

**APPENDIX E. CONSTRUCTION, MITIGATION, AND
RECLAMATION PLAN**

Page Intentionally Left Blank



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

DRAFT CONSTRUCTION, MITIGATION, AND RECLAMATION PLAN

Prepared by:

North Plains Connector LLC



July 2026

**NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR LLC
NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT
DRAFT CONSTRUCTION, MITIGATION, AND RECLAMATION PLAN**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	PLAN PURPOSE.....	2
2.0	OTHER PROJECT-SPECIFIC PLANS.....	2
2.1	CONSTRAINTS MAPPING.....	4
3.0	LANDOWNER COORDINATION.....	4
3.1	LANDOWNER NOTIFICATIONS.....	4
3.2	LANDOWNER COMPENSATION.....	4
4.0	LAND REQUIREMENTS.....	4
4.1	CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE.....	5
5.0	CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES AND BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.....	5
5.1	CONTRACTOR LAYDOWN YARDS AND HELICOPTER FLY YARDS.....	7
5.2	SURVEYING AND STAKING.....	8
5.3	ACCESS ROADS.....	8
	5.3.1 Waterbody Crossings.....	9
	5.3.2 Wetland Crossings.....	11
5.4	VEGETATION CLEARING.....	12
5.5	FIRE MANAGEMENT.....	13
5.6	FUGITIVE DUST CONTROL.....	14
5.7	TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS.....	14
	5.7.1 Erosion Prevention.....	16
	5.7.2 Temporary Stabilization.....	16
	5.7.3 Mulch.....	17
	5.7.4 Temporary Slope Breakers.....	17
5.8	GRADING, EXCAVATION AND FOUNDATION INSTALLATION.....	18
	5.8.1 Grading And Topsoil Segregation.....	18
	5.8.2 Excavation.....	18
	5.8.3 Blasting.....	19
	5.8.4 Foundation Installation.....	19
	5.8.5 Concrete Work.....	19
	5.8.6 Construction Dewatering and Discharge.....	19
5.9	ASSEMBLY AND ERECTION OF STRUCTURES.....	20
5.10	INSTALLATION OF CONDUCTORS AND WIRE PULLING AND TENSIONING.....	21
5.11	FACILITY CONSTRUCTION.....	22
	5.11.1 Converter Station Construction.....	22
	5.11.2 Switchyard.....	23
5.12	CLEANUP AND ROUGH/FINAL GRADING.....	24
6.0	RESTORATION.....	24
6.1	ROCK REMOVAL.....	25
6.2	DECOMPACTION.....	25
6.3	PERMANENT EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS.....	26
	6.3.1 Erosion Control Blankets.....	27
	6.3.2 Project Seed Specifications.....	27
6.4	SEEDING METHODS.....	28
6.5	TEMPORARY REVEGETATION.....	28
6.6	PERMANENT REVEGETATION.....	29

6.6.1	Permanent Seeding of Upland Areas	29
6.6.2	Permanent Seeding of Wetland Areas.....	29
6.6.3	Permanent Seeding of Waterbody Banks	30
6.6.4	Timing 30	
7.0	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE	31
8.0	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	32
8.1	ROUTINE INSPECTIONS.....	33
9.0	PLAN REVISIONS	34
10.0	REFERENCES.....	34

LIST OF TABLES

Table 6.6.4-1	Time of Seeding	30
Table 9.0-1	Plan Revisions.....	34

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.0-1	Typical Construction Sequence	6
--------------	-------------------------------------	---

LIST OF APPENDICES

Attachment A	Federal Land-Management Agency Stipulations
Attachment B	Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan
Attachment C	Blasting Plan
Attachment D	Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan
Attachment E	Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan
Attachment F	Invasive and Noxious Species Management Plan
Attachment G	MBTA Compliance Plan
Attachment H	Paleontological Resources Management and Mitigation Plan
Attachment I	Plan for the Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials
Attachment J	Spill Prevention and Response Plan
Attachment K	Traffic and Transportation Management Plan
Attachment L	General Permits
Attachment M	Typicals
Attachment N	Seed Mixes
Attachment O	Montana Greater Sage Grouse Mitigation Plan

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AC	alternating current
AIMP	Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan
APLIC	Avian Power Line Interaction Committee
ATV	all-terrain vehicle
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	best management practice
CMRP	Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan
DC	direct current
EHV	extra high voltage
EI	Environmental Inspector
FSH	Forest Service Handbook
Grid United	Grid United LLC
HVDC	high-voltage direct current
HVDC Transmission Line	new 525-kV HVDC electric transmission line
INS	invasive and noxious species
kV	kilovolt
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MDEQ	Montana Department of Environmental Quality
MISO	Midcontinent Independent System Operator
Morton County Converter Station	new AC/DC converter station in Morton County, North Dakota
Morton County Switchyard	new switchyard in Morton County, North Dakota
Morton Transmission Line	new 345-kV EHV AC transmission line in Morton County, North Dakota
NDDEQ	North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Corporation
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
OHWM	Ordinary High Water Mark
Oliver Transmission Line	new 345-kV EHV AC transmission line in Morton and Oliver counties, North Dakota
Oliver County Substation	new Oliver County Substation, North Dakota constructed by a third party
OPGW	optical ground wire
Plan	Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan
Project	North Plains Connector Project
Project workspace	specific workspaces used to perform construction activities
Rosebud County Converter Station	new AC/DC converter station in Rosebud County, Montana

Rosebud Transmission Line	Four new single circuit 500-kV EHV AC electrical transmission lines in Rosebud County, Montana
SPP	Southwest Power Pool
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA ARS	U.S. Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Station
USDA-NRCS	U.S. Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains), a Delaware limited liability company formed pursuant to Section 18-201 of the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, has prepared this Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan (CMRP or Plan) for the North Plains Connector Project (Project), a proposed interregional electric connector line. North Plains, an affiliate of Grid United LLC (Grid United), is a Houston, Texas-based company developing next generation energy infrastructure to power the future. Grid United is focused on the infrastructure needed to make the United States power grid more modern, efficient, reliable, and secure.

As proposed, the Project will extend from a location near Colstrip, Montana to two separate end points in North Dakota—one near the town of Center and the other near St. Anthony. The Project is a bidirectional line to move electricity east or west between the Eastern and Western Interconnections (also referred to as the eastern and western grids) in response to the growing need to move power across long distances to improve the reliability and resiliency of the grid. The Eastern and Western Interconnections are the two largest electrical grids in North America. Specifically, the Project will connect the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) electrical power markets in the western grid with the Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and Southwest Power Pool (SPP) of the eastern grid. The Project will sell capacity without preference towards a particular generation technology. Portions of the Project or capacity rights may be owned by electric utilities, cooperatives, government entities, corporate energy providers, or independent generators in the WECC, MISO, or SPP regional power systems.

1.1 PLAN PURPOSE

North Plains developed this CMRP to describe the construction procedures and mitigation that North Plains will implement during construction to reduce potential impacts related to the Project. The CMRP also describes the restoration and reclamation process that North Plains will implement when construction is complete.

The Plan is based on industry best management practices (BMPs), North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) requirements, and federal, state, and local authorizations applicable to the Project. North Plains will attempt to consolidate the mitigation measures required for this Project in the CMRP and associated plans to the extent practicable both to facilitate review by the agencies and to facilitate compliance. However, where permits, grants, licenses, or easements conflict with this Plan, the requirements in the permits, grants, licenses, or easements will take precedent over this Plan to the extent they do not violate any other permit conditions.

Attachment A includes stipulations that North Plains will implement on lands crossed by the Project that are owned and managed by federal agencies, including the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), U.S. Forest Service (USFS), and U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service (USDA ARS).

2.0 OTHER PROJECT-SPECIFIC PLANS

Based on the evaluation of resources crossed by the Project, past Project experience, and agency coordination, North Plains has prepared or is currently preparing the following Project-specific mitigation plans to be incorporated as attachments to this CMRP.

- Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan (AIMP) (see Attachment B): The Project crosses agricultural land use areas, including rangeland that is managed for livestock. This includes the USDA ARS Fort Keogh site. The AIMP includes avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures to address potential damage to drain tile/lines, interference with irrigation system, segregation of topsoil, soil decompaction, fence repair, livestock management, stone removal, and special mitigation where crossing or adjacent to specialty practices such as organic farms or apiaries.
- Avian Protection Plan (in preparation): North Plains will develop an Avian Protection Plan in coordination with Project operators that will describe the measures that North Plains will implement to mitigate the risk of bird collisions with power lines. North Plains will develop this Avian Protection Plan in accordance with the Avian Power Line Interaction Committee's (APLIC's) Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines (2006), APLIC's Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines (2012), and APLIC's and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) Avian Protection Plan Guidelines (2005).
- Blasting Plan (see Attachment C): The Project is located in an area of shallow bedrock and North Plains may need to perform blasting to facilitate the excavation of foundations for transmission pole and facility infrastructure. The Blasting Plan outlines the standard procedures that North Plains will implement during blasting, including safety precautions and notification procedures.

- Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan (see Attachment D): The Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan describes the safety measures that North Plains will implement during construction activities to prevent fires, and the emergency procedures North Plains will implement in the event of a fire, including notifications.
- Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan (see Attachment E): The Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan describes the procedures that will be implemented during construction to outline proper handling, storage, and disposal of all solid and hazardous materials and wastes that are used or generated as a result of the Project.
- Invasive and Noxious Species (INS) Management Plan (see Attachment F): The INS Management Plan includes both the Montana Noxious Weed and Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan and North Dakota Noxious Weed Management Plan. These plans describe the applicable laws and invasive and noxious species management requirements specified by federal, state and local agencies. Additionally, these plans describe the measures that North Plains will implement to manage the spread of these species during construction.
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) Compliance Plan (see Attachment G): The MBTA Compliance Plan will document the measures North Plains has implemented or will implement to avoid, minimize, and mitigate potential impacts on migratory birds, including bald and golden eagles, consistent with the MBTA and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.
- Paleontological Resources Management and Mitigation Plan (see Attachment H): The Paleontological Resources Management and Mitigation Plan outlines the procedures to be followed upon an unanticipated discovery of paleontological resources, including the notification procedures for findings on federal and state lands.
- Plan for the Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials (see Attachment I): The Plan for the Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials presents key procedures for managing contaminated material encountered during Project work. This Plan applies to material contaminated as a result of historical activities or events that occurred prior to the Project commencing.
- Post-Review Discovery Plan for Cultural Resources and Human Remains (in preparation): The Post-Review Discovery Plan for Cultural Resources and Human Remains outlines the procedures that North Plains will follow upon an unanticipated discovery of an archaeological or historic resource or human remains. This includes the notification procedures for findings on federal and state lands and stop work procedures.
- Montana Greater Sage Grouse Mitigation Plan (Attachment O): North Plains will develop the Sage Grouse Mitigation Plan to ensure compliance with the requirements of Executive Order 12-2015, the Montana Greater Sage Grouse Stewardship Act of 2015, and to support state permitting in Montana.
- Spill Prevention and Response Plan (see Attachment J): The Spill Prevention and Response Plan describes the methods used to prevent, control, and respond to

spills of hazardous substances that may affect surface waters. The Spill Prevention and Response Plan also discusses the proper storage and handling of hazardous substances.

- Traffic and Transportation Management Plan (see Attachment K): The Traffic and Transportation Management Plan describes the strategies that will be implemented during Project construction to minimize or prevent impacts to traffic and transportation corridors resulting from construction-related activities.

2.1 CONSTRAINTS MAPPING

Prior to construction, North Plains will prepare constraints maps for the Project that will identify sensitive environmental features such as wetlands, waterbodies, and associated buffer zones. North Plains will include notations on the constraints map to direct the personnel to the appropriate environmental plans/or permit conditions that stipulate the activities, restrictions, and/or BMPs to be employed at each environmental feature.

3.0 LANDOWNER COORDINATION

North Plains will work cooperatively with landowners before, during, and after the construction process regarding easements, rights-of-way, structure locations, restoration, and maintenance. This coordination and cooperation are in recognition of the fact that, in most locations under private ownership, North Plains will have an easement for the Project right-of-way. North Plains does not own the property in fee simple and, in large part, the landowners' use of their property, including the right-of-way, will continue after the Project is constructed and operational.

For example, land that is in cultivated agricultural production will likely return to cultivation; similarly, pastureland temporarily disturbed during construction will be reclaimed with grasses and forbs similar to the rest of the parcel for grazing. In this way, a transmission line right-of-way is distinct from vegetation management for other types of energy infrastructure wherein the project operator owns the associated property. Therefore, restoration efforts target reclamation to pre-existing conditions and use, where practicable, and consistent with safe and reliable transmission line operation.

3.1 LANDOWNER NOTIFICATIONS

North Plains will notify landowners prior to vegetation clearing activities, as required by applicable permit conditions or in the land rights agreement with the landowner.

3.2 LANDOWNER COMPENSATION

North Plains' agreements with landowners will address restoration and/or compensation obligations regarding damages to private property caused by construction, operation, maintenance, and repairs of the Project.

4.0 LAND REQUIREMENTS

Operation of the Project will require easements for an operational right-of-way that extends the length of each transmission line segment. However, construction activities will not occur over the entire operational right-of-way. North Plains has designed both permanent and temporary construction workspaces and access roads to avoid or minimize overlap with areas of steep

terrain and culturally and environmentally sensitive areas, to the extent practicable. The combined permanent and temporary construction workspaces and access roads are collectively referred to as the Project workspace. Construction activities will be limited to the authorized Project workspace.

4.1 CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

North Plains anticipates the total construction timeframe for the Project to be approximately three to four years. North Plains anticipates that transmission line construction activities will occur concurrently with construction activities at the converter station and switchyard locations. Construction is anticipated to occur year-round, weather permitting, except for areas that have applicable timing restrictions to protect sensitive species and as subject to permit conditions. Delays due to weather, material delivery, and natural resource time of year restrictions may extend the construction timeline. Further, the start of construction will be dependent upon receipt of required permits and authorizations.


Project construction will typically occur during a 6-day work week, Monday through Saturday. A typical construction workday will consist of at least 10 hours, occurring mostly during daytime hours, between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. in the summertime. However, weather conditions, site conditions, emergencies, or other circumstances may necessitate extended work outside of typical workday hours, including work at night and on Sundays and holidays.

5.0 CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES AND BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Construction of an overhead transmission line requires several sequential activities in a coordinated manner within the Project workspace. Figure 5.0-1 and this section describe the major construction activities listed below and approximate construction sequence.

- Mobilization and preparation of contractor laydown yards and helicopter fly yards
- Surveying and staking
- Development of access roads and overland travel
- Vegetation clearing
- Installation of erosion and sediment control BMPs
- Foundation installation
- Structure setting
- Installation of ground rods or counterpoise
- Installation of conductors and optical ground wire (OPGW)
- Wire stringing and clipping
- Site cleanup and reclamation

Some construction techniques are a form of mitigation designed to reduce potential impacts to resources. This section describes these construction techniques, in addition to the BMPs that North Plains will implement during the execution of construction activities to further avoid, minimize, or mitigate resource impacts.



5.1 CONTRACTOR LAYDOWN YARDS AND HELICOPTER FLY YARDS

As the first step in the construction process, North Plains will mobilize staff and equipment to prepare contractor laydown yards. The contractor laydown yards will house temporary trailer(s) and portable concrete batch plants, include space for helicopter fly yards, and serve as a delivery and staging area for construction materials. Contractor laydown yards will stage materials, such as storage containers, portable toilets, dumpsters, construction mats, tools, and equipment.

