6/10)	0	2 (2/0)	0	6 (0/6)	187 (83/104)	236 (215/21)	0	17 (17/0)	21 (21/0)	33 (21/12)	53 (2/51)	16 (6/10)	0	2 (2/0)	0	6 (0/6)
Regionalized-1	11	12	187 (83/104)	236 (215/21)	0	17 (17/0)	21 (21/0)	33 (21/12)	53 (2/51)	16 (6/10)	0	2 (2/0)	0	6 (0/6)	113 (20/93)	72 (53/19)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	16 (5/11)	55 (1/54)	15 (4/11)	0	2 (2/0)	0	7 (0/7)
Regionalized-2	7	6	113 (20/93)	72 (53/19)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	16 (5/11)	55 (1/54)	15 (4/11)	0	2 (2/0)	0	7 (0/7)	104 (19/85)	66 (49/17)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	15 (5/10)	43 (1/42)	12 (4/8)	0	2 (2/0)	0	5 (0/5)
Regionalized-3	7	1	104 (19/85)	66 (49/17)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	15 (5/10)	43 (1/42)	12 (4/8)	0	2 (2/0)	0	5 (0/5)	160 (23/137)	88 (61/27)	0	5 (5/0)	6 (6/0)	22 (6/16)	87 (1/86)	27 (10/17)	0	7 (7/0)	1 (1/0)	10 (0/10)
Regionalized-4	4	6	160 (23/137)	88 (61/27)	0	5 (5/0)	6 (6/0)	22 (6/16)	87 (1/86)	27 (10/17)	0	7 (7/0)	1 (1/0)	10 (0/10)	34 (16/18)	45 (41/4)	0	3 (3/0)	4 (4/0)	6 (4/2)	8 (0/8)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Centralized	1	1	34 (16/18)	45 (41/4)	0	3 (3/0)	4 (4/0)	6 (4/2)	8 (0/8)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)												

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-6.1-8. INEL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year						
			General Conformity Rule (1)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance													
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year													
			Standard or Guideline (2)													
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	1	10	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized	37	16	2	15	0	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	11	12	2	15	0	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	7	6	1	9	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	1	9	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-4	4	6	1	25	0	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) INEL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-6.1-9. INEL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2 Trichloro,1,1	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-6.1-10. INEL---LLMW---Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	73350	1.3	--	4304	0.1	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	110206	1.9	--	7907	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	110206	1.9	--	7907	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	41313	0.7	--	8352	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	38333	0.7	--	7309	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	52175	0.9	--	13239	0.2	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	21517	0.4	--	1258	<0.1	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Water supplied by groundwater in the Snake River Plain Aquifer. Current water use = 5,700,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds.
-- = Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-6.1-11. INEL-LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu		
	T	D	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal of CH-LLMW at this site for this alternative.

Table II-6.1-12. INEL—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Remote-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	Y	Zr					
			225	227	241	242	243	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241										
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--					
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	Th	Th	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr					
			210	40	231	223	226	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	232	233	234	235	236	238	239	240	241	241	241	241	90	93					
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
* = In addition to the CH-LLMW disposal sites indicated below, disposal of RH-LLMW occurs at four sites (Hanford, INEL, ORR and SRS) for all alternatives except No Action.
-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-6.1-13. INEL--LLMW--Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	4	0	0	10	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	4	0	0	10	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	4	0	0	10	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	2	0	0	5	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-6.1-14. INEL-LLMW--Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Remote-Handled)]

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

* = In addition to the CH-LLMW disposal sites indicated below, disposal of RH-LLMW occurs at four sites (Hanford, INEL, ORR and SRS) for all alternatives except No Action.

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-6.1-15. INEL--LLMW--Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives							
			Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)		
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)			
No Action	3	-	798	618	0.62	6.7	0.23	0.35		
Decentralized	37	16	1623	1572	1.58	16.9	0.58	0.18		
Regionalized-1	11	12	1629	1576	1.58	17.0	0.59	0.48		
Regionalized-2	7	6	1573	1525	1.53	16.4	0.57	0.48		
Regionalized-3	7	1	1396	1352	1.36	14.5	0.51	0.39		
Regionalized-4	4	6	2100	2034	2.04	21.9	0.76	0.24		
Centralized	1	1	739	715	0.72	7.7	0.27	0.07		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-6.1-16. INEL--LLMW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	3	-	33.3	0.14	73360	0.24	0.43	4304	0.74	10.34	24.73	588	5	
Decentralized	37	16	55.6	0.24	110206	0.36	0.74	7907	0.74	5.25	12.56	798	7	
Regionalized-1	11	12	55.6	0.24	110206	0.36	0.74	7907	0.74	5.25	12.56	798	7	
Regionalized-2	7	6	23.5	0.10	41313	0.13	0.84	8352	0.84	1.70	4.06	716	6	
Regionalized-3	7	1	21.6	0.09	38333	0.12	0.73	7309	0.73	1.70	4.06	646	5	
Regionalized-4	4	6	30.6	0.13	52175	0.17	1.32	13239	1.32	2.59	6.20	1055	9	
Centralized	1	1	12.2	0.05	21517	0.07	0.13	1258	0.13	0.32	0.77	137	1	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-6.1-17. INEL--LLMW--Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)					Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)	
	No Action	3		-	903	75	319	364	145	404	499
Decentralized	37	16	1837	180	668	918	70	1382	0	455	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1842	181	668	923	70	1383	0	460	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1780	176	621	887	96	1455	0	325	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1580	157	602	760	61	1462	0	118	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2377	217	807	1214	138	1868	0	508	
Centralized	1	1	836	79	333	382	41	718	0	118	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.
(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.6.2 INEL LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at INEL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	INEL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-6.2-1	6-21
	2.	INEL—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.2-2	6-22
	3.	INEL—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.2-3	6-23
	4.	INEL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-6.2-4	6-24
	5.	INEL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.2-5	6-25
	7.	INEL—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.2-6	6-26
	8.	INEL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.2-7	6-27
	9.	INEL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-6.2-8	6-28
	10.	INEL—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-6.2-9	6-29
	11.	INEL—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-6.2-10	6-30
	13.	INEL—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-6.2-11	6-31
	14.	INEL—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-6.2-12	6-32
	15.	INEL—LLW—Cost	II-6.2-13	6-33

Table II-6.2-1. INEL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	2.5E-01	3.7E-01	1.4E-06	3.0E-06	6.4E-01	2.1E-01		
Decentralized		16	1.7E-01	1.5E-01	8.0E-07	2.4E-07	4.2E-01	2.5E-01		
Regionalized-1		12	1.7E-01	1.5E-01	8.0E-07	2.4E-07	4.2E-01	2.5E-01		
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.7E-01	3.7E-01	1.4E-06	4.0E-07	3.4E-01	1.5E-01		
Regionalized-3		6	1.7E-01	1.5E-01	8.0E-07	2.4E-07	4.2E-01	2.5E-01		
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.7E-01	3.7E-01	1.4E-06	4.0E-07	3.4E-01	1.5E-01		
Regionalized-5	4	6	5.3E-01	8.1E-01	4.1E-04	1.2E-04	3.6E-01	1.6E-01		
Regionalized-6		2	2.1E-01	2.3E-01	1.3E-06	3.9E-07	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	2.1E-01	2.3E-01	1.3E-06	3.9E-07	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	2.1E-01	2.3E-01	1.3E-06	3.9E-07	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	2.1E-01	2.3E-01	1.3E-06	3.9E-07	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	1.7E-01	3.7E-01	1.4E-06	4.0E-07	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	1.7E-01	3.7E-01	1.4E-06	4.0E-07	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	1.7E-01	1.5E-01	8.0E-07	2.4E-07	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-6.2-2. INEL—LLW—Treatment; Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	10**	6	2.7E-03	4.7E-06	2.7E-07	8.1E-04	1.4E-06	8.1E-08	6.2E+02	8.7E-01
Decentralized		16	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	1.6E-07	4.8E-04	8.1E-07	4.8E-08	4.1E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02
Regionalized-1		12	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	1.6E-07	4.8E-04	8.1E-07	4.8E-08	4.1E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.7E-03	4.6E-06	2.7E-07	8.1E-04	1.4E-06	8.1E-08	4.2E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02
Regionalized-3		6	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	1.6E-07	4.8E-04	8.1E-07	4.8E-08	4.1E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.7E-03	4.6E-06	2.7E-07	8.1E-04	1.4E-06	8.1E-08	4.2E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02
Regionalized-5	4	6	8.2E-01	1.4E-03	8.2E-05	2.3E-01	4.0E-04	2.3E-05	1.3E+03	1.9E+00	8.0E-02
Regionalized-6		2	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	7.7E-04	1.3E-06	7.7E-08	5.2E+02	7.2E-01	3.1E-01
Regionalized-7		2	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	7.7E-04	1.3E-06	7.7E-08	5.2E+02	7.2E-01	3.1E-01
Centralized-1		1	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	7.7E-04	1.3E-06	7.7E-08	5.2E+02	7.2E-01	3.1E-01
Centralized-2		1	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	7.7E-04	1.3E-06	7.7E-08	5.2E+02	7.2E-01	3.1E-01
Centralized-3	7	1	2.7E-03	4.6E-06	2.7E-07	8.1E-04	1.4E-06	8.1E-08	4.2E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02
Centralized-4	7	1	2.7E-03	4.6E-06	2.7E-07	8.1E-04	1.4E-06	8.1E-08	4.2E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02
Centralized-5	1	1	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	1.6E-07	4.8E-04	8.1E-07	4.8E-08	4.1E+02	5.8E-01	2.5E-02

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-6.2-3. INEL—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	1.6E+03	2.2E+00	9.6E-02	
Decentralized		16	1.0E+03	1.5E+00	6.3E-02	
Regionalized-1		12	1.0E+03	1.5E+00	6.3E-02	
Regionalized-2	11	12	8.6E+02	1.2E+00	5.2E-02	
Regionalized-3		6	1.0E+03	1.5E+00	6.3E-02	
Regionalized-4	7	6	8.6E+02	1.2E+00	5.2E-02	
Regionalized-5	4	6	9.0E+02	1.3E+00	5.4E-02	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-6.2-4. INEL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	10**	6	1.7E-10	3.4E-10	<9.9E-14
Decentralized		16	9.9E-11	2.0E-10	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-1		12	9.9E-11	2.0E-10	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.7E-10	3.4E-10	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-3		6	9.9E-11	2.0E-10	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.7E-10	3.4E-10	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-5	4	6	5.1E-08	1.0E-07	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-6		2	1.6E-10	3.2E-10	--
Regionalized-7		2	1.6E-10	3.2E-10	--
Centralized-1		1	1.6E-10	3.2E-10	--
Centralized-2		1	1.6E-10	3.2E-10	--
Centralized-3	7	1	1.7E-10	3.4E-10	--
Centralized-4	7	1	1.7E-10	3.4E-10	--
Centralized-5	1	1	9.9E-11	2.0E-10	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-6.2-5. INEL--LLW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	
	T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability						
No Action	10**	6	3.4E-07	5.8E-10	3.4E-11	6.7E-07	1.1E-09	6.7E-11	6.7E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14			
Decentralized		16	2.0E-07	3.4E-10	2.0E-11	4.0E-07	6.8E-10	4.0E-11	4.0E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14			
Regionalized-1		12	2.0E-07	3.4E-10	2.0E-11	4.0E-07	6.8E-10	4.0E-11	4.0E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14			
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.3E-07	5.7E-10	3.3E-11	6.7E-07	1.1E-09	6.7E-11	6.7E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14			
Regionalized-3		6	2.0E-07	3.4E-10	2.0E-11	4.0E-07	6.8E-10	4.0E-11	4.0E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14			
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.3E-07	5.7E-10	3.3E-11	6.7E-07	1.1E-09	6.7E-11	6.7E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14			
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.0E-04	1.7E-07	1.0E-08	2.0E-04	3.4E-07	2.0E-08	2.0E-08	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14			
Regionalized-6		2	3.2E-07	5.4E-10	3.2E-11	6.4E-07	1.1E-09	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-7		2	3.2E-07	5.4E-10	3.2E-11	6.4E-07	1.1E-09	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-1		1	3.2E-07	5.4E-10	3.2E-11	6.4E-07	1.1E-09	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-2		1	3.2E-07	5.4E-10	3.2E-11	6.4E-07	1.1E-09	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-3	7	1	3.3E-07	5.7E-10	3.3E-11	6.7E-07	1.1E-09	6.7E-11	6.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-4	7	1	3.3E-07	5.7E-10	3.3E-11	6.7E-07	1.1E-09	6.7E-11	6.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-5	1	1	2.0E-07	3.4E-10	2.0E-11	4.0E-07	6.8E-10	4.0E-11	4.0E-11	--	--	--	--	--			

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-6.2-6. INEL-LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6	26 (5/21)	16 (12/4)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	4 (1/3)	59 (0/59)	12 (0/12)	0	0	0	7 (0/7)								
Decentralized		16	48 (8/40)	28 (20/8)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	7 (2/5)	65 (0/65)	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)								
Regionalized-1		12	48 (8/40)	28 (20/8)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	7 (2/5)	65 (0/65)	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)								
Regionalized-2		11	68 (9/59)	35 (23/12)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	9 (2/7)	91 (0/91)	19 (1/18)	0	1 (1/0)	0	11 (0/11)								
Regionalized-3		6	48 (8/40)	28 (20/8)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	7 (2/5)	63 (0/63)	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)								
Regionalized-4		7	68 (9/59)	35 (23/12)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	9 (2/7)	91 (0/91)	19 (1/18)	0	1 (1/0)	0	11 (0/11)								
Regionalized-5		4	148 (21/127)	79 (54/25)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	20 (5/15)	182 (1/181)	40 (2/38)	0	3 (3/0)	0	22 (0/22)								
Regionalized-6		2	44 (4/40)	18 (10/8)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	6 (1/5)	33 (0/33)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	4 (4/0)								
Regionalized-7		2	44 (4/40)	18 (10/8)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	6 (1/5)	33 (0/33)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	4 (4/0)								
Centralized-1		1	44 (4/40)	18 (10/8)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	6 (1/5)	33 (0/33)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	4 (4/0)								
Centralized-2		1	44 (4/40)	18 (10/8)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	6 (1/5)	33 (0/33)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	4 (4/0)								
Centralized-3		7	65 (6/59)	28 (16/12)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	9 (2/7)	65 (0/65)	15 (1/14)	0	1 (1/0)	0	8 (0/8)								
Centralized-4		7	65 (6/59)	28 (16/12)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	9 (2/7)	65 (0/65)	15 (1/14)	0	1 (1/0)	0	8 (0/8)								
Centralized-5		1	44 (4/40)	18 (10/8)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	6 (1/5)	33 (0/33)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	4 (4/0)								

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-6.2-7. INEL-LLW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction					
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)					
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**	6	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized		16	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-1		12	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3		6	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4		6	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-5	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-6		2	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-7		2	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-1		1	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-2	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-4	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-5	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)			Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)								
			CO (4)	NO2	Pb (4)	PM10	SO2 (4)	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**	6	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	1	5	0	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide. VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) INEL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR do not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the No Action and minimum treatment for incineration alternatives, and the Centralized - 5 Alternative, are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied.
 Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-6.2-8. INEL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Other Hazardous and Toxic Air Pollutants	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	10**	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride		
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
T= Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-6.2-9. INEL--LLW--Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	3434	0.1	--	4458	0.1	--	--	
Decentralized		16	21632	0.4	--	8483	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	21632	0.4	--	8483	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	25442	0.4	--	12725	0.2	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	21632	0.4	--	8483	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	25442	0.4	--	12725	0.2	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	63961	1.1	--	28247	0.5	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	12191	0.2	--	4514	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	12191	0.2	--	4514	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	12191	0.2	--	4514	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	12191	0.2	--	4514	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	19535	0.3	--	10059	0.2	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	19535	0.3	--	10059	0.2	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	12191	0.2	--	4514	0.1	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per day

Water supplied by groundwater in the Snake River Plain Aquifer. Current water use = 5,700,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-6.2-10. INEL-LLW-Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																				
No Action	10**	6	228	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	210	40	231	223	226	151	90	99	227	228	229	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	239	90	93
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-6.2-11. INEL—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	1418	1098	1.10	11.8	0.41	0.32
Decentralized		16	1120	1085	1.09	11.7	0.41	0.50
Regionalized-1		12	1120	1085	1.09	11.7	0.41	0.50
Regionalized-2	11	12	1355	1312	1.32	14.1	0.49	0.66
Regionalized-3		6	1120	1085	1.09	11.7	0.41	0.50
Regionalized-4	7	6	1530	1481	1.49	15.9	0.55	0.66
Regionalized-5		6	3079	2981	2.99	32.1	1.11	3.20
Regionalized-6		2	613	593	0.60	6.4	0.22	0.26
Regionalized-7		2	613	593	0.60	6.4	0.22	0.26
Centralized-1		1	613	593	0.60	6.4	0.22	0.26
Centralized-2		1	613	593	0.60	6.4	0.22	0.26
Centralized-3	7	1	984	952	0.96	10.3	0.36	0.44
Centralized-4	7	1	984	952	0.96	10.3	0.36	0.44
Centralized-5	1	1	613	593	0.60	6.4	0.22	0.26

Note:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

ROI = Region of Influence

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-6.2-12. INEL--LLW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment		
No Action	10**	6	7.5	0.03	4458	0.01	4458	0	1.42	3.4	164	1		
Decentralized		16	12.5	0.05	21632	0.07	8483	0	2.41	5.78	488	4		
Regionalized-1		12	12.5	0.05	21632	0.07	8483	0	2.41	5.78	488	4		
Regionalized-2		11	13.9	0.06	21520	0.08	12725	0	2.98	7.14	555	5		
Regionalized-3		6	12.5	0.06	21632	0.07	8483	0	2.41	5.78	488	4		
Regionalized-4		7	13.9	0.06	21520	0.08	12725	0	3.00	7.17	555	5		
Regionalized-5		4	26.5	0.11	63961	0.21	28247	0	7.30	17.47	2702	23		
Regionalized-6		2	7.9	0.03	12191	0.04	4514	0	1.26	3.02	330	3		
Regionalized-7		2	7.9	0.03	12191	0.04	4514	0	1.26	3.02	330	3		
Centralized-1		1	7.9	0.03	12191	0.04	4514	0	1.26	3.02	330	3		
Centralized-2		1	7.9	0.03	12191	0.04	4514	0	1.26	3.02	330	3		
Centralized-3		7	11.9	0.05	15530	0.06	10059	0	3.00	7.18	454	4		
Centralized-4		7	11.9	0.05	15530	0.06	10059	0	3.00	7.18	454	4		
Centralized-5		1	7.9	0.03	12191	0.04	4514	0	1.26	3.02	281	2		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-6.2-13. INEL-LLW-Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
	No Action	10**		6	1604	27	80	1399	98	1010
Decentralized		16	1267	100	205	819	144	655	0	612
Regionalized-1		12	1267	100	205	819	144	655	0	612
Regionalized-2	11	12	1533	112	236	1044	141	1113	0	420
Regionalized-3		6	1267	100	205	819	144	655	0	612
Regionalized-4	7	6	1731	135	257	1163	177	1113	0	619
Regionalized-5	4	6	3484	288	641	2332	222	2865	0	618
Regionalized-6		2	693	57	157	439	40	693	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	693	57	157	439	40	693	0	0
Centralized-1		1	693	57	157	439	40	693	0	0
Centralized-2		1	693	57	157	439	40	693	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	1113	88	200	753	72	1113	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	1113	88	200	753	72	1113	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	693	57	157	439	40	693	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost. (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.6.3 INEL TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at INEL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	INEL—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-6.3-1	6-35
	2.	INEL—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.3-2	6-36
	4.	INEL—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-6.3-3	6-37
	5.	INEL—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.3-4	6-38
	6.	INEL—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-6.3-5	6-39
	7.	INEL—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.3-6	6-40
	8.	INEL—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.3-7	6-41
	9.	INEL—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-6.3-8	6-42
	10.	INEL—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-6.3-9	6-43
	13.	INEL—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-6.3-10	6-44
	14.	INEL—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-6.3-11	6-45
	15.	INEL—TRUW—Cost	II-6.3-12	6-46

Table II-6.3-1. INEL--TRUW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure		
				Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards				
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.0E-06	2.7E-02	6.7E-09	1.7E-09		
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.5E-01	8.2E-01	1.1E-06	3.4E-07		
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.5E-01	1.0E+00	1.4E-06	4.3E-07		
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.4E-01	1.6E+00	7.3E-03	2.2E-03		
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2.5E-01	1.8E+00	4.1E-02	1.2E-02		
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.4E-01	5.5E-01	1.6E-06	4.7E-07		

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-6.3-2. INEL—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Offsite Population						Noninvolved Workers						WM Workers								
	RH			Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		Chemical Cancer		Radiation Genetic Effects		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		Chemical Cancer		Radiation Genetic Effects		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		Chemical Cancer		Radiation Genetic Effects	
	Treat				Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects		Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects		Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects	Incidence	Effects
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.3E-05	2.3E-08	1.7E-12	1.3E-09	3.4E-06	5.7E-09	2.3E-12	3.4E-10	9.9E-03	1.4E-05	3.7E-09	6.0E-07									
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.3E-03	3.9E-06	2.3E-09	2.3E-07	6.8E-04	1.2E-06	3.0E-09	6.8E-08	6.3E+02	8.8E-01	9.2E-06	3.8E-02									
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.9E-03	4.9E-06	2.3E-09	2.9E-07	8.6E-04	1.5E-06	3.1E-09	8.6E-08	6.3E+02	8.8E-01	1.5E-05	3.8E-02									
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.5E+01	2.5E-02	1.7E-09	1.5E-03	4.4E+00	7.5E-03	2.2E-09	4.4E-04	5.9E+02	8.3E-01	2.2E-05	3.6E-02									
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	8.2E+01	1.4E-01	1.7E-09	8.2E-03	2.5E+01	4.2E-02	2.3E-09	2.5E-03	6.2E+02	8.7E-01	3.3E-05	3.7E-02									
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3.2E-03	5.4E-06	6.6E-09	3.2E-07	9.4E-04	1.6E-06	8.7E-09	9.4E-08	6.1E+02	8.5E-01	4.4E-05	3.6E-02									

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

Table II-6.3-3. INEL—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	8.4E-13	1.5E-12
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.4E-10	2.9E-10
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.8E-10	3.7E-10
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	9.1E-07	1.9E-06
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	5.1E-06	1.1E-05
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.0E-10	4.0E-10

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 ***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-6.3-4. INEL—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	Treatment							
				Offsite Population MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI				
				Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.7E-09	2.8E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-13	2.9E-09	4.9E-12	<9.9E-14	2.9E-13
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.8E-07	4.8E-10	3.2E-13	2.8E-11	5.8E-07	9.9E-10	2.5E-12	5.8E-11
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	3.6E-07	6.1E-10	3.2E-13	3.6E-11	7.4E-07	1.3E-09	2.6E-12	7.4E-11
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.8E-03	3.1E-06	2.3E-13	1.8E-07	3.7E-03	6.4E-06	1.9E-12	3.7E-07
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.0E-02	1.7E-05	2.4E-13	1.0E-06	2.1E-02	3.6E-05	1.9E-12	2.1E-06
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3.9E-07	6.7E-10	9.1E-13	3.9E-11	8.1E-07	1.4E-09	7.3E-12	8.1E-11

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal of WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites then to WIPP.

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-6.3-5. INEL—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	7.8E-14	6.2E-13	1.1E-07
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.9E-11	1.5E-10	3.1E-05
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.6E-11	2.1E-10	3.1E-05
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	4.2E-10	3.3E-09	1.0E-04
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	7.9E-10	6.3E-09	2.0E-04
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	4.9E-11	4.0E-10	1.9E-04

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-6.3-6. INEL--TRUW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)										
	RH			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC						
	Treat	5																			
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	57 (0/57)	11 (0/11)	0	0	0	7 (0/7)	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	116 (41/75)	121 (106/15)	0	8 (8/0)	10 (10/0)	19 (10/9)	72 (0/72)	15 (1/14)	0	0	0	0	82 (0/82)	17 (1/16)	0	0	9 (0/9)	10 (0/10)
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	121 (41/80)	124 (108/16)	0	9 (9/0)	10 (10/0)	20 (10/10)	87 (0/87)	18 (1/17)	0	0	0	0	107 (1/107)	22 (1/21)	0	0	13 (0/13)	11 (11/0)
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	140 (43/97)	131 (112/19)	0	9 (9/0)	11 (11/0)	23 (11/12)	107 (1/107)	22 (1/21)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	165 (45/120)	142 (118/24)	0	9 (9/0)	11 (11/0)	25 (11/14)	107 (1/107)	22 (1/21)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	79 (36/43)	103 (94/9)	0	8 (8/0)	9 (9/0)	14 (9/5)	48 (0/48)	10 (0/10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 (0/6)

Notes:

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-6.3-7. INEL—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction						
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
				CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance													
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)								
				CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	2	0	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1	4	0	17	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds.
 NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) INEL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for alternatives that do not involve treatment to LDR (incineration) are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-6.3-8. INEL--TRUW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead	
															Treatment Standard
No Action**	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized***	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	10	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance													
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride				
			WIPP - WAC											WIPP - WAC	Reduce Gas	LDR	LDR
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 -- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-6.3-9. INEL--TRUW--Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	3206	0.1	--	--	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.2	--	9723	0.2	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.2	--	11126	0.2	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.3	--	12400	0.2	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.4	--	16872	0.3	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.0	--	4425	0.1	--	--	

Notes:

GPD = Gallons per day

Water supplied by groundwater in the Snake River Plain Aquifer. Current water use = 5,700,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow are not considered for this site.

Table II-6.3-10. INEL-TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
			CH Treat		RH Treat		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions)	Jobs		Income	
	Treat		Treat		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)			ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
No Action**	16		5		WIPP WAC	217	168	0.17	1.8	0.06	0.22	
Decentralized***	16		5		WIPP WAC	1492	1445	1.45	15.6	0.54	0.60	
Regionalized-1	5		2		Reduce Gas	1610	1559	1.56	16.8	0.58	0.66	
Regionalized-2	5		2		LDR	1805	1748	1.75	18.8	0.65	0.74	
Regionalized-3	3		2		LDR	2196	2126	2.13	22.9	0.80	0.91	
Centralized	WIPP		2		LDR	875	847	0.85	9.1	0.32	0.37	

Notes:

(1) In current 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-6.3-11. INEL-TRUW-Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
				Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0.00	3206	0.01	3206	0.32	0.53	1.28	0	0.00
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	28.1	0.13	65914	0.22	9723	0.97	1.96	4.69	754	6.40
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	27.8	0.12	67519	0.22	11126	1.11	2.66	6.36	842	7.10
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	28.2	0.13	71723	0.23	12400	1.24	2.78	6.64	1015	8.60
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	28.2	0.13	77743	0.25	16872	1.69	2.78	6.64	1257	10.60
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	28.2	0.13	56308	0.18	4425	0.44	2.78	6.64	403	3.40

Notes:

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-6.3-12. INEL—TRUW—Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Retrieval Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	246	0	206	40	0	199	47	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	1888	83	725	461	930	705	54	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1822	105	777	489	930	801	91	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2042	125	875	493	930	1023	90	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2485	157	1140	508	930	1456	100	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	990	33	323	397	930	61	0	

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to be assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In Current 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

(2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.6.4 INEL HLW

Nine tables immediately following portray the impacts of HLW at INEL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	INEL—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-6.4-1	6-48
	2.	INEL—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-6.4-2	6-49
	7.	INEL—HLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.4-3	6-50
	8.	INEL—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.4-4	6-51
	9.	INEL—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-6.4-5	6-52
	10.	INEL—HLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-6.4-6	6-53
	13.	INEL—HLW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Storage	II-6.4-7	6-54
	14.	INEL—HLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Storage	II-6.4-8	6-55
	15.	INEL—HLW—Cost	II-6.4-9	6-56

Table II-6.4-1. INEL—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	WM Workers	
		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards
No Action	4	--	--
Decentralized	4	4.7E-01	3.2E-01
Regionalized-1	3	4.7E-01	3.2E-01
Regionalized-2	3	4.7E-01	3.3E-01
Centralized	1	4.7E-01	3.5E-01

Notes:
 -- = The INEL is not considered for storage of vitrified HLW canisters under the No Action Alternative.

Table II-6.4-2. INEL—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	WM Workers		
		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	4	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	1.2E+03	1.6E+00	7.1E-02
Regionalized-1	3	1.2E+03	1.6E+00	7.1E-02
Regionalized-2	3	1.2E+03	1.6E+00	7.1E-02
Centralized	1	1.2E+03	1.6E+00	7.1E-02

Notes:
 -- The INEL is not considered for storage of vitrified HLW canisters under the No Action Alternative.

Table II-6.4-3. INEL--HLW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized	4	4 (2/2)	4 (4/0)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	3	4 (2/2)	4 (4/0)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	3	4 (2/2)	4 (4/0)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	4 (2/2)	4 (4/0)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		

Notes:
 S = Storage
 Data is based on repository beginning to accept HLW canisters in 2015.
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

Table II-6.4-4. INEL—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction						
		Percent of Tons/Year						
		General Conformity Rule (1)						
	S	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Operations & Maintenance										
		Percent of Tons/Year										
		Standard or Guideline (2)										
	S	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

S = Storage

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) INEL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.

(2) Stationary-source emissions from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.

(3) Stationary-source emissions from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-6.4-5. INEL—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	S		Total Radionuclides	Other Hazardous and Toxic Air Pollutants	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead
No Action	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 1	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 2	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	S		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,2,2-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 1	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 2	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 S = Storage
 -- = Emissions of hazardous and toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible

Table II-6.4-6. INEL—HLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	S		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	4		--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4		23	<0.1	--	820	<0.1	--	--
Regionalized-1	3		23	<0.1	--	820	<0.1	--	--
Regionalized-2	3		23	<0.1	--	820	<0.1	--	--
Centralized	1		23	<0.1	--	820	<0.1	--	--

Notes:

S = Storage

Water supplied by groundwater in the Snake River Plain Aquifer. Current water use = 5,700,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds.

Data is based on repository beginning to accept HLW canisters in 2015.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

-- = The INEL is not considered for storage of vitrified HLW canisters under the No Action Alternative,

Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow are not considered for this site.

Table II-6.4-7. INEL—HLW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Storage

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives						
		S	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	4		--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	33	31	0.03	0.3	0.01	0.07	0.07
Regionalized-1	3	33	31	0.03	0.3	0.01	0.07	0.07
Regionalized-2	3	33	31	0.03	0.3	0.01	0.07	0.07
Centralized	1	33	31	0.03	0.3	0.01	0.07	0.07

Notes:
 S = Storage
 (1) In current 1990 dollars. The economic multiplier analysis only was applied to costs through 2015.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
 -- = The INEL is not considered as a storage for vitrified HLW canisters under the No Action Alternative.

Table II-6.4-8. INEL—HLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Storage

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives												
		Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)				
		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)			
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	2	<0.01	820	0.00	820	0.0	0.03	0.06	15	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	3	2	<0.01	820	0.00	820	0.0	0.03	0.06	15	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	3	2	<0.01	820	0.00	820	0.0	0.03	0.06	15	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	2	<0.01	820	0.00	820	0.0	0.03	0.06	15	0	0	0	0

Notes:

S = Storage

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

-- = The INEL is not considered for storage of vitrified HLW canisters under the No Action Alternative.

Table II-6.4-9. INEL--HLW--Cost

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)		Cost by Functional Area (1)	
	S			Construction (Millions) (2)	Operations & Maintenance (3) (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Handling (Millions)
No Action	4		--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4		368	40	328	60	308
Regionalized-1	3		368	40	328	60	308
Regionalized-2	3		368	40	328	60	308
Centralized	1		368	40	328	60	308
Centralized Delayed Acceptance	1		308	0	308	0	308

Notes:
 S = Storage
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In current 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of Life Cycle Components = Sum of Functional Areas.
 (2) Construction costs are for the interim storage facilities.
 (3) Operations and maintenance costs include operation and maintenance of the interim storage facilities, and the handling of canisters (unloading/loading of canisters into or out of the interim storage facilities).

II.6.5 INEL HW

Eleven tables immediately following portray the impacts of HW at INEL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	INEL—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-6.5-1	6-58
	2.	INEL—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences	II-6.5-2	6-59
	5.	INEL—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences	II-6.5-3	6-60
	6.	INEL—HW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-6.5-4	6-61
	7.	INEL—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.5-5	6-62
	8.	INEL—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-6.5-6	6-63
	9.	INEL—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-6.5-7	6-64
	10.	INEL—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-6.5-8	6-65
	13.	INEL—HW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-6.5-9	6-66
	14.	INEL—HW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-6.5-10	6-67
	15.	INEL—HW—Cost	II-6.5-11	6-68

Table II-6.5-1. INEL—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	WM Workers Physical Hazards
	No Action	2
Decentralized	3	--
Regionalized 1	5	6.8E-03
Regionalized 2	2	3.1E-02

Table II-6.5-2. INEL--HW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite Population Chemical Cancer Incidence	Noninvolved Chemical Cancer Incidence	WM Worker Chemical Cancer Incidence
No Action	2	3.4E-05	4.4E-05	1.8E-02
Decentralized	3	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	1.0E-04	1.4E-04	7.6E-02
Regionalized-2	2	9.7E-04	1.3E-03	7.4E-01

Notes:

-- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-6.5-3. INEL--HW--Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment	
		Offsite MEI Cancer Incidence Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Incidence Probability
No Action	2	4.6E-09	3.7E-08
Decentralized	3	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	1.4E-08	1.1E-07
Regionalized-2	2	1.3E-07	1.1E-06

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-6.5-4. INEL--HW--Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action	2	3.2E-05	2.5E-04	2.1E+00
Decentralized	3	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	1.9E-04	1.5E-03	4.8E+00
Regionalized-2	2	1.8E-03	1.4E-02	6.2E+00

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-6.5-5. INEL—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-1	5	3 (2/1)	4 (4/0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	2	5 (3/2)	9 (9/0)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	0	1 (0/0)	0	3 (3/0)	3 (3/0)	0		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

Table II-6.5-6. INEL—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction						
		Percent of Tons/Year						
		General Conformity Rule (1)						
T	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Operations & Maintenance													
		Percent of Tons/Year							Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)						
		Standard or Guideline (2)													
T	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	2	0	1	0	17	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

VOC = volatile organic compounds.

(1) INEL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the Decentralized Alternative are assumed to be negligible since no waste is treated at INEL under this alternative.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-6.5-7. INEL—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T		Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	2		--	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 1	5		--	--	--	0	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 2	2		--	--	--	0	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	2		--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized - 1	5		--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized - 2	2		--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	1	

Notes:

T = Treatment

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

-- = Emissions of certain hazardous or toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HW treatment facilities are assumed to be negligible.

Table II-6.5-8. INEL—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	2		--	--	--	56	<0.1	--	--
Decentralized	3		--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5		516	<0.1	--	313	<0.1	--	--
Regionalized-2	2		1823	<0.1	--	1108	<0.1	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 GPD = Gallons per day.
 Water supplied by groundwater in the Snake River Plain Aquifer. Current water use = 5,700,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds.
 -- = Not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-6.5-9. INEL—HW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives						
		T	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	2	6	6	0.01	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Decentralized	3	0	0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized 1	5	21	23	0.02	0.2	0.01	0.01	
Regionalized 2	2	116	128	0.13	1.4	0.05	0.02	

Notes:

T = Treatment

(1) In current 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-6.5-10. INEL--HW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives										
		Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
No Action	2	0	0.000	56	0.00	56	0.00	0.30	0.10	0	0	
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	1	0.005	516	0.00	313	0.00	0.13	0.30	9	0	
Regionalized-2	2	3	0.016	1823	0.01	1108	0.00	0.42	1.00	18	0	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

Table II-6.5-11. INEL--HW--Cost

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Government Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)	
			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Government (Millions)	Treatment & Disposal Commercial (Millions)
No Action	2	10	--	--	6	0	6	4
Decentralized	3	4	--	--	--	--	--	4
Regionalized-1	5	25	2	9	12	1	23	2
Regionalized-2	2	137	10	39	79	3	131	6

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 -- = Not considered for this site.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In current 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Government costs equal to the sum of the life-cycle components.

II.7.0 LANL

LANL currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, TRUW, and HW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections:

II.7.1 LANL LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at LANL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	LANL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-7.1-1	7-2
	2.	LANL—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-7.1-2	7-3
	3.	LANL—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-7.1-3	7-4
	4.	LANL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-7.1-4	7-5
	5.	LANL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-7.1-5	7-6
	6.	LANL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-7.1-6	7-7
	7.	LANL—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-7.1-7	7-8
	8.	LANL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-7.1-8	7-9
	9.	LANL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-7.1-9	7-10
	10.	LANL—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-7.1-10	7-11
	11.	LANL—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-7.1-11	7-12
	12.	LANL—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-7.1-12	7-13
	13.	LANL—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-7.1-13	7-14
	14.	LANL—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-7.1-14	7-15
	15.	LANL—LLMW—Cost	II-7.1-15	7-16

Table II-7.1-1. LANL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Workers			Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers	
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure	Radiation Exposure	Radiation Exposure	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards
No Action	3	-	8.4E-04	1.1E-02	1.1E-02	7.5E-05	6.7E-06	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1.6E-03	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	5.9E-04	1.2E-05	1.6E-03	1.1E-02	1.1E-02
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.8E-03	2.0E-01	2.0E-01	6.1E-04	1.2E-05	1.9E-03	1.1E-02	1.1E-02
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.3E-03	2.2E-01	2.2E-01	8.6E-04	1.7E-05	1.2E-02	1.3E-01	1.3E-01
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.3E-03	2.2E-01	2.2E-01	8.6E-04	1.7E-05	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	6.9E-04	4.4E-02	4.4E-02	3.8E-05	7.5E-07	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	6.9E-04	4.4E-02	4.4E-02	3.8E-05	7.5E-07	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-7.1-2. LANL—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				NonInvolved Workers				WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	3	-	1.5E-01	2.6E-04	3.9E-06	1.5E-05	1.3E-02	2.3E-05	2.0E-06	1.3E-06	2.1E+00	2.9E-03	3.8E-07
Decentralized	37	16	1.2E+00	2.0E-03	2.6E-07	1.2E-04	2.4E-02	4.0E-05	1.3E-07	2.4E-06	3.9E+00	5.5E-03	4.1E-06	2.3E-04
Regionalized -1	11	12	1.2E+00	2.1E-03	2.6E-07	1.2E-04	2.5E-02	4.2E-05	1.3E-07	2.5E-06	4.5E+00	6.4E-03	4.2E-06	2.7E-04
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.7E+00	2.9E-03	6.9E-06	1.7E-04	3.5E-02	5.9E-05	3.4E-06	3.5E-06	5.9E+00	8.2E-03	6.0E-06	3.5E-04
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.7E+00	2.9E-03	7.0E-07	1.7E-04	3.5E-02	5.9E-05	1.0E-07	3.5E-06	5.9E+00	8.2E-03	6.0E-06	3.5E-04
Regionalized-4	4	6	7.6E-02	1.3E-04	1.4E-06	7.6E-06	1.5E-03	2.6E-06	6.9E-07	1.5E-07	1.7E+00	2.4E-03	3.0E-07	1.0E-04
Centralized	1	1	7.6E-02	1.3E-04	1.6E-08	7.6E-06	1.5E-03	2.6E-06	8.1E-09	1.5E-07	1.7E+00	2.4E-03	3.0E-07	1.0E-04

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-7.1-3. LANL—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	3.9E+00	5.5E-03	2.4E-04	
Regionalized-1	11	12	4.8E+00	6.7E-03	2.9E-04	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.9E+01	4.0E-02	1.7E-03	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.9E+00	5.5E-03	2.4E-04	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-7.1-4. LANL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	-	8.0E-09	5.1E-09	--	
Decentralized	37	16	6.2E-08	8.7E-09	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-1	11	12	6.4E-08	9.0E-09	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-2	7	6	9.1E-08	1.3E-08	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-3	7	1	9.1E-08	1.3E-08	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	4.0E-09	5.5E-10	<9.9E-14	
Centralized	1	1	4.0E-09	5.5E-10	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-7.1-5. LANL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	1.6E-05	2.7E-08	4.8E-10	1.6E-09	1.6E-09	1.0E-05	1.7E-08	1.2E-09	1.0E-09	1.0E-09	-	-	-	-	-	
Decentralized	37	16	1.2E-04	2.1E-07	3.2E-11	1.2E-08	1.2E-08	1.7E-05	3.0E-08	8.0E-11	1.7E-09	1.7E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	7.7E-05	7.7E-05	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.3E-04	2.2E-07	3.3E-11	1.3E-08	1.3E-08	1.8E-05	3.1E-08	8.1E-11	1.8E-09	1.8E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	7.7E-05	7.7E-05	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.8E-04	3.1E-07	8.5E-10	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	2.6E-05	4.3E-08	2.1E-09	2.6E-09	2.6E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	4.3E-05	4.3E-05	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.8E-04	3.1E-07	2.5E-11	1.8E-08	1.8E-08	2.6E-05	4.3E-08	6.2E-11	2.6E-09	2.6E-09	-	-	-	-	-	
Regionalized-4	4	6	8.0E-06	1.4E-08	1.7E-10	8.0E-10	8.0E-10	1.1E-06	1.9E-09	4.3E-10	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	1.2E-07	1.2E-07	<9.9E-14	
Centralized	1	1	8.0E-06	1.4E-08	2.0E-12	8.0E-10	8.0E-10	1.1E-06	1.9E-09	5.0E-12	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	-	-	-	-	-	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 - - - Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-7.1-6. LANL--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index		WM Worker Exposure Index	
				1.9E-06	1.6E-07		
No Action	3	-	7.7E-07	1.9E-06	5.9E-06	--	
Decentralized	37	16	6.3E-08	1.6E-07	1.4E-05	3.7E-02	
Regionalized-1	11	12	6.5E-08	1.6E-07	1.4E-05	3.7E-02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.6E-07	6.5E-07	5.0E-05	1.8E-02	
Regionalized-3	7	1	4.4E-08	1.1E-07	5.0E-05	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.4E-07	3.6E-07	5.8E-06	2.2E-05	
Centralized	1	1	3.0E-09	7.5E-09	5.8E-06	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-7.1-7. LANL—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	48 (29/19)	80 (76/4)	0	6 (6/0)	7 (7/0)	9 (7/2)	4 (1/3)	3 (2/1)	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized	37	16	58 (12/46)	41 (32/9)	0	3 (3/0)	3 (3/0)	9 (3/6)	19 (0/19)	5 (1/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-1	11	12	61 (12/49)	42 (32/10)	0	3 (3/0)	3 (3/0)	9 (3/6)	20 (0/20)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-2	7	6	67 (5/62)	25 (13/12)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	8 (1/7)	44 (0/44)	10 (1/9)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)		
Regionalized-3	7	1	48 (2/46)	14 (5/9)	0	0	0	7 (1/6)	19 (0/19)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-4	4	6	13 (1/12)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	13 (1/12)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-7.1-8. LANL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)											
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)								Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	1	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) LANL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for the No Action, Regionalized-4, and Centralized Alternatives.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-7.1.1-9. LANL-LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
			0	0	0	--	0	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride			
			--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--		
No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-7.1-10. LANL-LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	3	-	69589	1.7	--	2128	0.1	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	21639	0.5	--	2922	0.1	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	21320	0.5	--	2920	0.1	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	16275	0.4	--	5078	0.1	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	10113	0.2	--	2966	0.1	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	2149	0.1	--	597	<0.1	--	--
Centralized	1	1	2149	0.1	--	597	<0.1	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by groundwater in the Main Aquifer. Current water use = 4,100,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to onsite dry stream beds.
 -- = Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-7.1-11. LANL--LLMW--Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Zr	
	T	D	210	40	231	223	226	151	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	93
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-7.1-12. LANL-LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	50	0	0	200	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	50	0	0	200	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	30	0	0	70	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-7.1-13. LANL—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income			% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
No Action	3	-	156	123	0.01	1.3	0.00	0.09	
Decentralized	37	16	427	420	0.03	4.6	0.01	0.12	
Regionalized-1	11	12	442	434	0.03	4.7	0.01	0.25	
Regionalized-2	7	6	768	755	0.05	8.2	0.01	0.48	
Regionalized-3	7	1	407	400	0.03	4.4	0.01	0.25	
Regionalized-4	4	6	96	94	0.01	1.0	0.00	0.06	
Centralized	1	1	96	94	0.01	1.0	0.00	0.05	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-7.1-14. LANL—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
	T	D	Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	3	-	20.8	0.13	69589	0.70	2128	0.21	10	8.33	144	2				
Decentralized	37	16	13.4	0.08	21639	0.22	2922	0.29	1.3	1.08	357	6				
Regionalized-1	11	12	13.4	0.08	21320	0.21	2920	0.29	1.28	1.07	376	6				
Regionalized-2	7	6	11.1	0.07	16275	0.16	5078	0.51	1.34	1.12	479	8				
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.4	0.05	10113	0.10	2966	0.30	0.69	0.58	357	6				
Regionalized-4	4	6	4.8	0.03	2149	0.02	597	0.06	0.61	0.51	90	1				
Centralized	1	1	4.8	0.02	2149	0.02	597	0.06	0.61	0.51	90	1				

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-7.1-15. LANL--LLMW--Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)			
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)	
	No Action	3		-	176	19	72	79	6	46	131
Decentralized	37	16	474	46	179	228	20	395	0	79	
Regionalized-1	11	12	500	66	180	235	20	421	0	79	
Regionalized-2	7	6	869	94	212	480	84	452	0	417	
Regionalized-3	7	1	461	57	178	206	19	461	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	343	35	74	187	46	108	0	235	
Centralized	1	1	109	12	49	43	5	108	0	0	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.7.2 LANL LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at LANL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	LANL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-7.2-1	7-18
	2.	LANL—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-7.2-2	7-19
	3.	LANL—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-7.2-3	7-20
	4.	LANL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-7.2-4	7-21
	5.	LANL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-7.2-5	7-22
	7.	LANL—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-7.2-6	7-23
	8.	LANL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-7.2-7	7-24
	9.	LANL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-7.2-8	7-25
	10.	LANL—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-7.2-9	7-26
	11.	LANL—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-7.2-10	7-27
	13.	LANL—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-7.2-11	7-28
	14.	LANL—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-7.2-12	7-29
	15.	LANL—LLW—Cost	II-7.2-13	7-30

Table II-7.2-1. LANL--LLW--Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	1.8E-01	1.6E-01	1.9E-04	1.3E-05	8.3E-01	3.8E-01		
Decentralized		16	1.4E-01	1.4E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	5.6E-01	3.2E-01		
Regionalized-1		12	1.4E-01	1.4E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	5.6E-01	3.2E-01		
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.9E-01	3.0E-01	7.8E-03	7.0E-04	3.6E-01	1.7E-01		
Regionalized-3		6	1.4E-01	1.4E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	7.8E-01	4.1E-01		
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.4E-01	5.9E-01	7.8E-03	7.0E-04	3.9E-01	1.8E-01		
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.4E-01	1.9E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	3.9E-01	1.8E-01		
Regionalized-6		2	1.4E-01	1.9E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	1.4E-01	1.9E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	1.4E-01	1.9E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	1.4E-01	1.9E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	3.4E-01	5.9E-01	7.8E-03	7.0E-04	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	3.4E-01	5.9E-01	7.8E-03	7.0E-04	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	1.4E-01	1.9E-01	2.1E-04	1.4E-05	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-7.2-2. LANL—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Worker			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	10**	6	3.9E-01	6.6E-04	3.9E-05	2.6E-02	4.5E-05	2.6E-06	4.4E+02	6.2E-01
Decentralized		16	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02
Regionalized-1		12	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.6E+01	2.7E-02	1.6E-03	1.4E+00	2.4E-03	1.4E-04	4.6E+02	6.5E-01	2.8E-02
Regionalized-3		6	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.6E+01	2.7E-02	1.6E-03	1.4E+00	2.4E-03	1.4E-04	8.6E+02	1.2E+00	5.1E-02
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02
Regionalized-6		2	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02
Regionalized-7		2	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02
Centralized-1		1	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02
Centralized-2		1	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02
Centralized-3	7	1	1.6E+01	2.7E-02	1.6E-03	1.4E+00	2.4E-03	1.4E-04	8.6E+02	1.2E+00	5.1E-02
Centralized-4	7	1	1.6E+01	2.7E-02	1.6E-03	1.4E+00	2.4E-03	1.4E-04	8.6E+02	1.2E+00	5.1E-02
Centralized-5	1	1	4.2E-01	7.1E-04	4.2E-05	2.8E-02	4.8E-05	2.8E-06	3.5E+02	4.9E-01	2.1E-02

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-3. LANL—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	2.1E+03	2.9E+00	1.2E-01	
Decentralized		16	1.4E+03	2.0E+00	8.4E-02	
Regionalized-1		12	1.4E+03	2.0E+00	8.5E-02	
Regionalized-2	11	12	9.1E+02	1.3E+00	5.4E-02	
Regionalized-3		6	1.9E+03	2.7E+00	1.2E-01	
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.0E+03	1.4E+00	6.0E-02	
Regionalized-5	4	6	9.6E+02	1.4E+00	5.8E-02	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-4. LANL-LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	10**	6	2.0E-08	1.0E-08	Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability <9.9E-14
Decentralized		16	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-1		12	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-2	11	12	8.2E-07	5.3E-07	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-3		6	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-4	7	6	8.2E-07	5.3E-07	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-5	4	6	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-6		2	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	--
Regionalized-7		2	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	--
Centralized-1		1	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	--
Centralized-2		1	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	--
Centralized-3	7	1	8.2E-07	5.3E-07	--
Centralized-4	7	1	8.2E-07	5.3E-07	--
Centralized-5	1	1	2.2E-08	1.1E-08	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-5. LANL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI						Noninvolved Worker MEI						Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI		
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability			
No Action	10**	6	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	4.1E-09	2.0E-05	3.4E-08	2.0E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	
Decentralized		16	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-1		12	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-2		11	1.6E-03	2.8E-06	1.6E-07	1.1E-03	1.8E-06	1.1E-07	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-3		6	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-4		7	1.6E-03	2.8E-06	1.6E-07	1.1E-03	1.8E-06	1.1E-07	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-5		4	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	
Regionalized-6		2	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3		7	1.6E-03	2.8E-06	1.6E-07	1.1E-03	1.8E-06	1.1E-07	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4		7	1.6E-03	2.8E-06	1.6E-07	1.1E-03	1.8E-06	1.1E-07	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5		1	4.4E-05	7.5E-08	4.4E-09	2.2E-05	3.7E-08	2.2E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-6. LANL—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6	17 (6/11)	15 (13/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	94 (1/93)	20 (1/19)	0	0	0	11 (0/11)								
Decentralized		16	28 (10/18)	29 (25/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	68 (0/68)	14 (0/14)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)								
Regionalized-1		12	26 (10/16)	29 (26/3)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (3/2)	64 (0/64)	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)								
Regionalized-2	11	12	66 (9/57)	34 (23/11)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	9 (2/7)	76 (0/76)	18 (3/15)	0	2 (2/0)	0	9 (0/9)								
Regionalized-3		6	120 (14/106)	56 (35/21)	0	3 (3/0)	3 (3/0)	16 (3/13)	82 (0/82)	19 (1/18)	0	0	0	10 (0/10)								
Regionalized-4	7	6	118 (12/106)	52 (31/21)	0	3 (3/0)	3 (3/0)	16 (3/13)	146 (1/145)	33 (3/30)	0	2 (2/0)	0	18 (0/18)								
Regionalized-5	4	6	19 (2/17)	8 (5/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	43 (0/43)	9 (0/9)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)								
Regionalized-6		2	19 (2/17)	8 (5/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Regionalized-7		2	19 (2/17)	8 (5/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-1		1	19 (2/17)	8 (5/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-2		1	19 (2/17)	8 (5/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-3	7	1	112 (6/106)	37 (16/21)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	15 (2/13)	100 (0/100)	25 (3/22)	0	2 (2/0)	0	12 (0/12)								
Centralized-4	7	1	112 (6/106)	37 (16/21)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	15 (2/13)	100 (0/100)	25 (3/22)	0	2 (2/0)	0	12 (0/12)								
Centralized-5	1	1	19 (2/17)	8 (5/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-7. LANL--LLW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)							
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		18	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)								Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
	T	D	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
	No Action	10**	6	1	3	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		18	0	1	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	0	1	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	8	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3		6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	7	6	1	8	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized-1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized-2	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized-3	7	1	0	8	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized-4		1	0	8	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized-5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
(1) LANL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR do not apply.
(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all but the Regionalized-2 and -4, and Centralized-3 and -4 Alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-8. LANL--LLW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
No Action	10**	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride			
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-9. LANL--LLW--Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	10**	6	3586	0.1	--	9666	0.2	--	--
Decentralized		16	22273	0.5	--	7743	0.2	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	22884	0.6	--	7965	0.2	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	25707	0.6	--	11429	0.3	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	30312	0.7	--	10658	0.3	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	35601	0.9	--	15828	0.4	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	14081	0.3	--	5468	0.1	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	5714	0.1	--	1739	<0.1	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	5714	0.1	--	1739	<0.1	--	--
Centralized-1		1	5714	0.1	--	1739	<0.1	--	--
Centralized-2		1	5714	0.1	--	1739	<0.1	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	20240	0.5	--	10039	0.2	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	20240	0.5	--	10039	0.2	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	5714	0.1	--	1739	<0.1	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by groundwater in the Main Aquifer. Current water use = 4,100,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to onsite dry stream beds.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.
 -- = Stream Flow is not considered for this alternative.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-7.2-10. LANL-LLW-Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																			
No Action	10**	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	10**	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
- - - Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-7.2-11. LANL—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	1235	971	1.16	10.6	0.42	0.64
Decentralized		16	1072	1053	1.26	11.5	0.45	0.96
Regionalized-1		12	1322	1299	1.56	14.1	0.56	0.62
Regionalized-2	11	12	1249	1228	1.47	13.4	0.53	0.76
Regionalized-3		6	1776	1746	2.09	19.0	0.75	0.77
Regionalized-4	7	6	2007	1972	2.36	21.5	0.85	1.42
Regionalized-5		6	1874	1842	2.21	20.0	0.79	0.41
Regionalized-6		2	254	249	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.14
Regionalized-7		2	254	249	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.14
Centralized-1		1	254	249	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.14
Centralized-2		1	254	249	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.14
Centralized-3	7	1	1207	1187	1.42	12.9	0.51	0.99
Centralized-4		7	1207	1187	1.42	12.9	0.51	0.99
Centralized-5	1	1	254	249	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.14

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-12. LANL-LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	11.5	0.07	9666	0.10	9666	0.97	1.76	1.46	89	1		
Decentralized		16	11.3	0.07	22273	0.22	7743	0.77	2.42	2.01	1478	24		
Regionalized-1		12	11.6	0.07	22884	0.23	7965	0.80	2.48	2.07	426	7		
Regionalized-2	11	12	13.4	0.08	25707	0.26	11429	1.14	2.81	2.34	621	10		
Regionalized-3		6	15.1	0.09	30312	0.30	10658	1.07	3.28	2.73	523	8		
Regionalized-4	7	6	18.5	0.11	35601	0.36	15828	1.58	3.89	3.24	1074	17		
Regionalized-5	4	6	11.3	0.02	14081	0.14	5468	0.55	1.49	2.08	288	5		
Regionalized-6		2	3.3	0.02	5714	0.06	1739	0.17	1.49	2.08	136	2		
Regionalized-7		2	3.3	0.02	5714	0.06	1739	0.17	1.49	2.08	136	2		
Centralized-1		1	3.3	0.02	5714	0.06	1739	0.17	1.49	2.08	136	2		
Centralized-2		1	3.3	0.02	5714	0.06	1739	0.17	1.49	2.08	136	2		
Centralized-3	7	1	11.8	0.07	20240	0.20	10039	1.00	2.91	2.42	821	13		
Centralized-4	7	1	11.8	0.07	20240	0.20	10039	1.00	2.91	2.42	821	13		
Centralized-5	1	1	3.3	0.02	5714	0.06	1739	0.17	1.49	2.08	121	2		

Notes:

- T = Treatment
- D = Disposal
- GPD = Gallons per Day
- MW = Megawatts Electric
- FTE = Full Time Equivalent
- (1) Based on 1994 Site Employment.
- ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-7.2-13. LANL--LLW--Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	1397	15	109	1147	125	292	0	1105
Decentralized		16	1213	95	162	766	190	287	0	925
Regionalized 1		12	1495	121	191	994	190	287	0	1208
Regionalized 2	11	12	1413	140	244	888	141	812	0	602
Regionalized 3		6	2010	127	817	875	191	287	0	1723
Regionalized 4	7	6	2270	199	412	1497	163	1366	0	904
Regionalized 5	4	6	2120	153	657	1126	185	287	0	1833
Regionalized 6		2	287	23	65	176	24	287	0	0
Regionalized 7		2	287	23	65	176	24	287	0	0
Centralized 1		1	287	23	65	176	24	287	0	0
Centralized 2		1	287	23	65	176	24	287	0	0
Centralized 3	7	1	1366	142	318	833	74	1366	0	0
Centralized 4	7	1	1366	142	318	833	74	1366	0	0
Centralized 5	1	1	287	23	65	176	24	287	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.7.3 LANL TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at LANL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	LANL--TRUW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-7.3-1	7-32
	2.	LANL--TRUW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-7.3-2	7-33
	4.	LANL--TRUW--Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-7.3-3	7-34
	5.	LANL--TRUW--Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-7.3-4	7-35
	6.	LANL--TRUW--Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-7.3-5	7-36
	7.	LANL--TRUW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-7.3-6	7-37
	8.	LANL--TRUW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-7.3-7	7-38
	9.	LANL--TRUW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-7.3-8	7-39
	10.	LANL--TRUW--Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-7.3-9	7-40
	13.	LANL--TRUW--Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-7.3-10	7-41
	14.	LANL--TRUW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-7.3-11	7-42
	15.	LANL--TRUW--Cost	II-7.2-12	7-43

Table II-7.3-1. LANL—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment							Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure			
				Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards					
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	3.5E-04	5.4E-02	4.1E-06	3.8E-07			
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.4E-01	3.8E-01	5.4E-05	4.9E-06			
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.4E-01	5.1E-01	6.5E-05	6.0E-06			
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.4E-01	8.4E-01	6.4E-01	5.8E-02			
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.4E-01	2.5E-01	7.1E-05	6.4E-06			
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.4E-01	2.5E-01	7.1E-05	6.4E-06			

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-7.3-2. LANL-TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers					
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		
					Chemical Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence			Chemical Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence					
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	8.3E-03	1.4E-05	2.3E-11	8.3E-07	7.6E-04	1.3E-06	1.1E-11	7.6E-08	8.6E-01	1.2E-03	4.9E-09	5.2E-05
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.1E-01	1.8E-04	6.8E-10	1.1E-05	9.8E-03	1.7E-05	3.4E-10	9.8E-07	3.6E+02	5.0E-01	3.9E-08	2.1E-02
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.3E-01	2.2E-04	9.5E-10	1.3E-05	1.2E-02	2.0E-05	4.7E-10	1.2E-06	3.6E+02	5.0E-01	1.9E-07	2.1E-02
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.3E+03	2.2E+00	1.1E-09	1.3E-01	1.2E+02	2.0E-01	5.4E-10	1.2E-02	3.4E+02	4.8E-01	3.7E-07	2.0E-02
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.4E-01	2.4E-04	1.9E-09	1.4E-05	1.3E-02	2.2E-05	9.4E-10	1.3E-06	3.6E+02	5.0E-01	1.7E-07	2.2E-02
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.4E-01	2.4E-04	1.9E-09	1.4E-05	1.3E-02	2.2E-05	9.4E-10	1.3E-06	3.6E+02	5.0E-01	1.7E-07	2.2E-02

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

Table II-7.3-3. LANL--TRUW--Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.4E-10	2.8E-10
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	5.7E-09	3.7E-09
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	6.9E-09	4.5E-09
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	6.7E-05	4.4E-05
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	7.4E-09	4.8E-09
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	7.4E-09	4.8E-09

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 ***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-7.3-4. LANL-TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment											
				Offsite Population MEI					Noninvolved Worker MEI						
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability				
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	8.7E-07	1.5E-09	1.5E-09	8.7E-11	5.7E-07	9.7E-10	<9.9E-14	8.7E-11	5.7E-07	9.7E-10	<9.9E-14	8.7E-11
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	7.4E-06	1.2E-08	8.3E-14	1.1E-09	7.4E-06	1.2E-08	2.1E-13	7.4E-10
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.4E-05	2.3E-08	2.3E-08	1.4E-09	9.0E-06	1.5E-08	1.2E-13	1.4E-09	9.0E-06	1.5E-08	3.0E-13	9.0E-10
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.3E-01	2.3E-04	2.3E-04	1.3E-05	8.7E-02	1.5E-04	1.3E-13	1.3E-05	8.7E-02	1.5E-04	3.3E-13	8.7E-06
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.5E-05	2.5E-08	2.5E-08	1.5E-09	9.7E-06	1.6E-08	2.3E-13	1.5E-09	9.7E-06	1.6E-08	5.7E-13	9.7E-10
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.5E-05	2.5E-08	2.5E-08	1.5E-09	9.7E-06	1.6E-08	2.3E-13	1.5E-09	9.7E-06	1.6E-08	5.7E-13	9.7E-10

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-7.3-5. LANL—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.2E-12	1.1E-11	6.2E-08
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.2E-10	3.0E-10	4.7E-07
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.7E-10	4.1E-10	4.8E-07
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.1E-08	2.8E-08	6.7E-05
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	3.1E-10	7.8E-10	2.3E-06
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3.1E-10	7.8E-10	2.3E-06

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-7.3-6. LANL--TRUW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	CH Treat	RH Treat		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC					
																CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	54 (26/28)	74 (68/6)	0	5 (5/0)	6 (6/0)	10 (7/3)	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	67 (21/46)	64 (55/9)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	11 (5/6)	42 (0/42)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	42 (0/42)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	65 (21/44)	63 (54/9)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	10 (5/5)	47 (0/47)	10 (1/9)	0	0	0	47 (0/47)	10 (1/9)	0	0	0	6 (0/6)
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	43 (18/25)	52 (47/5)	0	4 (4/0)	4 (4/0)	8 (5/3)	23 (0/23)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	23 (0/23)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	43 (18/25)	52 (47/5)	0	4 (4/0)	4 (4/0)	8 (5/3)	23 (0/23)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	23 (0/23)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)

Notes:

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-7.3-7. LANL—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction				
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year				
				General Conformity Rule (1)				
	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance												
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)							
				CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	2	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) LANL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for alternatives that do not involve treatment to LDR (incineration) are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-7.3-8. LANL---TRUW---Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Total Radionuclides	Operations & Maintenance																		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead								
																WIPP - WAC	WIPP - WAC	Reduce Gas	LDR	LDR	LDR	0	0
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	134	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance																			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride										
														WIPP - WAC	WIPP - WAC	Reduce Gas	LDR	LDR	LDR	0	0	0	0
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

- - - Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-7.3-9. LANL--TRUW--Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	1850	<0.1	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	33849	0.8	5214	0.1	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	34966	0.9	5922	0.1	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	34362	0.8	6974	0.2	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	28400	0.7	2019	<0.1	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	28400	0.7	2019	<0.1	--	--	--

Notes:

Water supplied by groundwater in the Main Aquifer. Current water use = 4,100,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to onsite dry stream beds.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow are not considered for this site.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-7.3-10. LANL-TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
	Treat	Treat			ROI (2)	(Millions)	(Millions)		
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	97	76	0.09	0.8	0.03	0.14
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	812	798	0.96	8.7	0.34	0.43
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	849	834	1.00	9.1	0.36	0.46
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	910	895	1.07	9.7	0.39	0.49
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	443	436	0.52	4.7	0.19	0.24
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	443	436	0.52	4.7	0.19	0.24

Notes:

(1) In current 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-7.3-11. LANL--TRUW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
				Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0.00	1850	0.02	1850	0.19	0.25	0.2	0	0.00
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	15.4	0.10	33849	0.34	5214	0.52	1.04	0.87	433	7.00
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	15.1	0.09	34966	0.35	5922	0.59	1.28	1.06	475	7.70
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	14.9	0.09	34362	0.34	6974	0.70	1.42	1.19	461	7.40
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.2	0.01	28400	0.28	2019	0.20	1.94	1.62	234	3.80
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.2	0.01	28400	0.28	2019	0.20	1.94	1.62	234	3.80

Notes:
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1994 Site Employment.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-7.3-12. LANL--TRUW--Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Retrieval Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	110	0	87	22	0	90	20	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	919	50	397	234	454	438	27	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	961	56	405	240	454	468	39	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1030	56	480	245	454	539	37	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	501	20	148	195	454	48	0	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	501	20	148	195	454	48	0	

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.7.4 LANL HLW

LANL is not one of the sites considered for the management of HLW. Therefore, Section 7.4 has been intentionally left blank.

II.7.5 LANL HW

Eleven tables immediately following portray the impacts of HW at LANL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	LANL—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-7.5-1	7-46
	3.	LANL—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences	II-7.5-2	7-47
	5.	LANL—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences	II-7.5-3	7-48
	6.	LANL—HW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-7.5-4	7-49
	7.	LANL—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-7.5-5	7-50
	8.	LANL—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-7.5-6	7-51
	9.	LANL—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-7.5-7	7-52
	10.	LANL—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-7.5-8	7-53
	13.	LANL—HW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-7.5-9	7-54
	14.	LANL—HW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-7.5-10	7-55
	15.	LANL—HW—Cost	II-7.5-11	7-56

Table II-7.5-1. LANL--HW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	WM Worker
		Physical Hazards
No Action	2	--
Decentralized	3	6.4E-03
Regionalized-1	5	1.7E-02
Regionalized-2	2	--

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative

Table II-7.5-2. LANL—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite Population Chemical Cancer Incidences	Noninvolved Worker Chemical Cancer Incidences	WM Worker Chemical Cancer Incidences
No Action	2	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	4.6E-03	2.3E-03	6.8E-02
Regionalized-1	5	1.9E-02	9.5E-03	3.0E-01
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-7.5-3. LANL—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment	
		Offsite MEI Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Noninvolved MEI Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability
No Action	2	--	--
Decentralized	3	5.7E-07	1.4E-06
Regionalized-1	5	2.4E-06	5.9E-06
Regionalized-2	2	--	--

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 - - = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-7.5-4. LANL—HW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	NonInvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action	2	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	7.6E-03	1.9E-02	4.8E+00
Regionalized-1	5	3.1E-02	7.9E-02	6.1E+00
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 - - = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-7.5-5. LANL—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)						Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)					
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	3 (2/1)	4 (4/0)	0	0	0	1 (0/0)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	5 (3/2)	9 (9/0)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	0	0	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	0
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

Table II-7.5-6. LANL--HW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Construction					
		Percent of Tons/Year					
		General Conformity Rule (1)					
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Operations & Maintenance											
		Percent of Tons/Year					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)						
		Standard or Guideline (2)											
		CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	0	1	0	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) LANL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the Regionalized-2 Alternative are assumed to be negligible since no waste is treated at LANL under this alternative.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-7.5-7. LANL--HW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Operations & Maintenance													
		Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead		
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 1	5	--	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Operations & Maintenance												
		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride			
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0	37	--
Regionalized - 1	5	--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0	0	153	--
Regionalized - 2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

-- = Emissions of certain hazardous or toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HW treatment facilities are assumed to be negligible.

Table II-7.5-8. LANL—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Construction			Operations			
		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	775	<0.1	--	328	<0.1	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	1777	<0.1	--	907	<0.1	--	--
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 Water supplied by groundwater in the Main Aquifer. Current water use = 4,100,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to onsite dry stream beds.
 - - = Not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-7.5-9. LANL--HW--Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	20	22	0.03	0.2	0.01	0.00
Regionalized 1	5	51	57	0.07	0.6	0.02	0.03
Regionalized 2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-7.5-10. LANL—HW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives										
		Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	1	0.00	775	0.01	346	0.03	0.13	0.10	9	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	1	0.01	1777	0.02	907	0.09	0.26	0.22	19	0	0
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 FTE = Full-Time Equivalent
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative
 (1) Based on 1994 Site Employment.

Table II-7.5-11. LANL—HW—Cost

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Government Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)	
			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Government (Millions)	Commercial (Millions)
No Action	2	3	--	--	--	--	--	3
Decentralized	3	26	2	9	12	1	23	3
Regionalized-1	5	66	4	20	33	1	58	8
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 -- = Not considered for this site.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Government costs equal to the sum of the life-cycle components.

II.8.0 LLNL

LLNL currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, and TRUW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.8.1 LLNL LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at LLNL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	LLNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-8.1-1	8-2
	2.	LLNL—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-8.1-2	8-3
	3.	LLNL—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-8.1-3	8-4
	4.	LLNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-8.1-4	8-5
	5.	LLNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-8.1-5	8-6
	6.	LLNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-8.1-6	8-7
	7.	LLNL—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-8.1-7	8-8
	8.	LLNL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-8.1-8	8-9
	9.	LLNL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-8.1-9	8-10
	10.	LLNL—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-8.1-10	8-11
	11.	LLNL—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-8.1-11	8-12
	12.	LLNL—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-8.1-12	8-13
	13.	LLNL—LLMW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-8.1-13	8-14
	14.	LLNL—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-8.1-14	8-15
	15.	LLNL—LLMW—Cost	II-8.1-15	8-16

Table II-8.1-1. LLNL-LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker			Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker		
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure			Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	8.2E-04	1.8E-02	9.5E-04	4.4E-05	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	7.8E-03	2.7E-01	1.5E-01	2.1E-03	4.1E-02	2.3E-02	2.3E-02	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.3E-02	2.9E-01	1.6E-01	2.2E-03	4.0E-02	2.3E-02	2.3E-02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	6.2E-04	3.3E-02	4.4E-07	2.0E-08	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	6.2E-04	3.3E-02	4.4E-07	2.0E-08	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	6.2E-04	3.3E-02	4.4E-07	2.0E-08	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	6.2E-04	3.3E-02	4.4E-07	2.0E-08	--	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-8.1-2. LLNL-LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	3	-	1.9E+00	3.2E-03	9.1E-05	1.9E-04	8.8E-02	1.5E-04	2.2E-05	8.8E-06	2.1E+00	2.9E-03	4.2E-06
Decentralized	37	16	3.1E+02	5.2E-01	8.0E-06	3.1E-02	4.2E+00	7.2E-03	1.9E-06	4.2E-04	2.0E+01	2.7E-02	9.5E-05	1.2E-03
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.1E+02	5.3E-01	8.4E-06	3.1E-02	4.3E+00	7.3E-03	2.0E-06	4.3E-04	5.8E+01	8.1E-02	1.0E-04	3.5E-03
Regionalized-2	7	6	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	4.5E-07	8.8E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	1.1E-07	4.1E-09	1.5E+00	2.2E-03	2.9E-06	9.2E-05
Regionalized-3	7	1	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	4.5E-07	8.8E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	1.1E-07	4.1E-09	1.5E+00	2.2E-03	2.9E-06	9.2E-05
Regionalized-4	4	6	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	4.5E-07	8.8E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	1.1E-07	4.1E-09	1.5E+00	2.2E-03	2.9E-06	9.2E-05
Centralized	1	1	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	4.5E-07	8.8E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	1.1E-07	4.1E-09	1.5E+00	2.2E-03	2.9E-06	9.2E-05

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-8.1-3. LLNL—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.0E+02	1.4E-01	6.1E-03	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.0E+02	1.4E-01	6.1E-03	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-8.1-4. LLNL-LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	-	1.6E-08	1.5E-08	--	
Decentralized	37	16	2.5E-06	7.3E-07	3.3E-07	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.6E-06	7.4E-07	3.3E-07	
Regionalized-2	7	6	7.2E-12	6.9E-12	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.2E-12	6.9E-12	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	7.2E-12	6.9E-12	--	
Centralized	1	1	7.2E-12	6.9E-12	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-8.1-5. LLNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	3.1E-05	5.3E-08	2.0E-09	3.1E-09	3.0E-05	5.1E-08	6.4E-09	3.0E-09	
Decentralized	37	16	5.0E-03	8.5E-06	1.8E-10	5.0E-07	1.5E-03	2.5E-06	5.6E-10	1.5E-07	6.5E-04	1.1E-06	8.4E-07	6.5E-08	6.5E-08	6.5E-08	6.5E-08	
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.1E-03	8.7E-06	1.9E-10	5.1E-07	1.5E-03	2.5E-06	6.0E-10	1.5E-07	6.5E-04	1.1E-06	8.4E-07	6.5E-08	6.5E-08	6.5E-08	6.5E-08	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.4E-08	2.4E-11	1.0E-11	1.4E-12	1.4E-08	2.3E-11	3.2E-11	1.4E-12	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.4E-08	2.4E-11	1.0E-11	1.4E-12	1.4E-08	2.3E-11	3.2E-11	1.4E-12	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.4E-08	2.4E-11	1.0E-11	1.4E-12	1.4E-08	2.3E-11	3.2E-11	1.4E-12	
Centralized	1	1	1.4E-08	2.4E-11	1.0E-11	1.4E-12	1.4E-08	2.3E-11	3.2E-11	1.4E-12	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

.. = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-8.1-6. LLNL—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal	
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved			Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
			MEI Hazard Index	Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index		
No Action	3	-	1.2E-06	3.8E-06	8.5E-06	--	
Decentralized	37	16	2.0E-07	6.6E-07	3.4E-04	5.5E-04	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.1E-07	6.7E-07	3.5E-04	5.5E-04	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.5E-09	7.9E-09	8.5E-06	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.5E-09	7.9E-09	8.5E-06	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.5E-09	7.9E-09	8.5E-06	--	
Centralized	1	1	2.5E-09	7.9E-09	8.5E-06	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-8.1-7. LLNL--LLMW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	No Action	3	-	52 (29/23)	81 (76/5)	0	6 (6/0)	7 (7/0)	10 (7/3)	6 (1/5)	4 (3/1)	0	1 (1/0)	0	1 (0/1)							
Decentralized	37	16	39 (7/32)	34 (18/16)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	6 (2/4)	14 (0/14)	4 (1/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Regionalized-1	11	12	79 (7/72)	33 (19/14)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	11 (2/9)	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	0	0	4 (0/4)								
Regionalized-2	7	6	5 (0/5)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-3	7	1	5 (0/5)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-4	4	6	5 (0/5)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Centralized	1	1	5 (0/5)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-8.1-8. LLNL-LLMW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction												
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)												
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	SO2	VOC			
No Action	3	-													
Decentralized	37	16													
Regionalized-1	11	12													
Regionalized-2	7	6													
Regionalized-3	7	1													
Regionalized-4	4	6													
Centralized	1	1													

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Percent of Standard or Guideline (2)								Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	1	8	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
(1) LLNL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants; therefore, the GCR does not apply.
(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized and Regionalized-1 Alternatives.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-8.1-9. LLNL—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	5	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	5	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride			
No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-8.1-10. LLNL-LLMW--Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	70507	81.0	--	2905	3.3	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	17574	20.2	--	6791	7.8	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	19103	22.0	--	7769	8.9	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1277	1.5	--	652	0.7	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1277	1.5	--	652	0.7	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1277	1.5	--	652	0.7	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	1277	1.5	--	652	0.7	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by deep groundwater. Current water use = 87,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds and dry stream beds.
 -- = Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow is not considered for this site.

Table II-8.1-11. LLNL—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																					
No Action	3	-	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-3	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-4	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centralized	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	3	-	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-3	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-4	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centralized	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

- - - No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-8.1-12. LLNL—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2-trichloro-1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-8.1-13. LLNL-LLMW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income			% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income		
	No Action	3		-	237	223	0.02	2.5	
Decentralized	37	16	296	358	0.03	3.8	0.01	0.01	
Regionalized-1	11	12	749	880	0.08	9.7	0.02	0.02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	68	80	0.01	0.9	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-3	7	1	68	80	0.01	0.9	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-4	4	6	68	80	0.01	0.9	0.00	0.00	
Centralized	1	1	68	80	0.01	0.9	0.00	0.00	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-8.1-14. LLNL-LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
	T	D	Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)			
			Acres Required	% of Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	3	-	21.7	0.28	70507	2.80	2905	0.17	10.1	10.10	175	2		
Decentralized	37	16	12.6	0.16	17574	0.70	6761	0.40	1.19	1.19	247	3		
Regionalized-1	11	12	13.9	0.18	19103	0.76	7769	0.46	1.32	1.32	557	6		
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.1	0.01	1277	0.05	652	0.04	0.71	0.71	38	0.4		
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.1	0.01	1277	0.05	652	0.04	0.71	0.71	38	0.4		
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.1	0.01	1277	0.05	652	0.04	0.71	0.71	38	0.4		
Centralized	1	1	1.1	0.01	1277	0.05	652	0.04	0.71	0.71	38	0.4		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-8.1-15. LLNL--LLMW--Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
	No Action	3		-	269	25	87	128	28	90
Decentralized	37	16	334	40	125	151	19	334	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	846	99	262	443	43	700	0	146
Regionalized-2	7	6	77	4	21	38	13	77	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	77	4	21	38	13	77	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	77	4	21	38	13	77	0	0
Centralized	1	1	77	4	21	38	13	77	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.8.2 LLNL LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at LLNL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	LLNL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-8.2-1	8-18
	2.	LLNL—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-8.2-2	8-19
	3.	LLNL—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-8.2-3	8-20
	4.	LLNL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-8.2-4	8-21
	5.	LLNL—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-8.2-5	8-22
	7.	LLNL—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-8.2-6	8-23
	8.	LLNL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-8.2-7	8-24
	9.	LLNL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-8.2-8	8-25
	10.	LLNL—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-8.2-9	8-26
	11.	LLNL—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-8.2-10	8-27
	13.	LLNL—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-8.2-11	8-28
	14.	LLNL—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-8.2-12	8-29
	15.	LLNL—LLW—Cost	II-8.2-13	8-30

Table II-8.2-1. LLNL-LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	1.2E-03	6.9E-02	3.5E-07	1.6E-08	--	--		
Decentralized		16	2.5E-03	4.7E-02	1.0E-02	1.4E-04	1.6E-01	7.0E-02		
Regionalized-1		12	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	1.0E-02	1.4E-04	1.6E-01	7.0E-02		
Regionalized-2	11	12	5.0E-02	9.9E-02	3.9E-01	5.3E-03	1.3E-01	5.4E-02		
Regionalized-3		6	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	1.0E-02	1.4E-04	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	4.4E-03	6.0E-05	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	4.4E-03	6.0E-05	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	1.0E-02	1.4E-04	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	1.0E-02	1.4E-04	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	1.0E-02	1.4E-04	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	1.0E-02	1.4E-04	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	4.4E-03	6.0E-05	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	4.4E-03	6.0E-05	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	2.5E-03	5.7E-02	4.4E-03	6.0E-05	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-8.2-2. LLNL—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Worker			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	7.1E-04	1.2E-06	7.1E-08	3.2E-05	5.5E-08	3.2E-09	2.9E+00	4.1E-03	1.8E-04
Decentralized		16	2.0E+01	3.4E-02	2.0E-03	2.8E-01	4.7E-04	2.8E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Regionalized-1		12	2.0E+01	3.4E-02	2.0E-03	2.8E-01	4.7E-04	2.8E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Regionalized-2	11	12	7.7E+02	1.3E+00	7.7E-02	1.1E+01	1.8E-02	1.1E-03	1.2E+02	1.7E-01	7.5E-03
Regionalized-3		6	2.0E+01	3.4E-02	2.0E-03	2.8E-01	4.7E-04	2.8E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Regionalized-4	7	6	8.7E+00	1.5E-02	8.7E-02	1.2E-01	2.1E-04	1.2E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Regionalized-5	4	6	8.7E+00	1.5E-02	8.7E-02	1.2E-01	2.0E-04	1.2E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Regionalized-6		2	2.0E+01	3.4E-02	2.0E-03	2.8E-01	4.7E-04	2.8E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Regionalized-7		2	2.0E+01	3.4E-02	2.0E-03	2.8E-01	4.7E-04	2.8E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Centralized-1		1	2.0E+01	3.4E-02	2.0E-03	2.8E-01	4.7E-04	2.8E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Centralized-2		1	2.0E+01	3.4E-02	2.0E-03	2.8E-01	4.7E-04	2.8E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Centralized-3	7	1	8.7E+00	1.5E-02	8.7E-04	1.2E-01	2.0E-04	1.2E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Centralized-4	7	1	8.7E+00	1.5E-02	8.7E-04	1.2E-01	2.0E-04	1.2E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04
Centralized-5	1	1	8.7E+00	1.5E-02	8.7E-04	1.2E-01	2.0E-04	1.2E-05	6.3E+00	8.9E-03	3.8E-04

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-8.2-3. LLNL—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	4.0E+02	5.6E-01	2.4E-02	
Regionalized-1		12	4.0E+02	5.6E-01	2.4E-02	
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.3E+02	4.7E-01	2.0E-02	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-8.2-4. LLNL--LLW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	10**	6	6.0E-12	5.5E-12	--
Decentralized		16	1.6E-07	4.7E-08	1.1E-06
Regionalized-1		12	1.6E-07	4.7E-08	1.1E-06
Regionalized-2	11	12	6.3E-06	1.8E-06	1.1E-06
Regionalized-3		6	1.6E-07	4.7E-08	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	7.1E-08	2.1E-08	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	7.1E-08	2.1E-08	--
Regionalized-6		2	1.6E-07	4.7E-08	--
Regionalized-7		2	1.6E-07	4.7E-08	--
Centralized-1		1	1.6E-07	4.7E-08	--
Centralized-2		1	1.6E-07	4.7E-08	--
Centralized-3	7	1	7.1E-08	2.1E-08	--
Centralized-4	7	1	7.1E-08	2.1E-08	--
Centralized-5	1	1	7.1E-08	2.1E-08	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-8.2-5. LLNL-LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite MEI						Noninvolved Worker MEI						Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI		
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability			
No Action	10**	6	1.2E-08	2.0E-11	1.2E-12	1.1E-08	1.9E-11	1.1E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	3.3E-04	5.5E-07	3.3E-08	9.4E-05	1.6E-07	9.4E-09	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07
Regionalized-1		12	3.3E-04	5.5E-07	3.3E-08	9.4E-05	1.6E-07	9.4E-09	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07
Regionalized-2		11	1.3E-02	2.1E-05	1.3E-06	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07	8.8E-03	1.5E-05	8.8E-07
Regionalized-3		6	3.3E-04	5.5E-07	3.3E-08	9.4E-05	1.6E-07	9.4E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4		7	1.4E-04	2.4E-07	1.4E-08	4.1E-05	7.0E-08	4.1E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5		4	1.4E-04	2.4E-07	1.4E-08	4.1E-05	7.0E-08	4.1E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	3.3E-04	5.5E-07	3.3E-08	9.4E-05	1.6E-07	9.4E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	3.3E-04	5.5E-07	3.3E-08	9.4E-05	1.6E-07	9.4E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	3.3E-04	5.5E-07	3.3E-08	9.4E-05	1.6E-07	9.4E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	3.3E-04	5.5E-07	3.3E-08	9.4E-05	1.6E-07	9.4E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		7	1.4E-04	2.4E-07	1.4E-08	4.1E-05	7.0E-08	4.1E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4		7	1.4E-04	2.4E-07	1.4E-08	4.1E-05	7.0E-08	4.1E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		1	1.4E-04	2.4E-07	1.4E-08	4.1E-05	7.0E-08	4.1E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-8.2-6. LLNL-LLW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)				
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	10**	6	9 (2/7)	6 (5/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Decentralized		16	15 (3/12)	9 (7/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)			
Regionalized-1		12	15 (3/12)	9 (7/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)			
Regionalized-2	11	12	26 (7/19)	22 (18/4)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	29 (0/29)	6 (0/6)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)			
Regionalized-3		6	16 (1/15)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Regionalized-4	7	6	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	10 (0/10)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Regionalized-5	4	6	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	10 (0/10)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Regionalized-6		2	16 (1/15)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Regionalized-7		2	16 (1/15)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Centralized-1		1	16 (1/15)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Centralized-2		1	16 (1/15)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Centralized-3	7	1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	10 (0/10)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Centralized-4	7	1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	10 (0/10)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Centralized-5	1	1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	10 (0/10)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-8.2-7. LLNL—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction					
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)					
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**	6						
Decentralized		16						
Regionalized-1	11	12						
Regionalized-2	7	6						
Regionalized-3	4	6						
Regionalized-4		2						
Regionalized-5		1						
Regionalized-6	7	1						
Regionalized-7	7	1						
Centralized-1	1	1						
Centralized-2	7	1						
Centralized-3	7	1						
Centralized-4	1	1						
Centralized-5		1						

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) LLNL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants; therefore, the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-8.2-8. LLNL--LLW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead	
No Action	10**	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride			
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			

Notes:
T= Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-8.2-9. LLNL-LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			Waste Water % Stream Flow
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	1224	1.4	--	683	0.8	--	--
Decentralized		16	7036	8.1	--	2958	3.4	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	7036	8.1	--	2958	3.4	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	19972	23.0	--	4025	4.6	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Centralized-1		1	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Centralized-2		1	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	4156	4.8	--	1592	1.8	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Water supplied by deep groundwater. Current water use = 87,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds and dry stream beds.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this alternative.

See text for description of impacts if water is supplied by the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Table II-8.2-10. LLNL-LLW-Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Ce	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	225	227	241	242	243	14	133	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	85	83	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2		11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	10**	6	210	40	231	223	224	161	79	90	89	227	228	229	230	232	126	223	224	225	226	228	90	85
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2		11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 ... = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-8.2-11. LLNL-LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	202	190	0.01	2.1	0.01	0.00
Decentralized		16	360	423	0.03	4.7	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-1		12	360	423	0.03	4.7	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-2	11	12	524	616	0.04	6.8	0.01	0.02
Regionalized-3		6	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Regionalized-4	7	6	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Regionalized-5		6	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Regionalized-6		2	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Regionalized-7		2	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Centralized-1		1	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Centralized-2		1	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Centralized-3	7	1	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Centralized-4	7	1	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01
Centralized-5	1	1	189	222	0.01	2.5	0.00	0.01

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-8.2-12. LLNL-LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
	T	D	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	1.0	0.01	1224	0.05	683	0.04	0.12	0.12	53	1		
Decentralized		16	5.3	0.07	7036	0.28	2958	0.18	0.75	0.79	168	2		
Regionalized-1		12	5.3	0.07	7036	0.28	2958	0.18	0.75	0.79	168	2		
Regionalized-2	11	12	10.0	0.13	19972	0.80	4025	0.24	1.05	1.05	340	4		
Regionalized-3		6	3.6	0.05	4156	0.16	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.6	0.04	4156	0.15	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.6	0.04	4156	0.15	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Regionalized-6		2	3.6	0.04	4156	0.16	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Regionalized-7		2	3.6	0.04	4156	0.16	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Centralized-1		1	3.6	0.04	4156	0.16	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Centralized-2		1	3.6	0.04	4156	0.16	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Centralized-3	7	1	3.6	0.04	4156	0.15	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Centralized-4	7	1	3.6	0.04	4156	0.15	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		
Centralized-5	1	1	3.6	0.04	4156	0.15	1592	0.09	0.42	0.45	114	1		

Notes:

- T = Treatment
- D = Disposal
- GPD = Gallons per Day
- MW = Megawatts Electric
- FTE = Full Time Equivalent
- (1) Based on 1994 Site Employment.
- ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-8.2-13. LLNL—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
	No Action	10**		6	229	8	27	181	13	229
Decentralized		16	408	36	68	224	80	183	0	225
Regionalized-1		12	408	36	68	224	80	183	0	225
Regionalized-2	11	12	593	56	162	332	42	282	0	311
Regionalized-3		6	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Centralized-1		1	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Centralized-2		1	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	214	17	58	117	22	214	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost. (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.8.3 LLNL TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at LLNL. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	LLNL-TRUW-Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-8.3-1	8-32
	2.	LLNL-TRUW-Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-8.3-2	8-33
	4.	LLNL-TRUW-Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-8.3-3	8-34
	5.	LLNL-TRUW-Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-8.3-4	8-35
	6.	LLNL-TRUW-Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-8.3-5	8-36
	7.	LLNL-TRUW-Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-8.3-6	8-37
	8.	LLNL-TRUW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-8.3-7	8-38
	9.	LLNL-TRUW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-8.3-8	8-39
	10.	LLNL-TRUW-Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-8.3-9	8-40
	13.	LLNL-TRUW-Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-8.3-10	8-41
	14.	LLNL-TRUW-Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-8.3-11	8-42
	15.	LLNL-TRUW-Cost	II-8.3-12	8-43

Table II-8.3-1. LLNL—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Treatment						Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure
	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	7.1E-06	3.0E-02	1.1E-06	5.3E-08
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	5.6E-04	1.1E-01	3.5E-06	1.7E-07
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	5.7E-04	5.6E-02	3.6E-06	1.8E-07
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	5.7E-04	5.6E-02	3.6E-06	1.8E-07
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	5.7E-04	5.6E-02	3.6E-06	1.8E-07
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	5.7E-04	5.6E-02	3.6E-06	1.8E-07

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-8.3-2. LLNL—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers				
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects			
												Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	3.7E-06	1.4E-08	2.2E-07	1.1E-04	1.8E-07	3.4E-09	1.1E-08	1.8E-02	2.5E-05	2.6E-07	1.1E-06
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.2E-05	1.3E-07	6.9E-07	3.4E-04	5.8E-07	3.0E-08	3.4E-08	1.4E+00	1.9E-03	8.8E-07	8.4E-05
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.2E-05	2.2E-07	7.3E-07	3.6E-04	6.1E-07	5.2E-08	3.6E-08	1.4E+00	2.0E-03	1.9E-06	8.6E-05
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.2E-05	2.2E-07	7.3E-07	3.6E-04	6.1E-07	5.2E-08	3.6E-08	1.4E+00	2.0E-03	1.9E-06	8.6E-05
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.2E-05	2.2E-07	7.3E-07	3.6E-04	6.1E-07	5.2E-08	3.6E-08	1.4E+00	2.0E-03	1.9E-06	8.6E-05
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.2E-05	2.2E-07	7.3E-07	3.6E-04	6.1E-07	5.2E-08	3.6E-08	1.4E+00	2.0E-03	1.9E-06	8.6E-05

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

Table II-8.3-3. LLNL--TRUW--Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	Treat	Treat		Probability	Probability
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.8E-11	1.8E-11
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	5.7E-11	5.7E-11
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	5.9E-11	6.0E-11
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	5.9E-11	6.0E-11
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	5.9E-11	6.0E-11
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	5.9E-11	6.0E-11

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 ***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-8.3-4. LLNL—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment											
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI							
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action **	16	5	3.6E-08	6.0E-11	3.1E-13	3.6E-12	3.6E-08	6.1E-11	9.9E-13	3.6E-12	3.6E-08	6.1E-11	9.9E-13	3.6E-12
Decentralized ***	16	5	1.1E-07	1.9E-10	2.8E-12	1.1E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	8.9E-12	1.1E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	8.9E-12	1.2E-11
Regionalized-1	5	2	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	4.8E-12	1.2E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	1.5E-11	1.2E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	1.5E-11	1.2E-11
Regionalized-2	5	2	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	4.8E-12	1.2E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	1.5E-11	1.2E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	1.5E-11	1.2E-11
Regionalized-3	3	2	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	4.8E-12	1.2E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	1.5E-11	1.2E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	1.5E-11	1.2E-11
Centralized	WIPP	2	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	4.8E-12	1.2E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	1.5E-11	1.2E-11	1.2E-07	2.0E-10	1.5E-11	1.2E-11

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-8.3-5. LLNL—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.6E-11	8.2E-11	2.6E-06
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.5E-10	4.8E-10	5.8E-06
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.2E-10	6.9E-10	2.1E-05
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.2E-10	6.9E-10	2.1E-05
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2.2E-10	6.9E-10	2.1E-05
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.2E-10	6.9E-10	2.1E-05

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-8.3-6. LLNL--TRUW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	WIPP WAC	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)											
	CH Treat	RH Treat			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC							
																	13 (2/11)	7 (5/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized**	16	5	WIPP WAC		13 (2/11)	7 (5/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	3 (0/3)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas		9 (0/9)	3 (1/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)	6 (0/6)	1 (0/1)	6 (0/6)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR		20 (2/18)	10 (6/4)	0	0	3 (1/2)	6 (0/6)	2 (0/2)	6 (0/6)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR		20 (2/18)	10 (6/4)	0	0	3 (1/2)	6 (0/6)	2 (0/2)	6 (0/6)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR		20 (2/18)	10 (6/4)	0	0	3 (1/2)	6 (0/6)	2 (0/2)	6 (0/6)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)

Notes:

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (3) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-8.3-7. LLNL--TRUW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction						
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year						
				General Conformity Rule (1)						
	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	Operations & Maintenance									
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year									
				Standard or Guideline (2)									
	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

- Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
- CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
- VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
- (1) LLNL is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
- (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
- (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives assumed to be negligible.
- (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
- ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
- *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP

Table II-8.3-8. LLNL--TRUW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action**	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	Operations & Maintenance									
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action**	16	5	5	0	0	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	5	0	0	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	0	0	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	0	0	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	0	0	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	0	0	--	--	--	0	0	0	--	--

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled, RH = remote handled.