North Plains will prepare the contractor laydown yards by, where necessary:

- installing erosion and sediment control BMPs described in Section 5.7;
- grading and leveling uneven surfaces, as described in Section 5.8;
- stripping and stockpiling of topsoil, as described in Section 5.8.1;
- installing gravel or rock tracking pads near entry/exit points, as described in Section 5.3;
- installing culvert(s) as described in Section 5.3.1; and
- installing power, security measures, and fencing.

North Plains will typically complete this work using standard construction equipment such as backhoes, skid steers, bulldozers and dump trucks.

Fill materials that may be used to construct and maintain the contractor yards and helicopter fly yards during construction of the Project may include aggregate materials such as gravel, sand, and clay. North Plains may use materials obtained on-site from grading or excavation activities for reincorporation as foundation base. Where suitable materials are not available on-site or approved, North Plains will purchase these materials locally, where possible. Fill materials will not contain unsuitable material (e.g., trash, debris, asphalt) and will be free of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts. Topsoil will not be used as fill.

Depending on landowner preferences and subject to applicable regulatory approvals, North Plains may leave contractor laydown yards in place or return the yards to prior conditions following completion of construction activities, as described in Section 6.

North Plains will use portable concrete batch plants at contractor laydown yards to dispense concrete for use in structure foundations. Equipment typically required at a batch plant site includes gas- or diesel-powered generators, concrete trucks, front-end loaders, skid loaders, dump trucks, transport trucks and trailers, water tanks, concrete storage tanks, scales, and job site trailers. North Plains may also need to use commercial ready-mix concrete instead of installing a concrete batch plant when access by commercial transport trucks to structure construction sites is not feasible.

North Plains may use helicopters to facilitate structure setting and/or wire pulling/tensioning of the lines. Therefore, North Plains may require land rights for temporary helicopter fly yards. North Plains will preferably site helicopter fly yards adjacent to the contractor laydown yards. North Plains will prepare the helicopter fly yards in the same way as a contractor laydown yard, including grading or leveling of uneven surfaces ; stripping and stockpiling of topsoil; installing gravel or

rock tracking pads near entry and exit points; and installing culvert(s), power, and fencing as needed.

5.2 SURVEYING AND STAKING

North Plains will confine all construction equipment and vehicles to the Project workspace described in Section 4. North Plains will flag or stake the boundaries of the Project workspace in a manner that visually identifies the boundaries of the Project workspace and keeps construction activities within the authorized Project workspace. In addition, North Plains will install signs, flagging, or construction fencing for the following environmental features along the Project workspace and access roads so they can be easily identified by Project personnel and managed as described in the applicable permit applications.

- Wetland boundaries and waterbody access crossing locations.
- Drainages/drain tiles as identified by counties and landowners.
- Hiking and hunter walking trails, snowmobile, and all-terrain vehicle (ATV) trails, winter access roads, and other recreational areas as required by permit conditions.
- Buffer zones for environmentally sensitive features, including archaeological and historic sites, rare plants or ecological communities, and other sensitive wildlife species and/or habitats per agency consultations. Signs will not disclose the specific location and/or species or feature type where laws require resource protection.

North Plains will contact the state One Call systems in both Montana and North Dakota to locate, identify, and flag existing underground utilities to prevent accidental damage during construction. Generally, these activities are completed by a two-person crew travelling by foot, ATV, or pick-up truck.

5.3 ACCESS ROADS

North Plains will maintain existing roads without improvement, improve existing roads, or build new roads as needed and approved through applicable permits and agreements. Maintenance activities may include tree trimming, back-blading, and placement of fill where needed on the existing road grade and as agreed upon with the road authority. North Plains may add soil or gravel fill to maintain or improve existing roads or to develop permanent access roads, if needed.

North Plains will design temporary and permanent access roads in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local requirements, as well as industry design standards. North Plains will obtain the applicable permits or authorizations for temporary and permanent access roads located on federally managed lands.

Waterbody crossings on existing and new permanent access roads will be retained as permanent improvements, unless otherwise specified. Permanent waterbody crossings may also be necessary at select locations on new temporary and overland travel access roads to provide operational access to Project structures. Where retained, permanent waterbody crossings will be maintained according to applicable permits. Appropriate agency approvals will be acquired for both temporary and permanent waterbody crossings. Wetland and waterbody crossings are discussed in greater detail in Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2.

Fill materials that may be used to construct and maintain access roads during construction of the Project may include aggregate materials such as gravel, sand, and clay. North Plains may use materials obtained on-site from grading or excavation activities for reincorporation as road base along access roads. Where suitable materials are not available on-site or approved for use, North Plains will purchase these materials locally, where possible. Fill materials will not contain unsuitable material (e.g., trash, debris, asphalt) and will be free of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts. Topsoil will not be used as fill.

North Plains will minimize vehicle tracking of soil from construction sites by implementing BMPs such as installing rock access pads or construction mats, reducing equipment/vehicle access to the construction workspace where practicable, and using off-right-of-way parking or equivalent practices. North Plains will install rock or construction mat access pads in accordance with state or local road authority specifications. If such BMPs are not adequately preventing sediment from being tracked onto paved public roads, North Plains will conduct street sweeping or other equivalent means of collecting sediment in accordance with the Storm Water Construction General Permits administered by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) and the North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality (NDDEQ) (provided in Attachment L).

The Project may require snow removal to allow safe access to the Project workspace. North Plains will manage snow within the Project workspace with equipment such as a grader, snowplow, or bulldozer and then stockpile the snow along the edge of the Project workspace. North Plains will install snowblower attachments on compatible equipment to minimize scraping off underlying soil or gravel during snow removal. North Plains will confine equipment to the Project workspace and will not push or blow snow onto environmentally sensitive features outside of the Project workspace.

After construction, North Plains will return temporary access roads and overland travel lanes to their pre-construction condition (as described in Section 6) unless the road authority, landowner, or land-managing agency requests that the improvements be left in place. North Plains will remove all temporary infrastructure in wetlands or waterbodies such as bridges, construction mats, and other fill material as required by applicable permits and authorizations. During operations, North Plains will maintain permanent access roads to facilities and to facilitate maintenance of the transmission line segments throughout Project operation. Access roads may consist of dirt, gravel, asphalt, concrete, or another hard surface. Also, once reclaimed, the areas previously occupied by temporary access roads may be used for overland travel during operation.

Surface cross drains, such as drivable dips, waterbars, rolls in profile, open slotted culverts, metal bars or rubber water diverters will be incorporated into the design of roads, as appropriate based on site-specific conditions and the duration of road use. The intent of cross drains is to collect and discharge water from the road in a manner that minimizes impacts to the watershed and maintains the integrity of the road (Copstead et al., 1998). Additional erosion and sediment control BMPs, described in Section 5.7, will also be installed during the construction and use of access roads, as appropriate.

5.3.1 Waterbody Crossings

Generally, the bridges and culverts associated with existing roads will be sufficient to allow the passage of construction equipment and vehicles. However, in some cases, the Project may require improvements to existing infrastructure, such as:

- air bridges or construction mats over existing infrastructure;

- extension of culverts to widen the travel lane; and
- additional in-stream supports.