Table II-8.3-9. LLNL—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	898	1.0	--	--	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.8	--	1345	1.5	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.8	--	809	0.9	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.8	--	809	0.9	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2.8	--	809	0.9	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.8	--	809	0.9	--	--	

Notes:

Water supplied by deep groundwater. Current water use = 87,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds and dry stream beds.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

- - = Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow are not considered for this site.

Table II-8.3-10. LLNL—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income			% ROI Population Increase (2)
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	77	72	0.09	0.8	0.03	0.00	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	207	244	0.29	2.7	0.11	0.01	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	167	196	0.23	2.2	0.09	0.00	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	257	303	0.36	3.3	0.13	0.01	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	257	303	0.36	3.3	0.13	0.01	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	257	303	0.36	3.3	0.13	0.01	

Notes:

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-8.3-11. LLNL--TRUW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
				Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)			
				Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0.00	898	0.04	898	0.05	0.1	0.10	0	0.00		
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.6	0.02	2455	0.10	1345	0.08	0.21	0.21	123	1.40		
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.6	0.02	2455	0.10	809	0.05	0.21	0.21	106	1.20		
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.6	0.02	2455	0.10	809	0.05	0.21	0.21	199	2.30		
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.6	0.02	2455	0.10	809	0.05	0.21	0.21	199	2.30		
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.6	0.02	2455	0.10	809	0.05	0.21	0.21	199	2.30		

Notes:

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1990 Site Employment.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-8.3-12. LLNL-TRUW-Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Retrieval Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	87	0	73	0	14	0	74	12
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	234	17	132	63	21	0	221	14
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	188	15	109	54	10	0	188	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	291	27	145	107	13	0	291	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	291	27	145	107	13	0	291	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	291	27	145	107	13	0	291	0

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.9.0 NTS

NTS currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, and TRUW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.9.1 NTS LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at NTS. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	NTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-9.1-1	9-2
2.	NTS—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-9.1-2	9-3
3.	NTS—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-9.1-3	9-4
4.	NTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-9.1-4	9-5
5.	NTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-9.1-5	9-6
6.	NTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-9.1-6	9-7
7.	NTS—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-9.1-7	9-8
8.	NTS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-9.1-8	9-9
9.	NTS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-9.1-9	9-10
10.	NTS—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-9.1-10	9-11
11.	NTS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-9.1-11	9-12
12.	NTS—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-9.1-12	9-13
13.	NTS—LLMW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-9.1-13	9-14
14.	NTS—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-9.1-14	9-15
15.	NTS—LLMW—Cost	II-9.1-15	9-16

Table II-9.1-1. NTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
			WM Worker			Offsite Population		Noninvolved Workers	WM Worker	
	T	D	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Population Radiation Exposure	Radiation Exposure	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	1.2E-02	2.7E-02	5.8E-06	1.5E-05	-	-		
Decentralized	37	16	1.4E-02	9.9E-02	7.9E-07	2.1E-06	1.3E-02	1.4E-02		
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.9E-03	4.6E-02	6.4E-09	8.7E-09	7.8E-06	2.4E-04		
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.9E-03	4.6E-02	6.4E-09	8.7E-09	-	-		
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.9E-03	4.6E-02	6.4E-09	8.7E-09	3.9E-01	8.4E-02		
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.9E-03	4.6E-02	6.4E-09	8.7E-09	-	-		
Centralized	1	1	2.9E-03	4.6E-02	6.4E-09	8.7E-09	-	-		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
- - = Treatment and/or disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-9.1-2. NTS—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	3	-	1.2E-02	2.0E-05	4.7E-08	1.2E-06	3.1E-02	5.2E-05	6.2E-07	3.1E-06	3.0E+01	4.2E-02	4.5E-07	1.8E-03
Decentralized	37	16	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	2.1E-09	1.6E-07	4.1E-03	7.0E-06	2.8E-08	4.1E-07	3.4E+01	4.8E-02	5.4E-05	2.1E-03
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	1.5E-11	1.3E-09	1.7E-05	3.0E-08	2.1E-10	1.7E-09	7.2E+00	1.0E-02	2.0E-07	4.4E-04
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	1.5E-11	1.3E-09	1.7E-05	3.0E-08	2.1E-10	1.7E-09	7.2E+00	1.0E-02	2.0E-07	4.4E-04
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	1.5E-11	1.3E-09	1.7E-05	3.0E-08	2.1E-10	1.7E-09	7.2E+00	1.0E-02	2.0E-07	4.4E-04
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	1.5E-11	1.3E-09	1.7E-05	3.0E-08	2.1E-10	1.7E-09	7.2E+00	1.0E-02	2.0E-07	4.4E-04
Centralized	1	1	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	1.5E-11	1.3E-09	1.7E-05	3.0E-08	2.1E-10	1.7E-09	7.2E+00	1.0E-02	2.0E-07	4.4E-04

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-9.1-3. NTS—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.0E-02	2.7E-05	1.2E-06	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	9.8E+02	1.4E+00	5.9E-02	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-9.1-4. NTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI	Noninvolved Worker MEI		Hypothetical Farm Family
			Cancer Fatality Probability	Cancer Fatality Probability	Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	-	1.5E-09	7.1E-08	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	2.0E-10	9.6E-09	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.6E-12	4.0E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.6E-12	4.0E-11	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.6E-12	4.0E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.6E-12	4.0E-11	--	--
Centralized	1	1	1.6E-12	4.0E-11	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Treatment and/or disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-9.1-5. NTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment										Disposal							
			Offsite Population					MEI					Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI*			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability		
No Action	3	-	3.0E-06	5.1E-09	1.2E-11	3.0E-10	1.4E-04	2.4E-07	2.6E-09	1.4E-08	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14			
Decentralized	37	16	4.1E-07	6.9E-10	5.3E-13	4.1E-11	1.9E-05	3.3E-08	1.2E-10	1.9E-09	1.9E-09	1.9E-09	1.9E-09	1.9E-09	1.9E-09	1.9E-09	1.9E-09			
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.2E-09	5.4E-12	<9.9E-14	3.2E-13	8.0E-08	1.4E-10	8.6E-13	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12			
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.2E-09	5.4E-12	<9.9E-14	3.2E-13	8.0E-08	1.4E-10	8.6E-13	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12			
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.2E-09	5.4E-12	<9.9E-14	3.2E-13	8.0E-08	1.4E-10	8.6E-13	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12			
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.2E-09	5.4E-12	<9.9E-14	3.2E-13	8.0E-08	1.4E-10	8.6E-13	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12			
Centralized	1	1	3.2E-09	5.4E-12	<9.9E-14	3.2E-13	8.0E-08	1.4E-10	8.6E-13	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12	8.0E-12			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 - - - Treatment and/or disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 * The values for NTS overestimate potential risks because the travel time through the vadose zone to the aquifer has been estimated from field-measured properties to be more than 2 million years.

Table II-9.1-6. NTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index		Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index		Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
			Index	Hazard Index	Index	Index	
No Action	3	-	2.4E-09	5.2E-07	1.2E-06	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	3.0E-10	6.6E-08	2.0E-04	2.3E-02	2.3E-02
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.1E-12	2.3E-10	8.6E-07	1.0E-03	1.0E-03
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.1E-12	2.3E-10	8.6E-07	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.1E-12	2.3E-10	8.6E-07	8.1E-01	8.1E-01
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.1E-12	2.3E-10	8.6E-07	--	--
Centralized	1	1	1.1E-12	2.3E-10	8.6E-07	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Treatment and/or Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-9.1-7. NTS—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)						Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)					
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	3	-	25(18/7)	48(47/1)	0	4(4/0)	4(4/0)	6(5/1)	3(0/3)	2(1/1)	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	94(64/30)	172(166/6)	0	13(13/0)	16(16/0)	20(16/4)	21(1/20)	8(4/4)	0	1(1/0)	0	2(0/2)
Regionalized-1	11	12	26(12/14)	33(30/3)	0	2(2/0)	3(3/0)	5(3/2)	9(0/9)	2(0/2)	0	0	0	1(0/1)
Regionalized-2	7	6	25(12/13)	33(30/3)	0	2(2/0)	3(3/0)	5(3/2)	8(0/8)	2(0/2)	0	0	0	1(0/1)
Regionalized-3	7	1	73(41/32)	112(106/6)	0	8(8/0)	10(10/0)	14(10/4)	39(0/39)	8(0/8)	0	0	0	5(0/5)
Regionalized-4	4	6	25(12/13)	33(30/3)	0	2(2/0)	3(3/0)	5(3/2)	8(0/8)	2(0/2)	0	0	0	1(0/1)
Centralized	1	1	25(12/13)	33(30/3)	0	2(2/0)	3(3/0)	5(3/2)	8(0/8)	2(0/2)	0	0	0	1(0/1)

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-9.1-8. NTS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	25 (18/7)	--	--	4 (4/0)	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	94 (64/30)	--	--	13 (13/0)	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	26 (12/14)	--	--	2 (2/0)	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	25 (12/13)	--	--	2 (2/0)	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	73 (41/32)	--	--	8 (8/0)	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	25 (12/13)	--	--	2 (2/0)	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	25 (12/13)	--	--	2 (2/0)	--	--	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)							Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
	T	D	Operations & Maintenance							Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
			CO (5)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (5)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	3 (3/0)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	21 (12/0)	10	0	1 (1/0)	0	0	0	1 (1/0)	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	9 (0/9)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	39 (0/39)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule. CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide. VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicles emission).

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized Alternative.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

(5) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions)

Table II-9.1-9. NTS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
			0	0	0	--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	0
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
			--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--
No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-9.1-10. NTS—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	3	-	17,781	1.3	--	775	0.1	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	52,726	3.9	--	18,706	1.4	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	6,690	0.5	--	939	0.1	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	6,593	0.5	--	936	0.1	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	32,155	2.4	--	8,685	0.6	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	6,593	0.5	--	936	0.1	--	--
Centralized	1	1	6,593	0.5	--	936	0.1	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by groundwater. Current water use = 1,367,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds.
 -- = Treatment and/or Disposal is not considered for this alternative, but where treatment and/or disposal is considered stream flow and waste water stream flow are not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-9.1-11. NTS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	233	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-9.1-12. NTS--LLMW--Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)^a

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	3000	0	1	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	10	0	0	40	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	10	0	0	40	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	1000	0	0	4000	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 "--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.
 a The values for NTS overestimate potential risks since the travel time through the vadose zone to the aquifer has been estimated from field-measured properties to be over 2 million years.

Table II-9.1-13. NTS—LLMW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives		
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
						ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	3	-	67	80	0.02	0.8	<0.01	0.01
Decentralized	37	16	417	407	0.09	4.6	0.03	0.04
Regionalized-1	11	12	172	168	0.04	1.9	0.01	0.02
Regionalized-2	7	6	161	156	0.03	1.8	0.01	0.02
Regionalized-3	7	1	620	605	0.13	6.8	0.05	0.07
Regionalized-4	4	6	161	156	0.03	1.8	0.01	0.02
Centralized	1	1	161	156	0.03	1.8	0.01	0.02

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-9.1-14. NTS—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	3	-	7.1	0.001	17,781	0.64	775	0.23	2.57	8.55	210	3		
Decentralized	37	16	25.2	0.003	52,725	1.90	9,352	2.77	6.52	14.30	235	3		
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.8	0.0009	6,593	0.24	939	0.28	0.46	1.54	102	1		
Regionalized-2	7	6	20.5	0.0009	6,593	0.24	936	0.28	0.46	1.54	102	1		
Regionalized-3	7	1	20.5	0.0032	32,155	1.16	8,685	2.57	2.34	7.80	249	4		
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.7	0.0009	6,593	0.24	936	0.28	0.46	1.54	102	1		
Centralized	1	1	5.7	0.0009	6,593	0.24	936	0.28	0.46	1.54	102	1		

Notes:

(1) T is defined as Treatment, D is defined as Disposal

Table II-9.1-15. NTS—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)					Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)	
	No Action	3		-	120	10	35	72	3	64	56
Decentralized	37	16	472	45	150	252	25	419	0	55	
Regionalized-1	11	12	194	17	68	99	10	182	0	12	
Regionalized-2	7	6	182	17	62	94	9	182	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	702	60	122	439	81	182	0	520	
Regionalized-4	4	6	182	17	62	94	9	182	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	182	17	62	94	9	182	0	0	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost. (1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.9.2 NTS LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at NTS. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	NTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-9.2-1	9-18
2.	NTS—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-9.2-2	9-19
3.	NTS—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-9.2-3	9-20
4.	NTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-9.2-4	9-21
5.	NTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-9.2-5	9-22
7.	NTS—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-9.2-6	9-23
8.	NTS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-9.2-7	9-24
9.	NTS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-9.2-8	9-25
10.	NTS—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-9.2-9	9-26
11.	NTS—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-9.2-10	9-27
13.	NTS—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-9.2-11	9-28
14.	NTS—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-9.2-12	9-29
15.	NTS—LLW—Cost	II-9.2-13	9-30

Table II-9.2-1. NTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	3.6E-04	5.1E-03	1.3E-12	3.0E-12	1.6E-02	1.9E-01		
Decentralized		16	3.0E-04	6.2E-03	1.4E-12	3.2E-12	4.4E-04	1.4E-02		
Regionalized-1		12	3.0E-04	6.2E-03	1.4E-12	3.2E-12	4.4E-04	1.4E-02		
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.0E-04	1.3E-02	1.6E-12	3.6E-12	1.2E-04	3.7E-03		
Regionalized-3		6	3.0E-04	6.2E-03	1.4E-12	3.2E-12	3.6E-02	4.4E-02		
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.0E-04	1.3E-02	1.6E-12	3.6E-12	7.6E-03	1.5E-02		
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.0E-04	1.3E-02	1.6E-12	3.6E-12	7.6E-03	1.5E-02		
Regionalized-6		2	3.0E-04	1.3E-02	1.6E-12	3.6E-12	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	3.0E-04	6.2E-03	1.4E-12	3.2E-12	8.1E-01	2.4E-01		
Centralized-1		1	3.0E-04	1.3E-02	1.6E-12	3.6E-12	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	3.0E-04	6.2E-03	1.4E-12	3.2E-12	2.2E+00	6.1E-01		
Centralized-3	7	1	3.0E-04	1.3E-02	1.6E-12	3.6E-12	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	3.0E-04	1.3E-02	1.6E-12	3.6E-12	1.6E+00	4.0E-01		
Centralized-5	1	1	3.0E-04	1.3E-02	1.6E-12	3.6E-12	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Treatment and/or disposal is not considered under the alternative

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-9.2-2. NTS—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Worker			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	2.6E-09	4.5E-12	2.6E-13	6.0E-09	1.0E-11	6.0E-13	9.0E-01	1.3E-03	5.4E-05
Decentralized		16	2.9E-09	4.9E-12	2.9E-13	6.4E-09	1.1E-11	6.4E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Regionalized-1		12	2.9E-09	4.9E-12	2.9E-13	6.4E-09	1.1E-11	6.4E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.2E-09	5.5E-12	3.2E-13	7.2E-09	1.2E-11	7.2E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Regionalized-3		6	2.9E-09	4.9E-12	2.9E-13	6.4E-09	1.1E-11	6.4E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.2E-09	5.5E-12	3.2E-13	7.2E-09	1.2E-11	7.2E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.2E-09	5.5E-12	3.2E-13	7.2E-09	1.2E-11	7.2E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Regionalized-6		2	3.2E-09	5.5E-12	3.2E-13	7.2E-09	1.2E-11	7.2E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Regionalized-7		2	2.9E-09	4.9E-12	2.9E-13	6.4E-09	1.1E-11	6.4E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Centralized-1		1	3.2E-09	5.5E-12	3.2E-13	7.2E-09	1.2E-11	7.2E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Centralized-2		1	2.9E-09	4.9E-12	2.9E-13	6.4E-09	1.1E-11	6.4E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Centralized-3	7	1	3.2E-09	5.5E-12	3.2E-13	7.2E-09	1.2E-11	7.2E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Centralized-4	7	1	3.2E-09	5.5E-12	3.2E-13	7.2E-09	1.2E-11	7.2E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05
Centralized-5	1	1	3.2E-09	5.5E-12	3.2E-13	7.2E-09	1.2E-11	7.2E-13	7.4E-01	1.0E-03	4.4E-05

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

- - = Treatment and/or disposal is not considered under the alternative

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-9.2-3. NTS—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	4.0E+01	5.7E-02	2.4E-03	
Decentralized		16	1.1E+00	1.5E-03	6.6E-05	
Regionalized-1		12	1.1E+00	1.5E-03	6.6E-05	
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.9E-01	4.0E-04	1.7E-05	
Regionalized-3		6	9.0E+02	1.3E-01	5.4E-03	
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.9E+01	2.7E-02	1.1E-03	
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.9E+01	2.7E-02	1.1E-03	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	2.0E+03	2.8E+00	1.2E-01	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	5.5E+03	7.7E+00	3.3E-01	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	3.9E+03	5.5E+00	2.3E-01	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-9.2-4. NTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
			<9.9E-14	1.4E-14	
No Action	10**	6	<9.9E-14	1.4E-14	<9.9E-14
Decentralized		16	<9.9E-14	1.5E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-1		12	<9.9E-14	1.5E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-2	11	12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-3		6	<9.9E-14	1.5E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-4	7	6	<9.9E-14	1.7E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-5	4	6	<9.9E-14	1.7E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-6		2	<9.9E-14	1.7E-14	--
Regionalized-7		2	<9.9E-14	1.5E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized-1		1	<9.9E-14	1.7E-14	--
Centralized-2		1	<9.9E-14	1.5E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized-3	7	1	<9.9E-14	1.7E-14	--
Centralized-4	7	1	<9.9E-14	1.7E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized-5	1	1	<9.9E-14	1.7E-14	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Treatment and/or disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-9.2-5. NTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
	T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability						
No Action	10**	6	6.7E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	2.8E-11	4.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Decentralized		16	7.2E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	5.1E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-1		12	7.2E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	5.1E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-2	11	12	8.1E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-3		6	7.2E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	5.1E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-4	7	6	8.1E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-5	4	6	8.1E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-6		2	8.1E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-7		2	7.2E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	5.1E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	5.1E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized-1		1	8.1E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized-2		1	7.2E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	5.1E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.0E-11	5.1E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized-3	7	1	8.1E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized-4	7	1	8.1E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14
Centralized-5	1	1	8.1E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.4E-11	5.7E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Treatment and Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-9.2-6. NTS—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	No Action	10**	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67 (0/67)	16 (0/16)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)	
Decentralized		16	6 (2/4)	6 (5/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-1		12	6 (2/4)	6 (5/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-2		11	6 (2/4)	6 (5/1)	0	0	0	1 (1/0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 (3/0)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3		6	12 (5/7)	14 (13/1)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	11 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-4		7	9 (3/6)	8 (7/1)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 (0/6)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-5		4	9 (3/6)	8 (7/1)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 (0/6)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-6		2	5 (1/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-7		2	64 (20/44)	61 (52/9)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	10 (5/5)	0	0	0	0	0	0	67 (0/67)	17 (0/17)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)		
Centralized-1		1	5 (1/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Centralized-2		1	189 (79/110)	228 (206/22)	0	16 (16/0)	20 (20/0)	33 (20/13)	0	0	0	0	0	0	183 (0/183)	55 (1/54)	0	1 (0/1)	0	23 (0/23)		
Centralized-3		7	5 (1/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Centralized-4		7	128 (49/79)	144 (128/16)	0	10 (10/0)	12 (12/0)	21 (12/9)	0	0	0	0	0	0	118 (0/118)	25 (1/24)	0	0	0	14 (0/14)		
Centralized-5		1	5 (1/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-9.2-7. NTS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Construction							
			Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)							
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**		0			0				
Decentralized		6	6 (2/4)			0				
Regionalized-1		16	6 (2/4)			0				
Regionalized-2	11	12	6 (2/4)			0				
Regionalized-3		6	12 (5/7)			1 (1/0)				
Regionalized-4	7	6	9 (3/6)			1 (1/0)				
Regionalized-5	4	6	9 (3/6)			1 (1/0)				
Regionalized-6		2	5 (1/4)			4 (4/0)				
Regionalized-7		2	64 (20/44)			0				
Centralized-1		1	5 (1/4)			0				
Centralized-2		1	189 (79/110)			16 (16/0)				
Centralized-3	7	1	5 (1/4)			0				
Centralized-4	7	1	128 (49/79)			10 (10/0)				
Centralized-5	1	1	5 (1/4)			0				

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Operations & Maintenance														
			Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)									
			CO (5)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (6)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	10**		67 (0/67)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	11 (0/11)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	6 (0/6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	67 (0/67)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		2	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	183 (0/183)	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		2	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	118 (0/118)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		2	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule
 CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicle emissions)
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-9.2-8. NTS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead	
No Action	10**	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-9.2-9. NTS—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	10**	6	0	0.0	--	1426	0.1	--	--
Decentralized		16	1416	0.1	--	480	0.0	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	1416	0.1	--	480	0.0	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	1183	0.1	--	324	0.0	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	3833	0.3	--	1500	0.1	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	7605	0.6	--	642	0.0	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	7605	0.6	--	642	0.0	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	554	0.0	--	252	0.0	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	13851	1.0	--	5428	0.4	--	--
Centralized-1		1	554	0.0	--	252	0.0	--	--
Centralized-2		1	54787	4.0	--	22197	1.6	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	554	0.0	--	252	0.0	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	33976	2.5	--	13648	1.0	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	554	0.0	--	252	0.0	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Water supplied by groundwater. Current water use = 1,367,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.
-- = NTS is not considered under the alternative; for alternatives that disposal is considered at NTS, percent stream flow and waste water percent stream flow are not considered.

Table II-9.2-10. NTS—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																						
No Action	10**	6	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241	241
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2		11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	So	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																								
No Action	10**	6	210	40	231	233	236	151	76	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	239	240	241	241
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2		11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 *** = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-9.2-11. NTS—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	10**	6	1196	932	0.21	10.5	0.07	0.19
Decentralized		16	234	228	0.05	2.6	0.02	0.01
Regionalized-1		12	234	228	0.05	2.6	0.02	0.01
Regionalized-2	11	12	66	65	0.01	0.7	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-3		6	161	157	0.03	1.8	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-4	7	6	101	98	0.02	1.1	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-5	4	6	101	98	0.02	1.1	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-6		2	48	47	0.01	0.05	<0.01	<0.01
Regionalized-7		2	1004	979	0.22	11.0	0.07	0.11
Centralized-1		1	48	47	0.01	0.5	<0.01	<0.01
Centralized-2		1	2639	2573	0.57	29.0	0.20	0.25
Centralized-3	7	1	48	47	0.01	0.05	<0.01	<0.01
Centralized-4	7	1	1661	1619	0.35	18.2	0.13	0.21
Centralized-5	1	1	48	47	0.01	0.05	<0.01	<0.01

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-9.2-12. NTS—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	1.5	0.0002	1426	0.05	1426	0.43	0.21	0.46	0	0	0.40	
Decentralized		16	1.0	0.0002	1416	0.05	480	0.14	0.16	0.36	29	29	0.40	
Regionalized-1		12	1.0	0.0002	1416	0.05	480	0.14	0.16	0.36	29	29	0.40	
Regionalized-2		11	12	1	1182	0.04	324	0.10	0.11	0.25	32	32	0.45	
Regionalized-3		6	2.6	0.0004	3833	0.14	1500	0.44	0.46	1.02	58	58	0.82	
Regionalized-4		7	1.4	0.0002	7605	0.27	641	0.19	0.21	0.46	45	45	0.64	
Regionalized-5		4	1.4	0.0002	7605	0.27	641	0.19	0.21	0.46	45	45	0.64	
Regionalized-6		2	0.5	0.0001	553	0.02	251	0.07	0.17	0.37	28	28	0.40	
Regionalized-7		2	6.4	0.001	13850	0.50	5427	0.61	1.40	3.11	338	338	4.77	
Centralized-1		1	0.5	0.0001	553	0.02	251	0.07	0.17	0.37	28	28	0.40	
Centralized-2		1	2.4	0.004	54787	1.97	22196	0.80	5.53	12.30	85	85	1.20	
Centralized-3		7	1	0.0001	553	0.02	251	0.07	0.17	0.37	28	28	0.40	
Centralized-4		7	1	0.0024	33976	1.22	13647	4.04	3.43	7.61	609	609	8.59	
Centralized-5		1	0.5	0.0001	553	0.02	251	0.07	0.17	0.37	28	28	0.40	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

-- = Treatment and Disposal is not considered under this alternative.

Table II-9.2-13. NTS—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	1353	0	0	1186	167	0	0	1353
Decentralized		16	265	18	62	168	17	25	0	240
Regionalized 1		12	265	18	62	168	17	25	0	240
Regionalized 2	11	12	75	7	18	44	6	55	0	20
Regionalized 3		6	182	15	28	120	19	36	0	146
Regionalized 4	7	6	114	9	25	71	9	55	0	59
Regionalized 5	4	6	114	9	25	71	9	55	0	59
Regionalized 6		2	55	5	17	31	2	55	0	0
Regionalized 7		2	1136	85	148	729	174	36	0	1100
Centralized 1		1	55	5	17	31	2	55	0	0
Centralized 2		1	2986	220	379	1934	453	36	0	2950
Centralized 3	7	1	55	5	17	31	2	55	0	0
Centralized 4	7	1	1879	157	235	1172	315	55	0	1824
Centralized 5	1	1	55	5	17	31	2	55	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost. (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.9.3 NTS TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at NTS. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	NTS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-9.3-1	9-32
	2.	NTS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-9.3-2	9-33
	4.	NTS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-9.3-3	9-34
	5.	NTS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-9.3-4	9-35
	6.	NTS—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-9.3-5	9-36
	7.	NTS—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-9.3-6	9-37
	8.	NTS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-9.3-7	9-38
	9.	NTS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-9.3-8	9-39
	10.	NTS—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-9.3-9	9-40
	13.	NTS—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-9.3-10	9-41
	14.	NTS—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-9.3-11	9-42
	15.	NTS—TRUW—Cost	II-9.3-12	9-43

Table II-9.3-1. NTS--TRUW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure			
				Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards				
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC						
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.1E-04	6.8E-02	1.1E-10		3.2E-10	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.0E-04	4.2E-02	1.5E-10		4.2E-10	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.0E-04	4.2E-02	1.5E-10		4.2E-10	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2.0E-04	4.2E-02	1.5E-10		4.2E-10	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.0E-04	4.2E-02	1.5E-10		4.2E-10	

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-9.3-2. NTS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Workers			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	3.9E-10	3.8E-13	2.3E-11	6.4E-07	1.1E-09	5.1E-12	6.4E-11	5.2E-01	7.3E-04	8.8E-10	3.1E-05	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	5.1E-10	1.1E-12	3.0E-11	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.5E-11	8.4E-11	5.0E-01	7.0E-04	4.4E-09	3.0E-05	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	5.1E-10	1.1E-12	3.0E-11	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.5E-11	8.4E-11	5.0E-01	7.0E-04	4.4E-09	3.0E-05	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	5.1E-10	1.1E-12	3.0E-11	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.5E-11	8.4E-11	5.0E-01	7.0E-04	4.4E-09	3.0E-05	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	5.1E-10	1.1E-12	3.0E-11	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.5E-11	8.4E-11	5.0E-01	7.0E-04	4.4E-09	3.0E-05	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	5.1E-10	1.1E-12	3.0E-11	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.5E-11	8.4E-11	5.0E-01	7.0E-04	4.4E-09	3.0E-05	

Notes:
 ** = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 *** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

Table II-9.3-3. NTS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	3.0E-14	1.5E-12
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	3.9E-14	1.9E-12
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	3.9E-14	1.9E-12
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	3.9E-14	1.9E-12
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3.9E-14	1.9E-12

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 ***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-9.3-4. NTS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment										
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite Population MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI							
				Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability			
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	5.9E-11	1.0E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	2.9E-09	5.0E-12	2.1E-14	2.9E-13	2.9E-13	2.9E-13	2.9E-13
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	7.8E-11	1.3E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.9E-09	6.6E-12	6.2E-14	3.9E-13	3.9E-13	3.9E-13	3.9E-13
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	7.8E-11	1.3E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.9E-09	6.6E-12	6.2E-14	3.9E-13	3.9E-13	3.9E-13	3.9E-13
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	7.8E-11	1.3E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.9E-09	6.6E-12	6.2E-14	3.9E-13	3.9E-13	3.9E-13	3.9E-13
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	7.8E-11	1.3E-13	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	3.9E-09	6.6E-12	6.2E-14	3.9E-13	3.9E-13	3.9E-13	3.9E-13

Notes:

-- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-9.3-5. NTS—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index		WM Worker Exposure Index
					--		
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.4E-13	3.0E-11	3.2E-08	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	3.8E-13	8.2E-11	1.8E-07	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	3.8E-13	8.2E-11	1.8E-07	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	3.8E-13	8.2E-11	1.8E-07	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3.8E-13	8.2E-11	1.8E-07	

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 - - = Treatment is not considered under this alternative.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold

Table II-9.3-6. NTS—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)								Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)											
	CH Treat	RH Treat		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC								
																CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	6 (1/5)	3 (2/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-9.3-7. NTS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction							
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)							
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	16	5
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat	Operations & Maintenance													
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)				Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)									
				CO (5)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (5)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	16	5	STD	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) NTS is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-9.3-8. NTS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance											
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead
				0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance									
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride
		16	5		5	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	--	--
No Action**	16	5	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-9.3-9. NTS—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations				
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow		
	16	5		--	--	--	514	<0.1	--		
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	1465	0.1	--	609	<0.1	--
Decentralized***	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	38	<0.1	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	38	<0.1	--
Regionalized-2	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	38	<0.1	--
Regionalized-3	WIPP	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	38	<0.1	--
Centralized				--	--	--	--	--			--

Notes:

Water supplied by groundwater. Current water use = 1,367,000 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = Construction is not considered for the alternative, and Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow are not considered for this site.

Table II-9.3-10. NTS—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions)	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives			% ROI Population Increase (2)		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	Jobs			Income	
						% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)		% Change in Annual Income	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	43	34	0.01	0.4	0.00	0.01	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	85	83	0.02	0.9	0.01	0.01	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	

Notes:

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-9.3-11. NTS--TRUW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
				Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
				Acres Required	% of Designatable or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0.00	514	0.02	514	0.15	0.02	0.04	0	0.00
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.2	0.00	1465	0.05	609	0.18	0.12	0.26	51	0.70
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0.00	38	0.00	38	0.01	0.02	0.04	0	0.00
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0.00	38	0.00	38	0.01	0.02	0.04	0	0.00
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0.00	38	0.00	38	0.01	0.02	0.04	0	0.00
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0.00	38	0.00	38	0.01	0.02	0.04	0	0.00

Notes:
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-9.3-12. NTS--TRUW--Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Retrieval Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	49	0	0	35	14	0	38	11
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	96	6	27	46	17	0	84	12
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

(2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.10.0 ORR

ORR currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, and TRUW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.10.1 ORR LLMW

1. Seventeen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at ORR. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	ORR—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-10.1-1	10-3
	2.	ORR—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-10.1-2	10-4
	3.	ORR—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-10.1-3	10-5
	4.	ORR—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-10.1-4	10-6
	5.	ORR—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-10.1-5	10-7
	6.	ORR—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-10.1-6	10-8
	7.	ORR—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-10.1-7	10-9
	8.	ORR—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-10.1-8	10-10
	9.	ORR—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-10.1-9	10-11
	10.	ORR—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-10.1-10	10-12
	11.	ORR—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-10.1-11	10-13
	11.	ORR—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Remote-Handled)	II-10.1-12	10-14
	12.	ORR—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-10.1-13	10-15
	12.	ORR—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Remote-Handled)	II-10.1-14	10-16

13.	ORR—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-10.1-15	10-17
14.	ORR—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-10.1-16	10-18
15.	ORR—LLMW—Cost	II-10.1-17	10-19

Table II-10.1-1. ORR--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker			Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker		
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure			Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	2.0E-01	1.6E-01	1.1E-01	4.8E-03	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.7E-01	6.0E-01	1.1E-03	4.4E-05	7.9E-02	4.8E-02	4.8E-02	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.1E-01	4.8E-01	9.3E-04	3.8E-05	7.1E-02	4.8E-02	4.8E-02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.2E-01	4.8E-01	1.2E-03	5.0E-05	9.3E-04	5.6E-02	5.6E-02	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.2E-01	4.8E-01	1.2E-03	5.0E-05	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.4E-01	7.1E-01	1.6E-03	6.6E-05	9.4E-02	5.5E-02	5.5E-02	
Centralized	1	1	2.4E-02	1.4E-01	6.8E-06	2.3E-07	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-10.1-2. ORR—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	3	-	2.3E+02	3.9E-01	4.1E-05	2.3E-02	9.6E+00	1.6E-02	1.4E-05	9.6E-04	5.0E+02	7.0E-01	7.1E-03	3.0E-02
Decentralized	37	16	2.2E+00	3.7E-03	2.2E-05	2.2E-04	8.9E-02	1.5E-04	7.5E-06	8.9E-06	4.4E+02	6.1E-01	5.8E-03	2.6E-02
Regionalized -1	11	12	1.9E+00	3.2E-03	1.9E-05	1.9E-04	7.6E-02	1.3E-04	6.5E-06	7.6E-06	2.8E+02	3.9E-01	5.1E-03	1.7E-02
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.4E+00	4.1E-03	1.9E-05	2.4E-04	1.0E-01	1.7E-04	6.5E-06	1.0E-05	3.0E+02	4.2E-01	5.2E-03	1.8E-02
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.4E+00	4.1E-03	1.9E-05	2.4E-04	1.0E-01	1.7E-04	6.5E-06	1.0E-05	3.0E+02	4.2E-01	5.2E-03	1.8E-02
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.3E+00	5.6E-03	2.9E-05	3.3E-04	1.3E-01	2.2E-04	9.7E-06	1.3E-05	6.0E+02	8.4E-01	8.6E-03	3.6E-02
Centralized	1	1	1.4E-02	2.3E-05	1.1E-06	1.4E-06	4.5E-04	7.7E-07	3.7E-07	4.5E-08	6.0E+01	8.4E-02	1.5E-04	3.6E-03

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-10.1-3. ORR—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	2.0E+02	2.8E-01	1.2E-02	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.8E+02	2.5E-01	1.1E-02	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.3E+02	3.3E-01	1.4E-02	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.4E+02	3.3E-01	1.4E-02	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-10.1-4. ORR—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		
			MEI	Worker	MEI	
			Cancer Fatality Probability	Cancer Fatality Probability	Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	-	3.5E-06	4.3E-06	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	3.3E-08	4.0E-08	9.5E-07	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.9E-08	3.5E-08	7.6E-07	
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.6E-08	4.5E-08	8.9E-07	
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.6E-08	4.5E-08	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.0E-08	5.9E-08	1.1E-06	
Centralized	1	1	2.1E-10	2.1E-10	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-10.1-5. ORR—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal					
			Offsite Population MEI						Noninvolved Worker MEI						Hypothetical Farm Family MEI					
			Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability		Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability		Radiation Genetic Effects Probability		Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability		Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability		Radiation Genetic Effects Probability		Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability		Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability		Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	
			Dose (rem)	Probability	Dose (rem)	Probability	Dose (rem)	Probability	Dose (rem)	Probability	Dose (rem)	Probability	Dose (rem)	Probability	Dose (rem)	Probability	Dose (rem)	Probability	Dose (rem)	Probability
No Action	3	-	7.0E-03	1.2E-05	2.1E-09	7.0E-07	8.7E-03	1.5E-05	1.3E-08	8.7E-07	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized	37	16	6.7E-05	1.1E-07	1.2E-09	6.7E-09	8.1E-05	1.4E-07	7.1E-09	8.1E-09	8.1E-09	3.2E-06	1.6E-03	1.9E-03	3.2E-06	1.6E-03	1.9E-07	1.9E-07		
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.7E-05	9.7E-08	1.0E-09	5.7E-09	6.9E-05	1.2E-07	6.2E-09	6.9E-09	6.9E-09	2.6E-06	1.6E-03	1.5E-03	2.6E-06	1.6E-03	1.5E-01	1.5E-01		
Regionalized-2	7	6	7.3E-05	1.2E-07	1.0E-09	7.3E-09	1.0E-01	1.7E-04	6.5E-06	1.0E-05	1.0E-05	3.0E-06	2.9E-03	1.8E-03	3.0E-06	2.9E-03	1.8E-07	1.8E-07		
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.3E-05	1.2E-07	1.0E-09	7.3E-09	1.0E-01	1.7E-04	6.5E-06	1.0E-05	1.0E-05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.0E-04	1.7E-07	1.5E-09	1.0E-08	1.2E-04	2.0E-07	9.3E-09	1.2E-08	1.2E-08	3.7E-06	2.9E-03	2.2E-03	3.7E-06	2.9E-03	2.2E-07	2.2E-07		
Centralized	1	1	4.2E-07	7.1E-10	5.8E-11	4.2E-11	4.1E-07	7.0E-10	3.6E-10	4.1E-11	4.1E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-10.1-6. ORR—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index		
No Action	3	-	1.7E-06	1.1E-05	1.2E-02	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1.4E-06	8.8E-06	1.2E-02	7.8E-01	7.8E-01
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.4E-06	8.7E-06	1.2E-02	7.7E-01	7.7E-01
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.4E-06	8.8E-06	1.3E-02	1.1E+00	1.1E+00
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.4E-06	8.8E-06	1.3E-02	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.0E-06	1.3E-05	1.6E-02	1.1E+00	1.1E+00
Centralized	1	1	9.5E-09	3.7E-07	2.9E-04	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-10.1-7. ORR—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	180 (4/176)	45 (10/35)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	22 (1/21)	68 (2/66)	17 (4/13)	0	4 (4/0)	0	8 (0/8)								
Decentralized	37	16	134 (6/128)	42 (16/26)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	17 (2/15)	92 (2/90)	31 (13/18)	0	7 (7/0)	1 (1/0)	11 (0/11)								
Regionalized-1	11	12	134 (6/128)	42 (16/26)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	17 (2/15)	92 (2/90)	31 (13/18)	0	7 (7/0)	1 (1/0)	11 (0/11)								
Regionalized-2	7	6	134 (6/128)	42 (16/26)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	17 (2/15)	92 (2/90)	31 (13/18)	0	7 (7/0)	1 (1/0)	11 (0/11)								
Regionalized-3	7	1	192 (5/187)	49 (12/37)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	23 (1/22)	98 (1/97)	22 (2/20)	0	7 (7/0)	1 (1/0)	12 (0/12)								
Regionalized-4	4	6	235 (10/225)	70 (25/45)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	29 (2/27)	144 (3/141)	36 (8/28)	0	12 (12/0)	1 (1/0)	17 (0/17)								
Centralized	1	1	153 (3/150)	36 (6/30)	0	0	0	18 (0/18)	64 (0/64)	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)								

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-10.1-8. ORR—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction							
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)							
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)						Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	3	-	2	19	0	26	1	1	0	0	0	3	2	0
Decentralized	37	16	2	33	0	50	2	1	0	0	6	3	3	0
Regionalized - 1	11	12	2	33	0	50	2	1	0	0	6	3	3	0
Regionalized - 2	7	6	2	33	0	50	2	1	0	0	6	3	3	0
Regionalized - 3	7	1	1	26	0	46	1	0	0	0	6	3	3	0
Regionalized - 4	4	6	3	51	0	77	2	1	0	0	9	5	5	0
Centralized	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
(1) ORR is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the Centralized Alternative are assumed to be negligible since there is no incineration at ORR under this alternative.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-10.1-9. ORR—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
	No Action	3	-	7	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	Tetrachloro-ethane	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Vinyl Chloride			
	No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--		
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--			
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--			
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--			
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--			
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--			
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--			

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-10.1-10. ORR—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	9920	0.1	<0.1	11491	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Decentralized	37	16	24085	0.1	<0.1	14992	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-1	11	12	24085	0.1	<0.1	14992	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	7	6	24085	0.1	<0.1	14992	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-3	7	1	16203	0.1	<0.1	10579	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	4	6	32846	0.2	<0.1	20085	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized	1	1	7888	<0.1	<0.1	4686	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by surface water in the Clinch River. Current water use = 18,300,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Clinch River.
 Average flow rate of the Clinch River = 3,003,000,000 gallons/day.
 - - = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-10.11-11. ORR—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac 225	Ac 227	Am 241	Am 242	Am 243	C 14	Cs 135	Cs 137	Cm 242	Cm 244	Cm 245	I 129	Np 237	Ni 59	Ni 63	Pd 107	Pu 238	Pu 239	Pu 240	Pu 241
	T	D																				
No Action	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	50	30	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	40	20	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	40	30	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	50	30	0	0
Centralized	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po 210	K 40	Pa 231	Ra 223	Ra 226	Sm 151	Se 79	Sr 90	Tc 99	Th 227	Th 228	Th 229	Th 230	Th 232	Sn 126	U 233	U 234	U 235	U 236	U 238	Y 90	Zr 93
	T	D																						
No Action	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
Centralized	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

- - - No disposal of CH-LLMW at this site for this alternative.

Table II-10.1-12. ORR--LLMW--Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Remote-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D*	Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
			225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241	241	241	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	1000	600	0	0	
Regionalized-1	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	1000	600	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	1000	600	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	1000	600	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	1000	600	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	1000	600	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	T	D*	Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
			210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	239	240	241	241	90	93
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

* = In addition to the CH-LLMW disposal sites indicated below, disposal of RH-LLMW occurs at four sites (Hanford, INEL, ORR and SRS) for all alternatives except No Action.

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-10.1-13. ORR—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1000	0	0	1000	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	1000	0	0	1000	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	2000	0	0	3000	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	2000	0	0	3000	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-10.1-14. ORR—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Remote-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

* = In addition to the CH-LLMW disposal sites indicated below, disposal of RH-LLMW occurs at four sites (Hanford, INEL, ORR and SRS) for all alternatives except No Action.