Where there is a potential for stormwater runoff or rain events to transport sediment downstream from equipment crossing a waterbody, North Plains may install the following infrastructure at road approaches to Project workspace and across waterbodies, upon approval by the applicable agencies and as appropriate for site-specific conditions:

- Clear span bridges: Typically used to cross narrow waterbodies from top of bank to top of bank with stable banks. No direct excavation of the waterbody bed or in-stream supports is required. The bridge deck is often composed of construction mats, but other material may be used. See typical design provided in Attachment M.
- Non-clear span bridges: Typically used to cross wider waterbodies, or where additional stabilization is required to ensure the bridge installation allows for the safe passage of construction equipment and vehicles. Installation of infrastructure or supports within the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) are required. The bridge deck is often composed of construction mats, but other material may be used. See typical design provided in Attachment M.
- Culverts/flumes: Cylinder or box-shaped structures placed in the waterbody channel within the OHWM to allow water flow. The size, number, and shape of the culvert is dependent on the waterbody and the watershed. See Culvert Detail in the Typical included in Attachment M.
- Low-Water Crossing Types: Low water crossings are deployed when the stream channel depth is shallow relative to the width of the channel. This method may be applied when channels have evidence of low velocity or no flow. For low water crossings, the rock surface is coarse to resist movement of the ford. Low water crossings are typically topped with finer gravel to accommodate vehicle traffic. See Rock Low Water Crossing Types design provided in Attachment M.
- Rockfill fords: Appropriate in debris prone headwater channels. Class V to VII riprap is keyed into the channel banks, and then Class III riprap is placed on top of the porous rock as a cap. See Rockfill Ford (without pipe) detail provided in Attachment M.
- Vented rockfill fords: Appropriate in steep topography or incised highly channelized streams where the channel depth is greater than the stream width, particularly in headwater areas. This design type is used especially to retro-fit undersized culverts or prevent stream diversion. A culvert, referred to as the vent, is placed on the stream bottom. Porous rock, typically measuring 15 to 27 inches, is then placed on top of the culvert. Class III rip rap is placed on top of the porous rock as a cap. See Vented Rockfill Ford with Pipes detail provided in Attachment M.
- Permanent culverts/bridges: North Plains will design permanent culverts or bridges in accordance with federal, state, and local requirements, and land-managing agency specifications. The design of permanent culverts or bridges will be dependent on site-specific conditions.

As required by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Nationwide Permit 57 for Utility Line and Telecommunications Activities (2021) (provided in Attachment L), permanent and temporary crossings of waterbodies will be suitably culverted, bridged, or otherwise designed and constructed to maintain low flows to sustain the movement of aquatic species. If a bottomless culvert cannot be used, then the crossing will be designed and constructed to minimize adverse effects to aquatic life movements. Further, waterbody crossings will be constructed to withstand expected high flows and designed to not restrict or impede the passage of normal or high flows. North Plains will also seek and comply with the design specifications required by state permits, and by the BLM, USFS, and USDA ARS for waterbody crossings on federal lands.

North Plains will maintain equipment bridges and culverts in good working order, and in accordance with the applicable permits and authorizations. North Plains will remove debris or vegetation that becomes lodged on the bridge support and will dispose of the removed material in an upland area downstream of the bridge location, in accordance with permits. North Plains will maintain bridges to minimize soil from entering the waterbody. North Plains will remove soil that accumulates on the bridge decking in accordance with regulations and permit conditions.

Temporary waterbody crossings may be removed during final cleanup or, if access is needed, crossings will be removed during restoration activities after final cleanup and permanent seeding. Equipment bridge decking will be removed in a manner to facilitate collecting sediment and debris by geotextile fabric secured below decking during bridge construction. Subsequently, geotextile fabric will be removed to minimize debris from entering the waterbody.

North Plains will restore bridges and culverts that are present prior to construction to meet or exceed pre-construction conditions.

Once temporary crossings are removed, North Plains will evaluate the need for stabilization and conduct additional seeding and/or implement erosion and sediment control BMPs where vegetation and soils have been disturbed. North Plains will follow the restoration procedures described in Section 6.

5.3.2 Wetland Crossings

To minimize rutting, North Plains will traverse wetlands occurring within the Project workspace using either low ground pressure equipment or by installing construction mats in saturated or inundated wetlands to minimize impacts. Construction mats or rock on top of geotextile fabric may be used for roads within wetlands. North Plains may also use construction mats in other locations where unstable soils occur. Most matted travel lanes will be 16 feet wide (see Matted Wetland Crossing Detail on the typical provided in Attachment M). Matted travel lanes are typically a single layer; however, there may be cases in saturated soils where North Plains must place more than one layer of mats to provide a stable working surface. North Plains may use multiple mat configurations in inundated areas depending upon the depth of inundation and will install mats to maintain surface flow. North Plains may use the following types of construction mats:

- **Composite Mats:** Composite mats are built out of high-density polyethylene material. Mats are typically 4 inches thick and 8 feet wide by 14 feet in length. Mats are interlocking, have a treaded traction surface, and are flexible and extremely durable. These mats are also typically lighter in weight than traditional timber mats. Heavy duty mats support construction equipment of all types, sizes, and weights, with load-bearing capabilities up to 600 pounds per square inch.

Light duty mats are also available.

- **Timber Mats:** Timber mats are available in a variety of sizes and are constructed of hardwood materials that are bolted together. Timber mats are suitable for all vehicle types present on the Project workspace, have high durability under traffic, and are easily installed and removed using typical construction equipment. Timber mats are suitable for use in all soil conditions for all transmission line construction activities.
- **Laminated Mats:** Laminated mats are available in a variety of sizes and are constructed of laminated wood materials. Laminated mats are suitable for all vehicle types but are limited in their weight bearing capacity. They have high durability and are easily installed and removed using typical construction equipment. Laminated mats are suitable for use in most soil conditions but are not suitable for use in extremely saturated conditions. Laminated mats can be used on access roads, at drill pads, and for storage and staging of equipment.

Vegetation clearing crews will typically bring mats with the mechanized equipment and “leap frog” the mats forward as clearing progresses across wetland features.

During frozen conditions, North Plains may use ice roads along temporary access roads and overland travel lanes through wetland features. North Plains may begin the development of ice roads as soon as weather conditions allow. North Plains will use low ground pressure equipment, such as snowcats and amphibious all-terrain vehicles, such as Argos, to push and pack existing ice and snow together to provide the foundation for the ice roads. As the snowpack builds up and hardens, North Plains will use larger and heavier equipment to progressively increase the thickness and density of the snowpack. In some cases, North Plains may add water to the surface to help build snowpack from the top. If there is insufficient snowpack to safely support construction activities, North Plains may install construction mats in addition to snowpack. Ice bridges will not be used to cross waterbody features; North Plains will use the appropriate bridge type described in Section 5.3.1 to cross waterbody features.

North Plains may remove construction mats during final cleanup or, if access is needed, matting will be removed during restoration activities after final cleanup and permanent seeding. Once mats are removed, North Plains will follow the restoration procedures described in Section 6.

5.4 VEGETATION CLEARING

To facilitate construction equipment access and provide for safe clearances between vegetation and the transmission line during operations, North Plains will clear trees and tall vegetation from the right-of-way. North Plains may also clear vegetation, as needed, from the Project workspace including new and improved access roads. North Plains will typically perform clearing with mechanical equipment such as mechanized mowers, sky trips, process harvesters, feller bunchers, or brush cutters. In areas where clearing with large equipment is not feasible, North Plains may clear with hand tools such as chain saws.

North Plains will conduct timber removal operations using cut-off-type saw equipment. North Plains will undertake felling in a manner that minimizes shatter, breakage, and disturbance outside of the Project workspace, to the extent feasible. North Plains will use skid loaders or alternate equipment to transport logs to stacking sites. North Plains will remove “leaners,” which are felled trees that inadvertently fall into adjacent undisturbed vegetation. North Plains will

recover trees and slash that fall outside the Project workspace. North Plains will dispose of this recovered material in accordance with landowner or land-managing agency requirements. North Plains will typically limb and top logs before removal from the Project workspace. North Plains will orient any required log decks to best facilitate loading by picker trucks.

North Plains will manage all merchantable timber in accordance with landowner agreements and applicable permits and licenses. North Plains will stack vegetation materials that a landowner has requested to keep outside the right-of-way in upland areas. North Plains will stack vegetation materials that a landowner does not wish to keep inside the right-of-way in upland areas for further processing and disposition. North Plains will remove vegetation materials generated during Project activities that a landowner does not wish to keep from their property. North Plains will dispose of these unwanted vegetation materials by offering the materials to other landowners, offering the materials for sale, placing the materials in a composting site, or disposing of the materials at a mill or other North Plains approved location.