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-10.1-15. ORR—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
			Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)	
	T	D	Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
			Cost (Millions) (1)					
No Action	3	-	825	733	0.25	8.3	0.10	0.16
Decentralized	37	16	1566	1739	0.60	19.7	0.24	0.30
Regionalized-1	11	12	1566	1739	0.60	19.7	0.24	0.30
Regionalized-2	7	6	1566	1739	0.60	19.7	0.24	0.29
Regionalized-3	7	1	1367	1519	0.53	17.2	0.21	0.25
Regionalized-4	4	6	1973	2192	0.76	24.8	0.31	0.38
Centralized	1	1	872	969	0.34	11.0	0.13	0.15

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-10.1-16. ORR—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
	T	D	Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action	3	-	5.83	0.10	11491	0.03	11491	0.28	2.62	0.4	324	2
Decentralized	37	16	12.38	0.22	24085	0.06	14992	0.37	3.7	0.56	995	5
Regionalized-1	11	12	12.38	0.22	24085	0.06	14992	0.37	3.7	0.56	995	5
Regionalized-2	7	6	12.62	0.22	24085	0.06	14992	0.37	4.6	0.7	995	5
Regionalized-3	7	1	10.52	0.19	16203	0.04	10579	0.26	2.45	0.37	933	4
Regionalized-4	4	6	19.05	0.34	32846	0.08	20085	0.49	6.65	1.01	1225	6
Centralized	1	1	5.31	0.09	7888	0.02	4686	0.11	0.74	0.11	644	3

Notes:

T is defined as Treatment, D is defined as Disposal

(1) Based on 1991 site employment

Table II-10.1-17. ORR—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	3	-	934	38	170	667	59	509	424	0
Decentralized	37	16	1772	143	528	1005	95	1456	0	316
Regionalized-1	11	12	1772	143	528	1005	95	1456	0	316
Regionalized-2	7	6	1772	143	528	1005	95	1456	0	316
Regionalized-3	7	1	1547	128	494	855	70	1456	0	92
Regionalized-4	4	6	2233	184	635	1292	120	1867	0	366
Centralized	1	1	987	80	360	502	45	895	0	92

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.10.2 ORR LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at ORR. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	ORR—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-10.2-1	10-21
	2.	ORR—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-10.2-2	10-22
	3.	ORR—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-10.2-3	10-23
	4.	ORR—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-10.2-4	10-24
	5.	ORR—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-10.2-5	10-25
	7.	ORR—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-10.2-6	10-26
	8.	ORR—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-10.2-7	10-27
	9.	ORR—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-10.2-8	10-28
	10.	ORR—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-10.2-9	10-29
	11.	ORR—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-10.2-10	10-30
	13.	ORR—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-10.2-11	10-31
	14.	ORR—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-10.2-12	10-32
	15.	ORR—LLW—Cost	II-10.2-13	10-33

Table II-10.2-1. ORR—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	1.1E-01	8.3E-02	4.6E-06	1.5E-07	3.4E-01	1.5E-01		
Decentralized		16	6.1E-02	4.8E-02	5.0E-06	1.7E-07	3.0E-01	2.4E-01		
Regionalized-1		12	6.1E-02	4.8E-02	5.0E-06	1.7E-07	3.0E-01	2.4E-01		
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.1E-01	3.8E-01	8.2E-05	3.4E-06	2.1E-01	1.3E-01		
Regionalized-3		6	6.1E-02	2.8E-02	5.0E-06	1.7E-07	5.5E-01	4.0E-01		
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.1E-01	3.6E-01	8.2E-05	3.4E-06	4.7E-01	3.0E-01		
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.2E-01	4.3E-01	3.0E-01	4.3E-03	4.7E-01	3.0E-01		
Regionalized-6		2	7.0E-02	5.2E-02	1.2E-05	3.9E-07	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	7.0E-02	5.2E-02	1.2E-05	3.9E-07	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	7.0E-02	5.2E-02	1.2E-05	3.9E-07	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	7.0E-02	5.2E-02	1.2E-05	3.9E-07	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	3.2E-01	3.9E-01	8.9E-05	3.6E-06	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	3.2E-01	3.9E-01	8.9E-05	3.6E-06	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	7.0E-02	5.2E-02	1.2E-05	3.9E-07	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-10.2-2. ORR—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Worker			W/M Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	9.2E-03	1.6E-05	9.2E-07	3.1E-04	5.2E-07	3.1E-08	2.8E+02	3.9E-01	1.7E-02
Decentralized		16	1.0E-02	1.7E-05	1.0E-06	3.3E-04	5.7E-07	3.3E-08	1.5E+02	2.1E-01	9.1E-03
Regionalized-1		12	1.0E-02	1.7E-05	1.0E-06	3.3E-04	5.7E-07	3.3E-08	1.5E+02	2.1E-01	9.1E-03
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.7E-01	2.8E-04	1.7E-05	6.7E-03	1.1E-05	6.7E-07	7.8E+02	1.1E+00	4.7E-02
Regionalized-3		6	1.0E-02	1.7E-05	1.0E-06	3.3E-04	5.7E-07	3.3E-08	1.5E+02	2.1E-01	9.1E-03
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.7E-01	2.8E-04	1.7E-05	6.7E-03	1.1E-05	6.7E-07	7.7E+02	1.1E+00	4.7E-02
Regionalized-5	4	6	6.0E+02	1.0E+00	6.0E-02	8.7E+00	1.5E-02	8.7E-04	1.1E+03	1.5E+00	6.3E-02
Regionalized-6		2	2.3E-02	3.9E-05	2.3E-06	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	7.8E-08	1.8E+02	2.5E-01	1.1E-02
Regionalized-7		2	2.3E-02	3.9E-05	2.3E-06	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	7.8E-08	1.8E+02	2.5E-01	1.1E-02
Centralized-1		1	2.3E-02	3.9E-05	2.3E-06	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	7.8E-08	1.8E+02	2.5E-01	1.1E-02
Centralized-2		1	2.3E-02	3.9E-05	2.3E-06	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	7.8E-08	1.8E+02	2.5E-01	1.1E-02
Centralized-3	7	1	1.8E-01	3.0E-04	1.8E-05	7.1E-03	1.2E-05	7.1E-07	8.0E+02	1.1E+00	4.8E-02
Centralized-4	7	1	1.8E-01	3.0E-04	1.8E-05	7.1E-03	1.2E-05	7.1E-07	8.0E+02	1.1E+00	4.8E-02
Centralized-5	1	1	2.3E-02	3.9E-05	2.3E-06	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	7.8E-08	1.8E+02	2.5E-01	1.1E-02

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-3. ORR—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	8.5E+02	1.2E+00	5.1E-02	
Decentralized		16	7.6E+02	1.1E+00	4.6E-02	
Regionalized-1		12	7.6E+02	1.1E+00	4.6E-02	
Regionalized-2	11	12	5.3E+02	7.4E-01	3.2E-02	
Regionalized-3		6	1.4E+03	1.9E+00	8.3E-02	
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.2E+03	1.7E+00	7.1E-02	
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.2E+03	1.7E+00	7.1E-02	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-4. ORR—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal Hypothetical Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	10**	6	1.4E-10	1.4E-10	2.2E-07
Decentralized		16	1.6E-10	1.5E-10	1.8E-07
Regionalized-1		12	1.6E-10	1.5E-10	1.8E-07
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.5E-09	3.0E-09	3.9E-07
Regionalized-3		6	1.6E-10	1.5E-10	1.3E-07
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.5E-09	3.0E-09	1.8E-07
Regionalized-5	4	6	9.3E-06	3.9E-06	1.8E-07
Regionalized-6		2	3.5E-10	3.5E-10	--
Regionalized-7		2	3.5E-10	3.5E-10	--
Centralized 1		1	3.5E-10	3.5E-10	--
Centralized 2		1	3.5E-10	3.5E-10	--
Centralized 3	7	1	2.7E-09	3.2E-09	--
Centralized 4	7	1	2.7E-09	3.2E-09	--
Centralized 5	1	1	3.5E-10	3.5E-10	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-5. ORR—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite MEI						Noninvolved Worker MEI						Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI		
			T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	
																	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability
No Action	10**	6	2.9E-07	4.9E-10	2.9E-11	2.8E-07	4.7E-10	2.8E-11	4.5E-04	7.6E-07	4.5E-08	4.5E-04	7.6E-07	4.5E-08			
Decentralized		16	3.1E-07	5.3E-10	3.1E-11	3.0E-07	5.1E-10	3.0E-11	3.7E-04	6.2E-07	3.7E-08	3.7E-04	6.2E-07	3.7E-08			
Regionalized-1		12	3.1E-07	5.3E-10	3.1E-11	3.0E-07	5.1E-10	3.0E-11	3.7E-04	6.2E-07	3.7E-08	3.7E-04	6.2E-07	3.7E-08			
Regionalized-2	11	12	5.0E-06	8.5E-09	5.0E-10	6.1E-06	1.0E-08	6.1E-10	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	7.8E-08	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	7.8E-08			
Regionalized-3		6	3.1E-07	5.3E-10	3.1E-11	3.0E-07	5.1E-10	3.0E-11	2.5E-04	4.3E-07	2.5E-08	2.5E-04	4.3E-07	2.5E-08			
Regionalized-4	7	6	5.0E-06	8.5E-09	5.0E-10	6.1E-06	1.0E-08	6.1E-10	3.7E-04	6.3E-07	3.7E-08	3.7E-04	6.3E-07	3.7E-08			
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.9E-02	3.2E-05	1.9E-06	7.8E-03	1.3E-05	7.8E-07	3.7E-04	6.3E-07	3.7E-08	3.7E-04	6.3E-07	3.7E-08			
Regionalized-6		2	7.1E-07	1.2E-09	7.1E-11	7.0E-07	1.2E-09	7.0E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-7		2	7.1E-07	1.2E-09	7.1E-11	7.0E-07	1.2E-09	7.0E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-1		1	7.1E-07	1.2E-09	7.1E-11	7.0E-07	1.2E-09	7.0E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-2		1	7.1E-07	1.2E-09	7.1E-11	7.0E-07	1.2E-09	7.0E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-3	7	1	5.4E-06	9.2E-09	5.4E-10	6.5E-06	1.1E-08	6.5E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-4	7	1	5.4E-06	9.2E-09	5.4E-10	6.5E-06	1.1E-08	6.5E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-5	1	1	7.1E-07	1.2E-09	7.1E-11	7.0E-07	1.2E-09	7.0E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--			

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-6. ORR—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)								Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)							
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	10**	6	49 (18/31)	54 (48/6)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	9 (5/4)	213 (2/211)	46 (4/42)	0	1 (1/0)	0	25 (0/25)				
Decentralized		16	153 (106/47)	287 (277/9)	0	22 (22/0)	26 (26/0)	33 (27/6)	112 (4/108)	31 (9/22)	0	2 (1/0)	0	14 (1/13)				
Regionalized-1		12	153 (106/47)	287 (277/9)	0	22 (22/0)	26 (26/0)	33 (27/6)	112 (4/108)	31 (9/22)	0	2 (1/0)	0	14 (1/13)				
Regionalized-2	11	12	241 (46/195)	159 (120/39)	0	10 (10/0)	11 (11/0)	35 (12/23)	185 (2/183)	41 (4/37)	0	1 (1/0)	0	22 (0/22)				
Regionalized-3		6	281 (234/47)	619 (610/9)	0	49 (49/0)	58 (58/0)	65 (59/6)	135 (9/126)	49 (19/30)	0	3 (3/0)	0	16 (1/15)				
Regionalized-4	7	6	313 (110/203)	326 (286/40)	0	23 (23/0)	27 (27/0)	52 (28/24)	290 (4/286)	70 (9/61)	0	3 (2/1)	0	36 (1/35)				
Regionalized-5	4	6	351 (114/237)	344 (297/47)	0	24 (24/0)	28 (28/0)	57 (29/28)	332 (4/328)	81 (11/70)	0	4 (3/1)	0	41 (1/40)				
Regionalized-6		2	75 (8/67)	33 (20/13)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	10 (2/8)	54 (0/54)	16 (1/15)	0	0	0	7 (0/7)				
Regionalized-7		2	75 (8/67)	33 (20/13)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	10 (2/8)	54 (0/54)	16 (1/15)	0	0	0	7 (0/7)				
Centralized-1		1	75 (8/67)	33 (20/13)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	10 (2/8)	54 (0/54)	16 (1/15)	0	0	0	7 (0/7)				
Centralized-2		1	75 (8/67)	33 (20/13)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	10 (2/8)	54 (0/54)	16 (1/15)	0	0	0	7 (0/7)				
Centralized-3	7	1	220 (17/203)	84 (44/40)	0	3 (3/0)	4 (4/0)	29 (4/24)	240 (1/239)	54 (2/52)	0	1 (0/1)	0	29 (0/29)				
Centralized-4	7	1	220 (17/203)	84 (44/40)	0	3 (3/0)	4 (4/0)	29 (4/24)	240 (1/239)	54 (2/52)	0	1 (0/1)	0	29 (0/29)				
Centralized-5	1	1	203 (8/195)	59 (20/39)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	25 (2/23)	54 (0/54)	16 (1/15)	0	0	0	7 (0/7)				

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-7. ORR—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Construction					
			Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)					
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**	6	---	---	---	---	---	
Decentralized		16	---	---	---	---	---	
Regionalized-1	11	12	---	---	---	---	---	
Regionalized-2		12	---	---	---	---	---	
Regionalized-3	7	6	---	---	---	---	---	
Regionalized-4	4	6	---	---	---	---	---	
Regionalized-5		2	---	---	---	---	---	
Regionalized-6		2	---	---	---	---	---	
Regionalized-7		1	---	---	---	---	---	
Centralized-1		1	---	---	---	---	---	
Centralized-2	7	1	---	---	---	---	---	
Centralized-3	7	1	---	---	---	---	---	
Centralized-4	1	1	---	---	---	---	---	
Centralized-5		1	---	---	---	---	---	

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Operations & Maintenance											
			Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)						Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**	6	2	9	0	4	0	0	1	---	---	---	---	---
Decentralized		16	4	21	0	10	0	2	---	---	---	---	---	---
Regionalized-1	11	12	2	9	0	4	0	1	---	---	---	---	---	---
Regionalized-2		12	4	21	0	10	0	2	---	---	---	---	---	---
Regionalized-3	7	6	9	47	0	21	0	3	---	---	---	---	---	---
Regionalized-4	4	6	4	22	0	12	0	2	---	---	---	---	---	---
Regionalized-5		4	4	27	0	18	0	2	---	---	---	---	---	---
Regionalized-6		2	0	2	0	1	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
Regionalized-7		2	0	2	0	1	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
Centralized-1		1	0	2	0	1	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
Centralized-2	7	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
Centralized-3	7	1	1	4	0	3	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
Centralized-4	7	1	1	4	0	3	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
Centralized-5	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---

Notes:

- T = Treatment
- D = Disposal
- Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
- CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide. VOC = volatile organic compounds.
- NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
- (1) ORR is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR do not apply.
- (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
- (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the minimum treatment (no incineration) alternatives, and the Centralized-5 Alternatives, are assumed to be negligible.
- (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
- ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-8. ORR—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												Load
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane		
No Action	10**	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Decentralized		16	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-1		12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-3		6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-6		2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-7		2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-1		1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-2	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-3	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-4	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-5	1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	Tetrachloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride		
No Action	10**	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Decentralized		16	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Regionalized-1		12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Regionalized-2	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Regionalized-3		6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Regionalized-4	7	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Regionalized-5	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Regionalized-6		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Regionalized-7		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Centralized-1		1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Centralized-2	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Centralized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Centralized-4	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
Centralized-5	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		

Notes:
T= Treatment
D = Disposal
** = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-9. ORR—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	13202	0.1	<0.1	13701	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Decentralized		16	247613	1.4	<0.1	12392	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-1		12	247613	1.4	<0.1	12392	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	11	12	123499	0.7	<0.1	36399	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-3		6	539756	2.9	<0.1	20858	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	7	6	269232	1.5	<0.1	40283	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-5	4	6	282328	1.5	<0.1	47608	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-6		2	23982	0.1	<0.1	7328	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-7		2	23982	0.1	<0.1	7328	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-1		1	23982	0.1	<0.1	7328	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-2		1	23982	0.1	<0.1	7328	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-3	7	1	56373	0.3	<0.1	33909	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-4	7	1	56373	0.3	<0.1	33909	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-5	1	1	23982	0.1	<0.1	7328	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Water supplied by surface water in the Clinch River. Current water use = 18,300,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to the Clinch River. Average flow rate of the Clinch River = 3,003,000,000 gallons/day.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-10.2-10. ORR—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu		
	T	D																						
No Action	10**	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4		7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																							
No Action	10**	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4		7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-10.2-11. ORR--LLW--Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	3721	3307	1.15	37.4	0.46	0.36
Decentralized		16	1349	1500	0.52	16.9	0.21	0.26
Regionalized-1		12	1349	1500	0.52	16.9	0.21	0.26
Regionalized-2	11	12	3631	4033	1.40	45.6	0.56	0.57
Regionalized-3		6	2533	2814	0.98	31.8	0.39	0.37
Regionalized-4	7	6	4112	4568	1.59	51.7	0.64	0.84
Regionalized-5	4	6	4712	5234	1.82	59.2	0.73	0.96
Regionalized-6		2	885	983	0.34	11.1	0.14	0.16
Regionalized-7		2	885	983	0.34	11.1	0.14	0.16
Centralized-1		1	885	983	0.34	11.1	0.14	0.16
Centralized-2		1	885	983	0.34	11.1	0.14	0.16
Centralized-3	7	1	3403	3780	1.31	42.7	0.53	0.69
Centralized-4	7	1	3403	3780	1.31	42.7	0.53	0.69
Centralized-5	1	1	885	983	0.34	11.1	0.14	0.16

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-12. ORR—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
	T	D	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	83.2	1.48	13701	0.03	13701	0.34	8.10	1.23	237	1		
Decentralized		16	65.6	1.16	247613	0.62	12392	0.30	36.66	5.55	2076	10		
Regionalized-1		12	65.6	1.16	247613	0.62	12392	0.30	36.66	5.55	2076	10		
Regionalized-2	11	12	45.7	0.81	123499	0.31	36399	0.89	15.90	2.41	1658	8		
Regionalized-3		6	137.6	2.44	539756	1.34	20858	0.51	80.69	12.23	1012	5		
Regionalized-4	7	6	80.1	1.42	269232	0.67	40283	0.98	37.86	5.74	1968	9		
Regionalized-5	4	6	86.2	1.53	282328	0.70	47608	1.16	39.29	5.95	2233	10		
Regionalized-6		2	11.6	0.21	23982	0.06	7328	0.18	2.86	0.43	518	2		
Regionalized-7		2	11.6	0.21	23982	0.06	7328	0.18	2.86	0.43	518	2		
Centralized-1		1	11.6	0.21	23982	0.06	7328	0.18	2.86	0.43	518	2		
Centralized-2		1	11.6	0.21	23982	0.06	7328	0.18	2.86	0.43	518	2		
Centralized-3	7	1	27.5	0.49	56373	0.14	33909	0.83	37.86	1.38	1571	7		
Centralized-4	7	1	27.5	0.49	56373	0.14	33909	0.83	37.86	1.38	1571	7		
Centralized-5	1	1	11.6	0.21	23982	0.06	7328	0.18	2.86	0.43	518	2		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-10.2-13. ORR—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)					Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)	
No Action	10**	6	4210	36	1024	2949	200	979	0	3231	
Decentralized		16	1526	118	401	946	61	548	0	978	
Regionalized-1		12	1526	118	401	946	61	548	0	978	
Regionalized-2		11	4108	278	716	3020	93	3773	0	334	
Regionalized-3		6	2866	187	1132	1344	203	711	0	2155	
Regionalized-4		7	4653	332	854	3346	122	3850	0	803	
Regionalized-5		4	5331	367	974	3848	141	4528	0	803	
Regionalized-6		2	1001	78	250	630	44	1001	0	0	
Regionalized-7		2	1001	78	250	630	44	1001	0	0	
Centralized-1		1	1001	78	250	630	44	1001	0	0	
Centralized-2		1	1001	78	250	630	44	1001	0	0	
Centralized-3		7	3850	273	663	2860	55	3850	0	0	
Centralized-4		7	3850	273	663	2860	55	3850	0	0	
Centralized-5		1	1001	78	250	630	44	1001	0	0	

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.10.3 ORR TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at ORR. These tables are presented as follows:

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	ORR—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-10.3-1	10-35
2.	ORR—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-10.3-2	10-36
4.	ORR—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-10.3-3	10-37
5.	ORR—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-10.3-4	10-38
6.	ORR—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-10.3-5	10-39
7.	ORR—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-10.3-6	10-40
8.	ORR—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-10.3-7	10-41
9.	ORR—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants		
10.	ORR—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-10.3-8	10-42
13.	ORR—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-10.3-9	10-43
14.	ORR—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-10.3-10	10-44
15.	ORR—TRUW—Cost	II-10.3-11	10-45
		II-10.3-12	10-46

Table II-10.3-1. ORR—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure			
				Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards				
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	3.6E-07	8.4E-03	6.6E-08		2.3E-09	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.7E-03	9.1E-02	8.0E-07		2.7E-08	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.8E-03	1.0E-01	8.3E-07		2.9E-08	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	9.0E-02	2.1E-01	4.6E-02		1.6E-03	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	9.0E-02	2.1E-01	4.6E-02		1.6E-03	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	9.0E-02	2.1E-01	4.6E-02		1.6E-03	

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-10.3-2. ORR—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Workers						
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		Chemical Cancer		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		Chemical Cancer		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		Chemical Cancer	
					Incidence	Genetic Effects	Incidence	Genetic Effects		Incidence	Genetic Effects	Incidence	Genetic Effects		Incidence	Genetic Effects	Incidence	Genetic Effects
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.3E-04	1.3E-08	2.2E-07	7.8E-09	1.3E-08	4.6E-08	7.9E-09	9.2E-08	2.6E-09	4.6E-10	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	9.0E-04	8.4E-08	5.4E-08
Decentralized***	5	5	WIPP WAC	1.6E-03	1.6E-07	2.7E-06	1.6E-07	1.6E-07	5.4E-05	9.2E-08	5.2E-08	5.2E-08	5.4E-09	6.8E+00	9.5E-03	6.8E+00	3.0E-06	4.1E-04
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.7E-03	1.7E-07	2.8E-06	1.6E-07	1.7E-07	5.7E-05	9.7E-08	5.2E-08	5.2E-08	5.7E-09	6.9E+00	9.6E-03	6.9E+00	3.4E-06	4.1E-04
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	9.2E+01	9.2E-03	1.6E-01	1.1E-07	9.2E-03	3.1E+00	5.3E-03	3.7E-08	3.7E-08	3.1E-04	2.3E+02	3.2E-01	2.3E+02	5.1E-06	1.4E-02
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	9.2E+01	9.2E-03	1.6E-01	1.1E-07	9.2E-03	3.1E+00	5.3E-03	3.7E-08	3.7E-08	3.1E-04	2.3E+02	3.2E-01	2.3E+02	5.1E-06	1.4E-02
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	9.2E+01	9.2E-03	1.6E-01	1.1E-07	9.2E-03	3.1E+00	5.3E-03	3.7E-08	3.7E-08	3.1E-04	2.3E+02	3.2E-01	2.3E+02	5.1E-06	1.4E-02

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

Table II-10.3-3. ORR—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.1E-12	2.1E-12
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.5E-11	2.5E-11
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.6E-11	2.6E-11
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.4E-06	1.4E-06
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.4E-06	1.4E-06
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.4E-06	1.4E-06

Notes:

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.

***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-10.3-4. ORR—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment											
				Offsite Population MEI						Noninvolved Worker MEI					
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability				
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.9E-08	8.4E-11	8.1E-12	4.9E-08	8.3E-11	4.9E-12	4.9E-08	8.3E-11	4.9E-12	4.9E-08	8.3E-11	4.9E-12
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	5.1E-08	8.7E-11	8.1E-12	5.2E-08	8.8E-11	5.1E-12	5.2E-08	8.8E-11	5.2E-08	5.2E-08	8.8E-11	5.2E-12
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	5.7E-12	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	5.7E-12	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	5.7E-12	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07	2.8E-03	4.8E-06	2.8E-07

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-10.3-5. ORR—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	3.3E-12	2.0E-11	3.0E-06
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.3E-10	8.0E-10	3.0E-05
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.3E-10	8.1E-10	3.0E-05
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.9E-09	1.2E-08	3.0E-05
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.9E-09	1.2E-08	3.0E-05
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.9E-09	1.2E-08	3.0E-05

Notes:

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.

Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-10.3-6. ORR—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Treatment Standard	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	CH	RH	Treat		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	S02	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	S02			
	Treat	Treat			WIPP WAC	WIPP WAC	Reduce Gas	LDR	LDR	LDR	WIPP							
No Action**	16	5	5				
Decentralized**	16	5	5	34 (7/27)	24 (19/5)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	5 (2/3)	15 (0/15)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0				
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	27 (6/21)	20 (16/4)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	5 (2/3)	23 (0/23)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0				
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	43 (7/36)	26 (19/7)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	6 (2/4)	19 (19/0)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0				
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	43 (7/36)	26 (19/7)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	6 (2/4)	24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0				
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	43 (7/36)	26 (19/7)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	6 (2/4)	24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0				

Notes:
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-10.3-7. ORR—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Construction										
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)										
				CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC					
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Operations & Maintenance															
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment STD	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)															
				CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	VOC					
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) ORR is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-10.3-8. ORR—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance													
	CH		RH		Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
	Treat	WIPP	Treat	WIPP												
No Action**	16	5	5	5	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	5	5	0	--	--	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	2	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	2	3	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	2	3	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	2	3	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	CH		RH		Treat STD	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
	Treat	WIPP	Treat	WIPP											
No Action**	16	5	5	5	0	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	5	5	0	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 -- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-10.3-9. ORR—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations				
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
											16
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	1425	<0.1	<0.1	1425	<0.1	<0.1
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	12954	0.1	3929	<0.1	<0.1	3929	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	11065	0.1	3228	<0.1	<0.1	3228	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	14448	0.1	3787	<0.1	<0.1	3787	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	14448	0.1	3787	<0.1	<0.1	3787	<0.1	<0.1
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	14448	0.1	3787	<0.1	<0.1	3787	<0.1	<0.1

Notes:

Water supplied by surface water in the Clinch River. Current water use = 18,300,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to the Clinch River. Average flow rate of the Clinch River = 3,003,000,000 gallons/day.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage are less than 0.1%.

Table II-10.3-10. ORR—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives							
			Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)	
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	215	191	0.07	2.2	0.03	0.05	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	487	541	0.19	6.1	0.08	0.08	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	424	471	0.16	5.3	0.07	0.09	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	598	664	0.23	7.5	0.09	0.09	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	598	664	0.23	7.5	0.09	0.09	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	598	664	0.23	7.5	0.09	0.09	

Notes:

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-10.3-11. ORR—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0.00	1425	0.00	1425	0.03	0.14	0.02	0	0.00	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	6.6	0.12	12954	0.03	3929	0.10	0.69	0.10	276	1.30	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	5.9	0.11	11065	0.03	3228	0.08	0.65	0.10	258	1.20	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	6.3	0.11	14448	0.04	3787	0.09	0.57	0.09	412	1.90	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	6.3	0.11	14448	0.04	3787	0.09	0.57	0.09	412	1.90	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	6.3	0.11	14448	0.04	3787	0.09	0.57	0.09	412	1.90	

Notes:

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-10.3-12. ORR—TRUW—Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Retrieval Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	243	0	0	207	37	0	217	27
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	551	33	151	286	81	109	415	28
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	480	45	120	247	68	109	353	19
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	677	64	207	335	72	109	554	14
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	677	64	207	335	72	109	554	14
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	677	64	207	335	72	109	554	14

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP. The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

(2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.10.4 ORR HLW

ORR is not one of the sites considered for management of HLW. Therefore, Section 10.4 has been intentionally left blank.

II.10.5 ORR HW

Eleven tables immediately following portray the impacts of HW at ORR. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	ORR—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-10.5-1	10-49
	3.	ORR—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences	II-10.5-2	10-50
	5.	ORR—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences	II-10.5-3	10-51
	6.	ORR—HW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-10.5-4	10-52
	7.	ORR—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-10.5-5	10-53
	8.	ORR—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-10.5-6	10-54
	9.	ORR—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-10.5-7	10-55
	10.	ORR—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-10.5-8	10-56
	13.	ORR—HW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Treatment	II-10.5-9	10-57
	14.	ORR—HW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-10.5-10	10-58
	15.	ORR—HW—Cost	II-10.5-11	10-59

Table II-10.5-1. ORR—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	WM Worker Physical Hazards
No Action	2	5.6E-03
Decentralized	3	8.4E-03
Regionalized-1	5	2.0E-02
Regionalized-2	2	4.1E-02

Table II-10.5-2. ORR—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite Population Chemical Cancer Incidence	Noninvolved Worker Chemical Cancer Incidence	WM Worker Chemical Cancer Incidence
No Action	2	7.4E-03	2.5E-03	7.6E-02
Decentralized	3	1.0E-02	3.5E-03	1.0E-01
Regionalized-1	5	3.6E-02	1.1E-02	4.2E-01
Regionalized-2	2	9.5E-02	3.2E-02	1.1E+00

Table II-10.5-3. ORR—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment	
		Offsite MEI Cancer Incidence Probability	Noninvolved MEI Cancer Incidence Probability
No Action	2	3.9E-07	2.4E-06
Decentralized	3	5.4E-07	3.3E-06
Regionalized-1	5	1.8E-06	1.1E-05
Regionalized-2	2	5.0E-06	3.1E-05

Notes:
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-10.5-4. ORR--HW--Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action	2	2.6E-03	1.6E-02	4.3E+00
Decentralized	3	7.2E-03	4.4E-02	5.3E+00
Regionalized-1	5	2.5E-02	1.5E-01	6.1E+00
Regionalized-2	2	6.6E-02	4.1E-01	6.2E+00

Notes:

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-10.5-5. ORR—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
		--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0		
No Action	2	3 (2/1)	5 (5/0)	0	0	0	1 (0/0)	0	0	0	0	1 (1/0)	0		
Decentralized	3	6 (4/2)	10 (10/0)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	0	1 (0/0)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	0		
Regionalized - 1	5	8 (5/3)	13 (12/1)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	0	1 (0/0)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	0		
Regionalized - 2	2														

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

Table II-10.5-6. ORR—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction						
		Percent of Tons/Year						
		General Conformity Rule (1)						
T	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Operations & Maintenance										
		Percent of Tons/Year					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
		Standard or Guideline (2)										
T	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	0	1	0	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	2	0	2	0	13	6	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) ORR is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions only.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-10.5-7. ORR—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T		Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	2		--	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3		--	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 1	5		--	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 2	2		--	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action	2		--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	14
Decentralized	3		--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	35
Regionalized - 1	5		--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	120
Regionalized - 2	2		--	0	--	--	0	--	0	0	0	322

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 -- = Emissions of certain hazardous or toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HW treatment facilities are assumed to be negligible.

Table II-10.5-8. ORR—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction			Operations			Waste Water % Stream Flow
		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	
No Action	T	--	--	--	168	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Decentralized	3	516	<0.1	<0.1	367	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-1	5	1373	<0.1	<0.1	1088	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-2	2	2438	<0.1	<0.1	2101	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 Water supplied by surface water in the Clinch River. Current water use = 18,300,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Clinch River. Average flow rate of the Clinch River = 3,003,000,000 gallons/day.
 -- = Under No Action construction is not considered.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-10.5-9. ORR—HW—Socioeconomics Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives						
		T	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	2	12	15	0.01	0.2	0.00	0.00	
Decentralized	3	24	31	0.01	0.4	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized 1	5	60	76	0.03	0.9	0.01	0.01	
Regionalized 2	2	99	126	0.04	1.4	0.02	0.01	

Notes:

T = Treatment

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-10.5-10. ORR--HW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
		Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)			
		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	2	0	0.00	168	0.00	168	0.00	0.13	0.02	0	0		
Decentralized	3	1	0.01	516	0.00	367	0.01	0.15	0.02	9	0		
Regionalized-1	5	1	0.23	1373	0.01	1088	0.03	0.31	0.05	23	0		
Regionalized-2	2	2	0.04	2438	0.01	2101	0.05	0.58	0.09	10	0		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

Table II-10.5-11. ORR—HW—Cost

HW Alternatives	Number of Sites T	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Government Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)	
			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Government (Millions)	Commercial (Millions)
No Action	2	18	0	0	13	0	13	4
Decentralized	3	31	2	10	15	1	28	3
Regionalized-1	5	97	5	23	38	2	68	29
Regionalized-2	2	135	8	35	66	3	112	22

Notes:

T = Treatment

-- = Not considered for this site.

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Functional Areas.

(2) Government costs equal to the sum of the life-cycle components.

II.11.0 PGDP

PGDP currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, and TRUW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.11.1 PGDP LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at PGDP. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	PGDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-11.1-1	11-2
	2.	PGDP—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-11.1-2	11-3
	3.	PGDP—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-11.1-3	11-4
	4.	PGDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-11.1-4	11-5
	5.	PGDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-11.1-5	11-6
	6.	PGDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-11.1-6	11-7
	7.	PGDP—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-11.1-7	11-8
	8.	PGDP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-11.1-8	11-9
	9.	PGDP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-11.1-9	11-10
	10.	PGDP—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-11.1-10	11-11
	11.	PGDP—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-11.1-11	11-12
	12.	PGDP—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-11.1-12	11-13
	13.	PGDP—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-11.1-13	11-14
	14.	PGDP—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-11.1-14	11-15
	15.	PGDP—LLMW—Cost	II-11.1-15	11-16

Table II-11.1-1. PGDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker			Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker		
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure			Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	1.7E-04	4.6E-03	1.8E-03	1.4E-04	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	2.4E-04	4.7E-02	1.2E-04	9.5E-06	1.4E-04	2.9E-03	2.9E-03	
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.9E-04	4.7E-02	1.2E-04	9.5E-06	1.4E-04	2.9E-03	2.9E-03	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.5E-04	1.3E-02	8.1E-07	6.4E-08	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.5E-04	1.3E-02	8.1E-07	6.4E-08	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.5E-04	1.3E-02	8.1E-07	6.4E-08	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	1.5E-04	1.3E-02	8.1E-07	6.4E-08	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-11.1-2. PGDP-LLMW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	3	-	3.6E+00	6.1E-03	1.1E-06	3.6E-04	2.8E-01	4.8E-04	5.9E-07	2.8E-05	4.4E-01	6.1E-04	6.2E-07	2.6E-05
Decentralized	37	16	2.4E-01	4.1E-04	6.5E-08	2.4E-05	1.9E-02	3.2E-05	3.4E-08	1.9E-06	6.0E-01	8.4E-04	6.2E-06	3.6E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.4E-01	4.1E-04	6.5E-08	2.4E-05	1.9E-02	3.2E-05	3.4E-08	1.9E-06	9.8E-01	1.4E-03	6.2E-06	5.9E-05
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	9.7E-09	1.6E-07	1.9E-04	2.2E-07	5.1E-09	1.3E-08	3.7E-01	5.2E-04	4.9E-07	2.2E-05
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	9.7E-09	1.6E-07	1.9E-04	2.2E-07	5.1E-09	1.3E-08	3.7E-01	5.2E-04	4.9E-07	2.2E-05
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	9.7E-09	1.6E-07	1.3E-04	2.2E-07	5.1E-09	1.3E-08	3.7E-01	5.2E-04	4.9E-07	2.2E-05
Centralized	1	1	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	9.7E-09	1.6E-07	1.3E-04	2.2E-07	5.1E-09	1.3E-08	3.7E-01	5.2E-04	4.9E-07	2.2E-05

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-11.1-3. PGDP—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	3.4E-01	4.8E-04	2.1E-05	
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.4E-01	4.8E-04	2.1E-05	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-11.1-4. PGDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal	
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		Hypothetical		
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	3	-	2.0E-07	1.7E-07	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.3E-08	1.2E-08	1.9E-06	1.9E-06	1.9E-06	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.3E-08	1.2E-08	1.9E-06	1.9E-06	1.9E-06	
Regionalized-2	7	6	9.0E-11	7.9E-11	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	9.0E-11	7.9E-11	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	9.0E-11	7.9E-11	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	9.0E-11	7.9E-11	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-11.1-5. PGDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	4.0E-04	6.8E-07	1.5E-10	4.0E-08	3.5E-04	6.0E-07	8.9E-10	3.5E-08	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	2.7E-05	4.5E-08	9.0E-12	2.7E-09	2.3E-05	3.9E-08	5.2E-11	2.3E-09	3.9E-03	6.6E-06	2.7E-07	6.6E-06	2.7E-07	3.9E-07	3.9E-07	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.7E-05	4.5E-08	9.0E-12	2.7E-09	2.3E-05	3.9E-08	5.2E-11	2.3E-09	3.9E-03	6.6E-06	2.7E-07	6.6E-06	2.7E-07	3.9E-07	3.9E-07	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.8E-07	3.1E-10	1.3E-12	1.8E-11	1.6E-07	2.7E-10	7.8E-12	1.6E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.8E-07	3.1E-10	1.3E-12	1.8E-11	1.6E-07	2.7E-10	7.8E-12	1.6E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.8E-07	3.1E-10	1.3E-12	1.8E-11	1.6E-07	2.7E-10	7.8E-12	1.6E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	1.8E-07	3.1E-10	1.3E-12	1.8E-11	1.6E-07	2.7E-10	7.8E-12	1.6E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this site

Table II-11.1-6. PGDP--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index		WM Worker Exposure Index	
No Action	3	-	5.5E-08	3.2E-07	5.1E-06	--	
Decentralized	37	16	4.1E-09	2.4E-08	2.9E-04	5.5E-03	
Regionalized-1	11	12	4.1E-09	2.4E-08	2.9E-04	5.5E-03	
Regionalized-2	7	6	5.0E-11	2.9E-10	5.1E-06	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	5.0E-11	2.9E-10	5.1E-06	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.0E-11	2.9E-10	5.1E-06	--	
Centralized	1	1	5.0E-11	2.9E-10	5.1E-06	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-11.1-7. PGDP—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)				
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	3	-	2 (0/2)	1 (1/0)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0			
Decentralized	37	16	25 (1/24)	7 (2/5)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	7 (0/7)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Regionalized-1	11	12	19 (1/18)	6 (2/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	6 (0/6)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)			
Regionalized-2	7	6	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-3	7	1	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-4	4	6	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0			
Centralized	1	1	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-11.1-8. PGDP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction								Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)								Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)								Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)			
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	--	1 (1/0)	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized	37	16	--	7 (2/5)	--	--	--	--	--	6 (0/6)	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	6 (2/4)	--	--	--	--	--	4 (0/4)	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized	1	1	--	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction								Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)								Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)								Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)			
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	--	1 (1/0)	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized	37	16	--	7 (2/5)	--	--	--	--	--	6 (0/6)	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	6 (2/4)	--	--	--	--	--	4 (0/4)	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized	1	1	--	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds, NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicles emission).
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized and Regionalized -1 Alternatives.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).

Table II-1.1.1-9. PGDP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
	No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
	No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-11.1-10. PGDP—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	711	<0.1	<0.1	298	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Decentralized	37	16	2963	<0.1	<0.1	1541	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2963	<0.1	<0.1	1541	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	7	6	116	<0.1	<0.1	176	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-3	7	1	116	<0.1	<0.1	176	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	4	6	116	<0.1	<0.1	176	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized	1	1	116	<0.1	<0.1	176	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by surface water in the Ohio River. Current water use = 15,000,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Ohio River.
 Average flow rate of the Ohio River = 174,521,000,000 gallons/day.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-11.1-11. PGDP—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																					
No Action	3	-	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-3	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-4	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centralized	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	3	-	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-3	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-4	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centralized	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

- - - No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-11.1-12. PGDP-LLMW-Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-11.1-13. PGDP—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	3	-	37	29	0.04	0.3	0.01	0.02
Decentralized	37	16	195	194	0.24	2.1	0.09	0.11
Regionalized-1	11	12	195	194	0.24	2.1	0.09	0.11
Regionalized-2	7	6	48	48	0.06	0.5	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-3	7	1	48	48	0.06	0.5	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-4	4	6	48	48	0.06	0.5	0.02	0.02
Centralized	1	1	48	48	0.06	0.5	0.02	0.02

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-11.1-14. PGDP—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
	T	D	Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action	3	-	0.66	0.025	711	0	298	0.02	0.27	0.01	14	1
Decentralized	37	16	2.33	0.087	2963	0.01	1541	0.09	0.45	0.01	185	11
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.33	0.087	2963	0.01	1541	0.09	0.45	0.01	137	8
Regionalized-2	7	6	0.27	0.01	116	0	176	0.01	0.25	0.01	39	2
Regionalized-3	7	1	0.27	0.01	116	0	176	0.01	0.25	0.01	39	2
Regionalized-4	4	6	0.27	0.01	116	0	176	0.01	0.25	0.01	39	2
Centralized	1	1	0.27	0.01	116	0	176	0.01	0.25	0.01	39	2

Notes:

T is defined as Treatment, D is defined as Disposal

(1) Based on 1991 site employment

Table II-11.1.1-15. PGDP—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
	No Action	3		-	41	3	7	21	11	19
Decentralized	37	16	221	32	90	76	22	179	0	42
Regionalized-1	11	12	221	32	90	76	22	179	0	42
Regionalized-2	7	6	55	8	18	20	10	55	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	55	8	18	20	10	55	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	55	8	18	20	10	55	0	0
Centralized	1	1	55	8	18	20	10	55	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.11.2 PGDP LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at PGDP. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	PGDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-11.2-1	11-18
	2.	PGDP—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-11.2-2	11-19
	3.	PGDP—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-11.2-3	11-20
	4.	PGDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-11.2-4	11-21
	5.	PGDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-11.2-5	11-22
	7.	PGDP—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-11.2-6	11-23
	8.	PGDP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-11.2-7	11-24
	9.	PGDP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-11.2-8	11-25
	10.	PGDP—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-11.2-9	11-26
	11.	PGDP—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-11.2-10	11-27
	13.	PGDP—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-11.2-11	11-28
	14.	PGDP—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-11.2-12	11-29
	15.	PGDP—LLW—Cost	II-11.2-13	11-30

Table II-11.2-1. PGDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	2.2E-03	1.1E-01	2.0E-08	1.6E-09	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	3.2E-07	2.5E-08	3.1E-03	1.5E-01		
Regionalized-1		12	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	3.2E-07	2.5E-08	3.0E-03	1.5E-01		
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.7E-03	1.8E-01	1.9E-06	1.5E-07	2.8E-03	1.3E-01		
Regionalized-3		6	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	1.5E-03	1.1E-01	2.1E-08	1.7E-09	--	--		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-11.2-2. PGDP—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

Noninvolved Worker LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	4.0E-05	6.9E-08	4.0E-09	3.2E-06	5.4E-09	3.2E-10	5.6E+00	7.8E-03	3.3E-04
Decentralized		16	6.4E-04	1.1E-06	6.4E-08	5.1E-05	8.6E-08	5.8E-09	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Regionalized-1		12	6.4E-04	1.1E-06	6.4E-08	5.1E-05	8.6E-08	5.8E-09	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.8E-03	6.4E-06	3.8E-07	3.0E-04	5.1E-07	3.0E-08	4.1E+00	5.8E-03	2.5E-04
Regionalized-3		6	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Regionalized-4	7	6	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Regionalized-6		2	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Regionalized-7		2	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Centralized-1		1	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Centralized-2		1	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Centralized-3	7	1	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Centralized-4	7	1	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04
Centralized-5	1	1	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	4.2E-09	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.7E+00	5.2E-03	2.2E-04

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-3. PGDP—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	7.6E+00	1.1E-02	4.6E-04	
Regionalized-1		12	7.6E+00	1.1E-02	4.6E-04	
Regionalized-2	11	12	6.9E+00	9.7E-03	4.2E-04	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-4. PGDP--LLW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite		Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability			
No Action	10**	6	2.3E-12	2.3E-12	2.0E-12	--
Decentralized		16	3.6E-11	3.6E-11	3.1E-11	9.3E-05
Regionalized-1		12	3.6E-11	3.6E-11	3.1E-11	9.3E-05
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.1E-10	2.1E-10	1.8E-10	1.2E-04
Regionalized-3		6	2.3E-12	2.3E-12	2.0E-12	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.4E-12	2.4E-12	2.0E-12	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	2.4E-12	2.4E-12	2.0E-12	--
Regionalized-6		2	2.3E-12	2.3E-12	2.0E-12	--
Regionalized-7		2	2.3E-12	2.3E-12	2.0E-12	--
Centralized-1		1	2.3E-12	2.3E-12	2.0E-12	--
Centralized-2		1	2.3E-12	2.3E-12	2.0E-12	--
Centralized-3	7	1	2.4E-12	2.4E-12	2.1E-12	--
Centralized-4	7	1	2.4E-12	2.4E-12	2.1E-12	--
Centralized-5	1	1	2.4E-12	2.4E-12	2.1E-12	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-5. PGDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability						
No Action	10**	6	4.5E-09	7.6E-12	4.5E-13	3.9E-09	6.6E-12	3.9E-13	--	--	--	1.9E-01	6.8E-05	1.9E-05	--	--	
Decentralized		16	7.2E-08	1.2E-10	7.2E-12	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	1.9E-01	6.8E-05	1.9E-05	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	7.2E-08	1.2E-10	7.2E-12	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	6.2E-08	1.1E-10	6.2E-12	1.9E-01	6.8E-05	1.9E-05	--	--	
Regionalized-2		11	4.2E-07	7.1E-10	4.2E-11	3.6E-07	6.2E-10	3.6E-11	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	2.5E-01	4.2E-04	2.5E-05	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4		7	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5		4	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-3		7	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-4		7	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized-5		1	4.7E-09	8.0E-12	4.7E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	4.1E-09	7.0E-12	4.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-6. PGDP—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	10**																					
No Action	10**	6	15 (4/11)	13 (11/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Decentralized		16	50 (33/17)	88 (85/3)	0	7 (7/0)	8 (8/0)	10 (8/2)	35 (1/34)	10 (3/7)	0	0	0	4 (0/4)								
Regionalized-1		12	50 (33/17)	88 (85/3)	0	7 (7/0)	8 (8/0)	10 (8/2)	35 (1/34)	10 (3/7)	0	0	0	4 (0/4)								
Regionalized-2	11	12	63 (28/35)	81 (74/7)	0	6 (6/0)	7 (7/0)	11 (7/4)	43 (1/42)	10 (2/8)	0	1 (1/0)	0	5 (0/5)								
Regionalized-3		6	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Regionalized-4	7	6	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Regionalized-5	4	6	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Regionalized-6		2	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Regionalized-7		2	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-1		1	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-2		1	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-3	7	1	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-4	7	1	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Centralized-5	1	1	18 (1/17)	7 (4/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	14 (0/14)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-7. PGDP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction					
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)					
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**	6	--	13 (11/2)	--	--	--	5 (2/3)
Decentralized		16	--	88 (85/9)	--	--	--	20 (18/4)
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	88 (85/9)	--	--	--	20 (18/4)
Regionalized-2		12	--	81 (74/7)	--	--	--	22 (14/8)
Regionalized-3		6	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Regionalized-6		2	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Regionalized-7		2	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Centralized-1		1	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Centralized-2		1	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Centralized-3	7	1	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Centralized-4	7	1	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)
Centralized-5	1	1	--	7 (4/3)	--	--	--	5 (1/4)

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)						
			CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (6)	
No Action	10**	6	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)
Decentralized		16	0	10 (3/7)	0	3	0	0	8 (0/8)
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	10 (3/7)	0	3	0	0	8 (0/8)
Regionalized-2		12	0	11 (3/8)	0	4	0	0	10 (0/10)
Regionalized-3		6	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Regionalized-6		2	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Regionalized-7		2	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Centralized-1		1	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Centralized-2		1	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Centralized-3	7	1	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Centralized-4	7	1	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)
Centralized-5	1	1	0	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	0	4 (0/4)

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicle emissions).
 (2) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all but the Regionalized-2 Alternative are assumed to be negligible.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all but the Regionalized-2 Alternative are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-8. PGDP-LLW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	10*	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized		16	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-1		12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3		6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-6		2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-7		2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-1		1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-2	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-3		1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-4		7	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-5	1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	10*	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized		16	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-1		12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3		6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	7	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-5	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-6		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-7		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-1		1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-2	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-3		1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-4	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-5	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-9. PGDP—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	2968	<0.1	<0.1	1682	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Decentralized		16	76679	0.5	<0.1	4569	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-1		12	76679	0.5	<0.1	4569	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	11	12	67852	0.5	<0.1	5847	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-3		6	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	7	6	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-5	4	6	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-6		2	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Regionalized-7		2	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-1		1	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-2		1	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-3	7	1	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-4	7	1	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Centralized-5	1	1	4926	<0.1	<0.1	1918	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Water supplied by surface water in the Ohio River. Current water use = 15,000,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to the Ohio River. Average flow rate of the Ohio River = 174,521,000,000 gallons/day.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-11.2-10. PGDP-LLW-Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																				
No Action	10**	6	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6																				
Regionalized-4	7	6																				
Regionalized-5	4	6																				
Regionalized-6		2																				
Regionalized-7		2																				
Centralized-1		1																				
Centralized-2		1																				
Centralized-3	7	1																				
Centralized-4	7	1																				
Centralized-5	1	1																				

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	210	40	231	223	226	151	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6																					
Regionalized-4	7	6																					
Regionalized-5	4	6																					
Regionalized-6		2																					
Regionalized-7		2																					
Centralized-1		1																					
Centralized-2		1																					
Centralized-3	7	1																					
Centralized-4	7	1																					
Centralized-5	1	1																					

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 *** Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-11.2-11. PGDP—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	268	213	0.27	2.3	0.10	0.14
Decentralized		16	559	555	0.70	6.1	0.26	0.34
Regionalized-1		12	559	555	0.70	6.1	0.26	0.34
Regionalized-2	11	12	704	700	0.88	7.7	0.32	0.44
Regionalized-3		6	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Regionalized-4	7	6	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Regionalized-5	4	6	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Regionalized-6		2	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Regionalized-7		2	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Centralized-1		1	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Centralized-2		1	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Centralized-3	7	1	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Centralized-4	7	1	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15
Centralized-5	1	1	244	242	0.30	2.7	0.11	0.15

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-12. PGDP—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	2.9	0.11	2968	0.01	1682	0.10	0.38	0.01	82	5		
Decentralized		16	11.2	0.42	76679	0.23	4569	0.26	11.31	0.32	283	16		
Regionalized-1		12	11.2	0.42	76679	0.23	4569	0.26	11.31	0.32	283	16		
Regionalized-2	11	12	7.7	0.29	67852	0.26	5847	0.34	9.76	0.37	410	24		
Regionalized-3		6	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Regionalized-4	7	6	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Regionalized-6		2	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Regionalized-7		2	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Centralized-1		1	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Centralized-2		1	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Centralized-3	7	1	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Centralized-4	7	1	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		
Centralized-5	1	1	4.2	0.14	4926	0.02	1918	0.11	0.52	0.02	130	7		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

PGDP = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1990 Site Employment.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-11.2-13. PGDP-LLW-Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	303	14	39	238	12	303	0	0
Decentralized		16	632	47	134	388	63	286	0	346
Regionalized-1		12	632	47	134	388	63	286	0	346
Regionalized-2	11	12	797	79	183	470	65	485	0	312
Regionalized-3		6	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Centralized-1		1	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Centralized-2		1	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	276	22	60	174	20	276	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.11.3 PGDP TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at PGDP. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-11.3-1	11-32
	2.	PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-11.3-2	11-33
	4.	PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-11.3-3	11-34
	5.	PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-11.3-4	11-35
	6.	PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-11.3-5	11-36
	7.	PGDP—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-11.3-6	11-37
	8.	PGDP—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-11.3-7	11-38
	9.	PGDP—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-11.3-8	11-39
	10.	PGDP—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-11.3-9	11-40
	13.	PGDP—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-11.3-10	11-41
	14.	PGDP—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-11.3-11	11-42
	15.	PGDP—TRUW—Cost	II-11.3-12	11-43

Table II-11.3-1. PGDP--TRUW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Treatment									
	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards					
	Treat	Treat	Standard	Exposure	Hazards	Exposure	Exposure			
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.6E-07	1.3E-02	3.5E-09	2.7E-10			
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.7E-07	2.5E-03	5.3E-09	4.1E-10			
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	4.7E-07	2.5E-03	5.3E-09	4.1E-10			
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	4.7E-07	2.5E-03	5.3E-09	4.1E-10			
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	4.7E-07	2.5E-03	5.3E-09	4.1E-10			
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	4.7E-07	2.5E-03	5.3E-09	4.1E-10			

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-11.3-2. PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Workers			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action**			WIPP WAC	7.0E-06	3.6E-13	7.0E-10	5.4E-07	9.2E-10	1.9E-13	5.4E-11	1.2E-03	1.6E-06	9.6E-13	6.9E-08	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.1E-05	9.5E-13	1.1E-09	8.2E-07	1.4E-09	5.0E-13	8.2E-11	1.2E-03	1.7E-06	2.8E-12	7.1E-08	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.8E-08	9.5E-13	1.1E-09	8.2E-07	1.4E-09	5.0E-13	8.2E-11	1.2E-03	1.7E-06	2.8E-12	7.1E-08	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.8E-08	9.5E-13	1.1E-09	8.2E-07	1.4E-09	5.0E-13	8.2E-11	1.2E-03	1.7E-06	2.8E-12	7.1E-08	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.8E-08	9.5E-13	1.1E-09	8.2E-07	1.4E-09	5.0E-13	8.2E-11	1.2E-03	1.7E-06	2.8E-12	7.1E-08	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.8E-08	9.5E-13	1.1E-09	8.2E-07	1.4E-09	5.0E-13	8.2E-11	1.2E-03	1.7E-06	2.8E-12	7.1E-08	

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

Table II-11.3-3. PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	3.9E-13	3.3E-13
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	5.9E-13	5.0E-13
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	5.9E-13	5.0E-13
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	5.9E-13	5.0E-13
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	5.9E-13	5.0E-13

Notes:

-- = Treatment is not considered under this alternative

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.

***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-11.3-4. PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment															
				Offsite Population MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI												
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability								
					---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---							
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.3E-12	<9.9E-14	7.8E-14	6.7E-10	1.1E-12	<9.9E-14	1.1E-12	<9.9E-14	6.7E-10	1.1E-12	<9.9E-14	1.1E-12	<9.9E-14	6.7E-14	<9.9E-14	6.7E-14
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.0E-12	<9.9E-14	1.2E-13	1.0E-09	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.0E-09	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.0E-13	<9.9E-14	1.0E-13
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.0E-12	<9.9E-14	1.2E-13	1.0E-09	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.0E-09	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.0E-13	<9.9E-14	1.0E-13
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2.0E-12	<9.9E-14	1.2E-13	1.0E-09	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.0E-09	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.0E-13	<9.9E-14	1.0E-13
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.0E-12	<9.9E-14	1.2E-13	1.0E-09	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.0E-09	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.7E-12	<9.9E-14	1.0E-13	<9.9E-14	1.0E-13

Notes:

-- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites then to WIPP.

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-11.3-5. PGDP—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC			
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	8.0E-14	5.0E-13	2.6E-10
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.0E-13	1.0E-12	1.6E-09
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.0E-13	1.0E-12	1.6E-09
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2.0E-13	1.0E-12	1.6E-09
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.1E-13	1.2E-12	1.6E-09

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 - - = Treatment is not considered under this alternative.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits

Table II-11.3-6. PGDP—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)								Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)							
	CH			RH		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	Treat	16		Treat	5													WIPP WAC	2 (0/2)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2 (0/2)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2 (0/2)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	3 (0/3)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	3 (0/3)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3 (0/3)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Notes:

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-11.3-7. PGDP—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction					
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year					
				General Conformity Rule (1)					
	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	1 (1/0)	--	--	0	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	1 (1/0)	--	--	0	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	2 (1/1)	--	--	1 (0/1)	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	2 (1/1)	--	--	1 (0/1)	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	2 (1/1)	--	--	1 (0/1)	

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment STD	Operations & Maintenance											
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)						
				CO (4)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

- Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
- CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
- VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
- (1) GCR only applies to pollutants in nonattainment.
- (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
- (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives assumed to be negligible.
- (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
- (5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions % of mobile-source emissions).

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-11.3-8. PGDP—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
															Treatment Standard
No Action**	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized**	16	5	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	0	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	Operations & Maintenance													
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride				
														WIPP - WAC	WIPP - WAC	Reduce Gas	LDR
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-11.3-9. PGDP—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	171	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	529	<0.1	200	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	529	<0.1	200	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	880	<0.1	200	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	880	<0.1	200	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	880	<0.1	200	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1

Notes:

Water supplied by surface water in the Ohio River. Current water use = 15,000,000 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to the Ohio River. Average flow rate of the Ohio River = 174,521,000,000 gallons/day.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP. <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-11.3-10. PGDP--TRUW--Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	29	23	0.03	0.2	0.01	0.01
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	42	42	0.05	0.5	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	42	42	0.05	0.5	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	52	52	0.07	0.6	0.02	0.03
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	52	52	0.07	0.6	0.02	0.03
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	52	52	0.07	0.6	0.02	0.03

Notes:

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-11.3-11. PGDP—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
				Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
				CH Treat	RH Treat	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0.00	171	0.00	171	0.01	0.17	0.01	0	0.00
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	0.6	0.02	529	0.00	200	0.01	0.18	0.01	24	1.30
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0.6	0.02	529	0.00	200	0.01	0.26	0.01	24	1.30
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0.6	0.02	880	0.00	200	0.01	0.25	0.01	35	2.00
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0.6	0.02	880	0.00	200	0.01	0.25	0.01	35	2.00
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0.6	0.02	880	0.00	200	0.01	0.25	0.01	35	2.00

Notes:

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1990 Site Employment.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-11.3-12. PGDP--TRUW--Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Retrieval Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	32	0	0	28	4	0	32	0
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	48	5	10	28	5	0	48	0
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	48	5	10	28	5	0	48	0
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	59	5	19	30	5	0	59	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	59	5	19	30	5	0	59	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	59	5	19	30	5	0	59	0

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

(2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.12.0 Pantex Plant

Pantex currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMWs and LLW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.12.1 Pantex LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at Pantex. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	Pantex—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-12.1-1	12-2
	2.	Pantex—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-12.1-2	12-3
	3.	Pantex—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-12.1-3	12-4
	4.	Pantex—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-12.1-4	12-5
	5.	Pantex—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-12.1-5	12-6
	6.	Pantex—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-12.1-6	12-7
	7.	Pantex—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-12.1-7	12-8
	8.	Pantex—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-12.1-8	12-9
	9.	Pantex—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-12.1-9	12-10
	10.	Pantex—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-12.1-10	12-11
	11.	Pantex—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-12.1-11	12-12
	12.	Pantex—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-12.1-12	12-13
	13.	Pantex—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-12.1-13	12-14
	14.	Pantex—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-12.1-14	12-15
	15.	Pantex—LLMW—Cost	II-12.1-15	12-16

Table II-12.1.1-1. Pantex—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	1.8E-04	3.8E-03	1.1E-06	2.8E-07	--	--		
Decentralized	37	16	3.4E-04	3.8E-02	3.5E-05	3.5E-06	3.0E-04	4.8E-03		
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.4E-04	3.8E-02	3.5E-05	3.5E-06	3.0E-04	4.8E-03		
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.7E-04	1.4E-02	2.3E-06	2.3E-07	--	--		
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.7E-04	1.4E-02	2.3E-06	2.3E-07	--	--		
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.7E-04	1.4E-02	2.3E-06	2.3E-07	--	--		
Centralized	1	1	1.7E-04	1.4E-02	2.3E-06	2.3E-07	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-12.1-2. Pantex—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population						NonInvolved Workers				WM Worker					
	T	D	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Genetic Effects		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence	
			3.8E-06	1.2E-04	7.0E-08	3.5E-09	2.2E-07	6.9E-06		9.5E-07	1.2E-05	8.0E-08	4.0E-09		5.6E-04	6.1E-04	4.4E-01	6.1E-04
No Action	3	-	2.2E-03	1.2E-04	7.0E-08	3.5E-09	2.2E-07	6.9E-06	9.5E-07	1.2E-05	8.0E-08	4.0E-09	5.6E-04	6.1E-04	4.4E-01	6.1E-04	7.3E-08	2.6E-05
Decentralized	37	16	6.9E-02	1.2E-04	3.5E-09	3.5E-09	6.9E-06	6.9E-03	1.2E-05	1.2E-05	4.0E-09	4.0E-09	6.9E-07	1.2E-03	8.4E-01	1.2E-03	8.2E-07	5.0E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	6.9E-02	1.2E-04	3.5E-09	3.5E-09	6.9E-06	6.9E-03	1.2E-05	1.2E-05	4.0E-09	4.0E-09	6.9E-07	1.2E-03	8.4E-01	1.2E-03	8.2E-07	5.0E-05
Regionalized-2	7	6	4.7E-03	8.0E-06	3.6E-10	3.6E-10	4.7E-07	4.7E-04	7.9E-07	7.9E-07	4.1E-10	4.1E-10	4.7E-08	5.8E-04	4.2E-01	5.8E-04	5.5E-08	2.5E-05
Regionalized-3	7	1	4.7E-03	8.0E-06	3.6E-10	3.6E-10	4.7E-07	4.7E-04	7.9E-07	7.9E-07	4.1E-10	4.1E-10	4.7E-08	5.8E-04	4.2E-01	5.8E-04	5.5E-08	2.5E-05
Regionalized-4	4	6	4.7E-03	8.0E-06	3.6E-10	3.6E-10	4.7E-07	4.7E-04	7.9E-07	7.9E-07	4.1E-10	4.1E-10	4.7E-08	5.8E-04	4.2E-01	5.8E-04	5.5E-08	2.5E-05
Centralized	1	1	4.7E-03	8.0E-06	3.6E-10	3.6E-10	4.7E-07	4.7E-04	7.9E-07	7.9E-07	4.1E-10	4.1E-10	4.7E-08	5.8E-04	4.2E-01	5.8E-04	5.5E-08	2.5E-05

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Table II-12.1-3. Pantex—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	7.6E-01	1.1E-03	4.6E-05	
Regionalized-1	11	12	7.6E-01	1.1E-03	4.6E-05	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-12.1-4. Pantex--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	-	9.2E-11	5.2E-10	--
Decentralized	37	16	2.9E-09	6.6E-09	6.7E-07
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.9E-09	6.6E-09	6.7E-07
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.0E-10	4.4E-10	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.0E-10	4.4E-10	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.0E-10	4.4E-10	--
Centralized	1	1	2.0E-10	4.4E-10	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-12.1-5. Pantex—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	1.8E-07	3.1E-10	5.8E-12	1.8E-11	1.1E-06	1.8E-09	1.4E-10	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	1.1E-10	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	5.9E-06	1.0E-08	2.9E-13	5.9E-10	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	6.8E-12	6.8E-12	6.8E-12	1.3E-09	1.3E-03	2.3E-06	1.2E-06	1.2E-06	1.3E-07	
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.9E-06	1.0E-08	2.9E-13	5.9E-10	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	6.8E-12	6.8E-12	6.8E-12	1.3E-09	1.3E-03	2.3E-06	1.23E-06	1.23E-06	1.3E-07	
Regionalized-2	7	6	4.0E-07	6.8E-10	3.0E-14	4.0E-11	8.9E-07	1.5E-09	7.0E-13	7.0E-13	8.9E-11	8.9E-11	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	4.0E-07	6.8E-10	3.0E-14	4.0E-11	8.9E-07	1.5E-09	7.0E-13	7.0E-13	8.9E-11	8.9E-11	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	4.0E-07	6.8E-10	3.0E-14	4.0E-11	8.9E-07	1.5E-09	7.0E-13	7.0E-13	8.9E-11	8.9E-11	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	4.0E-07	6.8E-10	3.0E-14	4.0E-11	8.9E-07	1.5E-09	7.0E-13	7.0E-13	8.9E-11	8.9E-11	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-12.1-6. Pantex—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal	
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index			WM Worker Exposure Index
				Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index			
No Action	3	-	8.3E-09	2.0E-07	1.3E-06	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.3E-09	3.0E-08	4.2E-05	4.0E-03	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.3E-09	3.0E-08	4.2E-05	4.0E-03	
Regionalized-2	7	6	7.5E-12	1.8E-10	1.3E-06	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.5E-12	1.8E-10	1.3E-06	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	7.5E-12	1.8E-10	1.3E-06	--	
Centralized	1	1	7.5E-12	1.8E-10	1.3E-06	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-12.1-7. Pantex—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	2 (0/1)	1 (1/0)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized	37	16	22 (2/20)	9 (5/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-1	11	12	21 (2/19)	9 (5/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-2	7	6	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-4	4	6	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-12.1-8. Pantex—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance													
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)													
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) Pantex is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized and Regionalized-1 Alternatives.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-12.1-9. Pantex—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-12.1-10. Pantex—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	711	0.1	--	305	0.1	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	4499	0.8	--	1457	0.3	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	4499	0.8	--	1457	0.3	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	116	<0.1	--	387	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	116	<0.1	--	387	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	116	<0.1	--	387	0.1	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	116	<0.1	--	387	0.1	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by groundwater in the Ogallala Aquifer. Current water use = 548,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to onsite playas.
 - - = Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-12.1-11. Pantex—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D	210	40	231	223	226	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	236	233	234	235	236	238	239	90	93
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-12.1-12. Pantex—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-12.1-13. Pantex—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives				
				Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	3	-	33	28	0.03	0.3	0.01	0.02
Decentralized	37	16	169	175	0.17	1.9	0.06	0.07
Regionalized-1	11	12	169	175	0.17	1.9	0.06	0.07
Regionalized-2	7	6	60	62	0.06	0.7	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-3	7	1	60	62	0.06	0.7	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-4	4	6	60	62	0.06	0.7	0.02	0.02
Centralized	1	1	60	62	0.06	0.7	0.02	0.02

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-12.1-14. Pantex—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
	T	D	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	3	-	0.66	0.009	711	0.05	305	0.06	0.24	0.02	11	0.4		
Decentralized	37	16	3.68	0.048	4499	0.3	1457	0.27	0.45	0.03	158	5		
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.68	0.048	4499	0.3	1457	0.27	0.45	0.03	144	5		
Regionalized-2	7	6	0.27	0.003	387	0.03	387	0.07	0.16	0.01	39	1		
Regionalized-3	7	1	0.27	0.003	387	0.03	387	0.07	0.16	0.01	39	1		
Regionalized-4	4	6	0.27	0.003	387	0.03	387	0.07	0.16	0.01	39	1		
Centralized	1	1	0.27	0.003	387	0.03	387	0.07	0.16	0.01	39	1		

Notes:

T is defined as Treatment, D is defined as Disposal

(1) Based on 1991 site employment

Table II-12.1-15. Pantex—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	3	-	38	3	4	21	11	15	23	0
Decentralized	37	16	192	32	68	81	11	152	0	40
Regionalized-1	11	12	192	32	68	81	11	152	0	40
Regionalized-2	7	6	68	8	18	32	11	68	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	68	8	18	32	11	68	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	68	8	18	32	11	68	0	0
Centralized	1	1	68	8	18	32	11	68	0	0

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.
(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.12.2 Pantex LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at Pantex. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	Pantex—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-12.2-1	12-18
	2.	Pantex—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-12.2-2	12-19
	3.	Pantex—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-12.2-3	12-20
	4.	Pantex—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-12.2-4	12-21
	5.	Pantex—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-12.2-5	12-22
	7.	Pantex—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-12.2-6	12-23
	8.	Pantex—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-12.2-7	12-24
	9.	Pantex—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-12.2-8	12-25
	10.	Pantex—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-12.2-9	12-26
	11.	Pantex—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-12.2-10	12-27
	13.	Pantex—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-12.2-11	12-28
	14.	Pantex—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-12.2-12	12-29
	15.	Pantex—LLW—Cost	II-12.2-13	12-30

Table II-12.2-1. Pantex—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	9.7E-04	2.9E-02	8.8E-07	8.7E-08	--	--		
Decentralized		16	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	5.8E-04	2.1E-02		
Regionalized-1		12	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	5.8E-04	2.1E-02		
Regionalized-2	11	12	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	5.8E-04	2.1E-02		
Regionalized-3		6	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	7.8E-04	2.5E-02	4.4E-07	4.4E-08	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-12.2-2. Pantex—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	10**	6	1.8E-03	3.0E-06	1.8E-07	1.7E-04	3.0E-07	1.7E-08	2.4E+00	3.4E-03
Decentralized		16	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Regionalized-1		12	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Regionalized-2	11	12	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Regionalized-3		6	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Regionalized-4	7	6	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Regionalized-5	4	6	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Regionalized-6		2	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Regionalized-7		2	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Centralized-1		1	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Centralized-2		1	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Centralized-3	7	1	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Centralized-4	7	1	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04
Centralized-5	1	1	8.8E-04	1.5E-06	8.8E-08	8.7E-05	1.5E-07	8.7E-09	1.9E+00	2.7E-03	1.2E-04

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2-3. Pantex—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	1.5E+00	2.0E-03	8.7E-05	
Regionalized-1		12	1.5E+00	2.0E-03	8.7E-05	
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.5E+00	2.0E-03	8.7E-05	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2-4. Pantex—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	10**	6	7.5E-11	1.7E-10	--
Decentralized		16	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-1		12	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	<9.9E-14
Regionalized-3		6	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Regionalized-6		2	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Regionalized-7		2	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Centralized-1		1	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Centralized-2		1	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Centralized-3	7	1	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Centralized-4	7	1	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--
Centralized-5	1	1	3.7E-11	8.3E-11	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2-5. Pantex—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family		
	T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability			
No Action	10**	6	1.5E-07	2.5E-10	1.5E-11	3.3E-07	5.6E-10	3.3E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized		16	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14		
Regionalized-1		12	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14		
Regionalized-2	11	12	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14	<9.9E-14		
Regionalized-3		6	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	7.5E-08	1.3E-10	7.5E-12	1.7E-07	2.8E-10	1.7E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2-6. Pantex--LLW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	10**		20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
No Action		6	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Decentralized		16	16 (4/12)	13 (11/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	16 (4/12)	13 (11/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-1		12	16 (4/12)	13 (11/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	16 (4/12)	13 (11/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-2	11	12	20 (7/13)	21 (18/3)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	20 (7/13)	21 (18/3)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	12 (0/12)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-3		6	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-4	7	6	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-5	4	6	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-6		2	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-7		2	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Centralized-1		1	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Centralized-2		1	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Centralized-3	7	1	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Centralized-4	7	1	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Centralized-5	1	1	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	3 (1/2)	10 (0/10)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2-7. Pantex—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)					
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
	No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		18	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)						Operations & Maintenance Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
	T	D	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
	No Action	10**	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
(1) Pantex is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2-8. Pantex-LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										Load	
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide		1,2-Dichloro-ethane
No Action	10**	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized		16	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-1		12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3		6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-6		2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-7		2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-1	1	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-2	1	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-3	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-4	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-5	1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action	10**	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized		16	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-1		12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3		6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	7	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-5	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-6		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-7		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-2	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-4	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-5	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Notes:
T= Treatment
D = Disposal
*** Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2.-9. Pantex—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Decentralized		16	2960	0.5	--	933	0.2	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	2960	0.5	--	933	0.2	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	4600	0.8	--	3691	0.7	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	1977	0.4	--	671	0.1	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Water supplied by groundwater in the Ogallala Aquifer. Current water use = 548,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to onsite playas.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.

Table II-12.2-10. Pantex—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	NI	NI	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	125	210	227	59	63	107	228	228	230	241
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6																					
Regionalized-4		7																					
Regionalized-5		4																					
Regionalized-6		6																					
Regionalized-7		2																					
Centralized-1		2																					
Centralized-2		1																					
Centralized-3		7																					
Centralized-4		7																					
Centralized-5		1																					

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Te	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	210	46	211	223	228	151	79	90	99	237	228	229	230	232	233	234	235	238	238	240	243
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6																					
Regionalized-4		7																					
Regionalized-5		4																					
Regionalized-6		2																					
Regionalized-7		2																					
Centralized-1		1																					
Centralized-2		1																					
Centralized-3		7																					
Centralized-4		7																					
Centralized-5		1																					

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 .-. = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-12.2-11. Pantex—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	10**	6	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Decentralized		16	191	197	0.19	2.1	0.06	0.09
Regionalized-1		12	191	197	0.19	2.1	0.06	0.09
Regionalized-2	11	12	197	203	0.20	2.2	0.07	0.09
Regionalized-3		6	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Regionalized-4	7	6	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Regionalized-5		6	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Regionalized-6		2	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Regionalized-7		2	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Centralized-1		1	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Centralized-2		1	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Centralized-3	7	1	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Centralized-4		7	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09
Centralized-5	1	1	120	124	0.12	1.3	0.04	0.09

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) in 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2-12. Pantex—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Decentralized		16	1.9	0.025	2960	0.20	0.17	932	0.17	0.32	0.02	96	3	
Regionalized-1		12	1.9	0.025	2960	0.20	0.17	932	0.17	0.32	0.02	96	3	
Regionalized-2	11	12	4.5	0.058	4599	0.31	0.68	3691	0.68	0.79	0.05	98	3	
Regionalized-3		6	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Regionalized-6		2	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Regionalized-7		2	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Centralized-1		1	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Centralized-2		1	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Centralized-3	7	1	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Centralized-4	7	1	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	
Centralized-5	1	1	1.7	0.0217	1977	0.13	0.12	671	0.12	0.19	0.01	130	5	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-12.2-13. Pantex—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Decentralized		16	216	17	58	124	17	135	0	81
Regionalized-1		12	216	17	58	124	17	135	0	81
Regionalized-2	11	12	222	17	60	127	18	142	0	80
Regionalized-3	6	6	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Centralized-1		1	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Centralized-2		1	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	135	11	48	69	7	135	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.13.0 PORTS

Ports currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW and LLW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.13.1 PORTS LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at Ports. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>		<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>		
1.	PORTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-13.1-1	13-2
2.	PORTS—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-13.1-2	13-3
3.	PORTS—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-13.1-3	13-4
4.	PORTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-13.1-4	13-5
5.	PORTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-13.1-5	13-6
6.	PORTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-13.1-6	13-7
7.	PORTS—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-13.1-7	13-8
8.	PORTS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-13.1-8	13-9
9.	PORTS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-13.1-9	13-10
10.	PORTS—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-13.1-10	13-11
11.	PORTS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-13.1-11	13-12
12.	PORTS—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-13.1-12	13-13
13.	PORTS—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-13.1-13	13-14
14.	PORTS—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-13.1-14	13-15
15.	PORTS—LLMW—Cost	II-13.1-15	13-16

Table II-13.1-1. PORTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	1.3E-03	3.3E-02	3.2E-05	3.3E-06	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1.4E-03	4.8E-01	2.7E-06	2.8E-07	3.6E-02	3.0E-02	3.6E-02	3.0E-02
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.9E-02	3.4E-01	4.9E-05	2.7E-06	5.8E-02	4.8E-02	5.8E-02	4.8E-02
Regionalized-2	7	6	7.7E-02	4.7E-01	1.1E-04	8.0E-06	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.7E-02	4.7E-01	1.1E-04	8.0E-06	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	8.3E-04	1.2E-01	1.8E-08	1.9E-09	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	8.3E-04	1.2E-01	1.8E-08	1.9E-09	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-13.1-2. PORTS—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	3	-	6.4E-02	1.1E-04	5.9E-05	6.4E-06	6.7E-03	1.1E-05	3.3E-05	6.7E-07	3.2E+00	4.5E-03	1.0E-05
Decentralized	37	16	5.4E-03	9.1E-06	2.3E-06	5.4E-07	5.7E-04	9.6E-07	1.3E-06	5.7E-08	3.6E+00	5.0E-03	5.9E-04	2.1E-04
Regionalized-1	11	12	9.7E-02	1.7E-04	2.3E-06	9.7E-06	5.4E-03	9.1E-06	1.3E-06	5.4E-07	1.5E+02	2.1E-01	5.8E-04	8.9E-03
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.1E-01	3.6E-04	3.7E-06	2.1E-05	1.6E-02	2.7E-05	2.1E-06	1.6E-06	1.9E+02	2.7E-01	1.0E-03	1.1E-02
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.1E-01	3.6E-04	3.7E-06	2.1E-05	1.6E-02	2.7E-05	2.1E-06	1.6E-06	1.9E+02	2.7E-01	1.0E-03	1.1E-02
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.6E-05	6.1E-08	4.9E-08	3.6E-09	3.7E-06	6.4E-09	2.8E-08	3.7E-10	2.1E+00	2.9E-03	8.1E-06	1.2E-04
Centralized	1	1	3.6E-05	6.1E-08	4.9E-08	3.6E-09	3.7E-06	6.4E-09	2.8E-08	3.7E-10	2.1E+00	2.9E-03	8.1E-06	1.2E-04

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-13.1-3. PORTS—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	9.0E+01	1.3E-01	5.4E-03	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.5E+02	2.0E-01	8.7E-03	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-13.1-4. PORTS—LLMW---Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	3	-	4.0E-09	1.9E-09	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	3.4E-10	1.6E-10	7.7E-06	
Regionalized-1	11	12	6.0E-09	1.5E-09	2.1E-05	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.3E-08	4.5E-09	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.3E-08	4.5E-09	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.2E-12	1.1E-12	--	
Centralized	1	1	2.2E-12	1.1E-12	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-13.1-5. PORTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal								
			Offsite Population MEI						Noninvolved Worker MEI						Hypothetical Farm Family								
			Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability		Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability		Radiation Genetic Effects Probability		Dose (rem)		Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability		Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability		Radiation Genetic Effects Probability		Dose (rem)		Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability		Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability		Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	8.0E-06	1.4E-08	9.8E-09	8.0E-10	3.8E-06	3.8E-06	6.4E-09	6.4E-09	1.9E-08	3.8E-10	3.8E-10	1.9E-02	1.9E-02	1.9E-02	1.9E-02	2.2E-05	2.2E-05	1.6E-05	1.6E-05	1.9E-06	1.9E-06
Decentralized	37	16	6.8E-07	1.2E-09	3.9E-10	6.8E-11	3.2E-07	3.2E-07	5.4E-10	5.4E-10	7.4E-10	3.2E-11	3.2E-11	3.5E-02	3.5E-02	3.5E-02	3.5E-02	6.0E-05	6.0E-05	2.8E-05	2.8E-05	3.5E-06	3.5E-06
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.2E-05	2.0E-08	3.8E-10	1.2E-09	3.0E-06	3.0E-06	5.2E-09	5.2E-09	7.4E-10	3.0E-10	3.0E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.6E-05	4.5E-08	6.1E-10	2.6E-09	9.1E-06	9.1E-06	1.5E-08	1.5E-08	1.2E-09	9.1E-10	9.1E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.6E-05	4.5E-08	6.1E-10	2.6E-09	9.1E-06	9.1E-06	1.5E-08	1.5E-08	1.2E-09	9.1E-10	9.1E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	4.5E-09	7.6E-12	8.2E-12	4.5E-13	2.1E-09	2.1E-09	3.6E-12	3.6E-12	1.6E-11	2.1E-13	2.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	4.5E-09	7.6E-12	8.2E-12	4.5E-13	2.1E-09	2.1E-09	3.6E-12	3.6E-12	1.6E-11	2.1E-13	2.1E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-13.1-6. PORTS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index		WM Worker Exposure Index	
No Action	3	-	1.2E-05	2.2E-05	3.0E-05	--	
Decentralized	37	16	7.5E-07	1.4E-06	2.0E-03	1.4E+00	
Regionalized-1	11	12	7.6E-07	1.5E-06	2.0E-03	2.1E+00	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.1E-06	2.1E-06	3.2E-03	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.1E-06	2.1E-06	3.2E-03	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.0E-08	1.9E-08	3.6E-05	--	
Centralized	1	1	1.0E-08	1.9E-08	3.6E-05	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-13.1-7. PORTS—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)					
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	3	-	8 (1/7)	3 (2/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	8 (1/7)	2 (1/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Decentralized	37	16	65 (5/60)	24 (12/12)	0	0	0	8 (1/7)	45 (1/44)	13 (4/9)	0	2 (2/0)	0	5 (0/5)				
Regionalized-1	11	12	67 (5/62)	26 (14/12)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	8 (1/7)	45 (1/44)	13 (4/9)	0	2 (2/0)	0	5 (0/5)				
Regionalized-2	7	6	63 (4/59)	22 (10/12)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	8 (1/7)	38 (0/38)	13 (5/8)	0	4 (4/0)	0	5 (0/5)				
Regionalized-3	7	1	63 (4/59)	22 (10/12)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	8 (1/7)	38 (0/38)	13 (5/8)	0	4 (4/0)	0	5 (0/5)				
Regionalized-4	4	6	16 (1/15)	5 (2/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				
Centralized	1	1	16 (1/15)	5 (2/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-13.1-8. PORTS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance													
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)							Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)						
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
			1	3	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--		
No Action	3	-	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1	9	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	1	11	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	13	0	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	13	0	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule. CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide. VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) Ports is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for the No Action, Regionalized-4, and Centralized Alternatives.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-13.1-9. PORTS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead
	No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride
	No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-13.1-10. PORTS—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	3	-	2190	<0.1	--	1893	<0.1	--	<0.1
Decentralized	37	16	18018	0.1	--	6727	<0.1	--	<0.1
Regionalized-1	11	12	19863	0.1	--	6787	<0.1	--	<0.1
Regionalized-2	7	6	16024	0.1	--	6709	<0.1	--	<0.1
Regionalized-3	7	1	16024	0.1	--	6709	<0.1	--	<0.1
Regionalized-4	4	6	3664	<0.1	--	1888	<0.1	--	<0.1
Centralized	1	1	3664	<0.1	--	1888	<0.1	--	<0.1

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Water supplied by groundwater in the Alluvial Aquifer. Current water use = 14,000,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to the Scioto River. Average flow rate of the Scioto River = 3,036,000,000 gallons/day.
-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-13.1-11. PORTS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	
	T	D	225	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	107	238	239	240	241
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-13.1-12. PORTS—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	2	0	20	0	8	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	3	0	30	0	10	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloroethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	80	0	0	100	10	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	100	0	0	200	20	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-13.1-13. PORTS—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives						
			Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)		
	T	D	Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)			
	Cost (Millions) (1)								
No Action	3	-	186	153	0.20	1.6	0.06	0.09	
Decentralized	37	16	726	751	0.96	8.0	0.31	0.35	
Regionalized-1	11	12	741	765	0.98	8.2	0.31	0.36	
Regionalized-2	7	6	662	685	0.88	7.3	0.28	0.32	
Regionalized-3	7	1	662	685	0.88	7.3	0.28	0.30	
Regionalized-4	4	6	211	218	0.28	2.3	0.09	0.09	
Centralized	1	1	211	218	0.28	2.3	0.09	0.09	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-13.1-14. PORTS—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment		
No Action	3	-	1.3	0.04	2190	0.01	0.16	1893	0.81	0.04	55	2		
Decentralized	37	16	10.6	0.33	18018	0.05	0.56	6727	1.62	0.08	467	20		
Regionalized 1	11	12	12.2	0.38	19863	0.05	0.57	6787	1.84	0.10	484	20		
Regionalized 2	7	6	10.3	0.32	16024	0.04	0.56	6709	1.31	0.07	457	19		
Regionalized 3	7	1	10.3	0.32	16024	0.04	0.56	6709	1.31	0.07	451	19		
Regionalized 4	4	6	2.6	0.08	3664	0.01	0.16	1888	0.37	0.02	113	5		
Centralized	1	1	2.6	0.08	3664	0.01	0.16	1888	0.37	0.02	116	5		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-13.1-15. PORTS—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	3	-	210	8	28	154	19	95	115	0
Decentralized	37	16	822	76	236	465	45	685	0	137
Regionalized-1	11	12	838	82	240	468	48	672	0	166
Regionalized-2	7	6	749	80	224	411	34	749	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	749	80	224	411	34	749	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	240	18	58	153	10	240	0	0
Centralized	1	1	240	18	58	153	10	240	0	0

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.
(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.13.2 PORTS LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at Ports. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	PORTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-13.2-1	13-18
	2.	PORTS—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-13.2-2	13-19
	3.	PORTS—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-13.2-3	13-20
	4.	PORTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-13.2-4	13-21
	5.	PORTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-13.2-5	13-22
	7.	PORTS—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-13.2-6	13-23
	8.	PORTS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-13.2-7	13-24
	9.	PORTS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-13.2-8	13-25
	10.	PORTS—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-13.2-9	13-26
	11.	PORTS—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-13.2-10	13-27
	13.	PORTS—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-13.2-11	13-28
	14.	PORTS—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-13.2-12	13-29
	15.	PORTS—LLW—Cost	II-13.2-13	13-30

Table II-13.2-1. PORTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
			WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
	T	D	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	5.6E-03	2.4E-01	1.7E-09	1.8E-10	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	4.6E-03	1.5E-01	2.4E-10	2.6E-11	1.3E-02	4.3E-01		
Regionalized-1		12	4.6E-03	1.5E-01	2.4E-10	2.6E-11	3.1E-02	4.9E-01		
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.8E-02	7.1E-01	5.3E-05	5.4E-06	2.7E-02	2.9E-01		
Regionalized-3		6	4.6E-03	2.3E-01	1.8E-09	1.8E-10	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.7E-02	7.2E-01	1.9E-02	5.9E-04	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.6E-03	2.3E-01	1.8E-09	1.8E-10	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	4.6E-03	2.3E-01	1.8E-09	1.8E-10	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	4.6E-03	2.3E-01	1.8E-09	1.8E-10	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	4.6E-03	2.3E-01	1.8E-09	1.8E-10	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	4.6E-03	2.3E-01	1.8E-09	1.8E-10	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	3.7E-02	7.2E-01	1.9E-02	5.9E-04	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	3.7E-02	7.2E-01	1.9E-02	5.9E-04	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	4.6E-03	2.3E-01	1.8E-09	1.8E-10	--	--		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-13.2-2. PORTS—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	10**	6	3.3E-06	5.7E-09	3.3E-10	3.5E-07	6.0E-10	3.5E-11	1.4E+01	2.0E-02
Decentralized		16	4.9E-07	8.3E-10	4.9E-11	5.1E-08	8.7E-11	5.1E-12	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04
Regionalized-1		12	4.9E-07	8.3E-10	4.9E-11	5.1E-08	8.7E-11	5.1E-12	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.1E-01	1.8E-04	1.1E-05	1.1E-02	1.8E-05	1.1E-06	6.9E+01	9.6E-02	4.1E-03
Regionalized-3		6	3.5E-06	6.0E-09	3.5E-10	3.7E-07	6.3E-10	3.7E-11	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.8E+01	6.4E-02	3.8E-03	1.2E+00	2.0E-03	1.2E-04	9.2E+01	1.3E-01	5.5E-03
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.5E-06	5.9E-09	3.5E-10	3.7E-07	6.2E-10	3.7E-11	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04
Regionalized-6		2	3.5E-06	6.0E-09	3.5E-10	3.7E-07	6.3E-10	3.7E-11	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04
Regionalized-7		2	3.5E-06	6.0E-09	3.5E-10	3.7E-07	6.3E-10	3.7E-11	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04
Centralized-1		1	3.5E-06	6.0E-09	3.5E-10	3.7E-07	6.3E-10	3.7E-11	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04
Centralized-2		1	3.5E-06	6.0E-09	3.5E-10	3.7E-07	6.3E-10	3.7E-11	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04
Centralized-3	7	1	3.8E+01	6.4E-02	3.8E-03	1.2E+00	2.0E-03	1.2E-04	9.2E+01	1.3E-01	5.5E-03
Centralized-4	7	1	3.8E+01	6.4E-02	3.8E-03	1.2E+00	2.0E-03	1.2E-04	9.2E+01	1.3E-01	5.5E-03
Centralized-5	1	1	3.5E-06	6.0E-09	3.5E-10	3.7E-07	6.2E-10	3.7E-11	1.1E+01	1.6E-02	6.8E-04

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-3. PORTS—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	3.3E+01	4.6E-02	2.0E-03	
Regionalized-1		12	7.8E+01	1.1E-01	4.7E-03	
Regionalized-2	11	12	6.6E+01	9.3E-02	4.0E-03	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-4. PORTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
No Action	10**	6	2.1E-13	9.9E-14	--
Decentralized		16	3.1E-14	1.4E-14	6.1E-08
Regionalized-1		12	3.1E-14	1.4E-14	5.0E-07
Regionalized-2	11	12	6.6E-09	3.1E-09	8.8E-07
Regionalized-3		6	2.2E-13	1.0E-13	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.3E-06	3.4E-07	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	2.2E-13	1.0E-13	--
Regionalized-6		2	2.2E-13	1.0E-13	--
Regionalized-7		2	2.2E-13	1.0E-13	--
Centralized-1		1	2.2E-13	1.0E-13	--
Centralized-2		1	2.2E-13	1.0E-13	--
Centralized-3	7	1	2.3E-06	3.4E-07	--
Centralized-4	7	1	2.3E-06	3.4E-07	--
Centralized-5	1	1	2.2E-13	1.0E-13	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-5. PORTS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI						
	T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability			
No Action	10**	6	4.2E-10	7.2E-13	4.2E-14	2.0E-10	3.4E-13	2.0E-14	2.0E-10	3.4E-13	2.0E-14	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized		16	6.2E-11	1.1E-13	<9.9E-14	2.9E-11	4.9E-14	<9.9E-14	2.9E-11	4.9E-14	<9.9E-14	1.2E-04	2.1E-07	1.2E-08	1.2E-08		
Regionalized-1		12	6.2E-11	1.1E-13	<9.9E-14	2.9E-11	4.9E-14	<9.9E-14	2.9E-11	4.9E-14	<9.9E-14	1.0E-03	1.7E-06	1.0E-07	1.0E-07		
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.3E-05	2.2E-08	1.3E-09	6.1E-06	1.0E-08	6.1E-10	6.1E-06	1.0E-08	6.1E-10	1.7E-03	2.9E-06	1.7E-07	1.7E-07		
Regionalized-3		6	4.4E-10	7.5E-13	4.4E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	4.6E-03	7.9E-06	4.6E-07	6.8E-04	1.2E-06	6.8E-08	6.8E-04	1.2E-06	6.8E-08	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.4E-10	7.5E-13	4.4E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	4.4E-10	7.5E-13	4.4E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	4.4E-10	7.5E-13	4.4E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	4.4E-10	7.5E-13	4.4E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	4.4E-10	7.5E-13	4.4E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	4.6E-03	7.9E-06	4.6E-07	6.8E-04	1.2E-06	6.8E-08	6.8E-04	1.2E-06	6.8E-08	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	4.6E-03	7.9E-06	4.6E-07	6.8E-04	1.2E-06	6.8E-08	6.8E-04	1.2E-06	6.8E-08	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	4.4E-10	7.5E-13	4.4E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	2.1E-10	3.5E-13	2.1E-14	--	--	--	--		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-6. PORTS—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	No Action	10**	6	32 (11/21)	32 (28/4)	0	2 (2/0)	3 (3/0)	6 (3/3)	17 (1/16)	6 (2/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	17 (1/16)	6 (2/4)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	
Decentralized		16	174 (124/50)	335 (325/10)	0	26 (26/0)	31 (31/0)	37 (31/6)	43 (2/41)	15 (5/10)	0	1 (1/0)	0	5 (0/5)	43 (2/41)	15 (5/10)	0	1 (1/0)	0	5 (0/5)		
Regionalized-1		12	219 (169/50)	451 (441/10)	0	35 (35/0)	42 (42/0)	49 (43/6)	32 (3/29)	13 (6/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	4 (0/4)	32 (3/29)	13 (6/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	4 (0/4)		
Regionalized-2	11	12	245 (155/90)	422 (404/18)	0	32 (32/0)	38 (38/0)	50 (39/11)	86 (3/83)	24 (6/18)	0	2 (2/0)	0	10 (0/10)	86 (3/83)	24 (6/18)	0	2 (2/0)	0	10 (0/10)		
Regionalized-3		6	17 (6/11)	16 (14/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-4	7	6	89 (27/62)	83 (71/12)	0	6 (6/0)	7 (7/0)	14 (7/7)	58 (0/58)	17 (2/15)	0	1 (1/0)	0	7 (0/7)	58 (0/58)	17 (2/15)	0	1 (1/0)	0	7 (0/7)		
Regionalized-5	4	6	17 (6/11)	16 (14/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-6	2	2	17 (6/11)	16 (14/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Regionalized-7	2	2	17 (6/11)	16 (14/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Centralized-1	1	1	17 (6/11)	16 (14/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Centralized-2	1	1	17 (6/11)	16 (14/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		
Centralized-3	7	1	89 (27/62)	83 (71/12)	0	6 (6/0)	7 (7/0)	14 (7/7)	58 (0/58)	17 (2/15)	0	1	0	7 (0/7)	58 (0/58)	17 (2/15)	0	1	0	7 (0/7)		
Centralized-4	7	1	89 (27/62)	83 (71/12)	0	6 (6/0)	7 (7/0)	14 (7/7)	58 (0/58)	17 (2/15)	0	1	0	7 (0/7)	58 (0/58)	17 (2/15)	0	1	0	7 (0/7)		
Centralized-5	1	1	17 (6/11)	16 (14/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	12 (0/12)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-7. PORTS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction							
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)							
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Decentralized		16	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-1		12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-2	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-3		6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-4		6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-5	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-6		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-7		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-1		1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-2		1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-4	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-5	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance														
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)				Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)										
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	10**	6	1	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized		16	2	11	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	3	16	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	3	16	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4		6	0	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NOTES:
 1 = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCH = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) Ports is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCH do not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCH tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all but the Regionalized-2 and -4, and Centralized-3 and -4 Alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-8. PORTS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead	
No Action	10**	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Decentralized	16	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-1	12	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-3	6	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-4	7	6	5	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-6	2	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-7	2	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-1	1	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-2	1	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-3	7	1	5	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-4	7	1	5	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-5	1	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	10**	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Decentralized	16	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-1	12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-2	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-3	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-4	7	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-5	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-6	2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-7	2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-2	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-4	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-5	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-9. PORTS—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	8079	0.1	--	22144	0.2	--	<0.1	
Decentralized		16	130210	0.9	--	4659	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-1		12	178908	1.3	--	4780	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	11	12	157092	1.1	--	11265	0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-3		6	3997	<0.1	--	1094	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	7	6	20383	0.1	--	7814	0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-5	4	6	3997	<0.1	--	1094	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-6		2	3997	<0.1	--	1094	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-7		2	3997	<0.1	--	1094	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-1		1	3997	<0.1	--	1094	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-2		1	3997	<0.1	--	1094	<0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-3	7	1	20383	0.1	--	7814	0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-4	7	1	20383	0.1	--	7814	0.1	--	<0.1	
Centralized-5	1	1	3997	<0.1	--	1094	<0.1	--	<0.1	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Water supplied by groundwater in the Alluvial Aquifer. Current water use = 14,000,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to the Scioto River. Average flow rate of the Scioto River = 3,036,000,000 gallons/day.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.
-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-13.2-10. PORTS—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	6	210	40	231	226	161	79	90	99	--	227	228	229	230	232	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-13.2-11. PORTS—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	474	392	0.50	4.2	0.16	0.20
Decentralized		16	1079	1115	1.43	11.9	0.46	0.24
Regionalized-1		12	1228	1269	1.63	13.5	0.52	0.23
Regionalized-2	11	12	1269	1312	1.69	14.0	0.54	0.62
Regionalized-3		6	149	154	0.20	1.6	0.06	0.08
Regionalized-4	7	6	860	888	1.14	9.5	0.37	0.42
Regionalized-5		6	149	154	0.20	1.6	0.06	0.08
Regionalized-6		2	149	154	0.20	1.6	0.06	0.08
Regionalized-7		2	149	154	0.20	1.6	0.06	0.08
Centralized-1		1	149	154	0.20	1.6	0.06	0.08
Centralized-2		1	149	154	0.20	1.6	0.06	0.08
Centralized-3	7	1	860	888	1.14	9.5	0.37	0.42
Centralized-4	7	1	860	888	1.14	9.5	0.37	0.42
Centralized-5	1	1	149	154	0.20	1.6	0.06	0.08