Unless otherwise agreed upon between North Plains and the applicable landowner or land-managing agency, North Plains will dispose of non-merchantable timber and slash by mowing, cutting, chipping, mulching, and leaving in upland areas; hauling off-site to an approved location; or using in stabilizing erodible slopes or construction entrances. In non-agricultural, non-wetland areas, North Plains may uniformly broadcast chips, mulch, or mechanically cut woody debris across the Project workspace in a manner that avoids inhibiting revegetation. North Plains may also incorporate this material into the topsoil layer during grading activities, with landowner approval. North Plains will not dispose of chips, mulch, or mechanically cut woody debris in waterbodies or wetlands, including agricultural wetlands.

During construction, North Plains will cut vegetation within the right-of-way and Project workspace at or slightly above the ground surface. To minimize soil impacts and erosion potential, North Plains will not typically grub stumps or roots; however, North Plains may need to remove stumps in some locations within the Project workspace to facilitate the movement of construction equipment, where excavation will occur, or when reasonably requested by the landowner.

During construction, North Plains may allow the burning of non-merchantable wood in accordance with all state and local regulations where North Plains has acquired the applicable permits and approvals from applicable agencies and landowners. Burning will not be allowed in wetlands or agricultural lands. Burning within 100 feet of a wetland or waterway will be prohibited without site-specific approval in advance from North Plains and in accordance with applicable permits and approvals. Refer to the Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan (see Attachment D) for additional information regarding the standard controls that North Plains will implement to minimize the risk of construction induced fires.

5.5 FIRE MANAGEMENT

North Plains will comply with all applicable federal, state, county, and local fire regulations pertaining to the prevention of uncontrolled fires. The Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan (see Attachment D) describes the measures that North Plains will implement throughout construction activities to reduce the potential for fires, the emergency procedures to be implemented in the event of a fire, including agency notifications, and procedures for restoration of a burned area.

5.6 FUGITIVE DUST CONTROL

Construction of the Project may temporarily increase fugitive dust particularly in areas with erosion-prone soils where vegetation clearing and surface disturbance by heavy equipment occurs. Precipitation, or lack thereof, and wind are also factors that may contribute to fugitive dust in the Project area. The following construction activities have the potential to generate fugitive dust emissions:

- vehicle and equipment movement on paved and unpaved surfaces;
- track-outs onto roads;
- use of parking, staging, and storage areas;
- vegetation removal;
- clearing, grading, and excavation;
- topsoil stripping;
- topsoil/spoil storage;
- blasting;
- cleanup; and
- bulk/pile material loading, unloading, and hauling.

North Plains will obtain and use water under the appropriate state water use permitting system, as needed, as an approved dust control method during construction. Dust control measures may be applied on unpaved roads, material stockpiles, and other surfaces which can create airborne dust, as necessary. Chemical additives such as surfactants may be used for dust suppression. North Plains will not use used oil for dust abatement.

If the Project requires blasting, North Plains may use matting in rock blasting operations to minimize and control dust, discussed in greater detail in Section 5.8.3. As discussed in Section 5.3, North Plains will access the Project from public roadways, permitted new temporary and permanent access roads, and overland travel routes. North Plains will implement reduced speed limits on unpaved access roads and will also minimize tracking of sediment onto public roadways. If soil is tracked onto a paved roadway, North Plains will remove accumulated material from the road.

North Plains will minimize wind and water erosion, surface disturbance, and construction activities in highly erodible soils, and will cover material stockpiles and equipment transporting dust-producing materials. In areas of steep terrain with high potential for erosion, North Plains will conduct vegetation clearing and grading in a manner to minimize dust impacts. North Plains will implement soil stabilization and reclamation practices to reduce erosion following ground-disturbing activities, as discussed in Sections 5.7 and 6.

North Plains will notify all grading and excavation contractors of Project segments that cross erionite testing radii zones established by the NDDEQ or locations of known occurrence so that the contractor can implement the necessary measures to protect their employees. In addition, North Plains will use suppressants to reduce dust, particularly when working within erionite testing radii and known locations.

5.7 TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPs

Ground disturbance activities may not occur across the entire Project workspace. North Plains will limit ground disturbance activities to the areas around pole structures, along access roads, within temporary construction workspaces where needed, where clearing of trees and tall

vegetation is needed, and at the new facilities. North Plains will prepare a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) in accordance with the Storm Water Construction General Permits administered by the MDEQ and the NDDEQ. As required by the Storm Water Construction General Permits, the SWPPP will describe the timing for installation of erosion prevention and sediment control BMPs, include the location and type of temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control BMPs, and the procedures used to establish additional temporary BMPs as necessary for the site conditions during construction. The SWPPP will identify surface waters and existing wetlands that will receive stormwater from the construction site during or after construction, and will also identify state and impaired waters, as designated by the agencies. The SWPPP will also include a description of permanent stormwater treatment systems required at the permanent facilities or permanent access roads by the Storm Water Construction General Permits.

Erosion and sediment control BMPs include but are not limited to sediment barriers such as silt fence, sediment traps and basins, check dams, and fiber rolls; compost socks; brush/sandbag barriers; and slope breakers such as earthen berms. The equipment used during installation of erosion and sediment control BMPs typically includes ATVs and trucks for crew transportation, as well as skid loaders, tractors, backhoes, hydro-seeders, and other light-duty equipment. North Plains will consult the Montana Department of Transportation Erosion and Sediment Control Best Management Practices Manual (Montana Department of Transportation, 2016) and the North Dakota Department of Transportation Erosion and Sediment Control Construction on-line resources (North Dakota Department of Transportation, 2024) during the development of the SWPPP and during field implementation of appropriate erosion and sediment control BMPs, based on site-specific conditions.

North Plains will maintain erosion and sediment control BMPs as required in the Project construction documents and as required by all applicable permits and plans, including the SWPPP, and as required by land-managing agencies. North Plains will perform stormwater inspections of erosion and sediment control BMPs as required by the Storm Water Construction General Permits. North Plains will repair, replace, or supplement non-functional erosion and sediment control BMPs with functional materials within 24 hours after discovery, or as otherwise specified in Project permits.

North Plains will install temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs prior to ground disturbing activities such as grading and excavation at the base of sloped approaches to streams, wetlands, water conveyances such as ditches and swales, and roads. North Plains will install temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs at the edge of the Project workspace as needed, and in other areas to slow water leaving the site and prevent siltation of waterbodies and wetlands downslope or outside of the Project workspace such as swales and side slopes. North Plains will place temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs across the entire Project workspace at the base of slopes greater than three percent and at site-specific locations identified in the SWPPP until the area is revegetated and there is no potential scouring of, or sediment transport to surface waters, including wetlands. North Plains will provide adequate room between the base of the slope and the sediment barrier to accommodate ponding of water and sediment deposition, or evaluate the site-specific condition to modify BMPs. North Plains will maintain temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs until final stabilization or permanent cover is established.¹

¹ General Permit MTR100000, Montana Department of Environmental Quality, defines “final stabilization” as all soil-disturbing activities at the site have been completed, and a vegetative cover has been established with a density of at least 70 percent of the pre-disturbance levels, or equivalent permanent, physical erosion reduction methods have been employed. Final stabilization

North Plains may remove temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs installed across the travel lane during active daytime construction; however, North Plains will properly reinstall erosion and sediment control BMPs after equipment passage, or after construction crews complete activities in the area for the day. As necessary, North Plains will repair or replace erosion and sediment control BMPs prior to inclement weather. North Plains will be responsible for monitoring weather conditions and adjusting resources as needed to address pending and existing weather conditions.

5.7.1 Erosion Prevention

During construction, North Plains may suspend certain activities in wet soil conditions based on consideration of the following factors:

- extent of surface ponding;
- potential for rutting, defined as the creation of linear depressions made by tire tracks of machinery that results in the mixing of topsoil and subsoil;
- extent and location of potential rutting and compaction and determining whether traffic can be rerouted around the wet area; and
- type of equipment and nature of the construction operations proposed for that day.