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-12. PORTS--LLW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
			Acres Required	% of Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	23.0	0.72	22144	0.06	1.85	22144	0.09	5.31	0.28	164	7	
Decentralized		16	16.6	0.52	130210	0.35	0.39	4659	0.66	19.48	1.01	387	16	
Regionalized-1		12	43.5	1.40	178908	0.48	0.40	4780	0.09	26.84	1.39	387	16	
Regionalized-2	11	12	44.7	1.40	157092	0.42	0.94	11265	0.66	23.02	1.19	696	29	
Regionalized-3		6	2.0	0.06	3997	0.01	0.09	1094	0.66	0.44	0.02	85	4	
Regionalized-4	7	6	10.1	0.32	20383	0.06	0.66	7814	0.66	2.82	0.15	483	20	
Regionalized-5	4	6	2.0	0.06	3997	0.01	0.09	1094	0.66	0.44	0.02	85	4	
Regionalized-6		2	2.0	0.06	3997	0.01	0.09	1094	0.66	0.44	0.02	85	4	
Regionalized-7		2	2.0	0.06	3997	0.01	0.09	1094	0.66	0.44	0.02	85	4	
Centralized-1		1	2.0	0.06	3997	0.01	0.09	1094	0.66	0.44	0.02	85	4	
Centralized-2		1	2.0	0.06	3997	0.01	0.09	1094	0.66	0.44	0.02	85	4	
Centralized-3	7	1	10.1	0.32	20383	0.06	0.66	7814	0.66	2.82	0.15	482	20	
Centralized-4	7	1	10.1	0.32	20383	0.06	0.66	7814	0.66	2.82	0.15	482	20	
Centralized-5	1	1	2.0	0.06	3997	0.01	0.09	1094	0.66	0.44	0.02	85	4	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1990 Site Employment.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-13.2-13. PORTS—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)			Cost by Functional Area (1)			
				Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	536	26	79	403	28	536	0	0
Decentralized		16	1221	77	491	513	141	169	0	1052
Regionalized-1		12	1389	119	533	555	183	169	0	1221
Regionalized-2	11	12	1436	130	307	873	125	914	0	522
Regionalized-3		6	169	15	38	106	11	169	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	973	98	204	610	60	973	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	169	15	38	106	11	169	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	169	15	38	106	11	169	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	169	15	38	106	11	169	0	0
Centralized-1		1	169	15	38	106	11	169	0	0
Centralized-2		1	169	15	38	106	11	169	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	973	98	204	610	60	973	0	0
Centralized-4		7	973	98	204	610	60	973	0	0
Centralized-5		1	169	15	38	106	11	169	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost. In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.14.0 RFETS

RFETS currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, and TRUW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

14.1 RFETS LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at RFETS. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	RFETS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-14.1-1	14-2
	2.	RFETS—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-14.1-2	14-3
	3.	RFETS—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-14.1-3	14-4
	4.	RFETS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-14.1-4	14-5
	5.	RFETS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-14.1-5	14-6
	6.	RFETS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-14.1-6	14-7
	7.	RFETS—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-14.1-7	14-8
	8.	RFETS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-14.1-8	14-9
	9.	RFETS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-14.1-9	14-10
	10.	RFETS—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-14.1-10	14-11
	11.	RFETS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-14.1-11	14-12
	12.	RFETS—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-14.1-12	14-13
	13.	RFETS—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-14.1-13	14-14
	14.	RFETS—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-14.1-14	14-15
	15.	RFETS—LLMW—Cost	II-14.1-15	14-16

Table II-14.1-1. RFETS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
			WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure		WM Worker		
	T	D	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	
No Action	3	-	1.8E-03	2.3E-01	1.3E-02	6.7E-04	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1.0E-03	6.2E-01	8.8E-05	4.5E-06	2.3E-03	6.9E-02	2.3E-03	6.9E-02
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.0E-03	6.2E-01	8.8E-05	4.5E-06	2.3E-03	6.9E-02	2.3E-03	6.9E-02
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.2E-03	6.2E-01	8.9E-05	4.5E-06	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.2E-03	6.2E-01	8.9E-05	4.5E-06	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	9.9E-04	2.1E-01	6.3E-06	3.2E-07	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	9.9E-04	2.1E-01	6.3E-06	3.2E-07	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-14.1-2. RFETS--LLMW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population						Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker						
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)		Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Dose (person-rem)		Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		
			2.6E+01	1.8E-01	4.5E-02	3.0E-04	1.0E-03	2.6E-03	2.6E-03	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05
No Action	3	-	2.6E+01	1.8E-01	4.5E-02	3.0E-04	1.0E-03	2.6E-03	2.6E-03	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05
Decentralized	37	16	1.8E-01	3.0E-04	3.0E-04	3.0E-04	5.0E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.8E-01	3.0E-04	3.0E-04	3.0E-04	5.0E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.8E-01	3.0E-04	3.0E-04	3.0E-04	5.0E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.8E-01	3.0E-04	3.0E-04	3.0E-04	5.0E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.3E-02	2.1E-05	2.1E-05	2.1E-05	2.4E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06
Centralized	1	1	1.3E-02	2.1E-05	2.1E-05	2.1E-05	2.4E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06	1.3E-06

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-14.1-3. RFETS—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	5.8E+00	8.2E-03	3.5E-04	
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.8E+00	8.2E-03	3.5E-04	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-14.1-4. RFETS--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	3	-	1.8E-07	4.0E-07	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1.2E-09	2.7E-09	3.9E-07	3.9E-07
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.2E-09	2.7E-09	3.9E-07	3.9E-07
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.2E-09	2.7E-09	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.2E-09	2.7E-09	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	8.5E-11	1.9E-10	--	--
Centralized	1	1	8.5E-11	1.9E-10	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-14.1-5. RFETS--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	3.6E-04	6.1E-07	8.0E-09	3.6E-08	8.0E-04	1.4E-06	1.0E-07	8.0E-08	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	2.4E-06	4.0E-09	4.0E-10	2.4E-10	5.4E-06	9.1E-09	5.1E-09	5.4E-10	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	2.8E-04	7.8E-08	7.8E-08	2.8E-04	7.8E-08	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.4E-06	4.0E-09	4.0E-10	2.4E-10	5.4E-06	9.1E-09	5.1E-09	5.4E-10	7.8E-04	1.3E-06	2.8E-04	7.8E-08	7.8E-08	2.8E-04	7.8E-08	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.4E-06	4.1E-09	2.1E-10	2.4E-10	5.4E-06	9.2E-09	2.7E-09	5.4E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.4E-06	4.1E-09	2.1E-10	2.4E-10	5.4E-06	9.2E-09	2.7E-09	5.4E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.7E-07	2.9E-10	1.9E-11	1.7E-11	3.8E-07	6.5E-10	2.4E-10	3.8E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	1.7E-07	2.9E-10	1.9E-11	1.7E-11	3.8E-07	6.5E-10	2.4E-10	3.8E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-14.1-6. RFETS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index	
No Action	3	-	9.1E-07	1.2E-05	1.7E-05	--
Decentralized	37	16	1.2E-07	1.5E-06	3.4E-04	3.0E+00
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.2E-07	1.5E-06	3.4E-04	3.0E+00
Regionalized-2	7	6	7.4E-08	9.5E-07	3.4E-04	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.4E-08	9.5E-07	3.4E-04	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	4.0E-09	5.1E-08	2.7E-05	--
Centralized	1	1	4.0E-09	5.1E-08	2.7E-05	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-14.1-7. RFETS—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	No Action	3	-	95 (31/64)	94 (81/13)	0	6 (6/0)	8 (8/0)	16 (8/8)	23 (1/22)	6 (2/4)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)							
Decentralized	37	16	169 (33/136)	114 (87/27)	0	7 (7/0)	8 (8/0)	24 (8/16)	82 (2/80)	25 (9/16)	0	5 (5/0)	0	10 (0/10)								
Regionalized-1	11	12	169 (33/136)	114 (87/27)	0	7 (7/0)	8 (8/0)	24 (8/16)	82 (2/80)	25 (9/16)	0	5 (5/0)	0	10 (0/10)								
Regionalized-2	7	6	107 (5/102)	32 (12/20)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	13 (1/12)	53 (1/52)	17 (6/11)	0	5 (5/0)	0	6 (0/6)								
Regionalized-3	7	1	107 (5/102)	32 (12/20)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	13 (1/12)	53 (1/52)	17 (6/11)	0	5 (5/0)	0	6 (0/6)								
Regionalized-4	4	6	47 (2/45)	16 (7/9)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	6 (1/5)	24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)								
Centralized	1	1	47 (2/45)	16 (7/9)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	6 (1/5)	24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)								

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-14.1-8. RFETS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction										Operations & Maintenance																
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)										Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)										Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO (6)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (6)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC									
No Action	3	-	95 (31/64)	94 (81/13)	--	7 (7/0)	--	31 (16/15)				23 (1/22)	7 (3/4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 (1/5)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	169 (33/136)	114 (87/27)	--	7 (7/0)	--	50 (17/33)				81 (1/80)	25 (9/16)	0	5 (5/0)	1	20 (1/19)	0	0	0	20 (1/19)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	169 (33/136)	114 (87/27)	--	7 (7/0)	--	50 (17/33)				81 (1/80)	25 (9/16)	0	5 (5/0)	1	20 (1/19)	0	0	0	20 (1/19)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	107 (5/102)	32 (12/20)	--	1 (1/0)	--	27 (2/25)				53 (1/52)	17 (6/11)	0	5 (5/0)	1	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	107 (5/102)	32 (12/20)	--	1 (1/0)	--	27 (2/25)				53 (1/52)	17 (6/11)	0	5 (5/0)	1	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	48 (3/45)	16 (7/9)	--	1 (1/0)	--	12 (1/11)				24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	6 (0/6)	--	--	--	6 (0/6)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	48 (3/45)	16 (7/9)	--	1 (1/0)	--	12 (1/11)				24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	6 (0/6)	--	--	--	6 (0/6)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicles emission).
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for the No Action, Regionalized-4, and Centralized Alternatives.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
 (6) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emission / % of transportation emission).

Table II-14.1-9. RFETS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
			0	0	0	--	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
			--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--
No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-14.1-10. REETS—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	3	-	75070	27.6	--	5199	1.9	--	<0.1
Decentralized	37	16	89025	32.7	--	11099	4.1	--	<0.1
Regionalized-1	11	12	89025	32.7	--	11099	4.1	--	<0.1
Regionalized-2	7	6	20720	7.6	--	9238	3.4	--	<0.1
Regionalized-3	7	1	20720	7.6	--	9238	3.4	--	<0.1
Regionalized-4	4	6	7271	2.7	--	3478	1.3	--	<0.1
Centralized	1	1	7271	2.7	--	3478	1.3	--	<0.1

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by municipal water from the Denver Water Board.
 Current water use = 272,000 gallons/day
 Wastewater discharged to Walnut Creek. Average flow rate of Walnut Creek = 142,000,000 gallons/day.
 -- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-14.1-11. RFETS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac 225	Ac 227	Am 241	Am 242	Am 243	C 14	Cs 135	Cs 137	Cm 242	Cm 244	Cm 245	I 129	Pb 210	Np 237	Ni 59	Ni 63	Pd 107	Pu 238	Pu 239	Pu 240	Pu 241
	T	D																					
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po 210	K 40	Pa 231	Ra 223	Ra 226	Sm 151	Se 79	Sr 90	Tc 99	Th 227	Th 228	Th 229	Th 230	Th 232	Sn 126	U 233	U 234	U 235	U 236	U 238	Y 90	Zr 93
	T	D																						
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-14.1-12. RFETS—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	3	0	20	0	100	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	3	0	20	0	100	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	200	0	0	400	10	1	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	200	0	0	400	10	1	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 "--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-14.1-13. RFETS—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
	No Action	3		-	912	765	0.06	
Decentralized	37	16	1614	1693	0.14	19.0	0.05	0.07
Regionalized-1	11	12	1614	1693	0.14	19.0	0.05	0.07
Regionalized-2	7	6	1091	1144	0.10	12.9	0.03	0.05
Regionalized-3	7	1	1091	1144	0.10	12.9	0.03	0.05
Regionalized-4	4	6	554	581	0.05	6.5	0.02	0.02
Centralized	1	1	554	581	0.05	6.5	0.02	0.02

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-14.1-14. RFETS--LLMW--Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
			Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment		
No Action	3	-	25.9	0.45	75070	7.51	5199	1.04	10.75	31.17	497	7				
Decentralized	37	16	32.9	0.57	89025	8.90	11099	2.22	11.49	33.31	1055	14				
Regionalized 1	11	12	32.9	0.57	89025	8.90	11099	2.22	11.49	33.31	1055	14				
Regionalized 2	7	6	13.1	0.23	20720	2.07	9238	1.85	1.60	4.65	778	11				
Regionalized 3	7	1	13.1	0.23	20720	2.07	9238	1.85	1.60	4.65	778	11				
Regionalized 4	4	6	6.2	0.11	7271	0.73	3478	0.70	0.86	2.50	347	5				
Centralized	1	1	6.2	0.11	7271	0.73	3478	0.70	0.86	2.50	347	5				

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-14.1-15. RFETS—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	3	-	1032	56	271	467	238	537	494	0
Decentralized	37	16	1826	117	587	808	314	1234	0	591
Regionalized-1	11	12	1826	117	587	808	314	1234	0	591
Regionalized-2	7	6	1236	99	434	661	42	1236	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	1236	99	434	661	42	1236	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	627	43	190	368	26	627	0	0
Centralized	1	1	627	43	190	368	26	627	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost. (1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.14.2 RFETS LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at RFETS. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	RFETS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-14.2-1	14-18
2.	RFETS—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-14.2-2	14-19
3.	RFETS—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-14.2-3	14-20
4.	RFETS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-14.2-4	14-21
5.	RFETS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-14.2-5	14-22
7.	RFETS—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-14.2-6	14-23
8.	RFETS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-14.2-7	14-24
9.	RFETS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-14.2-8	14-25
10.	RFETS—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-14.2-9	14-26
11.	RFETS—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-14.2-10	14-27
13.	RFETS—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-14.2-11	14-28
14.	RFETS—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-14.2-12	14-29
15.	RFETS—LLW—Cost	II-14.2-13	14-30

Table II-14.2-1. RFETS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	1.9E-03	1.2E-01	1.2E-07	6.2E-09	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	1.1E-03	7.0E-02	3.6E-07	1.8E-08	2.8E-03	1.4E-01		
Regionalized-1		12	1.1E-03	7.0E-02	3.6E-07	1.8E-08	2.8E-03	1.4E-01		
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.1E-03	2.2E-01	1.9E-04	9.5E-06	1.6E-03	6.6E-02		
Regionalized-3		6	1.2E-03	8.0E-02	4.0E-07	2.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.1E-03	2.2E-01	1.9E-04	9.5E-06	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.2E-03	7.9E-02	4.0E-07	2.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	1.2E-03	8.0E-02	4.0E-07	2.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	1.2E-03	8.0E-02	4.0E-07	2.0E-08	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	1.2E-03	8.0E-02	4.0E-07	2.0E-08	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	1.2E-03	8.0E-02	4.0E-07	2.0E-08	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	1.1E-03	2.2E-01	1.9E-04	9.5E-06	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	1.1E-03	2.2E-01	1.9E-04	9.5E-06	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	1.2E-03	7.9E-02	4.0E-07	2.0E-08	--	--		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-14.2-2. RFETS—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	2.4E-04	4.1E-07	2.4E-08	1.2E-05	2.1E-08	1.2E-09	4.6E+00	6.5E-03	2.8E-04
Decentralized		16	7.1E-04	1.2E-06	7.1E-08	3.7E-05	6.2E-08	3.7E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Regionalized-1		12	7.1E-04	1.2E-06	7.1E-08	3.7E-05	6.2E-08	3.7E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.7E-01	6.3E-04	3.7E-05	1.9E-02	3.2E-05	1.9E-06	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Regionalized-3		6	8.0E-04	1.4E-06	8.0E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	4.1E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.7E-01	6.3E-04	3.7E-05	1.9E-02	3.2E-05	1.9E-06	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Regionalized-5	4	6	8.0E-04	1.4E-06	8.0E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	4.1E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Regionalized-6		2	8.0E-04	1.4E-06	8.0E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	4.1E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Regionalized-7		2	8.0E-04	1.4E-06	8.0E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	4.1E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Centralized-1		1	8.0E-04	1.4E-06	8.0E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	4.1E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Centralized-2		1	8.0E-04	1.4E-06	8.0E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	4.1E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Centralized-3	7	1	3.7E-01	6.3E-04	3.7E-05	1.9E-02	3.2E-05	1.9E-06	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Centralized-4	7	1	3.7E-01	6.3E-04	3.7E-05	1.9E-02	3.2E-05	1.9E-06	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04
Centralized-5	1	1	8.0E-04	1.4E-06	8.0E-08	4.1E-05	6.9E-08	4.1E-09	2.9E+00	4.0E-03	1.7E-04

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-3. RFETS—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	7.1E+00	9.9E-03	4.3E-04	
Regionalized-1		12	7.1E+00	9.9E-03	4.3E-04	
Regionalized-2	11	12	4.0E+00	5.6E-03	2.4E-04	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-4. RFETS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	10**	6	1.6E-12	3.7E-12		--
Decentralized		16	4.9E-12	1.1E-11		2.7E-08
Regionalized-1		12	4.9E-12	1.1E-11		2.7E-08
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.5E-09	5.7E-09		8.1E-08
Regionalized-3		6	5.4E-12	1.2E-11		--
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.5E-09	5.7E-09		--
Regionalized-5	4	6	5.4E-12	1.2E-11		--
Regionalized-6		2	5.4E-12	1.2E-11		--
Regionalized-7		2	5.4E-12	1.2E-11		--
Centralized-1		1	5.4E-12	1.2E-11		--
Centralized-2		1	5.4E-12	1.2E-11		--
Centralized-3	7	1	2.5E-09	5.7E-09		--
Centralized-4	7	1	2.5E-09	5.7E-09		--
Centralized-5	1	1	5.4E-12	1.2E-11		--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-5. RFETS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI						Noninvolved Worker MEI						Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI		
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability			
No Action	10**	6	3.3E-09	5.6E-12	3.3E-13	7.4E-09	1.3E-11	7.4E-13	7.4E-09	1.3E-11	7.4E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	9.7E-09	1.7E-11	9.7E-13	2.2E-08	3.7E-11	2.2E-12	2.2E-08	3.7E-11	2.2E-12	5.4E-05	9.2E-08	5.4E-05	9.2E-08	5.4E-05	9.2E-08
Regionalized-1		12	9.7E-09	1.7E-11	9.7E-13	2.2E-08	3.7E-11	2.2E-12	2.2E-08	3.7E-11	2.2E-12	5.4E-05	9.2E-08	5.4E-05	9.2E-08	5.4E-05	9.2E-08
Regionalized-2		11	5.1E-06	8.6E-09	5.1E-10	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	1.6E-04	2.8E-07	1.6E-04	2.8E-07	1.6E-04	2.8E-07
Regionalized-3		6	1.1E-08	1.8E-11	1.1E-12	2.4E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	2.4E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4		7	5.1E-06	8.6E-09	5.1E-10	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5		4	1.1E-08	1.8E-11	1.1E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		6	1.1E-08	1.8E-11	1.1E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	1.1E-08	1.8E-11	1.1E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		2	1.1E-08	1.8E-11	1.1E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	1.1E-08	1.8E-11	1.1E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		1	1.1E-08	1.8E-11	1.1E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4		7	5.1E-06	8.6E-09	5.1E-10	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		7	5.1E-06	8.6E-09	5.1E-10	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		1	1.1E-08	1.8E-11	1.1E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.2E-11	2.5E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-6. RFETS—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	10**	6	12 (4/8)	12 (10/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	7 (0/7)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
No Action		6	12 (4/8)	12 (10/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	7 (0/7)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Decentralized		16	28 (16/12)	45 (43/2)	0	3 (3/0)	4 (4/0)	5 (4/1)	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	5 (0/5)		
Regionalized-1		12	28 (16/12)	45 (43/2)	0	3 (3/0)	4 (4/0)	5 (4/1)	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	5 (0/5)		
Regionalized-2	11	12	38 (28/10)	76 (74/2)	0	6 (6/0)	7 (7/0)	8 (7/1)	56 (0/55)	13 (2/11)	0	1 (1/0)	0	56 (0/55)	13 (2/11)	0	1 (1/0)	0	0	7 (0/7)		
Regionalized-3		6	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-4	7	6	26 (21/5)	55 (54/1)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	6 (5/1)	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	0	4 (0/4)		
Regionalized-5	4	6	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-6		2	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Regionalized-7		2	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Centralized-1		1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Centralized-2		1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		
Centralized-3	7	1	26 (21/5)	55 (54/1)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	6 (5/1)	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	0	4 (0/4)		
Centralized-4	7	1	26 (21/5)	55 (54/1)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	6 (5/1)	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	0	4 (0/4)		
Centralized-5	1	1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-7. RFETS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites				Construction									
	T		D		Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)					Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)				
	T	D	CO	NO ₂	Pb	PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC	CO (6)	NO ₂ (5)	Pb (4)	PM ₁₀ (6)	SO ₂ (4)	VOC (6)
No Action	10**	8	12 (4/8)	12 (10/2)	--	1 (1/0)	--	4 (2/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	28 (16/12)	45 (43/2)	--	3 (3/0)	--	11 (6/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	28 (16/12)	45 (43/2)	--	3 (3/0)	--	11 (6/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2		12	38 (28/10)	78 (74/2)	--	6 (6/0)	--	16 (14/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	--	0	--	4 (1/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4		7	26 (21/5)	55 (54/1)	--	4 (4/0)	--	11 (10/1)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5		4	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	--	0	--	4 (1/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	--	0	--	4 (1/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	--	0	--	4 (1/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	--	0	--	4 (1/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	--	0	--	4 (1/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		7	26 (21/5)	55 (54/1)	--	4 (4/0)	--	11 (10/1)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4		7	26 (21/5)	55 (54/1)	--	4 (4/0)	--	11 (10/1)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5		1	15 (1/14)	6 (3/3)	--	0	--	4 (1/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites				Operations & Maintenance									
	T		D		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)				
	T	D	CO (6)	NO ₂ (5)	Pb (4)	PM ₁₀ (6)	SO ₂ (4)	VOC (6)	CO	NO ₂	Pb	PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC
No Action	10**	8	7 (0/7)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	39 (0/39)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	9 (0/9)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	28 (0/28)	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	9 (0/9)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2		12	35 (0/35)	12 (1/11)	0	1 (1/0)	0	13 (0/13)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4		7	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5		4	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3		7	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4		7	33 (0/33)	8 (1/7)	0	1 (1/0)	0	8 (0/8)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5		1	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM₁₀ = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO₂ = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
(1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicle emissions)
(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all but the Regionalized-2 and -4, and Centralized-3 and -4 Alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
(5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
(6) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-8. RFETS--LLW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	10**	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized		16	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-1		12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3		6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-6		2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-7		2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-1	1	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-2	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-3	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-4	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-5	1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	10**	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized		16	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-1		12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3		6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	7	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-5	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-6		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-7		2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-2	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-4	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-5	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-9. RFETS—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	10**	6	2490	0.9	--	914	0.3	--	<0.1
Decentralized		16	12497	4.6	--	5080	1.9	--	<0.1
Regionalized-1		12	12497	4.6	--	5080	1.9	--	<0.1
Regionalized-2	11	12	17980	6.6	--	11997	4.4	--	<0.1
Regionalized-3		6	4025	1.5	--	1478	0.5	--	<0.1
Regionalized-4	7	6	11527	4.2	--	9106	3.3	--	<0.1
Regionalized-5	4	6	4025	1.5	--	1478	0.5	--	<0.1
Regionalized-6		2	4025	1.5	--	1478	0.5	--	<0.1
Regionalized-7		2	4025	1.5	--	1478	0.5	--	<0.1
Centralized-1		1	4025	1.5	--	1478	0.5	--	<0.1
Centralized-2		1	4025	1.5	--	1478	0.5	--	<0.1
Centralized-3	7	1	11527	4.2	--	9106	3.3	--	<0.1
Centralized-4	7	1	11527	4.2	--	9106	3.3	--	<0.1
Centralized-5	1	1	4025	1.5	--	1478	0.5	--	<0.1

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Water supplied by municipal water from the Denver Water Board. Current water use = 272,000 gallons/day.

Wastewater discharged to Walnut Creek. Average flow rate of Walnut Creek = 142,000,000 gallons/day.

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

-- = Wastewater discharged to evaporation ponds.

<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-14.2-11. RFETS—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	219	184	0.02	2.1	0.01	0.01
Decentralized		16	623	654	0.05	7.4	0.02	0.03
Regionalized-1		12	623	654	0.05	7.4	0.02	0.03
Regionalized-2	11	12	923	968	0.08	10.9	0.03	0.05
Regionalized-3		6	201	211	0.02	2.4	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-4	7	6	610	640	0.05	7.2	0.02	0.03
Regionalized-5		6	201	211	0.02	2.4	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-6		2	201	211	0.02	2.4	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-7		2	201	211	0.02	2.4	0.01	0.01
Centralized-1		1	201	211	0.02	2.4	0.01	0.01
Centralized-2		1	201	211	0.02	2.4	0.01	0.01
Centralized-3	7	1	610	640	0.05	7.2	0.02	0.03
Centralized-4	7	1	610	640	0.05	7.2	0.02	0.03
Centralized-5	1	1	201	211	0.02	2.4	0.01	0.01

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-12. RFETS—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
			Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action	10**	6	1.1	0.02	2490	0.25	914	0.18	0.20	0.58	64	1				
Decentralized		16	7.1	0.12	12496	1.25	5080	1.02	1.43	4.16	258	4				
Regionalized-1		12	7.1	0.12	12496	1.25	5080	1.02	1.43	4.16	258	4				
Regionalized-2		11	11.4	0.20	17980	1.84	11997	1.63	1.67	4.85	558	8				
Regionalized-3		6	2.9	0.05	4025	0.40	1478	0.30	0.41	1.19	110	1				
Regionalized-4		7	2.5	0.04	11527	1.15	9106	1.82	1.00	2.88	442	6				
Regionalized-5		4	2.9	0.04	4025	0.33	1478	0.30	0.41	0.96	110	1				
Regionalized-6		2	2.9	0.05	4025	0.40	1478	0.30	0.41	1.19	110	1				
Regionalized-7		2	2.9	0.05	4025	0.40	1478	0.30	0.41	1.19	110	1				
Centralized-1		1	2.9	0.05	4025	0.40	1478	0.30	0.41	1.19	110	1				
Centralized-2		1	2.9	0.05	4025	0.40	1478	0.30	0.41	1.19	110	1				
Centralized-3		7	2.5	0.04	11527	1.15	9106	1.82	1.00	2.88	442	7				
Centralized-4		7	2.5	0.04	11527	1.15	9106	1.82	1.00	2.88	442	7				
Centralized-5		1	2.9	0.04	4025	0.33	1478	0.30	0.41	0.96	110	1				

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1990 Site Employment.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-14.2-13. RFETS—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	248	10	32	194	12	248	0	0
Decentralized		16	705	61	96	452	96	190	0	515
Regionalized-1		12	705	61	96	452	96	190	0	515
Regionalized-2	11	12	1044	100	260	588	96	690	0	354
Regionalized-3		6	228	18	54	141	15	228	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	690	67	225	361	37	690	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	228	18	54	141	15	228	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	228	18	54	141	15	228	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	228	18	54	141	15	228	0	0
Centralized-1		1	228	18	54	141	15	228	0	0
Centralized-2		1	228	18	54	141	15	228	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	690	67	225	361	37	690	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	690	67	225	361	37	690	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	228	18	54	141	15	228	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.14.3 RFETS TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at RFETS. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-14.3-1	14-32
2.	RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-14.3-2	14-33
4.	RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-14.3-3	14-34
5.	RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-14.3-4	14-35
6.	RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-14.3-5	14-36
7.	RFETS—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-14.3-6	14-37
8.	RFETS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-14.3-7	14-38
9.	RFETS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-14.3-8	14-39
10.	RFETS—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-14.3-9	14-40
13.	RFETS—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-14.3-10	14-41
14.	RFETS—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-14.3-11	14-42
15.	RFETS—TRUW—Cost	II-14.3-12	14-43

Table II-14.3-1. RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment			Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure
	CH Treat	RH Treat		WM Worker Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	8.2E-05	7.3E-02	3.0E-06	1.5E-07
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	7.7E-03	2.1E-01	9.3E-06	4.7E-07
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	7.7E-03	3.3E-01	1.5E-05	7.6E-07
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	7.3E-03	5.6E-01	1.1E-01	5.6E-03
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	3.0E-02	1.3E-01	1.2E-05	6.1E-07
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3.0E-02	1.3E-01	1.2E-05	6.1E-07

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-14.3-2. RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Workers			
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action**	16	5	6.0E-03	1.0E-05	1.0E-10	6.0E-07	3.1E-04	5.2E-07	2.1E-11	3.1E-08	2.1E-01	2.9E-04	4.0E-09	1.2E-05
Decentralized**	16	5	1.9E-02	3.1E-05	4.8E-10	1.9E-06	9.4E-04	1.6E-06	9.6E-11	9.4E-08	1.9E+01	2.7E-02	6.8E-09	1.2E-03
Regionalized-1	5	2	3.0E-02	5.1E-05	6.3E-10	3.0E-06	1.5E-03	2.6E-06	1.3E-10	1.5E-07	1.9E+01	2.7E-02	2.1E-08	1.2E-03
Regionalized-2	5	2	2.2E+02	3.7E-01	8.4E-10	2.2E-02	1.1E+01	1.9E-02	1.7E-10	1.1E-03	1.8E+01	2.5E-02	6.2E-08	1.1E-03
Regionalized-3	3	2	2.4E-02	4.1E-05	1.1E-09	2.4E-06	1.2E-03	2.1E-06	2.3E-10	1.2E-07	7.4E+01	1.0E-01	2.6E-08	4.4E-03
Centralized	WIPP	2	2.4E-02	4.1E-05	1.1E-09	2.4E-06	1.2E-03	2.1E-06	2.3E-10	1.2E-07	7.4E+01	1.0E-01	2.6E-08	4.4E-03

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

Table II-14.3-3. RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	No Action**	16		5	WIPP WAC
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.3E-10	2.8E-10
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.0E-10	4.6E-10
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.5E-06	3.3E-06
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.6E-10	3.7E-10
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.6E-10	3.7E-10

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 ***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-14.3-4. RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment							
				Offsite Population MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI				
				Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	8.2E-08	1.4E-10	<9.9E-14	8.2E-12	1.8E-07	3.1E-10	1.1E-14	1.8E-11
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.5E-07	4.3E-10	<9.9E-14	2.5E-11	5.7E-07	9.6E-10	4.9E-14	5.7E-11
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	4.0E-07	6.9E-10	<9.9E-14	4.0E-11	9.1E-07	1.6E-09	6.5E-14	9.1E-11
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	3.0E-08	5.0E-06	<9.9E-14	3.0E-07	6.7E-03	1.1E-05	8.5E-14	6.7E-07
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	3.3E-07	5.6E-10	<9.9E-14	3.3E-11	7.4E-07	1.3E-09	1.2E-13	7.4E-11
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3.3E-07	5.6E-10	<9.9E-14	3.3E-11	7.4E-07	1.3E-09	1.2E-13	7.4E-11

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-14.3-5. RFETS—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.1E-11	2.7E-10	5.3E-07
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.1E-10	1.4E-09	1.2E-06
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.0E-10	2.5E-09	1.2E-06
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1.2E-09	1.5E-08	2.6E-05
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.5E-10	1.9E-09	2.3E-06
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.5E-10	1.9E-09	2.3E-06

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-14.3-7. RFETS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	Construction					
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year					
				General Conformity Rule (1)					
	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	19 (3/16)	11 (3/3)	1 (1/0)	--	6 (2/4)	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	20 (3/17)	11 (8/3)	1 (1/0)	--	6 (2/4)	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	29 (4/25)	15 (10/5)	1 (1/0)	--	8 (2/6)	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	7 (1/6)	4 (3/1)	0	--	2 (1/1)	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	7 (1/6)	4 (3/1)	0	--	2 (1/1)	

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	Operations & Maintenance											
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year						Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
				Standard or Guideline (2)						Concentration (3)					
	CO (6)	NO2 (5)	Pb (4)	PM10 (6)	SO2 (4)	VOC (5)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC			
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	17 (0/17)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	4 (0/4)	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	20 (0/20)	4 (0/4)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	24 (0/24)	5 (0/5)	0	0	1	6 (0/6)	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--		
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	--	--	--	--		

Notes:

- Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
- CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
- VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
- (1) GCR only applies to pollutants in nonattainment.
- (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
- (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for alternatives that do not involve treatment to LDR (incineration) are assumed to be negligible.
- (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
- (5) Nonattainment area for ozone. Pollutant is an ozone precursor, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
- (6) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

Table II-14.3-8. RFETS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Total Radionuclides	Operations & Maintenance											
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead	
																WIPP
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	3	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat STD	Operations & Maintenance												
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride				
													WIPP	WIPP - WAC	WIPP - WAC	Reduce Gas
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-14.3-9. RFETS—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations			Waste Water % Stream Flow		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow			
											16	5
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<0.1
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	5827	2.1	--	3229	1.2	--	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	6029	2.2	--	3985	1.5	--	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	8223	3.0	--	4866	1.8	--	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2173	0.8	--	708	0.3	--	--	--	<0.1
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2173	0.8	--	708	0.3	--	--	--	<0.1

Notes:
 Water supplied by municipal water from the Denver Water Board. Current water use = 272,000 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to Walnut Creek. Average flow rate of Walnut Creek = 142,000,000 gallons/day.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 -- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-14.3-10. RFETS—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions)	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives			% ROI Population Increase (2)		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	Jobs			Income	
						% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)		% Change in Annual Income	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	246	206	0.02	2.3	0.01	0.01	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	333	349	0.03	3.9	0.01	0.01	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	372	391	0.03	4.4	0.01	0.02	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	470	493	0.04	5.5	0.01	0.02	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	112	117	0.01	1.3	0.00	0.00	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	112	117	0.01	1.3	0.00	0.00	

Notes:

(1) In current 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-14.3-11. RFETS—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Land Use				Water				Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)				
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0.00	2342	0.23	2342	0.47	0.12	0.35	0	0.00				
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.5	0.04	5927	0.59	3229	0.65	0.52	1.1	173	2.30				
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.6	0.04	6029	0.61	3985	0.8	0.54	1.57	178	2.40				
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.8	0.05	8223	0.82	4866	0.97	0.87	2.53	266	3.60				
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1.2	0.02	2173	0.22	708	0.14	0.45	1.31	62	0.80				
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.2	0.02	2173	0.22	708	0.14	0.45	1.31	62	0.80				

Notes:
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1990 Site Employment.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-14.3-12. RFETS—TRUW—Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	278	0	257	21	0	262	16	
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	377	95	227	34	46	311	20	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	421	98	257	45	46	346	30	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	531	145	303	49	46	457	29	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	127	34	68	16	46	81	0	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	127	34	68	16	46	81	0	

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indelinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

(2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.15.0 SNL-NM

SNL-NM currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW and LLW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.15.1 SNL-NM LLMW

Fifteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at SNL-NM. These tables are presented as follows:

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-15.1-1	15-2
2.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-15.1-2	15-3
3.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-15.1-3	15-4
4.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-15.1-4	15-5
5.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-15.1-5	15-6
6.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-15.1-6	15-7
7.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-15.1-7	15-8
8.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-15.1-8	15-9
9.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-15.1-9	15-10
10.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-15.1-10	15-11
11.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-15.1-11	15-12
12.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-15.1-12	15-13
13.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-15.1-13	15-14
14.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-15.1-14	15-15
15.	SNL-NM—LLMW—Cost	II-15.1-15	15-16

Table II-15.1-1. SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	2.4E-05	6.3E-04	3.8E-05	7.4E-07	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	3.3E-04	5.1E-03	1.4E-04	7.8E-07	3.4E-05	7.4E-04	3.4E-05	7.4E-04
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.7E-05	1.2E-03	1.8E-08	3.5E-10	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.7E-05	1.2E-03	1.8E-08	3.5E-10	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.7E-05	1.2E-03	1.8E-08	3.5E-10	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.7E-05	1.2E-03	1.8E-08	3.5E-10	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	1.7E-05	1.2E-03	1.8E-08	3.5E-10	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-15.1-2. SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				W/M Worker			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	3	-	7.7E-02	1.3E-04	7.3E-07	7.7E-06	1.5E-03	2.5E-06	8.1E-08	1.5E-07	6.0E-02	8.4E-05	4.2E-10	3.5E-08
Decentralized	37	16	2.8E-01	4.7E-04	2.1E-08	2.8E-05	1.6E-03	2.7E-06	2.4E-09	1.6E-11	8.2E-01	1.2E-03	1.7E-08	4.9E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.6E-05	6.1E-08	5.4E-10	3.6E-09	6.9E-07	1.2E-09	6.0E-11	6.9E-11	4.3E-02	6.1E-05	3.4E-10	2.6E-06
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.6E-05	6.1E-08	5.4E-10	3.6E-09	6.9E-07	1.2E-09	6.0E-11	6.9E-11	4.3E-02	6.1E-05	3.4E-10	2.6E-06
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.6E-05	6.1E-08	5.4E-10	3.6E-09	6.9E-07	1.2E-09	6.0E-11	6.9E-11	4.3E-02	6.1E-05	3.4E-10	2.6E-06
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.6E-05	6.1E-08	5.4E-10	3.6E-09	6.9E-07	1.2E-09	6.0E-11	6.9E-11	4.3E-02	6.1E-05	3.4E-10	2.6E-06
Centralized	1	1	3.6E-05	6.1E-08	5.4E-10	3.6E-09	6.9E-07	1.2E-09	6.0E-11	6.9E-11	4.3E-02	6.1E-05	3.4E-10	2.6E-06

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-15.1.1-3. SNL-NM—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	8.6E-02	1.2E-04	5.2E-06	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-15.1-4. SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal	
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved	Hypothetical	Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Farm Family	
No Action	3	-	1.5E-09	3.1E-10	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	5.4E-09	3.2E-10	4.5E-05	
Regionalized-1	11	12	7.1E-13	1.4E-13	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	7.1E-13	1.4E-13	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	7.1E-13	1.4E-13	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	7.1E-13	1.4E-13	--	
Centralized	1	1	7.1E-13	1.4E-13	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-15.1-5. SNL-NM-LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal			
			Offsite Population				MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI			
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	0	3.0E-08	5.2E-09	3.1E-11	3.0E-10	3.0E-10	6.2E-07	1.1E-09	1.1E-09	3.1E-11	6.2E-11	6.2E-11	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	8.9E-07	9.0E-06	
Decentralized	37	16	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	9.1E-13	1.1E-09	1.1E-09	6.4E-07	1.1E-09	1.1E-09	9.1E-13	6.4E-11	6.4E-11	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	8.9E-07	9.0E-06	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	2.3E-14	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	2.9E-10	4.9E-13	4.9E-13	2.3E-14	2.9E-14	2.9E-14	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	8.9E-07	9.0E-06	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	2.3E-14	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	2.9E-10	4.9E-13	4.9E-13	2.3E-14	2.9E-14	2.9E-14	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	8.9E-07	9.0E-06	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	2.3E-14	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	2.9E-10	4.9E-13	4.9E-13	2.3E-14	2.9E-14	2.9E-14	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	8.9E-07	9.0E-06	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	2.3E-14	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	2.9E-10	4.9E-13	4.9E-13	2.3E-14	2.9E-14	2.9E-14	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	8.9E-07	9.0E-06	
Centralized	1	1	1.4E-09	2.4E-12	2.3E-14	1.4E-13	1.4E-13	2.9E-10	4.9E-13	4.9E-13	2.3E-14	2.9E-14	2.9E-14	9.0E-02	1.5E-04	8.9E-07	9.0E-06	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 --- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-15.1-6. SNL-NM—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal	
	T	D	Offsite		Noninvolved			WM Worker Exposure Index
			MEI Hazard Index	Hazard Index	Worker MEI Hazard Index	Hazard Index		
No Action	3	-	3.9E-08	3.9E-08	4.9E-08	--	Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index	
Decentralized	37	16	3.3E-09	3.3E-09	2.8E-06	2.3E-02		
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.4E-11	3.4E-11	4.9E-08	--		
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.4E-11	3.4E-11	4.9E-08	--		
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.4E-11	3.4E-11	4.9E-08	--		
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.4E-11	3.4E-11	4.9E-08	--		
Centralized	1	1	3.4E-11	3.4E-11	4.9E-08	--		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-15.1-7. SNL-NM-LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	3 (0/3)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized	37	16	8 (0/8)	3 (1/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-15.1-8. SNL-NM—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction								Operations & Maintenance									
			Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)								Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)				Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO (5)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	3	-	3 (0/3)	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	9 (0/9)	--	--	--	--	--	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	--	--	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized Alternative.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions)

Table II-15.1-9. SNL-NM—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-15.1-10. SNL-NM—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	18	<0.1	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	2079	0.2	--	289	<0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	18	<0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	18	<0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	18	<0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	18	<0.1	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	18	<0.1	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Notes: Water supplied by the City of Albuquerque and Kirtland AFB. Current water use = 1,000,000 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to the City of Albuquerque WWTP.
-- = Construction is not considered for this site except for the Decentralized Alternative, and for operations waste water as a percent of stream flow is not considered for this site.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-15.1-11. SNL-NM—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	
	T	D																				
No Action	3	-	225	227	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-2	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-3	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-4	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centralized	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	3	-	210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	233	234	235	236	238	239	240	241
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-2	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-3	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regionalized-4	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centralized	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-15.1-12. SNL-NM—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	7	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized	37	16	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	7	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
** = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-15.1-13. SNL-NM—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs			Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
No Action	3	-	28	23	0.01	0.3	0.00	0.00	
Decentralized	37	16	65	67	0.02	0.7	0.01	0.07	
Regionalized-1	11	12	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-2	7	6	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-3	7	1	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-4	4	6	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	
Centralized	1	1	6	6	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-15.1-14. SNL-NM-LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
	T	D	Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment FTE	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	Power % Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment		
No Action	3	-	0.0	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	7	0.1	
Decentralized	37	16	1.7	0.83	2079	0.05	289	0.05	289	0.31	0.15	0.31	66	1		
Regionalized-1	11	12	0.0	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0	0		
Regionalized-2	7	6	0.0	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	0.0	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0	0		
Regionalized-4	4	6	0.0	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	0.0	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.00	18	0.01	0.01	0.01	0	0		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
GPD = Gallons per Day
MW = Megawatts
(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-15.1-15. SNL-NM—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	3	-	32	4	8	9	12	19	12	0
Decentralized	37	16	73	14	28	28	3	73	0	0 (2)
Regionalized-1	11	12	6	0	0	2	5	6	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	6	0	0	2	5	6	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	6	0	0	2	5	6	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	6	0	0	2	5	6	0	0
Centralized	1	1	6	0	0	2	5	6	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

(2) Disposal occurs at SNL-NM, but throughput is below de minimis value for costing.

II.15.2 SNL-NM LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at SNL-NM. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	SNL-NM—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-15.2-1	15-18
	2.	SNL-NM—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-15.2-2	15-19
	3.	SNL-NM—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-15.2-3	15-20
	4.	SNL-NM—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-15.2-4	15-21
	5.	SNL-NM—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-15.2-5	15-22
	7.	SNL-NM—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-15.2-6	15-23
	8.	SNL-NM—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-15.2-7	15-24
	9.	SNL-NM—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-15.2-8	15-25
	10.	SNL-NM—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-15.2-9	15-26
	11.	SNL-NM—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-15.2-10	15-27
	13.	SNL-NM—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-15.2-11	15-28
	14.	SNL-NM—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-15.2-12	15-29
	15.	SNL-NM—LLW—Cost	II-15.2-13	15-30

Table II-15.2-1. SNL-NM—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	5.1E-04	5.0E-02	1.7E-06	2.9E-08	--	--		
Decentralized		16	2.2E-02	4.3E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	6.3E-02	3.2E-02		
Regionalized-1		12	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-2	11	12	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-3		6	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	2.2E-02	5.5E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	2.2E-02	5.5E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	4.1E-04	5.1E-02	1.8E-06	3.0E-08	--	--		

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-15.2-2. SNL-NM—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
	No Action	10**	6	3.4E-03	5.8E-06	3.4E-07	5.8E-05	9.9E-08	5.8E-09	1.3E+00	1.8E-03
Decentralized		16	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	5.4E+01	7.6E-02	3.3E-03
Regionalized-1		12	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Regionalized-3		6	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Regionalized-6		2	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Regionalized-7		2	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Centralized-1		1	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Centralized-2		1	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05
Centralized-3	7	1	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	5.4E+01	7.6E-02	3.3E-03
Centralized-4	7	1	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	5.4E+01	7.6E-02	3.3E-03
Centralized-5	1	1	3.6E-03	6.2E-06	3.6E-07	6.1E-05	1.0E-07	6.1E-09	1.0E+00	1.5E-03	6.2E-05

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-15.2-3. SNL-NM—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	
Decentralized		16	1.6E+02	2.2E-01	9.4E-03	
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-15.2-4. SNL-NM—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved	Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	10**	6	6.8E-11	1.2E-11	--	
Decentralized		16	7.1E-11	1.3E-11	1.6E-02	
Regionalized-1		12	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Regionalized-3		6	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Regionalized-6		2	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Regionalized-7		2	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Centralized-1		1	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Centralized-2		1	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	7.2E-11	1.3E-11	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-15.2-5. SNL-NM—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability				
No Action	10**	6	1.4E-07	2.3E-10	1.4E-11	2.4E-08	4.2E-11	2.4E-12	2.4E-08	4.3E-11	2.5E-12	3.2E+01	--	--	--		
Decentralized		16	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.5E-08	4.3E-11	2.5E-12	2.5E-08	4.3E-11	2.5E-12	5.3E-02	5.3E-02	3.2E-03	3.2E-03		
Regionalized-1		12	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-3		6	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-6		2	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-7		2	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-1		1	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	1.4E-07	2.4E-10	1.4E-11	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	2.6E-08	4.3E-11	2.6E-12	--	--	--	--		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-15.2-6. SNL-NM-LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6	9 (2/7)	5 (4/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	3 (0/3)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	3 (0/3)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized		16	10 (1/9)	5 (3/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	13 (0/13)	3 (0/3)	0	0	0	2 (0/2)								
Regionalized-1		12	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-2	11	12	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-3		6	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-4	7	6	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-5	4	6	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-6		2	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Regionalized-7		2	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Centralized-1		1	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Centralized-2		1	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Centralized-3	7	1	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Centralized-4	7	1	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Centralized-5	1	1	10 (1/9)	4 (2/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	5 (0/5)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-15.2-7. SNL-NM-LLW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)										Operations & Maintenance													
	T	D	CO		NO2		Pb		PM10		SO2		VOC		CO		NO2		Pb		PM10		SO2		VOC	
			CO (5)	CO (13)	NO2 (4)	NO2 (13)	Pb (4)	Pb (13)	PM10 (4)	PM10 (13)	SO2 (4)	SO2 (13)	VOC (4)	VOC (13)	CO (5)	CO (13)	NO2 (4)	NO2 (13)	Pb (4)	Pb (13)	PM10 (4)	PM10 (13)	SO2 (4)	SO2 (13)	VOC (4)	VOC (13)
No Action	10**	6	9 (2/7)																							
Decentralized		16	10 (1/9)																							
Regionalized-1		12	10 (1/9)																							
Regionalized-2	11	12	10 (1/9)																							
Regionalized-3		6	10 (1/9)																							
Regionalized-4		7	10 (1/9)																							
Regionalized-5		4	10 (1/9)																							
Regionalized-6		2	10 (1/9)																							
Regionalized-7		2	10 (1/9)																							
Centralized-1		1	10 (1/9)																							
Centralized-2		1	10 (1/9)																							
Centralized-3	7	1	10 (1/9)																							
Centralized-4		7	10 (1/9)																							
Centralized-5		1	10 (1/9)																							

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) GCR de minimus levels are applied, since PSD regulations are not applicable to construction activities. Values = % of total emissions (% of equipment emissions / % of worker vehicle emissions)
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 (5) Nonattainment area for this pollutant, therefore GCR de minimus levels are applied. Values = % of total emissions (% of stationary-source emissions / % of mobile-source emissions).
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-15.2-8. SNL-NM-LLW-LLW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	10**	6	0
Decentralized		16	0
Regionalized-1		12	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0
Regionalized-3		6	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0
Regionalized-6		2	0
Regionalized-7		2	0
Centralized-1		1	0
Centralized-2		1	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance									
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	Tetrachloro-ethane	1,1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
No Action		
Decentralized	10**	6
Regionalized-1		16
Regionalized-2		12
Regionalized-3	11	12
Regionalized-4		6
Regionalized-5	7	6
Regionalized-6		4
Regionalized-7		2
Centralized-1		2
Centralized-2		1
Centralized-3		1
Centralized-4	7	1
Centralized-5	7	1
Centralized-5	1	1

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 .. = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-15.2-9. SNL-NM—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	1077	0.1	--	622	0.1	--	--	
Decentralized		16	3675	0.4	--	1146	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-1		12	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-3		6	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-4		7	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-5		4	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-6		2	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Regionalized-7		2	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-1		1	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	2499	0.2	--	627	0.1	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Water supplied by the City of Albuquerque and Kirtland AFB. Current water use = 1,000,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to the City of Albuquerque WWTP.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.
-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.

Table II-15.2-10. SNL-NM-LLW-LLW-Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu	
	T	D																				
No Action	10**	6	225	0	0	0	0	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	900	2000	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	10**	6	210	40	231	223	226	151	76	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	100	1	0	30000	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 . . = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-15.2-11. SNL-NM—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	100	83	0.02	0.9	0.01	0.01
Decentralized		16	215	223	0.06	2.4	0.02	0.03
Regionalized-1		12	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-2	11	12	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-3		6	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-4	7	6	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-5		6	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-6		2	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Regionalized-7		2	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Centralized-1		1	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Centralized-2		1	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Centralized-3	7	1	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Centralized-4	7	1	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01
Centralized-5	1	1	96	99	0.03	1.1	0.01	0.01

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 (1) In constant 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-15.2-12. SNL-NM—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
			Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	10**	6	0.9	0.44	1077	0.03	622	0.11	0.12	0.24	51	1				
Decentralized		16	3.0	0.97	3675	0.09	1146	0.21	0.39	0.77	110	1				
Regionalized-1		12	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Regionalized-3		6	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Regionalized-6		2	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Regionalized-7		2	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Centralized-1		1	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Centralized-2		1	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Centralized-3	7	1	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Centralized-4	7	1	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				
Centralized-5	1	1	1.9	0.92	2499	0.06	627	0.11	0.24	0.46	69	1				

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-15.2-13. SNL-NM—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
	No Action	10**		6	113	8	25	75	4	113
Decentralized		16	243	22	47	105	69	108	0	135
Regionalized-1		12	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Centralized-1		1	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Centralized-2		1	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	108	10	37	52	10	108	0	0

Notes:

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost. (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.15.3 SNL-NM TRUW

SNL-NM has a small amount of TRUW that factored into the transportation analysis but did not warrant analysis of impacts onsite. Therefore, Section 15.3 has been intentionally left blank.

II.16.0 SRS

SRS currently is custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, and TRUW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.16.1 SRS LLMW

Seventeen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at SRS. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	SRS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-16.1-1	16-3
	2.	SRS—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.1-2	16-4
	3.	SRS—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.1-3	16-5
	4.	SRS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-16.1-4	16-6
	5.	SRS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.1-5	16-7
	6.	SRS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-16.1-6	16-8
	7.	SRS—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.1-7	16-9
	8.	SRS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.1-8	16-10
	9.	SRS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-16.1-9	16-11
	10.	SRS—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-16.1-10	16-12
	11.	SRS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-16.1-11	16-13
	11.	SRS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) from Disposal (Remote-Handled)	II-16.1-12	16-14
	12.	SRS—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)	II-16.1-13	16-15
	12.	SRS—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Remote-Handled)	II-16.1-14	16-16

13.	SRS—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-16.1-15	16-17
14.	SRS—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-16.1-16	16-18
15.	SRS—LLMW—Cost	II-16.1-17	16-19

Table II-16.1-1. SRS--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker			Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker		
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure			Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	1.4E-01	6.9E-02	1.6E-03	6.5E-05	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.1E-01	3.3E-01	1.7E-03	6.1E-05	3.9E-02	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.1E-01	3.3E-01	1.8E-03	6.1E-05	3.9E-02	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.1E-01	3.3E-01	1.8E-03	6.1E-05	3.9E-02	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.1E-01	3.3E-01	1.8E-03	6.1E-05	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.1E-01	3.3E-01	1.8E-03	6.1E-05	3.9E-02	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	
Centralized	1	1	3.3E-02	4.9E-02	1.3E-06	6.5E-08	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-16.1-2. SRS—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population				Noninvolved Workers				WM Worker			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	3	-	3.1E+00	5.3E-03	2.9E-06	3.1E-04	1.3E-01	2.2E-04	1.4E-06	1.3E-05	3.4E+02	4.8E-01	1.1E-03	2.0E-02
Decentralized	37	16	3.5E+00	5.9E-03	9.8E-07	3.5E-04	1.2E-01	2.1E-04	4.9E-07	1.2E-05	2.6E+02	3.7E-01	7.0E-04	1.6E-02
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.5E+00	6.0E-03	9.8E-07	3.5E-04	1.2E-01	2.1E-04	4.9E-07	1.2E-05	2.7E+02	3.8E-01	7.0E-04	1.6E-02
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.5E+00	6.0E-03	9.8E-07	3.5E-04	1.2E-01	2.1E-04	4.9E-07	1.2E-05	2.7E+02	3.8E-01	7.0E-04	1.6E-02
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.5E+00	6.0E-03	9.4E-07	3.5E-04	1.2E-01	2.1E-04	4.7E-07	1.2E-05	2.7E+02	3.8E-01	7.0E-04	1.6E-02
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.5E+00	6.0E-03	9.8E-07	3.5E-04	1.2E-01	2.1E-04	4.9E-07	1.2E-05	2.7E+02	3.8E-01	7.0E-04	1.6E-02
Centralized	1	1	2.7E-03	4.6E-06	6.1E-08	2.7E-07	1.3E-04	2.2E-07	3.1E-08	1.3E-08	8.2E+01	1.1E-01	3.8E-05	4.9E-03

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Table II-16.1-3. SRS—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	9.7E+01	1.4E-01	5.8E-03	
Regionalized-1	11	12	9.7E+01	1.4E-01	5.8E-03	
Regionalized-2	7	6	9.7E+01	1.4E-01	5.8E-03	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	9.7E+01	1.4E-01	5.8E-03	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
- - = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-16.1-4. SRS--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment		Disposal
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
	No Action	3	-	1.5E-08	5.7E-08
Decentralized	37	16	1.7E-08	5.3E-08	2.4E-05
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.7E-08	5.3E-08	2.4E-05
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.7E-08	5.3E-08	2.4E-05
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.7E-08	5.3E-08	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.7E-08	5.3E-08	2.4E-05
Centralized	1	1	1.3E-11	5.7E-11	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-16.1-5. SRS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment										Disposal			
			Offsite Population			MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI			Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed			Lifetime MEI	
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action	3	-	2.9E-05	5.0E-08	3.7E-11	2.9E-09	1.1E-04	1.9E-07	1.6E-09	1.1E-08	--	4.9E-02	8.2E-05	2.5E-04	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	3.3E-05	5.6E-08	1.3E-11	3.3E-09	1.1E-04	1.8E-07	5.6E-10	1.1E-08	4.9E-02	8.2E-05	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	4.9E-06	4.9E-06
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.3E-05	5.7E-08	1.3E-11	3.3E-09	1.1E-04	1.8E-07	5.6E-10	1.1E-08	4.9E-02	8.2E-05	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	4.9E-06	4.9E-06
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.3E-05	5.7E-08	1.3E-11	3.3E-09	1.1E-04	1.8E-07	5.6E-10	1.1E-08	4.9E-02	8.2E-05	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	4.9E-06	4.9E-06
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.3E-05	5.7E-08	1.2E-11	3.3E-09	1.1E-04	1.8E-07	5.4E-10	1.1E-08	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.3E-05	5.7E-08	1.3E-11	3.3E-09	1.1E-04	1.8E-07	5.6E-10	1.1E-08	4.9E-02	8.2E-05	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	4.9E-06	4.9E-06
Centralized	1	1	2.5E-08	4.3E-11	7.9E-13	2.5E-12	1.1E-07	1.9E-10	3.5E-11	1.1E-11	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.

Table II-16.1-6. SRS—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment				Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		WM Worker Exposure Index	
			MEI Hazard Index	Worker Hazard Index	MEI Hazard Index		
No Action	3	-	3.8E-08	1.7E-06	5.8E-03	--	
Decentralized	37	16	1.6E-08	6.9E-07	5.8E-03	2.7E+00	
Regionalized-1	11	12	1.6E-08	6.9E-07	5.8E-03	2.7E+00	
Regionalized-2	7	6	1.6E-08	6.9E-07	5.8E-03	2.7E+00	
Regionalized-3	7	1	1.4E-08	6.3E-07	5.8E-03	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	1.6E-08	6.9E-07	5.8E-03	2.7E+00	
Centralized	1	1	1.6E-10	7.0E-09	1.0E-04	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-16.1-7. SRS—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)					
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	3	-	82 (30/52)	89 (79/10)	0	6 (6/0)	8 (8/0)	14 (8/6)	23 (2/21)	9 (5/4)	0	1 (1/0)	0	2 (0/2)				
Decentralized	37	16	123 (19/104)	70 (49/21)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	17 (5/12)	60 (1/59)	15 (3/12)	0	2 (0/2)	0	7 (0/7)				
Regionalized-1	11	12	128 (19/109)	71 (49/22)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	18 (5/13)	62 (1/61)	15 (3/12)	0	2 (0/2)	0	7 (0/7)				
Regionalized-2	7	6	128 (19/109)	71 (49/22)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	18 (5/13)	62 (1/61)	15 (3/12)	0	2 (0/2)	0	7 (0/7)				
Regionalized-3	7	1	99 (4/95)	29 (10/19)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	12 (1/11)	47 (0/47)	11 (2/9)	0	1 (1/0)	0	6 (0/6)				
Regionalized-4	4	6	128 (19/109)	71 (49/22)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	18 (5/13)	62 (1/61)	15 (3/12)	0	2 (0/2)	0	7 (0/7)				
Centralized	1	1	39 (1/38)	10 (2/8)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	11 (0/11)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)				

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).
(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-16.1-8. SRS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction					
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year					
			General Conformity Rule (1)					
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year						Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
			Standard or Guideline (2)						Concentration (3)					
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	3	-	2	13	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	1	8	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	1	8	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	1	8	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	1	8	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) SRS is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the No Action and minimum treatment (no incineration) alternatives are assumed to be negligible.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-16.1-9. SRS—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromochloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead	
	No Action	3	-	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride		
	No Action	3	-	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--		
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--		
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--		
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--		
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--		
Centralized	1	1	--	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	0	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible. Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-16.1-10. SRS—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	3	-	74593	4.7	--	7487	0.5	--	<0.1
Decentralized	37	16	34974	2.2	--	8956	0.6	--	<0.1
Regionalized-1	11	12	35688	2.2	--	8980	0.6	--	<0.1
Regionalized-2	7	6	35688	2.2	--	8980	0.6	--	<0.1
Regionalized-3	7	1	16697	1.0	--	7577	0.5	--	<0.1
Regionalized-4	4	6	35717	2.2	--	8968	0.6	--	<0.1
Centralized	1	1	2832	0.2	--	1053	0.1	--	<0.1

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Notes: Water supplied by groundwater. Current water use = 1,600,000 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to the Savannah River. Average flow rate of the Savannah River = 6,463,000,000 gallons/day.
-- = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-16.1-11. SRS—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac 225	Ac 227	Am 241	Am 242	Am 243	C 14	Cs 135	Cs 137	Gm 242	Gm 244	Gm 245	I 129	Pb 210	Np 237	NI 59	NI 63	Pd 107
	T	D																	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po 210	K 40	Pa 231	Ra 223	Ra 226	Sm 151	Se 79	Sr 90	Tc 99	Th 227	Th 228	Th 229	Th 230	Th 232	Sn 126	U 233	U 234
	T	D																	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal of CH-LLMW at this site for this alternative.

Table II-16.1-12. SRS--LLMW--Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) from Disposal (Remote-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	NI	NI	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
			225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	129	210	237	59	63	107	238	239	240	241	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Ra	Sm	Se	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
			210	40	231	223	226	151	79	90	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	126	233	234	235	236	238	90	93			
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

* = In addition to the CH-LLMW disposal sites indicated below, disposal of RH-LLMW occurs at four sites (Hanford, INEL, ORR and SRS) for all alternatives except No Action.

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-16.1-13. SRS—LLMW—Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Contact-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	3	0	300	0	100	40	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	3	0	300	0	100	40	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	3	0	300	0	100	40	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	3	0	300	0	100	40	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	T	D	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	200	0	0	500	30	10	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	200	0	0	500	30	10	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	200	0	0	500	30	10	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	200	0	0	500	30	10	0
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-16.1-14. SRS--LLMW--Percent of Drinking Water Standards for Hazardous Constituents in Groundwater from Disposal (Remote-Handled)

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	Acetone	Arsenic	Barium	Benzene	Cadmium	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chromium	Cyanide
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

LLMW Alternatives	T	D *	1,2-dichloro-ethane	Lead	Mercury	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,2,2-trichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Centralized	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

* = In addition to the CH-LLMW disposal sites indicated below, disposal of RH-LLMW occurs at four sites (Hanford, INEL, ORR and SRS) for all alternatives except No Action.

"--" = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-16.1-15. SRS—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives				
	T	D		Jobs		% ROI Population Increase (2)		
				Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)		ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)
No Action	3	-	506	436	0.17	4.7	0.06	0.11
Decentralized	37	16	1058	1139	0.45	12.3	0.17	0.31
Regionalized-1	11	12	1100	1184	0.46	12.8	0.18	0.32
Regionalized-2	7	6	1100	1184	0.46	12.8	0.18	0.32
Regionalized-3	7	1	844	909	0.36	9.8	0.13	0.21
Regionalized-4	4	6	1100	1184	0.46	12.8	0.18	0.32
Centralized	1	1	222	239	0.09	2.6	0.04	0.04

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-16.1-16. SRS—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives													
			Land Use			Water			Waste Water			Power			Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment		
No Action	3	-	24.9	0.02	74593	1.49	7487	1.00	10.46	5.98	232	1				
Decentralized	37	16	22.6	0.02	34974	0.70	8956	1.19	2.51	1.44	727	4				
Regionalized-1	11	12	22.6	0.02	35688	0.71	8980	1.20	2.51	1.44	760	4				
Regionalized-2	7	6	22.6	0.02	35688	0.71	8980	1.20	2.55	1.46	649	4				
Regionalized-3	7	1	12.8	0.01	16697	0.33	7577	1.01	1.41	0.80	760	4				
Regionalized-4	4	6	22.6	0.02	35717	0.71	8968	1.20	2.51	1.44	788	5				
Centralized	1	1	12.8	0.00	2832	0.06	1053	0.14	1.41	0.81	207	1				

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-16.1-17. SRS—LLMW—Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	3	-	572	37	111	389	36	339	234	0
Decentralized	37	16	1198	133	331	603	122	908	0	289
Regionalized-1	11	12	1245	142	349	628	125	955	0	289
Regionalized-2	7	6	1245	142	349	628	125	955	0	289
Regionalized-3	7	1	955	116	308	483	46	955	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	1245	142	349	628	125	955	0	289
Centralized	1	1	253	37	96	104	14	253	0	0

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.16.2 SRS LLW

Thirteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLW at SRS. These tables are presented as follows:

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	SRS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-16.2-1	16-21
2.	SRS—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.2-2	16-22
3.	SRS—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.2-3	16-23
4.	SRS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-16.2-4	16-24
5.	SRS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.2-5	16-25
7.	SRS—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.2-6	16-26
8.	SRS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.2-7	16-27
9.	SRS—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-16.2-8	16-28
10.	SRS—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-16.2-9	16-29
11.	SRS—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-16.2-10	16-30
13.	SRS—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-16.2-11	16-31
14.	SRS—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-16.2-12	16-32
15.	SRS—LLW—Cost	II-16.2-13	16-33

Table II-16.2-1. SRS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	3.3E-01	5.2E-01	5.9E-04	3.2E-05	7.3E-01	2.1E+00		
Decentralized		16	2.3E-01	4.4E-01	1.9E-05	1.3E-06	5.8E-01	3.5E+00		
Regionalized-1		12	2.3E-01	4.4E-01	1.9E-05	1.3E-06	5.8E-01	3.5E+00		
Regionalized-2	11	12	2.8E-01	5.6E-01	6.1E-04	3.3E-05	5.7E-01	3.0E+00		
Regionalized-3		6	2.3E-01	4.4E-01	1.9E-05	1.3E-06	5.8E-01	3.5E+00		
Regionalized-4	7	6	2.8E-01	5.6E-01	6.1E-04	3.3E-05	5.7E-01	3.0E+00		
Regionalized-5	4	6	2.8E-01	5.6E-01	6.1E-04	3.3E-05	5.7E-01	3.0E+00		
Regionalized-6		2	2.3E-01	4.4E-01	1.9E-05	1.3E-06	6.1E-01	5.8E+00		
Regionalized-7		2	2.3E-01	4.4E-01	1.9E-05	1.3E-06	6.1E-01	5.8E+00		
Centralized-1		1	2.3E-01	4.4E-01	1.9E-05	1.3E-06	--	--		
Centralized-2		1	2.3E-01	4.4E-01	1.9E-05	1.3E-06	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	2.8E-01	5.6E-01	6.1E-04	3.3E-05	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	2.8E-01	5.6E-01	6.1E-04	3.3E-05	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	2.3E-01	4.4E-01	1.2E-05	1.1E-06	--	--		

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-16.2-2. SRS—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	1.2E+00	2.0E-03	1.2E-04	6.4E-02	1.1E-04	6.4E-06	8.3E+02	1.2E+00	5.0E-02
Decentralized		16	3.7E-02	6.3E-05	3.7E-06	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	5.7E+02	7.9E-01	3.4E-02
Regionalized-1		12	3.7E-02	6.3E-05	3.7E-06	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	5.7E+02	7.9E-01	3.4E-02
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.2E+00	2.1E-03	1.2E-04	6.6E-02	1.1E-04	6.6E-06	6.9E+02	9.6E-01	4.1E-02
Regionalized-3		6	3.7E-02	6.3E-05	3.7E-06	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	5.7E+02	7.9E-01	3.4E-02
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.2E+00	2.1E-03	1.2E-04	6.6E-02	1.1E-04	6.6E-06	6.9E+02	9.6E-01	4.1E-02
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.2E+00	2.1E-03	1.2E-04	6.6E-02	1.1E-04	6.6E-06	6.9E+02	9.6E-01	4.1E-02
Regionalized-6		2	3.7E-02	6.3E-05	3.7E-06	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	5.7E+02	7.9E-01	3.4E-02
Regionalized-7		2	3.7E-02	6.3E-05	3.7E-06	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	5.7E+02	7.9E-01	3.4E-02
Centralized-1		1	3.7E-02	6.3E-05	3.7E-06	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	5.7E+02	7.9E-01	3.4E-02
Centralized-2		1	3.7E-02	6.3E-05	3.7E-06	2.6E-03	4.4E-06	2.6E-07	5.7E+02	7.9E-01	3.4E-02
Centralized-3	7	1	1.2E+00	2.1E-03	1.2E-04	6.7E-02	1.1E-04	6.7E-06	6.9E+02	9.6E-01	4.1E-02
Centralized-4	7	1	1.2E+00	2.1E-03	1.2E-04	6.7E-02	1.1E-04	6.7E-06	6.9E+02	9.6E-01	4.1E-02
Centralized-5	1	1	2.5E-02	4.2E-05	2.5E-06	2.2E-03	3.7E-06	2.2E-06	5.7E+02	7.9E-01	3.4E-02

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-3. SRS—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	1.8E+03	2.5E+00	1.1E-01	
Decentralized		16	1.5E+03	2.0E+00	8.7E-02	
Regionalized-1		12	1.5E+03	2.0E+00	8.7E-02	
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.4E+03	2.0E+00	8.5E-02	
Regionalized-3		6	1.5E+03	2.0E+00	8.7E-02	
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.4E+03	2.0E+00	8.5E-02	
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.4E+03	2.0E+00	8.5E-02	
Regionalized-6		2	1.5E+03	2.1E+00	9.1E-02	
Regionalized-7		2	1.5E+03	2.1E+00	9.1E-02	
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-4. SRS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
			Offsite MEI		Noninvolved Worker MEI	
	T	D	Cancer Fatality Probability	Cancer Fatality Probability		Hypothetical Farm Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
No Action	10**	6	5.6E-09	2.9E-08	3.7E-05	
Decentralized		16	1.7E-10	1.1E-09	2.8E-05	
Regionalized-1		12	1.7E-10	1.1E-09	3.0E-05	
Regionalized-2	11	12	5.7E-09	3.0E-08	3.6E-05	
Regionalized-3		6	1.7E-10	1.1E-09	3.0E-05	
Regionalized-4	7	6	5.7E-09	3.0E-08	3.6E-05	
Regionalized-5	4	6	5.7E-09	3.0E-08	3.6E-05	
Regionalized-6		2	1.7E-10	1.1E-09	4.3E-05	
Regionalized-7		2	1.7E-10	1.1E-09	4.3E-05	
Centralized-1		1	1.8E-10	1.1E-09	--	
Centralized-2		1	1.8E-10	1.1E-09	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	5.7E-09	3.0E-08	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	5.7E-09	3.0E-08	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	1.2E-10	9.5E-10	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-5. SRS—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI				Noninvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI				Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability						
No Action	10**	6	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	5.7E-05	9.7E-08	5.7E-09	7.4E-02	1.3E-04	7.4E-06	7.4E-02	1.3E-04	7.4E-06			
Decentralized		16	3.5E-07	5.9E-10	3.5E-11	2.3E-06	3.8E-09	2.3E-10	5.7E-02	9.6E-05	5.7E-06	5.7E-02	9.6E-05	5.7E-06			
Regionalized-1		12	3.5E-07	5.9E-10	3.5E-11	2.3E-06	3.8E-09	2.3E-10	6.0E-02	1.0E-04	6.0E-06	6.0E-02	1.0E-04	6.0E-06			
Regionalized-2		11	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	5.9E-05	1.0E-07	5.9E-09	7.2E-02	1.2E-04	7.2E-06	7.2E-02	1.2E-04	7.2E-06			
Regionalized-3		6	3.5E-07	5.9E-10	3.5E-11	2.3E-06	3.8E-09	2.3E-10	6.0E-02	1.0E-04	6.0E-06	6.0E-02	1.0E-04	6.0E-06			
Regionalized-4		7	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	5.9E-05	1.0E-07	5.9E-09	7.2E-02	1.2E-04	7.2E-06	7.2E-02	1.2E-04	7.2E-06			
Regionalized-5		4	1.1E-05	1.9E-08	1.1E-09	5.9E-05	1.0E-07	5.9E-09	7.2E-02	1.2E-04	7.2E-06	7.2E-02	1.2E-04	7.2E-06			
Regionalized-6		2	3.5E-07	5.9E-10	3.5E-11	2.3E-06	3.8E-09	2.3E-10	8.6E-02	1.5E-04	8.6E-06	8.6E-02	1.5E-04	8.6E-06			
Regionalized-7		2	3.5E-07	5.9E-10	3.5E-11	2.3E-06	3.8E-09	2.3E-10	8.6E-02	1.5E-04	8.6E-06	8.6E-02	1.5E-04	8.6E-06			
Centralized-1		1	3.5E-07	5.9E-10	3.5E-11	2.3E-06	3.9E-09	2.3E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-2		1	3.5E-07	5.9E-10	3.5E-11	2.3E-06	3.9E-09	2.3E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-3		7	1.2E-05	2.0E-08	1.2E-09	5.9E-05	1.0E-07	5.9E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-4		7	1.2E-05	2.0E-08	1.2E-09	5.9E-05	1.0E-07	5.9E-09	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-5		1	2.3E-07	3.9E-10	2.3E-11	1.9E-06	3.2E-09	1.9E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-6. SRS—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6	48 (19/29)	56 (50/6)	0	4 (4/0)	5 (5/0)	8 (5/3)	213 (2/211)	46 (4/42)	0	1 (1/0)	0	25 (0/25)		
Decentralized		16	83 (23/60)	73 (61/12)	0	5 (5/0)	6 (6/0)	13 (6/7)	197 (1/196)	40 (1/39)	0	0	0	24 (0/24)		
Regionalized-1		12	259 (199/60)	529 (517/12)	0	41 (41/0)	49 (49/0)	57 (50/7)	197 (1/196)	40 (1/39)	0	0	0	24 (0/24)		
Regionalized-2	11	12	107 (22/85)	75 (58/17)	0	5 (5/0)	6 (6/0)	16 (6/10)	198 (1/197)	41 (2/39)	0	1 (1/0)	0	24 (0/24)		
Regionalized-3		6	83 (23/60)	73 (61/12)	0	5 (5/0)	6 (6/0)	13 (6/7)	198 (1/197)	40 (1/39)	0	0	0	24 (0/24)		
Regionalized-4	7	6	107 (22/85)	75 (58/17)	0	5 (5/0)	6 (6/0)	16 (6/10)	198 (1/197)	41 (2/39)	0	1 (1/0)	0	24 (0/24)		
Regionalized-5	4	6	119 (35/84)	109 (92/17)	0	7 (7/0)	9 (9/0)	19 (9/10)	198 (1/197)	41 (2/39)	0	1 (1/0)	0	24 (0/24)		
Regionalized-6		2	97 (37/60)	107 (95/12)	0	8 (8/0)	9 (9/0)	16 (9/7)	324 (1/323)	76 (2/74)	0	1 (0/1)	0	39 (0/39)		
Regionalized-7		2	97 (37/60)	107 (95/12)	0	8 (8/0)	9 (9/0)	16 (9/7)	324 (1/323)	76 (2/74)	0	1 (0/1)	0	39 (0/39)		
Centralized-1		1	87 (7/80)	35 (19/16)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	12 (2/10)	76 (0/76)	21 (1/20)	0	0	0	9 (0/9)		
Centralized-2		1	87 (7/80)	35 (19/16)	0	1 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	12 (2/10)	76 (0/76)	21 (1/20)	0	0	0	9 (0/9)		
Centralized-3	7	1	94 (9/85)	35 (19/16)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	12 (2/10)	91 (0/91)	24 (1/23)	0	1 (1/0)	0	11 (0/11)		
Centralized-4	7	1	94 (9/85)	40 (23/17)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	12 (2/10)	91 (0/91)	24 (1/23)	0	1 (1/0)	0	11 (0/11)		
Centralized-5	1	1	66 (7/59)	35 (19/16)	0	2 (1/0)	2 (2/0)	11 (2/10)	66 (0/66)	19 (1/18)	0	0	0	8 (0/8)		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-7. SRS-LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)					
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
	No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized		16	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1		12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3		6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4		6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-6		2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-7		2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)										Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)			
	T	D	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	PM10	SO2	VOC			
	No Action	10**	6	2	11	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized		16	1	3	0	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-1		12	1	3	0	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-2	11	12	1	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-3		6	1	3	0	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-4		7	6	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-5	4	6	1	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-6		2	1	5	0	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-7		2	1	5	0	2	0	0	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-1		1	0	2	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-2		1	0	2	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-3	7	1	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Centralized-4	7	1	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Centralized-5	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--			

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
(1) SRS is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the minimum treatment (no incineration) alternatives, and the Centralized - 5 Alternative are assumed to be negligible.
(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-8. SRS--LLW--Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	10**	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Decentralized		16	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-1		12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-3	6	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-6	2	2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Regionalized-7	2	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-1	1	0	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-2	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-3	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-4	7	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Centralized-5	1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	10**	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Decentralized		16	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-1		12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-2	11	12	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-3	6	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-4	7	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-5	4	6	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-6	2	2	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Regionalized-7	2	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-1	1	0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-2	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-3	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-4	7	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Centralized-5	1	1	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-9. SRS—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	13690	0.9	--	21968	1.4	--	<0.1	
Decentralized		16	105325	6.6	--	25298	1.6	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-1		12	105325	6.6	--	25298	1.6	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	11	12	99729	6.2	--	25682	1.6	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-3		6	105325	6.6	--	25298	1.6	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	7	6	99729	6.2	--	25682	1.6	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-5	4	6	99729	6.2	--	25682	1.6	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-6		2	174220	10.9	--	40247	2.5	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-7		2	174220	10.9	--	40247	2.5	--	<0.1	
Centralized-1		1	24652	1.5	--	7911	0.5	--	<0.1	
Centralized-2		1	24652	1.5	--	7911	0.5	--	<0.1	
Centralized-3	7	1	27709	1.7	--	10438	0.7	--	<0.1	
Centralized-4	7	1	27709	1.7	--	10438	0.7	--	<0.1	
Centralized-5	1	1	24652	1.5	--	7911	0.5	--	<0.1	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Water supplied by groundwater. Current water use = 1,600,000 gallons/day.
Wastewater discharged to the Savannah River. Average flow rate of the Savannah River = 6,463,000,000 gallons/day.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.
-- = Streamflow is not considered for this site.
<0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-16.2-10. SRS—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac	Ac	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	Ni	Ni	Pd	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																				
No Action	10**	6	225	227	241	242	243	14	135	137	242	244	245	210	237	50	63	107	238	239	240	241
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pa	Ra	Ra	Sm	So	Sr	Tc	Th	Th	Th	Th	Sn	U	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Zr
	T	D																						
No Action	10**	6	210	40	231	223	228	151	76	90	99	227	228	229	230	232	233	234	235	236	238	90	90	
Decentralized		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	900	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	700	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	900	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	700	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	900	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	900	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	500	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	500	0	0
Centralized-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-16.2-11. SRS—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	10**	6	4128	3555	1.40	38.4	0.53	0.48
Decentralized		16	4365	4699	1.84	50.7	0.69	0.82
Regionalized-1		12	4365	4699	1.84	50.7	0.69	0.82
Regionalized-2	11	12	4136	4452	1.75	48.1	0.69	0.77
Regionalized-3		6	4365	4699	1.84	50.7	0.69	0.82
Regionalized-4	7	6	4136	4452	1.75	48.1	0.69	0.77
Regionalized-5		6	4136	4452	1.75	48.1	0.69	0.77
Regionalized-6		2	5860	6308	2.48	68.1	0.93	1.33
Regionalized-7		2	5860	6308	2.48	68.1	0.93	1.33
Centralized-1		1	942	1014	0.40	10.9	0.15	0.19
Centralized-2		1	942	1014	0.40	10.9	0.15	0.19
Centralized-3	7	1	1125	1211	0.48	13.1	0.18	0.23
Centralized-4	7	1	1125	1211	0.48	13.1	0.18	0.23
Centralized-5	1	1	942	1014	0.40	10.9	0.15	0.19

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-12. SRS—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives												
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)			
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)			
No Action	10**	6	23.0	0.02	13690	0.27	21968	2.95	5.31	3.03	224	1			
Decentralized		16	49.8	0.03	105325	2.11	25298	3.37	6.46	3.69	3818	22			
Regionalized-1		12	49.8	0.03	105325	2.11	25298	3.37	6.46	3.69	3818	22			
Regionalized-2	11	12	46.6	0.02	99729	1.99	25682	3.42	6.52	3.73	3289	19			
Regionalized-3		6	49.8	0.03	105325	2.11	25298	3.37	6.46	3.69	3818	22			
Regionalized-4	7	6	46.6	0.02	99729	1.99	25682	3.42	6.52	3.73	3290	19			
Regionalized-5	4	6	46.6	0.03	99729	1.99	25478	3.42	6.52	3.73	3290	19			
Regionalized-6		2	82.5	0.06	174220	3.48	40247	5.37	9.77	5.58	6213	36			
Regionalized-7		2	82.5	0.06	174220	3.48	40247	5.37	9.77	5.58	6213	36			
Centralized-1		1	12.5	0.01	24652	0.49	7911	1.05	6.46	3.69	618	4			
Centralized-2		1	12.5	0.01	24652	0.49	7911	1.05	6.46	3.69	618	4			
Centralized-3	7	1	13.4	0.01	27709	0.55	10438	1.39	6.36	3.63	660	4			
Centralized-4	7	1	13.4	0.01	27709	0.55	10438	1.39	6.36	3.63	660	4			
Centralized-5		1	12.5	0.01	24652	0.49	7911	1.05	6.46	3.69	618	4			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-16.2-13. SRS—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	4670	35	1658	2761	216	947	0	3723
Decentralized		16	4939	322	1969	2438	210	904	0	4035
Regionalized-1		12	4939	322	1969	2438	210	904	0	4035
Regionalized-2	11	12	4679	327	1758	2369	225	1267	0	3412
Regionalized-3	6	6	4939	322	1969	2437	210	904	0	4035
Regionalized-4	7	6	4679	327	1758	2369	225	1267	0	3412
Regionalized-5	4	6	4679	327	1758	2369	225	1267	0	3412
Regionalized-6		2	6630	439	2682	3300	210	904	0	5726
Regionalized-7		2	6630	439	2682	3300	210	904	0	5726
Centralized-1		1	1066	79	321	622	44	1066	0	0
Centralized-2		1	1066	79	321	622	44	1066	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	1272	116	299	801	56	1272	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	1272	116	299	801	56	1272	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	1066	79	321	622	44	1066	0	0

Notes:
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.16.3 SRS TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at SRS. These tables are presented as follows:

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	SRS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-16.3-1	16-35
2.	SRS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.3-2	16-36
4.	SRS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-16.3-3	16-37
5.	SRS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.3-4	16-38
6.	SRS—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-16.3-5	16-39
7.	SRS—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.3-6	16-40
8.	SRS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.3-7	16-41
9.	SRS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-16.3-8	16-42
10.	SRS—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-16.3-9	16-43
13.	SRS—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-16.3-10	16-44
14.	SRS—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-16.3-11	16-45
15.	SRS—TRUW—Cost	II-16.3-12	16-46

Table II-16.3-1. SRS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treat	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure		
				Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards				
No Action **	16	5	5	3.8E-02	2.6E-02	2.2E-05	2.3E-06		
Decentralized ***	16	5	5	6.9E-02	8.8E-02	7.2E-05	7.8E-06		
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	7.7E-02	1.5E-01	1.4E-04	1.5E-05		
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	7.4E-02	1.8E-01	2.3E-03	2.4E-04		
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	7.4E-02	1.8E-01	2.3E-03	2.4E-04		
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	1.0E-01	7.3E-02	3.4E-05	3.7E-06		

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-16.3-2. SRS—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treat	Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer		
					Incidence	Genetic Effects		Incidence	Genetic Effects		Incidence	Genetic Effects	
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	7.4E-05	4.3E-06	4.7E-03	8.0E-06	3.6E-13	4.7E-07	9.4E+01	1.3E-01	4.1E-10	5.7E-03
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.5E-04	1.5E-05	1.5E-02	2.7E-05	9.5E-12	1.6E-06	1.7E+02	2.4E-01	4.2E-09	1.0E-02
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	4.6E-04	2.7E-05	2.9E-01	4.9E-05	1.5E-11	2.9E-06	1.9E+02	2.7E-01	2.2E-08	1.2E-02
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	7.7E-03	4.5E-04	4.8E-01	8.2E-04	1.5E-11	4.8E-05	1.9E+02	2.6E-01	3.7E-08	1.1E-02
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	7.7E-03	4.5E-04	4.8E-01	8.2E-04	1.5E-11	4.8E-05	1.9E+02	2.6E-01	3.7E-08	1.1E-02
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.2E-04	6.8E-06	7.3E-03	1.3E-05	6.8E-12	7.3E-07	2.6E+02	3.6E-01	4.0E-09	1.5E-02

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

Table II-16.3-3. SRS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.0E-10	2.0E-09
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	6.8E-10	6.8E-09
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	1.3E-09	1.3E-08
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	2.1E-08	2.1E-07
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	2.1E-08	2.1E-07
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	3.2E-10	3.2E-09

Notes:

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.

***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-16.3-4. SRS—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment							
				Offsite Population MEI			Noninvolved Worker MEI				
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	4.1E-07	6.9E-10	<9.9E-14	4.1E-11	4.1E-06	6.9E-09	<9.9E-14	4.1E-10
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	1.4E-06	2.3E-09	<9.9E-14	1.4E-10	1.4E-05	2.3E-08	1.1E-14	1.4E-09
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	2.5E-06	4.3E-09	<9.9E-14	2.5E-10	2.5E-05	4.3E-08	1.8E-14	2.5E-09
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	<9.9E-14	4.2E-09	4.2E-04	7.1E-07	1.7E-14	4.2E-08
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	4.2E-05	7.2E-08	<9.9E-14	4.2E-09	4.2E-04	7.1E-07	1.7E-14	4.2E-08
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	6.4E-07	1.1E-09	<9.9E-14	6.4E-11	6.4E-06	1.1E-08	<9.9E-14	6.4E-10

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

Table II-16.3-5. SRS—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	<9.9E-14	2.5E-13	5.2E-09
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	2.8E-13	1.2E-11	6.7E-08
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	4.5E-13	2.0E-11	7.9E-08
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	4.5E-13	2.0E-11	1.3E-07
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	4.5E-13	2.0E-11	1.3E-07
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2.0E-13	8.9E-12	6.7E-08

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-16.3-6. SRS--TRUW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year								
	CH	RH		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
	Treat	Treat		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	31 (8/23)	25 (20/5)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	5 (2/3)	7 (0/7)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	53 (10/43)	34 (25/9)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	7 (2/5)	23 (0/23)	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	3 (3/0)	0	0	0	3 (3/0)
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	68 (11/57)	40 (29/11)	0	2 (2/0)	3 (3/0)	10 (3/7)	39 (0/39)	8 (1/8)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	68 (11/57)	40 (29/11)	0	2 (2/0)	3 (3/0)	10 (3/7)	40 (0/40)	8 (1/8)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)	0	0	0	5 (0/5)
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	14 (5/9)	16 (14/2)	0	1 (1/0)	1 (1/0)	2 (1/1)	9 (0/9)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)

Notes:
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-16.3-7. SRS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Treatment Standard	Construction					
	CH		RH		Percent of Tons/Year					
	Treat	Treat	Treat		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action**	16	5	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance										
	CH		RH		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)					
	Treat	Treat	Treat		CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2
No Action**	16	5	5	WIPP WAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	5	WIPP WAC	0	1	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	Reduce Gas	0	1	0	1	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	LDR	0	2	0	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	LDR	0	2	0	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	LDR	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule. CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide. VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) SRS is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for all alternatives are assumed to be negligible.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-16.3-8. SRS—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

Number of TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Treatment Standard	Total Radio-nuclides	Operations & Maintenance										
	CH		RH			Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
	Treat	WIPP	WIPP - WAC													
No Action**	16	5	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized**	16	5	5	WIPP - WAC	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	Reduce Gas	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	LDR	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites			Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance										
	CH		RH		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	Tetrachloro-ethane	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
	Treat	WIPP	WIPP - WAC												
No Action**	16	5	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--
Decentralized***	16	5	5	WIPP - WAC	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	2	Reduce Gas	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	0	0	0	0	--

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

- - = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-16.3-9. SRS—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations			
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	1973	0.1	--	--	<0.1
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	13231	0.8	4116	0.3	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	18457	1.2	7185	0.4	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	22118	1.4	7366	0.5	--	--	<0.1
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	22118	1.4	7366	0.5	--	--	<0.1
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	8530	0.5	847	0.1	--	--	<0.1

Notes:
 Water supplied by groundwater. Current water use = 1,600,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Savannah River. Average flow rate of the Savannah River = 6,463,000,000 gallons/day.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 - - = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.

Table II-16.3-10. SRS—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	142	123	0.05	1.3	0.02	0.02
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	446	480	0.19	5.2	0.07	0.08
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	757	814	0.32	8.8	0.12	0.14
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	905	975	0.38	10.5	0.14	0.16
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	905	975	0.38	10.5	0.14	0.16
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	164	176	0.07	1.9	0.03	0.03

Notes:

(1) In current 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP to assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-16.3-11. SRS—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
				Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	0	0.00	1973	0.04	1973	0.26	0.07	0.04	0	0.00
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	6.3	0.00	13231	0.26	4116	0.55	0.58	0.33	244	1.40
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	7.8	0.01	18457	0.37	7185	0.96	0.94	0.54	475	2.80
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	8.2	0.01	22118	0.44	7366	0.98	1.17	0.67	625	3.60
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	8.2	0.01	22118	0.44	7366	0.98	1.17	0.67	625	3.60
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	4.3	0.00	8530	0.17	847	0.11	0.19	0.11	86	0.50

Notes:

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

FTE = Full Time Equivalent

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-16.3-12. SRS—TRUW—Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component				Functional Area		
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Retrieval Characterization (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	161	0	0	144	17	0	144	17
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	505	30	134	251	90	15	457	33
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	856	68	246	421	120	15	780	61
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	1024	87	327	509	100	15	982	28
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	1024	87	327	509	100	15	982	28
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	186	8	51	71	55	15	170	0

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In Current 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

(2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.16.4 SRS HLW

Nine of the 14 impact categories apply to HLW at SRS. These impacts are presented in the following tables.

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	SRS—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-16.4-1	16-48
	2.	SRS—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-16.4-2	16-49
	7.	SRS—HLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.4-3	16-50
	8.	SRS—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.4-4	16-51
	9.	SRS—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-16.4-5	16-52
	10.	SRS—HLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-16.4-6	16-53
	13.	SRS—HLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Storage	II-16.4-7	16-54
	14.	SRS—HLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Storage	II-16.4-8	16-55
	15.	SRS—HLW—Cost	II-16.4-9	16-56

Table II-16.4-1. SRS—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	WM Workers	
		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards
No Action	4	9.8E-01	3.6E-01
Decentralized	4	9.3E-01	3.3E-01
Regionalized-1	3	9.8E-01	3.5E-01
Regionalized-2	3	9.3E-01	3.3E-01
Centralized	1	7.8E-01	2.8E-01

Table II-16.4-2. SRS—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	WMM Workers		
		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	4	2.5E+03	3.4E+00	1.5E-01
Decentralized	4	2.3E+03	3.3E+00	1.4E-01
Regionalized-1	3	2.5E+03	3.4E+00	1.5E-01
Regionalized-2	3	2.3E+03	3.3E+00	1.4E-01
Centralized	1	1.9E+03	2.7E+00	1.2E-01

Table II-16.4-3. SRS—HLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	4	4 (2/2)	5 (5/0)	0	0	0	0	7 (0/7)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	
Decentralized	4	4 (2/2)	5 (5/0)	0	0	0	0	7 (0/7)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	
Regionalized-1	3	4 (2/2)	5 (5/0)	0	0	1 (1/0)	0	7 (0/7)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	
Regionalized-2	3	4 (2/2)	5 (5/0)	0	0	0	0	6 (0/6)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	
Centralized	1	4 (2/2)	5 (5/0)	0	0	0	0	7 (0/7)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	

Notes:
 S = Storage
 Data is based on repository beginning to accept HLW canisters in 2015.
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

Table II-16.4-4. SRS—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Construction									
		Percent of Tons/Year									
		General Conformity Rule (1)									
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--				
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--				
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--				
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--				

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Operations & Maintenance										
		Percent of Tons/Year										
		Standard or Guideline (2)										
		CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) SRS is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
 (2) Stationary-source emissions from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.
 (3) Stationary-source emissions from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-16.4-5. SRS—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Operations & Maintenance													
		Total Radionuclides	Other Hazardous and Toxic Air Pollutants	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead		
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Operations & Maintenance												
		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride			
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes: -- = Emissions of hazardous and toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.