North Plains will monitor weather forecasts to determine if significant rainfall is anticipated during construction. North Plains will plan work evaluating the forecast for the potential for wet conditions and prepare for and implement mitigation measures in the event of wet weather conditions and potential for excessive waterflow. North Plains will implement such corrective measures should conditions subsequently worsen. North Plains will cease work in the applicable area until North Plains determines that site conditions are such that work may continue in conformance with Project permits and regulatory authorizations.

5.7.2 Temporary Stabilization

North Plains will initiate temporary stabilization² of all exposed areas, including spoil piles, to limit soil erosion when construction crews have permanently or temporarily ceased construction activity on any portion of the site and will not resume activity for a period exceeding 14 calendar days. North Plains will complete stabilization no later than 14 calendar days after construction crews temporarily ceased the construction activity. This timeframe may be reduced in areas where the Project discharges to an agency-designated special or impaired water.

using vegetation must be accomplished using seeding mixtures or forbs, grasses and shrubs that are adapted to the conditions of the site. Establishment of vegetative cover capable of providing erosion control equivalent to pre-existing conditions at the site will be considered final stabilization. North Dakota Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity NDR11-0000 defines "permanent cover" as the exposed ground surface has been covered by appropriate material such as grass, gravel, asphalt, and concrete or other material that prevents erosion from occurring.

² Temporary stabilization means a condition where exposed soils or disturbed areas are provided a temporary vegetative and/or non-vegetative protective cover to prevent erosion and sediment loss. Temporary stabilization may include temporary seeding, geotextiles, mulches, and other techniques to reduce or eliminate erosion until either final stabilization can be achieved or until further construction activities take place to re-disturb this area.

5.7.3 Mulch

North Plains will stabilize exposed ground surfaces within the periods described in Section 5.7.2. In most cases, North Plains will use mulch such as certified weed-free straw, wood fiber hydromulch, or a functional equivalent on disturbed areas, except for actively cultivated land and wetlands, as required by the applicable permits and authorizations, and as approved by the landowner or land-managing agency. North Plains may use other stabilization methods, such as staked sod, erosion control blanket, mats, or other material that prevents erosion from occurring based on site-specific conditions. Mulch will not be used in wetlands.

North Plains will uniformly distribute mulch by a mechanical mulch blower or by hand in areas not accessible to the mulch blower. North Plains will size strands of mulch to allow proper anchoring. North Plains will anchor or crimp mulch using a mulch-anchoring tool or disc set in the straight position to minimize loss by wind and water, as site conditions allow. In areas not accessible to a mulch-anchoring tool or too steep for safe operation, North Plains may anchor the mulch by liquid tackifiers. North Plains will follow the liquid tackifier manufacturer's recommended method and rate of application.

North Plains may use hydromulch and liquid tackifier in place of certified weed-free straw mulch. North Plains may use hydromulch on steep slopes to prevent erosion until final stabilization or permanent cover has been established.

5.7.4 Temporary Slope Breakers

North Plains will install temporary slope breakers to minimize concentrated or sheet flow runoff in disturbed areas with exposed soils. North Plains may construct temporary slope breakers using earthen subsoil material, silt fence, certified weed-free straw bales, or may use rocked trenches in non-agricultural land. On highly erodible slopes, North Plains will use slope breakers in the form of earthen berms whenever possible.

North Plains will construct temporary slope breakers according to the following specifications as applicable depending on site conditions:

- promptly intercept surface water before the volume of water and velocity increase enough to generate erosion;
- facilitate drainage toward natural dips, rocky ground, or vegetation to intercept sediment;
- height of the slope breaker will be a minimum of 4 inches and could be higher depending on site conditions;
- be at an approximately 20-degree angle to the slope and channel water to the downhill side;
- avoid directing sediment into drainages;
- certified weed-free straw bales used as slope breakers will be trenched in and staked to not allow spacing between bales or allow flow underneath the bales;
- the outfall of temporary slope breakers will be directed off the Project workspace

into an appropriate energy-dissipating sediment control device, such as filter socks, silt fence, straw bales, rock aprons, or sumps, to prevent the discharge of sediments, and the area will be inspected to ensure stabilization;

- proper slope breaker outfalls will be established where topsoil segregation or grading has created a barrier at the edge of the Project workspace;
- J-hook sediment traps will be installed at the perimeter of the erosion control zones on the downslope side of the Project workspace; and
- gaps will be created through spoil piles where necessary to allow proper out-letting of temporary berms.

5.8 GRADING, EXCAVATION AND FOUNDATION INSTALLATION

5.8.1 Grading And Topsoil Segregation

Prior to foundation installation, North Plains will establish a structure pad around the structure location to ensure a level and safe working area. In areas with uneven terrain, North Plains may need to grade the area around the foundation. North Plains will typically not perform grading in wetland areas. Where grading is required, North Plains will strip the topsoil layer and potentially part of the subsoil layer and will separate the topsoil and subsoil in storage piles within the Project workspace. North Plains will leave gaps between the spoil piles and will install erosion and sediment control BMPs where stockpiled topsoil and subsoil piles intersect with water conveyances, such as ditches or swales, to maintain natural drainage. North Plains will maintain separation in the form of a gap or a physical barrier, such as a thick layer of mulch or silt fence between the topsoil and subsoil piles to prevent mixing.

North Plains will segregate up to 12 inches of topsoil, unless otherwise dictated by applicable permits or regulations or requested by the landowner in areas of deep topsoil. Under no circumstances will North Plains use topsoil to fill a low area or backfill structure holes. If required due to excessively windy conditions, North Plains will tackify topsoil using either water or a suitable tackifier such as liquid mulch binder, as discussed in Section 5.7.3. North Plains will not use topsoil to construct ramps at road or waterbody crossings.

5.8.2 Excavation

The Project will require excavation for the drilled concrete pier foundations associated with the pole structures and foundations associated with the permanent facilities. To construct a cast-in-place foundation, North Plains will first make a vertical hole using power drilling equipment, such as truck- or track-mounted augers. In rocky areas, North Plains may excavate the foundation holes by blasting or by installing special rock anchor or micro-pile type foundations. North Plains will cover augured structure holes if construction crews are unable to fill the hole in the same day. North Plains will not place excess spoils from augured structure holes in wetlands, waterbodies, drainages that lead to waterbodies, or other environmentally sensitive areas. North Plains will either reincorporate excess rocks and gravel in the immediate vicinity of the excavation on the right-of-way or will remove excess rock and gravel for disposal as approved by the applicable owner.

5.8.3 Blasting

If North Plains encounter hard rock during grading or excavation for structure foundations, North Plains may need to perform blasting using explosives to loosen or fracture the rock to reach the required depth. Blasting will occur in accordance with the Blasting Plan in Attachment C. North Plains will require construction crews and the blasting supervisor to be thoroughly familiar with and comply with the rules and regulations of Occupational Safety and Health Administration and all federal, state, county, and local regulations governing blasting operations.

5.8.4 Foundation Installation

Once the hole is excavated as described in Section 5.8.2, North Plains will place structure bases into the excavated hole or, if soils are unstable, into a culvert, and the area around the pole will be backfilled with clean granular fill or concrete. For structures requiring a reinforced concrete foundation, North Plains will excavate the required hole and will place a rebar cage and anchor bolts into the excavation. Typically, North Plains will assemble the cages at the nearest staging area and deliver the cages to the structure site via flatbed truck. North Plains will then fill the excavation with concrete to a point where the rebar cage and anchor bolts are covered, leaving a typical one to two-foot reveal of the foundation above grade with exposed threaded anchor bolts. North Plains will then allow the complete foundation to cure. Typical equipment for this phase of construction includes dump trucks, drill rigs, cranes, vacuum trucks, concrete mixers, and tanker trucks.

North Plains will follow the BMPs described in Section 5.8.5 regarding concrete work, and in Section 5.8.6 regarding construction dewatering.

5.8.5 Concrete Work

North Plains will mix concrete for the pole structure and facility foundations typically off-site at the contractor laydown yards and will transport the concrete to the Project workspace. North Plains may perform some limited mixing outside of the Project workspace. North Plains will not perform washing of equipment used for mixing, pouring, or casting within 100 feet of any wetland or other environmentally sensitive feature. North Plains will collect and retain all concrete washout water and solids in leak proof containment. North Plains will limit wash water disposal to a defined area or to an area designated for concrete washout within the Project workspace, which will be identified on SWPPP maps. The area(s) will be sufficient to contain the wash water and residual cement and will include equipment capable of reclaiming wash water during washout. North Plains will conduct concrete washout activities in compliance with Project permits and authorizations.

5.8.6 Construction Dewatering and Discharge

In areas with high water tables, or where water is needed to stabilize the hole during drilling, it may be necessary to dewater the excavation. North Plains will typically use portable pumps to dewater the excavation; North Plains will base the number and size of pumps employed on the volume of water to be removed.

Prior to initiating dewatering activities, North Plains will approve the water discharge plan to ensure that erosion and sediment control BMPs are installed in such a way as to minimize the potential for water containing sediment from reaching a wetland or waterbody. North Plains will locate dewatering structures to avoid sensitive resources that may be affected by the discharges.

North Plains will not directly discharge water from construction dewatering into surface waters. North Plains will conduct all dewatering activities in accordance with the applicable permits, including the MDEQ General Permit for Construction Dewatering (GP MTG070000) and NDDEQ Temporary Discharge Permit (GP NDG0700000) (see Attachment L) and applicable water quality standards. Where required by permits, North Plains will conduct water quality sampling during construction dewatering activities.

Typically, North Plains will direct water to a well-vegetated upland area through a geotextile filter bag. North Plains will size geotextile bags for the discharge flow and suspended sediment particle size. Where the dewatering discharge point cannot be in an upland area due to site conditions and/or distance, North Plains will direct the discharge into a straw bale dewatering structure designed based on the maximum water discharge rate (see Appendix M). North Plains will use a straw bale dewatering structure in conjunction with a geotextile filter bag to provide additional filtration near sensitive resource areas.

If the Project requires construction dewatering during frozen conditions, North Plains will take measures to protect pumps from freezing to avoid disruptions in dewatering and potential spills or leaks of lubricants or fuel. These measures may include placing pumps inside portable shelters with heaters. North Plains will install structures early in the construction process before frozen ground conditions exist, where feasible, and will mark the locations of the filter bags placed outside of the Project workspace with lathe or a similar method to assist crews in relocating the filter bag for proper disposal. North Plains will remove dewatering structures as soon as practicable after completion of dewatering to remove the structure and filter bags before they are frozen.

Construction dewatering and discharging activities will follow applicable regulations and permit requirements to maintain compliance with Montana and North Dakota water quality standards.

5.9 ASSEMBLY AND ERECTION OF STRUCTURES

North Plains will transport monopole structures to each structure work area in sections by truck or helicopter, depending on topography and access. At the structure site, North Plains will place each pole section on wood blocking. First, North Plains will use a large crane to hoist the bottom pole section onto the structure foundation and mount the anchor bolts. Next, North Plains will lift the middle section(s) into place, using guide brackets to align the section. North Plains will then ensure proper alignment and secure the fitting. Finally, North Plains will guide and secure the top section into place to complete the structure.

Lattice tower assembly is similar to monopole structure assembly. North Plains will transport bundles of steel members and associated hardware and wood to each structure site by truck. Next, North Plains will lay out wood blocking, open the structure steel bundles, and place the structure steel bundles on the wood blocking for assembly. Typically, North Plains will assemble the leg extensions for the structures first using a small crane. Similar to monopole assembly, North Plains will then assemble subsections and hoist the subsections into place with a large crane. North Plains will fasten the subsections together to form a complete structure. A follow-up crew then tightens the bolts in the joints.

North Plains may use helicopters to erect structures. The use of helicopters for structure erection is typically limited to areas that are difficult to access, either due to a lack of roads, rough terrain, or both. North Plains will consider several site- and region-specific factors when deciding whether to use helicopters, including access to structure locations, presence of sensitive resources,

permitting restrictions, landowner needs and preferences, construction schedule, weight of structural components, time of year, elevation, availability of heavy lift helicopters, weather, and construction economics.

North Plains will transport the structure sections and associated hardware including insulators, hardware, blocking, and stringing sheaves to the helicopter fly yard by truck, where North Plains will assemble sections of each structure and stage the sections for transport to the right-of-way. Once staged for transport, North Plains will attach structure sections by cables from the helicopter to the top of the structure section and will airlift the structure section to the structure location. Upon arrival, North Plains will place the section directly onto the foundation or stack on top of the previously erected structure section.

North Plains will plan and communicate the assembly and erection activities to landowners and land-managing agencies in advance of structure construction activities to provide a safe work area. North Plains will implement good housekeeping practices to contain and remove construction related waste and debris during these activities in accordance with Section 5.12.

5.10 INSTALLATION OF CONDUCTORS AND WIRE PULLING AND TENSIONING

North Plains will erect temporary guard structures at road and railroad crossing locations where necessary to protect the public during stringing activities. The erection and dismantling of these temporary guard structures may require short-term traffic diversions. Traffic impacts resulting from wire-stringing may include short-term traffic diversions, traffic congestion, and brief road closures. North Plains proposes mitigation to address these impacts in the Traffic and Transportation Management Plan (see Attachment K).

North Plains will deliver insulators, hardware, and stringing sheaves to each structure site. North Plains will rig the structures with insulator strings and stringing sheaves at each conductor, dedicated metallic returns conductor, and OPGW position. For safety and efficiency reasons, North Plains will typically perform wire stringing and tensioning activities during daylight hours and typically schedule these activities at roadway crossings, coinciding with periods of minimal road traffic and minimizing traffic disruptions.

North Plains will pull or string pilot lines from structure to structure by either a helicopter or land-operated equipment, then thread the pilot line through the stringing sheaves at each structure. North Plains will use a helicopter to pull the pilot lines at roadway crossings to minimize or avoid impacts to road traffic.

Following pilot lines, North Plains will attach a stronger, larger-diameter line to conductors to pull them onto structures. North Plains will repeat this process until the conductor and OPGW are pulled through the sheaves. Stringing will use powered pulling equipment at one end and powered braking or tensioning equipment at the other end of a conductor segment. The tensioner, in concert with the puller, will maintain tension on the wires while they are fastened to the structures. Once each type of wire has been pulled in, North Plains will adjust the tension and sag, remove the stringing sheaves, and permanently attach the conductors to the insulators.

At tangent structures, North Plains will attach conductors to insulators using clamps, and at dead-end structures, North Plains will cut the conductors and attach the conductors to the insulator assemblies by “dead-ending” the conductors either with a compression fitting or an implosive-type fitting. Before proceeding with the implosive-type fitting, North Plains will follow notification procedures identified in the Blasting Plan (see Attachment C).

North Plains will plan and communicate the installation of conductors and wire pulling and tensioning activities to landowners and land-managing agencies in advance to provide a safe work area, avoid disruptions to adjacent activities, and to avoid environmental damage or the creation of nuisance conditions. North Plains will implement good housekeeping practices to contain and remove construction related waste and debris during these activities.

Following stringing and tensioning, North Plains will remove guard structures and reclaim the area as described in Section 6.

5.11 FACILITY CONSTRUCTION

North Plains will implement safety precautions during converter station and switchyard modifications and construction to protect human health. North Plains will set up barriers between energized facilities and the active workspace, restrict untrained personnel from entering the Project site, and meet equipment clearance requirements. When construction commences at the facilities, North Plains will remove the existing fence around the expansion area, grade the expansion, and replace the fence prior to further work at the site.