Table II-16.4-6. SRS--HLW--Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Construction			Operations		
		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow
No Action	4	28	<0.1	--	1,900	0.1	<0.1
Decentralized	4	28	<0.1	--	1,900	0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-1	3	28	<0.1	--	1,900	0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-2	3	28	<0.1	--	1,900	0.1	<0.1
Centralized	1	28	<0.1	--	1,900	0.1	<0.1

Notes:
 Water supplied by groundwater. Current water use = 1,600,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Savannah River. Average flow rate of the Savannah River = 6,463,000,000 gallons/day.
 Data is based on repository beginning to accept HLW canisters in 2015.
 - - = Stream Flow is not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-16.4-7. SRS—HLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Storage

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Cost (Millions) (1)	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
			Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)	
			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)		
No Action	4	257	265	0.10	2.9	0.04	0.03	
Decentralized	4	311	321	0.13	3.5	0.05	0.03	
Regionalized-1	3	309	319	0.13	3.4	0.05	0.03	
Regionalized-2	3	311	321	0.13	3.5	0.05	0.03	
Centralized	1	146	151	0.06	1.6	0.02	0.03	

Notes:

(1) In 1990 dollars. The economic multiplies analysis only was applied to costs through 2015.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-16.4-8. SRS—HLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Storage

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
		Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	4	2	0.00	1,900	0.04	1,900	0.3	0.05	0.03	16	0		
Decentralized	4	2	0.00	1,900	0.04	1,900	0.3	0.05	0.03	16	0		
Regionalized-1	3	4	0.00	1,900	0.04	1,900	0.3	0.05	0.03	17	0		
Regionalized-2	3	2	0.00	1,900	0.04	1,900	0.3	0.05	0.03	16	0		
Centralized	1	0	0.00	1,900	0.04	1,900	0.3	0.05	0.03	16	0		

Notes:
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

Table II-16.4-9. SRS—HLW—Cost

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)		Cost by Functional Area (1)	
			Construction (Millions) (2)	Operations & Maintenance (3) (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Handling (Millions)
No Action	4	490	40	451	159	332
Decentralized	4	567	40	527	91	476
Regionalized-1	3	594	40	554	94	500
Regionalized-2	3	567	40	527	91	476
Centralized	1	283	0	283	17	266
Centralized Delayed Acceptance	1	401	0	401	17	384

Notes:

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of Life Cycle Components = Sum of Functional Areas.

(2) Construction costs are for the interim storage facilities.

(3) Operations and maintenance costs include operation and maintenance of the interim storage facilities, and the handling of canisters (unloading/loading of canisters into or out of the interim storage facilities).

II.16.5 SRS HW

Eleven of the 14 impact categories apply to HW at SRS. These impacts are presented in the following tables.

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	SRS—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-16.5-1	16-58
	2.	SRS—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences	II-16.5-2	16-59
	5.	SRS—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences	II-16.5-3	16-60
	6.	SRS—HW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-16.5-4	16-61
	7.	SRS—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.5-5	16-62
	8.	SRS—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-16.5-6	16-63
	9.	SRS—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-16.5-7	16-64
	10.	SRS—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-16.5-8	16-65
	13.	SRS—HW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-16.5-9	16-66
	14.	SRS—HW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-16.5-10	16-67
	15.	SRS—HW—Cost	II-16.5-11	16-68

Table II-16.5-1. SRS--HW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	WM Workers
		Physical Hazards
No Action	2	--
Decentralized	3	8.4E-03
Regionalized-1	5	7.9E-03
Regionalized-2	2	--

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative

Table II-16.5-2. SRS—HW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite Population Chemical Cancer Incidence	Noninvolved Workers Chemical Cancer Incidence	WM Workers Chemical Cancer Incidence
No Action	2	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	1.3E-03	6.5E-04	1.0E-01
Regionalized-1	5	1.2E-03	5.9E-04	9.3E-02
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-16.5-3. SRS—HW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment	
		Offsite MEI Cancer Incidence Probability	Noninvolved MEI Cancer Incidence Probability
No Action	2	--	--
Decentralized	3	1.7E-08	7.4E-07
Regionalized-1	5	1.5E-08	6.7E-07
Regionalized-2	2	--	--

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 - - = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-16.5-4. SRS—HW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Treatment		
		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action	2	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	2.2E-04	9.9E-03	5.3E+00
Regionalized-1	5	2.0E-04	9.0E-03	5.2E+00
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-16.5-5. SRS—HW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	3	3 (2/1)	5 (5/0)	0	0	0	1 (0/0)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (1/0)	0	
Regionalized - 1	5	3 (2/1)	5 (5/0)	0	0	0	1 (0/0)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (1/0)	0	
Regionalized - 2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:
 Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.
 CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
 (1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)
 (2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

Table II-16.5-6. SRS—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Construction					
		Percent of Tons/Year					
		General Conformity Rule (1)					
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Operations & Maintenance											
		Percent of Tons/Year					Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)						
		Standard or Guideline (2)											
		CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule. CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide. VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) SRS is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the Regionalized-2 Alternative are assumed to be negligible.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-16.5-7. SRS—HW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Operations & Maintenance													
		Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead		
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 1	5	--	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	0	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Operations & Maintenance												
		Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride			
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	--	0	--	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	1
Regionalized - 1	5	--	0	--	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	1
Regionalized - 2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

-- = Emissions of certain hazardous or toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HW treatment facilities are assumed to be negligible.

Table II-16.5-8. SRS—HW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Construction			Operations			
		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	516	<0.1	--	358	<0.1	--	<0.1
Regionalized-1	5	593	<0.1	--	371	<0.1	--	<0.1
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 Water supplied by groundwater. Current water use = 1,600,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to the Savannah River. Average flow rate of the Savannah River = 6,463,000,000 gallons/day.
 -- = Not considered for this site.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-16.5-9. SRS—HW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)	
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	24	30	0.01	0.3	0.00	0.00
Regionalized 1	5	25	31	0.01	0.3	0.00	0.00
Regionalized 2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

-- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative.

(1) In 1990 dollars.

(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-16.5-10. SRS—HW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives											
		Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)			
		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)		
No Action	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	3	1	0.000	516	0.01	358	0.05	0.13	0.07	8	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	5	1	0.001	593	0.01	371	0.05	0.13	0.07	10	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 -- = Treatment is not considered for this alternative
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.

Table II-16.5-11. SRS--HW--Cost

HW Alternatives	Number of Treatment Sites	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Government Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)	
			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Government (2) (Millions)	Commercial (Millions)
No Action	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	3
Decentralized	3	31	2	10	15	1	28	3
Regionalized-1	5	32	2	10	16	1	29	3
Regionalized-2	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 -- = Not considered for this site.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Government costs equal to the sum of the life-cycle components.

II.17.0 WIPP

II.17.1 WIPP LLMW

WIPP is not one of the sites considered for management of LLMW. Therefore, Section 17.1 has been intentionally left blank.

II.17.2 WIPP LLW

WIPP is not considered for the management of LLW. Therefore, Section 17.2 has been intentionally left blank.

II.17.3 WIPP TRUW

Twelve tables immediately following portray the impacts of TRUW at WIPP. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-17.3-1	17-3
	2.	WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-17.3-2	17-4
	4.	WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-17.3-3	17-5
	5.	WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-17.3-4	17-6
	6.	WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure	II-17.3-5	17-7
	7.	WIPP—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-17.3-6	17-8
	8.	WIPP—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-17.3-7	17-9
	9.	WIPP—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-17.3-8	17-10
	10.	WIPP—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-17.3-9	17-11
	13.	WIPP—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment	II-17.3-10	17-12
	14.	WIPP—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment	II-17.3-11	17-13
	15.	WIPP—TRUW—Cost	II-17.3-12	17-14

Table II-17.3-1. WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Fatalities

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment			Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure
	CH Treat	RH Treat		WM Worker Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	2.6E-01		
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.6E-02	4.4E-01	2.6E-01	2.1E-02	

Notes:

-- = WIPP is only considered under the Centralized Alternative.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-17.3-2. WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers					
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Chemical Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects				
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	5.2E+02	8.9E-01	5.5E-10	5.2E-02	4.2E+01	7.2E-02	2.8E-10	4.2E-03	4.1E+01	5.7E-02	4.6E-06	2.4E-03

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Table II-17.3-3. WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	No Action**	16		5	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	WIPP WAC	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	6.9E-05	8.2E-05

Notes:
 -- = Treatment is not considered under this alternative
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 ***In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP

Table II-17.3-4. WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment											
				Offsite Population MEI					Noninvolved Worker MEI						
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability				
No Action **	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized ***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1.4E-01	2.3E-04	2.1E-13	1.4E-05	1.6E-01	2.8E-04	1.3E-12	1.6E-05	1.6E-05			

Notes:

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = WIPP is only considered under the Centralized Alternative.

Table II-17.3-5. WIPP—TRUW—Treatment: Noncancer Health Risk From Chemical Exposure

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Treatment		
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index	WM Worker Exposure Index
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	4.9E-11	3.0E-10	5.2E-04

Notes:
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 -- = Treatment is not considered under this alternative.
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals. The Hazard Quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-17.3-6. WIPP—TRUW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)								Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)							
	CH Treat	RH Treat		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
	No Action**	16		5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	--	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1 (0/1)	--	1 (0/1)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	--	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	--	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	104 (55/49)	153 (143/10)	0	11 (11/0)	14 (14/0)	20 (14/6)	8 (1/7)	9 (6/3)	0	4 (4/0)	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	

Notes:

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-17.3-7. WIPP—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction						
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year						
				General Conformity Rule (1)						
	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC				
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Operations & Maintenance									
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)									
				Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)									
	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	1	15	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:

- Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
- CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
- VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
- (1) WIPP is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
- (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
- (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for alternatives that do not involve treatment to LDR (incineration) are assumed to be negligible.
- (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
- ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
- *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-17.3-8. WIPP—TRUW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Total Radio-nuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
			16	5	WIPP - WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
			137											

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	CH Treat	RH Treat	Treatment Standard	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	Tetrachloro-ethane	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride
			WIPP - WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
No Action**	16	5	WIPP - WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	0	0	--	--	0	0	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 -- = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. CH = contact handled. RH = remote handled.

Table II-17.3-9. WIPP—TRUW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard	Construction			Operations					
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow		
											16	5
No Action**	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	41429	287.7	15204	105.6	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

Water supplied by municipal system from City of Carlsbad. Current water use = 14,400 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to onsite evaporation ponds.

** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.

*** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

-- = WIPP is only considered under the Centralized Alternative, and Stream Flow and Waste Water Stream Flow are not considered for WIPP.

Table II-17.3-10. WIPP—TRUW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives														
			CH Treat		RH Treat		Treatment Standard		Cost (Millions)		Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)		
	Treat	16	Treat	5	WIPP WAC	WIPP WAC	Reduce Gas	(1)	(1)	Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income (Millions) (1)				
No Action**																	
Decentralized***																	
Regionalized-1																	
Regionalized-2																	
Regionalized-3																	
Centralized																	
	WIPP	2	2	2	LDR	LDR	2074	2046	2.05	22.1	0.75	0.64					

Notes:
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
 -- = WIPP is only considered under the Centralized Alternative.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite: no disposal at WIPP is assumed, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.

Table II-17.3-11. WIPP—TRUW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment	Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
	CH Treat	RH Treat		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
														Standard
No Action**	16	5	Standard	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	8.8	0.09	41429	7.7	15204	82.18	3.5	49.96	1512	162,20	

Notes:
 GPD = Gallons per Day
 MW = Megawatts Electric
 FTE = Full Time Equivalent
 (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 -- = WIPP is only considered under the Centralized Alternative.

Table II-17.3-12. WIPP—TRUW—Cost

TRUW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment Standard (2)	Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Life-Cycle Component			Functional Area				
	CH Treat	RH Treat			Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Characterization (Millions)	Retrieval (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)
No Action**	11	5	WIPP - WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized***	16	5	WIPP - WAC	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	5	2	Reduce Gas	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	5	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	3	2	LDR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	WIPP	2	LDR	2346	185	832	1243	86	0	2346	0	0

Notes:
 ** For No Action Alternative, storage is indefinite; no disposal at WIPP is assumed, for all other alternatives, disposal at WIPP is assumed.
 *** In Decentralized Alternative, TRUW is processed at all 16 sites, then transferred to interim storage at 10 sites, then to WIPP.
 The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.
 (2) Treatment standard (STD) applied: treat to WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WIPP WAC), treat for reduced gas generation (Reduce Gas), treat to Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

II.18.0 WVDP

WVDP currently is the custodian of significant volumes of LLMW, LLW, TRUW, and HLW. Each of the waste types is treated independently in the following sections.

II.18.1 WVDP LLMW

Fourteen tables immediately following portray the impacts of LLMW at WVDP. These tables are presented as follows:

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	WVDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-18.1-1	18-2
	2.	WVDP—LLMW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-18.1-2	18-3
	3.	WVDP—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-18.1-3	18-4
	4.	WVDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-18.1-4	18-5
	5.	WVDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-18.1-5	18-6
	6.	WVDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure	II-18.1-6	18-7
	7.	WVDP—LLMW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-18.1-7	18-8
	8.	WVDP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-18.1-8	18-9
	9.	WVDP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-18.1-9	18-10
	10.	WVDP—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-18.1-10	18-11
	11.	WVDP—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-18.1-11	18-12
	13.	WVDP—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-18.1-12	18-13
	14.	WVDP—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-18.1-13	18-14
	15.	WVDP—LLMW—Cost	II-18.1-14	18-15

Table II-18.1-1. WVDP--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker			Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker		
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards	Radiation Exposure			Physical Hazards		
No Action	3	-	3.1E-05	7.6E-04	1.4E-04	3.4E-07	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	2.5E-03	4.8E-03	2.5E-07	4.2E-10	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.8E-05	3.2E-03	1.7E-07	2.2E-10	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.8E-05	3.2E-03	1.7E-07	2.2E-10	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.8E-05	3.2E-03	1.7E-07	2.2E-10	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.9E-05	3.3E-03	1.7E-07	2.3E-10	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	2.9E-05	3.3E-03	1.7E-07	2.3E-10	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-18.1-2. WVDP--LLMW--Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population						Noninvolved Workers						WM Worker										
	T	D	Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Genetic Effects		Dose (person-rem)		Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Genetic Effects		Dose (person-rem)		Radiation Cancer Incidence		Chemical Cancer Incidence		Radiation Genetic Effects		
			4.7E-04	1.5E-07	1.5E-07	2.7E-05	4.7E-04	1.5E-07	2.7E-05	6.7E-04	1.1E-06	3.0E-09	6.7E-08	6.7E-04	1.1E-06	3.0E-09	6.7E-08	6.7E-04	1.1E-06	3.0E-09	6.7E-08	6.7E-04	1.1E-06	3.0E-09	6.7E-08
No Action	3	-	2.7E-01	4.7E-04	1.5E-07	2.7E-05	4.7E-04	1.5E-07	2.7E-05	6.7E-04	1.1E-06	3.0E-09	6.7E-08	6.7E-04	1.1E-06	3.0E-09	6.7E-08	6.7E-04	1.1E-06	3.0E-09	6.7E-08	6.7E-04	1.1E-06	3.0E-09	6.7E-08
Decentralized	37	16	5.0E-04	8.6E-07	5.1E-09	5.0E-08	8.6E-07	5.1E-09	5.0E-08	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.0E-10	8.4E-11	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.0E-10	8.4E-11	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.0E-10	8.4E-11	8.4E-07	1.4E-09	1.0E-10	8.4E-11
Regionalized-1	11	12	3.4E-04	5.8E-07	4.5E-09	3.4E-08	5.8E-07	4.5E-09	3.4E-08	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11
Regionalized-2	7	6	3.4E-04	5.8E-07	4.5E-09	3.4E-08	5.8E-07	4.5E-09	3.4E-08	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11
Regionalized-3	7	1	3.4E-04	5.8E-07	4.5E-09	3.4E-08	5.8E-07	4.5E-09	3.4E-08	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11	4.4E-07	7.5E-10	8.9E-11	4.4E-11
Regionalized-4	4	6	3.5E-04	5.9E-07	4.5E-09	3.5E-08	5.9E-07	4.5E-09	3.5E-08	4.6E-07	7.8E-10	9.0E-11	4.6E-11	4.6E-07	7.8E-10	9.0E-11	4.6E-11	4.6E-07	7.8E-10	9.0E-11	4.6E-11	4.6E-07	7.8E-10	9.0E-11	4.6E-11
Centralized	1	1	3.5E-04	5.9E-07	4.5E-09	3.5E-08	5.9E-07	4.5E-09	3.5E-08	4.6E-07	7.8E-10	9.0E-11	4.6E-11	4.6E-07	7.8E-10	9.0E-11	4.6E-11	4.6E-07	7.8E-10	9.0E-11	4.6E-11	4.6E-07	7.8E-10	9.0E-11	4.6E-11

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal

Table II-18.1-3. WVDP—LLMW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-18.1-4. WVDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal
	T	D	Offsite	Noninvolved		Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	3	-	2.1E-09	1.9E-09		--
Decentralized	37	16	3.8E-12	2.4E-12		--
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.6E-12	1.3E-12		--
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.6E-12	1.3E-12		--
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.6E-12	1.3E-12		--
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.6E-12	1.3E-12		--
Centralized	1	1	2.6E-12	1.3E-12		--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative

Table II-18.1-5. WVDP—LLMW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal					
			Offsite Population				MEI				NonInvolved Worker MEI				Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed				Lifetime MEI	
			Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Chemical Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability		
No Action	3	-	4.1E-06	7.0E-09	5.0E-12	4.1E-10	3.8E-08	6.4E-09	1.5E-11	3.8E-10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Decentralized	37	16	7.6E-09	1.9E-11	1.7E-13	7.6E-13	4.8E-09	8.1E-12	5.1E-13	4.8E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-1	11	12	5.2E-09	8.8E-12	1.5E-13	5.2E-13	2.5E-09	4.3E-12	4.5E-13	2.5E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-2	7	6	5.2E-09	8.8E-12	1.5E-13	5.2E-13	2.5E-09	4.3E-12	4.5E-13	2.5E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-3	7	1	5.2E-09	8.8E-12	1.5E-13	5.2E-13	2.5E-09	4.3E-12	4.5E-13	2.5E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-4	4	6	5.2E-09	8.9E-12	1.5E-13	5.2E-13	2.6E-09	4.4E-12	4.5E-13	2.6E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized	1	1	5.2E-09	8.9E-12	1.5E-13	5.2E-13	2.6E-09	4.4E-12	4.5E-13	2.6E-13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--			

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual

-- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.

Table II-18.1-6. WVDP--LLMW--Treatment and Disposal: Noncancer Health Risk from Chemical Exposure

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal	
	T	D	Offsite MEI Hazard Index	Noninvolved Worker MEI Hazard Index			WM Worker Exposure Index
				Most Exposed Lifetime Hazard Index			
No Action	3	-	4.8E-09	1.4E-08	8.7E-08	--	
Decentralized	37	16	7.1E-11	2.1E-10	9.9E-08	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	2.1E-11	6.2E-11	8.7E-08	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	2.1E-11	6.2E-11	8.7E-08	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	2.1E-11	6.2E-11	8.7E-08	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	2.4E-11	6.9E-11	9.9E-08	--	
Centralized	1	1	2.4E-11	6.9E-11	9.9E-08	--	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 -- = Disposal is not considered for this alternative.
 MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
 Hazard Index = Sum of hazard quotient values for all noncarcinogenic chemicals.
 The hazard quotient is the chemical-specific ratio of media exposure concentrations to concentrations believed to have no appreciable adverse effects.
 Exposure Index = Ratio of exposure concentration to chemical-specific occupational threshold limits.

Table II-18.1-7. WVDP--LLMW--Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
	No Action	3	-	4 (0/4)	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	3 (1/2)	2 (2/0)	0	0	0	0	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	11	12	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	7	6	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-3	7	1	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-4	4	6	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	1	1 (0/1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 tons per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission).

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission).

Table II-18.1-8. WVDP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction						
	T	D	Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)						
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	37	16	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)							Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)						
	T	D	Operations & Maintenance							Operations & Maintenance						
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

(1) WVDP is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.

(2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.

(3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions are assumed to be negligible for all but the Decentralized Alternative.

(4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-18.1-9. WVDP—LLMW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloroethane	Lead	
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance												
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoroethane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane	Vinyl Chloride			
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
No Action	3	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-1	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-2	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Regionalized-4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Centralized	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 - - = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.

Table II-18.1-10. WVDP—LLMW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction			Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	3	-	229	0.3	<0.1	18	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Decentralized	37	16	1925	2.8	<0.1	240	0.3	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-1	11	12	365	0.5	<0.1	173	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-2	7	6	365	0.5	<0.1	173	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-3	7	1	365	0.5	<0.1	173	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-4	4	6	365	0.5	<0.1	173	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
Centralized	1	1	365	0.5	<0.1	173	0.2	<0.1	<0.1

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
Notes: Water supplied by surface water from 2 onsite reservoirs. Current water use = 70,000 gallons/day. Wastewater discharged to Buttermilk Creek. Average flow rate of Buttermilk Creek = 41,000,000 gallons/day. <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-18.1-11. WVDP—LLMW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Ac 225	Ac 227	Am 241	Am 242	Am 243	C 14	Cs 135	Cs 137	Cm 242	Cm 244	Cm 245	I 129	Pb 210	Np 237	Ni 59	Ni 63	Pd 107	Pu 238	Pu 239	Pu 240	Pu 241
	T	D																					
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po 210	K 40	Pa 231	Ra 223	Ra 226	Sm 151	Se 79	Sr 90	Tc 99	Th 227	Th 228	Th 229	Th 230	Th 232	Sn 126	U 233	U 234	U 235	U 236	U 238	U 240	Zr 93
	T	D																						
No Action	3	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	37	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	7	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-3	7	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-4	4	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = No disposal at this site for this alternative.

Table II-18.1-12. WVDP—LLMW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs			Income			% ROI Population Increase (2)
	T	D		Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income			
								ROI (2)	(Millions) (1)	
No Action	3	-	23	21	0.00	0.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Decentralized	37	16	15	17	0.00	0.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-1	11	12	6	7	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-2	7	6	6	7	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-3	7	1	6	7	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Regionalized-4	4	6	6	7	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Centralized	1	1	6	7	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 (1) In 1990 dollars.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline

Table II-18.1-13. WVDP—LLMW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives									
			Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
			Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)
No Action	3	-	0.36	0.221	229	0.21	18	0.03	0.02	0.31	34	5
Decentralized	37	16	1.5	0.908	1925	1.75	240	0.34	0.26	4.03	14	2
Regionalized-1	11	12	0.65	0.393	365	0.33	173	0.25	0.03	0.54	8	1
Regionalized-2	7	6	0.65	0.393	365	0.33	173	0.25	0.03	0.54	8	1
Regionalized-3	7	1	0.65	0.393	365	0.33	173	0.25	0.03	0.54	8	1
Regionalized-4	4	6	0.65	0.393	365	0.33	173	0.25	0.03	0.55	8	1
Centralized	1	1	0.65	0.393	365	0.33	173	0.25	0.03	0.55	8	1

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts

(1) Based on 1991 Site Employment

Table II-18.1-14. WVDP--LLMW--Cost

LLMW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
	No Action	3		-	26	9	11	5	1	7
Decentralized	37	16	17	2	7	6	2	7	0	10
Regionalized-1	11	12	7	2	4	1	1	7	0	0
Regionalized-2	7	6	7	2	4	1	1	7	0	0
Regionalized-3	7	1	7	2	4	1	1	7	0	0
Regionalized-4	4	6	7	2	4	1	1	7	0	0
Centralized	1	1	7	2	4	1	1	7	0	0

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
The Life-Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum of these may not reflect the true total cost.
(1) In 1994 dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.18.2 WVDP LLW

Impact Category

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	WVDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-18.2-1	18-17
2.	WVDP—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-18.2-2	18-18
3.	WVDP—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-18.2-3	18-19
4.	WVDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality	II-18.2-4	18-20
5.	WVDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-18.2-5	18-21
7.	WVDP—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-18.2-6	18-22
8.	WVDP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-18.2-7	18-23
9.	WVDP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-18.2-8	18-24
10.	WVDP—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-18.2-9	18-25
11.	WVDP—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal	II-18.2-10	18-26
13.	WVDP—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-18.2-11	18-27
14.	WVDP—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal	II-18.2-12	18-28
15.	WVDP—LLW—Cost	II-18.2-13	18-29

Table II-18.2-1. WVDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: Estimated Number of Fatalities

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment						Disposal	
	T	D	WM Worker		Offsite Population Radiation Exposure	Noninvolved Workers Radiation Exposure	WM Worker			
			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards			Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards		
No Action	10**	6	1.9E-02	3.8E-02	3.5E-06	7.5E-09	--	--		
Decentralized	--	16	1.3E-02	4.9E-02	1.2E-06	1.5E-11	2.5E-02	6.8E-02		
Regionalized-1	--	12	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.3E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-2	11	12	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.4E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-3	--	6	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.3E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-4	7	6	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.4E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-5	4	6	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.4E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-6	--	2	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.3E-09	--	--		
Regionalized-7	--	2	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.3E-09	--	--		
Centralized-1	--	1	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.3E-09	--	--		
Centralized-2	--	1	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.3E-09	--	--		
Centralized-3	7	1	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.4E-09	--	--		
Centralized-4	7	1	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.4E-09	--	--		
Centralized-5	1	1	1.3E-02	5.3E-02	2.1E-06	4.4E-09	--	--		

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative

** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction

Table II-18.2-2. WVDP—LLW—Treatment: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Offsite Population			Noninvolved Workers			WM Workers		
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	10**	6	7.1E-03	1.2E-05	7.1E-07	1.5E-05	2.5E-08	1.5E-09	4.6E+01	6.5E-02	2.8E-03
Decentralized	--	16	2.5E-03	4.2E-06	2.5E-07	5.1E-06	8.7E-09	5.1E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Regionalized-1	--	12	4.1E-03	7.0E-06	4.1E-07	8.6E-06	4.3E-09	8.6E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Regionalized-2	11	12	4.2E-03	7.2E-06	4.2E-07	8.8E-06	1.5E-08	8.8E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Regionalized-3	--	6	4.1E-03	7.0E-06	4.1E-07	8.6E-06	1.5E-08	8.6E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Regionalized-4	7	6	4.2E-03	7.2E-06	4.2E-07	8.8E-06	1.5E-08	8.8E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.2E-03	7.2E-06	4.2E-07	8.8E-06	1.5E-08	8.8E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Regionalized-6	--	2	4.1E-03	7.0E-06	4.1E-07	8.6E-06	1.5E-08	8.6E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Regionalized-7	--	2	4.1E-03	7.0E-06	4.1E-07	8.6E-06	1.5E-08	8.6E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Centralized-1	--	1	4.1E-03	7.0E-06	4.1E-07	8.6E-06	1.5E-08	8.6E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Centralized-2	--	1	4.1E-03	7.0E-06	4.1E-07	8.6E-06	1.5E-08	8.6E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Centralized-3	7	1	4.2E-03	7.2E-06	4.2E-07	8.8E-06	1.5E-08	8.8E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Centralized-4	7	1	4.2E-03	7.2E-06	4.2E-07	8.8E-06	1.5E-08	8.8E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03
Centralized-5	1	1	4.2E-03	7.2E-06	4.2E-07	8.8E-06	1.5E-08	8.8E-10	3.2E+01	4.5E-02	1.9E-03

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-18.2-3. WVDP—LLW—Disposal: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		WM Workers			
	T	D	Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects	
No Action	10**	6	--	--	--	
Decentralized	--	16	6.2E+01	8.7E-02	3.7E-03	
Regionalized-1	--	12	--	--	--	
Regionalized-2	11	12	--	--	--	
Regionalized-3	--	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-4	7	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-5	4	6	--	--	--	
Regionalized-6	--	2	--	--	--	
Regionalized-7	--	2	--	--	--	
Centralized-1	--	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-2	--	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-3	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-4	7	1	--	--	--	
Centralized-5	1	1	--	--	--	

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-18.2-4. WVDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Fatality

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment			Disposal Hypothetical Farm Family - Most Exposed Lifetime MEI Cancer Fatality Probability
	T	D	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		Noninvolved Worker MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	
			MEI Cancer Fatality Probability	Offsite MEI Cancer Fatality Probability		
No Action	10**	6	5.3E-11	4.2E-11	1.3E-04	--
Decentralized	--	16	1.9E-11	1.5E-11	--	--
Regionalized-1	--	12	3.1E-11	2.4E-11	--	--
Regionalized-2	11	12	3.1E-11	2.5E-11	--	--
Regionalized-3	--	6	3.1E-11	2.4E-11	--	--
Regionalized-4	7	6	3.1E-11	2.5E-11	--	--
Regionalized-5	4	6	3.1E-11	2.5E-11	--	--
Regionalized-6	--	2	3.1E-11	2.4E-11	--	--
Regionalized-7	--	2	3.1E-11	2.4E-11	--	--
Centralized 1	--	1	3.1E-11	2.4E-11	--	--
Centralized 2	--	1	3.1E-11	2.4E-11	--	--
Centralized 3	7	1	3.1E-11	2.5E-11	--	--
Centralized 4	7	1	3.1E-11	2.5E-11	--	--
Centralized 5	1	1	3.1E-11	2.5E-11	--	--

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the Alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-18.2-5. WVDP—LLW—Treatment and Disposal: MEI Probability of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Treatment												Disposal		
			Offsite Population MEI						Noninvolved Worker MEI						Hypothetical Farm Family Most Exposed Lifetime MEI		
	T	D	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability	Dose (rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence Probability	Radiation Genetic Effects Probability			
No Action	10**	6	1.1E-07	1.8E-10	1.1E-11	8.5E-08	1.4E-10	8.5E-12	2.7E-01	--	--	--	--	--			
Decentralized	--	16	3.7E-08	6.3E-11	3.7E-12	2.9E-08	4.9E-11	2.9E-12	4.5E-04	2.7E-01	4.5E-04	2.7E-05	--	--			
Regionalized-1	--	12	6.1E-08	1.0E-10	6.1E-12	4.8E-08	8.2E-11	4.8E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-2	11	12	6.3E-08	1.1E-10	6.3E-12	5.0E-08	8.4E-11	5.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-3	--	6	6.1E-08	1.0E-10	6.1E-12	4.8E-08	8.2E-11	4.8E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-4	7	6	6.3E-08	1.1E-10	6.3E-12	5.0E-08	8.4E-11	5.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-5	4	6	6.3E-08	1.1E-10	6.3E-12	4.8E-08	8.2E-11	4.8E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-6	--	2	6.1E-08	1.0E-10	6.1E-12	4.8E-08	8.2E-11	4.8E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Regionalized-7	--	2	6.1E-08	1.0E-10	6.1E-12	4.8E-08	8.2E-11	4.8E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-1	--	1	6.1E-08	1.0E-10	6.1E-12	4.8E-08	8.2E-11	4.8E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-2	--	1	6.1E-08	1.0E-10	6.1E-12	4.8E-08	8.2E-11	4.8E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-3	7	1	6.3E-08	1.1E-10	6.3E-12	5.0E-08	8.4E-11	5.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-4	7	1	6.3E-08	1.1E-10	6.3E-12	5.0E-08	8.4E-11	5.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			
Centralized-5	1	1	6.3E-08	1.1E-10	6.3E-12	5.0E-08	8.4E-11	5.0E-12	--	--	--	--	--	--			

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
MEI = Maximally Exposed Individual
-- = Disposal is not considered under the alternative.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-18.2-6. WVDP—LLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)										Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)									
	T	D	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	10**	6	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	1 (0/1)								
Decentralized	--	16	93 (59/34)	161 (155/7)	0	12 (12/0)	15 (15/0)	19 (15/4)	18 (1/17)	5 (2/3)	0	0	2 (0/2)									
Regionalized-1	--	12	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Regionalized-2	11	12	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Regionalized-3	--	6	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Regionalized-4	7	6	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Regionalized-5	4	6	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Regionalized-6	--	2	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Regionalized-7	--	2	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Centralized-1	--	1	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Centralized-2	--	1	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Centralized-3	7	1	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Centralized-4	7	1	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									
Centralized-5	1	1	27 (9/18)	28 (24/4)	0	2 (2/0)	2 (2/0)	4 (2/2)	8 (0/8)	2 (0/2)	0	0	1 (0/1)									

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions / worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions / mobile-source emission)

** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-18.2-8. WVDP-LLW-Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance											
	T	D	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	10**	6	0
Decentralized	..	16	0
Regionalized-1	..	12	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0
Regionalized-3	..	6	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0
Regionalized-6	..	2	0
Regionalized-7	..	2	0
Centralized-1	..	1	0
Centralized-2	..	1	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	T	D	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	10**	6	
Decentralized	..	16	
Regionalized-1	..	12	
Regionalized-2	11	12	
Regionalized-3	..	6	
Regionalized-4	7	6	
Regionalized-5	4	6	
Regionalized-6	..	2	
Regionalized-7	..	2	
Centralized-1	..	1	
Centralized-2	..	1	
Centralized-3	7	1	
Centralized-4	7	1	
Centralized-5	1	1	

Notes:
 T= Treatment
 D = Disposal
 .. = Emissions of this hazardous or toxic air pollutant are assumed to be negligible.
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction.

Table II-18.2-7. WVDP—LLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Construction					
			Percent of Tons/Year General Conformity Rule (1)					
			CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC
No Action	10**							
Decentralized		6						
Regionalized-1		16						
Regionalized-2	11	12						
Regionalized-3		6						
Regionalized-4	7	6						
Regionalized-5	4	6						
Regionalized-6		2						
Regionalized-7		2						
Centralized-1		1						
Centralized-2		1						
Centralized-3	7	1						
Centralized-4	7	1						
Centralized-5	1	1						

LLW Alternatives	T	D	Operations & Maintenance																				
			Percent of Tons/Year Standard or Guideline (2)						Percent of NAAQS Concentration (3)														
			CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC									
No Action	10**		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Decentralized		16	1	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-3		6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-6		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-7		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-2		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration, GCR = General Conformity Rule.
 CO = carbon monoxide, NO2 = nitrogen dioxide, Pb = lead, PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, SO2 = sulfur dioxide, VOC = volatile organic compounds.
 NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
 (1) WIPP is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR do not apply.
 (2) Percent of either PSD or GCR tons per year (tpy) limit as indicated by pollutant footnote.
 (3) The value presented is the highest of all NAAQS averaging periods for that pollutant. Stationary-source emissions for the minimum treatment (no incineration) alternatives, and the Centralized-5 Alternatives, are assumed to be negligible.
 (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-18.2-10. WVDP—LLW—Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater (Percent of Comparison Criteria) for Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Au	Am	Am	Am	C	Cs	Cs	Cm	Cm	Cm	Cm	I	Pb	Np	N	N	Pu	Pu	Pu	Pu
	T	D																				
No Action	10**	5	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	222
Decentralized	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	6	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-2	11	6	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-3	11	6	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-4	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-5	4	2	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-6	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-7	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-1	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-2	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-3	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-4	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-5	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Po	K	Pb	Ra	Ra	Sm	Sb	Sr	To	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	Th	U	U	U	U	U	V
	T	D																					
No Action	10**	5	210	40	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221	221
Decentralized	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regionalized-1	11	6	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-2	11	6	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-3	11	6	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-4	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-5	4	2	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-6	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Regionalized-7	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-1	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-2	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-3	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-4	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Centralized-5	7	4	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72

Notes:

- T = Treatment
- D = Disposal
- ** Ten sites use existing facilities for Volume Reduction
- - - Disposal is not considered for this Alternative.

Table II-18.2-9. WVDP—LLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Construction				Operations			
	T	D	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow	
No Action	10**	6	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Decentralized	--	16	58800	84.0	--	5618	8.0	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-1	--	12	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-2	11	12	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-3	--	6	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-4	7	6	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-5	4	6	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-6	--	2	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Regionalized-7	--	2	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Centralized-1	--	1	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Centralized-2	--	1	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Centralized-3	7	1	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Centralized-4	7	1	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	
Centralized-5	1	1	6447	9.2	--	2588	3.7	--	<0.1	

Notes:
 T = Treatment
 D = Disposal
 Water supplied by surface water in two onsite reservoirs. Current water use = 70,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to Buttermilk Creek. Average flow rate of the creek = 41,000,000 gallons/day.
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-18.2-11. WVDP—LLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
	T	D	Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI
				Jobs	% Change in ROI (2)	Annual Income (Millions)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	10**	6	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Decentralized	--	16	542	628	0.11	7.16	0.04	0.05
Regionalized-1	--	12	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-2	11	12	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-3	--	6	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-4	7	6	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-5	4	6	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-6	--	2	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Regionalized-7	--	2	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Centralized-1	--	1	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Centralized-2	--	1	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Centralized-3	7	1	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Centralized-4	7	1	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02
Centralized-5	1	1	291	337	0.06	3.85	0.02	0.02

Notes:
T = Treatment
D = Disposal
(1) In 1990 dollars.
(2) Compared to 1990 baseline.
** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

Table II-18.2-12. WVDP—LLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Treatment and Disposal

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Effect of Implementation of Alternatives										
			Land Use			Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)	
	T	D	Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Peak Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
No Action	10**	6	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Decentralized	--	16	18	10.9	58800	53.45	5618	8.03	8.49	130	643	41	
Regionalized-1	--	12	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Regionalized-2	11	12	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Regionalized-3	--	6	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Regionalized-4	7	6	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Regionalized-5	4	6	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Regionalized-6	--	2	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Regionalized-7	--	2	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Centralized-1	--	1	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Centralized-2	--	1	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Centralized-3	7	1	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Centralized-4	7	1	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	
Centralized-5	1	1	4.0	2.40	6447	5.86	2588	3.70	0.70	10.75	139	13	

Notes:

T = Treatment

D = Disposal

** = Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction.

GPD = Gallons per Day

MW = Megawatts Electric

Table II-18.2-13. WVDP—LLW—Cost

LLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)				Cost by Functional Area (1)		
	T	D		Pre-Operations (Millions)	Construction (Millions)	Operations & Maintenance (Millions)	Decontamination & Decommissioning (Millions)	Treatment (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Disposal (Millions)
No Action	10**	6	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Decentralized	--	16	614	49	152	385	28	306	0	308
Regionalized-1	--	12	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Regionalized-2	11	12	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Regionalized-3	--	6	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Regionalized-4	7	6	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Regionalized-5	4	6	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Regionalized-6	--	2	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Regionalized-7	--	2	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Centralized-1	--	1	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Centralized-2	--	1	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Centralized-3	7	1	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Centralized-4	7	1	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0
Centralized-5	1	1	330	27	80	210	13	330	0	0

Notes:
 ** Ten sites use existing facilities for volume reduction

T = Treatment
 D = Disposal

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.
 (1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of the Life Cycle Components = Sum of the Functional Areas.

II.18.3 WVDP TRUW

WVDP has a small amount of TRUW which factored into the transportation analysis but did not warrant analysis of impacts onsite. Therefore, Section 18.3 has been intentionally left blank.

II.18.4 WVDP HLW

Nine of the 15 impact categories apply to HLW at WVDP. These impacts are presented in the following tables.

<u>Impact Category</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	1.	WVDP—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Fatalities	II-18.4-1	18-32
	2.	WVDP—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects	II-18.4-2	18-33
	7.	WVDP—HLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants	II-18.4-3	18-34
	8.	WVDP—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants	II-18.4-4	18-35
	9.	WVDP—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants	II-18.4-5	18-36
	10.	WVDP—HLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use	II-18.4-6	18-37
	13.	WVDP—HLW—Socioeconomic Impacts for Storage	II-18.4-7	18-38
	14.	WVDP—HLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Storage	II-18.4-8	18-39
	15.	WVDP—HLW—Cost	II-18.4-9	18-40

Table II-18.4-1. WVDP—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Fatalities

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	WM Workers	
		Radiation Exposure	Physical Hazards
No Action	4	5.3E-02	2.3E-02
Decentralized	4	5.3E-02	2.3E-02
Regionalized-1	3	3.2E-02	1.4E-02
Regionalized-2	3	4.5E-02	1.9E-02
Centralized	1	4.5E-02	1.9E-02

Table II-18.4-2. WVDP—HLW—Storage: Estimated Number of Cancer Incidences and Genetic Effects

HLW Alternatives	Number of Storage Sites	WM Workers		
		Dose (person-rem)	Radiation Cancer Incidence	Radiation Genetic Effects
No Action	4	2.0E+02	2.8E-01	1.2E-02
Decentralized	4	1.9E+02	2.7E-01	1.2E-02
Regionalized-1	3	1.2E+02	1.7E-01	7.4E-03
Regionalized-2	3	1.7E+02	2.3E-01	1.0E-02
Centralized	1	1.7E+02	2.3E-01	1.0E-02

Table II-18.4-3. WVDP—HLW—Emissions in Tons per Year of Criteria Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction Emissions in Tons/Year (1)							Operations & Maintenance Emissions in Tons/Year (2)						
		CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Decentralized	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Regionalized-2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		
Centralized	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0/2)	0	0	0	0	0		

Notes:

S = Storage

Data is based on repository beginning to accept HLW canisters in 2015.

Emissions <1 ton per year are shown as zeros. VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds.

CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.

(1) Values = total emissions (equipment emissions/worker vehicles emission)

(2) Values = total emissions (stationary-source emissions/mobile-source emission)

Table II-18.4-4. WVDP—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Criteria Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction						
		Percent of Tons/Year						
		General Conformity Rule (1)						
S	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	VOC		
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized - 2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Operations & Maintenance										
		Percent of Tons/Year										
		Standard or Guideline (2)										
S	CO (4)	NO2 (4)	Pb (4)	PM10 (4)	SO2 (4)	VOC (4)	CO	NO2	Pb	PM10	SO2	
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized - 1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Regionalized - 2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Notes:

- S = Storage
- Percentages <1% are shown as zeros. PSD = Prevention of Significant Deterioration. GCR = General Conformity Rule.
- CO = carbon monoxide. NO2 = nitrogen dioxide. Pb = lead. PM10 = particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter. SO2 = sulfur dioxide.
- VOC = volatile organic compounds. NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
- (1) WVDP is in an attainment area for all criteria pollutants, therefore the GCR does not apply.
- (2) Stationary-source emissions from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.
- (3) Stationary-source emissions from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.
- (4) Attainment area for this pollutant, therefore PSD increment levels are applied. Values are for stationary-source emissions only.

Table II-18.4-5. WVDP—HLW—Percent of Standard/Guideline for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Pollutants

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	S	Total Radionuclides	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro-methane	Butyl Alcohol	Carbon Tetra-chloride	Chloro-form	Chloro-methane	Chromium VI	Cyanide	1,2-Dichloro-ethane	Lead
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Operations & Maintenance										
	S	Total Radionuclides	Methanol	Methylene Chloride	Selenium	Silver	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-ethane	1,2,2-Trichloro, 1,1-Trifluoro-ethane	1,1,1-Trichloro-ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-ethane	Trichloro-fluoro-methane	Vinyl Chloride	
No Action	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Notes:
 S = Storage
 - - = Emissions of hazardous and toxic air pollutants, including radionuclides, from HLW storage facilities are assumed to be negligible.

Table II-18.4-6. WVDP—HLW—Impacts on Water Resources Due to Increased Water Use

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Construction			Operations			
		Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Water Use GPD	% Current Use	% Stream Flow	Waste Water % Stream Flow
No Action	4	--	--	--	1,000	1.4	<0.1	<0.1
Decentralized	4	--	--	--	1,000	1.4	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	--	1,000	1.4	<0.1	<0.1
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	--	1,000	1.4	<0.1	<0.1
Centralized	1	--	--	--	1,000	1.4	<0.1	<0.1

Notes:
 S = Storage
 Water supplied by surface water from 2 onsite reservoirs. Current water use = 70,000 gallons/day.
 Wastewater discharged to Buttermilk Creek. Average flow rate of Buttermilk Creek = 41,000,000 gallons/day.
 Data is based on repository beginning to accept HLW canisters in 2015.
 -- = Construction is not considered at WVDP.
 <0.1 indicates that the percentage is less than 0.1%.

Table II-18.4-7. WVDP--HLW--Socioeconomic Impacts for Storage

HLW Alternatives	S	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives					
		Cost (Millions) (1)	Jobs		Income		% ROI Population Increase (2)
			Annual Jobs	% Annual Change in ROI (2)	ROI Annual Income (Millions) (1)	% Change in Annual Income	
No Action	4	19	21	0.00	0.2	0.00	0.00
Decentralized	4	19	21	0.00	0.2	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-1	3	27	30	0.01	0.3	0.00	0.00
Regionalized-2	3	27	30	0.01	0.3	0.00	0.00
Centralized	1	27	30	0.01	0.3	0.00	0.00

Notes:
 S = Storage
 (1) In 1990 dollars. The economic multiplies analysis only was applied to costs through 2015.
 (2) Compared to 1990 baseline.

Table II-18.4-8. WVDP—HLW—Infrastructure Impacts for Storage

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites	Effect of Implementation of Alternatives										
		Land Use		Water		Waste Water		Power		Employment (FTE)		
		Acres Required	% of Designated or Suitable Land Area	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Demand (GPD)	% Current Capacity	Power Required (MW)	% Current Capacity	Construction Employment	% of Current Employment (1)	
No Action	4	--	--	1,000	0.9	1,000	1.4	1,000	0.04	0.54	--	--
Decentralized	4	--	--	1,000	0.9	1,000	1.4	1,000	0.04	0.54	--	--
Regionalized-1	3	--	--	1,000	0.9	1,000	1.4	1,000	0.04	0.54	--	--
Regionalized-2	3	--	--	1,000	0.9	1,000	1.4	1,000	0.04	0.54	--	--
Centralized	1	--	--	1,000	0.9	1,000	1.4	1,000	0.04	0.54	--	--

Notes:

- S = Storage
- GPD = Gallons per Day
- MW = Megawatts Electric
- FTE = Full Time Equivalent
- (1) Based on 1991 Site Employment.
- = Construction is not considered at WVDP.

Table II-18.4-9. WVDP—HLW—Cost

HLW Alternatives	Number of Sites		Total Cost (Millions) (1)	Cost by Life-Cycle Component (1)		Cost by Functional Area (1)	
	S			Construction (Millions) (2)	Operations & Maintenance (3) (Millions)	Storage (Millions)	Handling (Millions)
No Action	4		30	10	20	12	18
Decentralized	4		29	10	19	11	18
Regionalized-1	3		29	10	19	11	18
Regionalized-2	3		29	10	19	11	18
Centralized	1		29	10	19	11	18
Centralized Delayed Acceptance	1		29	10	19	11	18

Notes:

S = Storage

The Life Cycle Components and the Functional Area have been rounded to the nearest million, and therefore the sum may not reflect the true total cost.

(1) In 1994 Dollars; Total Cost = Sum of Life Cycle Components = Sum of Functional Areas.

(2) Construction costs are for the interim storage facilities.

(3) Operations and maintenance costs include operation and maintenance of the interim storage facilities, and the handling of canisters (unloading/loading of canisters into or out of the interim storage facilities).