5.11.1 Converter Station Construction

North Plains will begin construction of the converter stations by surveying and staking the site as described in Section 5.2. North Plains will conduct soil borings at the approximate location of large structures and equipment and obtain soil resistivity measurements to confirm site characteristics.

Next, North Plains will perform site preparation work, including vegetation clearing, previously discussed in Section 5.4, and soil grading to establish a clear and flat working surface, discussed further in Section 5.8. North Plains will also construct permanent and temporary access roads, previously discussed in Section 5.3.

North Plains will compact the area for the structure foundation to the densities required for foundations to support buildings and structures. North Plains will use three types of foundations, as described below.

- Spread footings are placed by excavating the foundation area; placing forms, reinforced steel, and anchor bolts; and pouring concrete into the forms. After the foundation has been poured, the forms are removed, and the surface of the foundation is finished.
- Drilled pier foundations are placed in a hole made by a track- or truck-mounted auger. Reinforced steel and anchor bolts are placed into the hole using a track- or truck-mounted crane. The portion of the foundation above ground is formed. The portion below ground uses the undisturbed earth of the augured hole or a prefabricated cylinder as the form. After the concrete foundation has been poured, the form is removed, the excavation is backfilled, and the surface of the foundation is finished.
- Slab-on-grade construction is like spread footing construction, except that a spread footing is a circular, square, or rectangular slab that is provided to support an individual column. Many spread footings may be needed to support a single large structure or building. A slab-on-grade foundation is a concrete slab that is poured at ground level and is used as the foundation of the entire building. Slab-on-grade

foundations are typically used for smaller structures and prefabricated buildings.

Concurrent with or following foundation installation, North Plains will install oil containment structures, as required to prevent oil from transformers, reactors, circuit breakers, and other oil-containing equipment from seeping into the ground in the event of a rupture or leak. Then, North Plains will install underground electrical raceways and copper ground grid, followed by steel structure and area lighting. North Plains will then erect the converter valve hall and ancillary buildings, along with various high-voltage apparatus typical of a converter station. The installation of high-voltage transformers will require special, high-capacity cranes and specially trained crews for the unloading, setting into place, and final assembly of the transformers. North Plains will place a crushed rock surface on the ground to create a stable, all-weather working surface with high resistivity, which increases allowable step and touch voltages, reducing risk of shocks to humans near the grounding system during an earth fault.

Fill materials that may be used to construct and maintain the converter stations may include aggregate materials such as gravel, sand, and clay. North Plains may use materials obtained on-site from grading or excavation activities for reincorporation as road base along access roads. Where suitable materials are not available on-site or approved for use, North Plains will purchase these materials locally, where possible. Fill materials will not contain unsuitable material (e.g., trash, debris, asphalt) and will be free of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts. Topsoil will not be used as fill.

North Plains will install a security fence around the portion of the site that will enclose the converter stations. North Plains will install locked gates at appropriate locations along the security fence for authorized access.

After North Plains has installed the equipment, North Plains will test the converter stations' systems. North Plains will then complete electrical energization of the facility. North Plains will time the energization of the facility to take place with the completion of construction of the transmission line and other Project facilities. After construction is completed, North Plains will remove and dispose of debris and unused materials from the site and will restore disturbed areas within the temporary workspace as described in Sections 5.12 and 6.

5.11.2 Switchyard

The switchyard construction will follow similar construction procedures as described for the converter stations, including performance of soil borings, followed by clearing, grading, and site preparation. Subsequently, the foundations, electrical raceways, interconnection apparatus, lighting, crushed rock, and a security fence will be installed. Once construction is completed, debris and unused materials will be removed and disposed of from the site and disturbed areas within the temporary workspace will be restored as described in Sections 5.12 and 6.

Fill materials that may be used to construct and maintain the switchyard may include aggregate materials such as gravel, sand, and clay. Materials obtained on-site from grading or excavation activities may be reincorporated as foundation base. Where suitable materials are not available on-site, or approved for use, these materials will be purchased locally, where possible. Fill materials will not contain unsuitable material (e.g., trash, debris, asphalt) and will be free of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts. Topsoil will not be used as fill.

5.12 CLEANUP AND ROUGH/FINAL GRADING

North Plains will dispose of all waste materials, including litter generated by construction crews, daily. Initial cleanup and rough grading activities may take place simultaneously. North Plains will perform cleanup immediately following construction when weather or seasonal conditions allow. Cleanup will involve the following:

- North Plains will remove construction debris, including litter generated by construction crews, and large woody debris.
- North Plains will either reincorporate excess rocks and gravel in the immediate vicinity of the excavation on the right-of-way, or remove excess rock and gravel for disposal as approved by the applicable owner.
- North Plains will install warning signs in locations in compliance with applicable regulations.
- North Plains will replace all temporary gates installed during construction with permanent gates, unless otherwise requested by the landowner.
- North Plains will repair or replace fences or other infrastructure removed or damaged during construction as agreed upon with the landowner or land-managing agency.

Rough grading includes restoring disturbed subsoil to as near as practicable to pre-construction conditions to provide proper drainage and decompacting subsoil, where applicable, as discussed in Section 6.2. Final grading consists of returning the topsoil to the location from which it was stripped and final contouring to near as practicable to pre-construction conditions. This includes repairing any rutting observed within the Project workspace. North Plains will either reincorporate excess subsoil in the immediate vicinity of the excavation on the right-of-way or remove and dispose of any remaining excess subsoil from excavations at an approved off-site location as needed to restore the site to pre-construction conditions to the extent practicable, and as requested by the landowner or land-managing agency.

For temporary access roads that are not to be left in place per landowner agreement or permits and authorizations, North Plains will grade the road area to pre-construction conditions to the extent practicable. North Plains will then prepare the seedbed and install or repair erosion control measures as described in Section 6.

North Plains will remove construction mats and temporary bridges once restoration activities have been completed and access to the Project workspace is no longer required.

6.0 RESTORATION

As previously described, North Plains will limit the areas of ground disturbance mainly to structure locations and along access roads. North Plains will cut tall vegetation along the full width of the right-of-way; however, herbaceous vegetation and root stock will typically remain in place. Restoration activities may include the following activities:

- North Plains will inspect, maintain, repair, and replace temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs until permanent cover is achieved as discussed in

Section 5.7.

- North Plains will conduct decompaction in areas where temporary access roads were developed and where grading occurred, as needed.
- North Plains will install permanent erosion and sediment control measures where needed.
- North Plains will apply temporary seed mix to minimize erosion potential to the extent practicable.
- North Plains will conduct permanent seeding in non-agricultural areas disturbed by construction activities.
- North Plains will remove construction mats and temporary bridges/culvert after restoration activities are complete.

North Plains will comply with the restoration conditions stipulated in the permits and authorizations issued by the land-managing agencies for the portions of the Project that cross public lands.

6.1 ROCK REMOVAL

North Plains will remove rocks exposed due to construction activity from the Project workspace prior to and after topsoil replacement. This effort will result in an equivalent quantity, size, and distribution of rocks to that found on adjacent lands, as determined by North Plains. North Plains will haul rocks removed from the construction area to a licensed disposal facility or will dispose of the rocks on the landowner's premises away from environmentally sensitive features with prior approval from the landowner or land-managing agency.

6.2 DECOMPACTION

In areas where grading has occurred particularly along upland temporary access roads, North Plains may decompact soils. North Plains will decompact the subsoil after rough grading and before topsoil replacement, in actively cultivated areas to relieve soil compaction and promote root penetration. North Plains will decompact soils on public lands as requested by the land-managing agency.

North Plains will rip or chisel compacted cultivated cropland and pasture a minimum of three passes up to 12 inches deep unless specifically requested otherwise by the landowner and in compliance with permits and federal and state laws. Soil conditions must be dry enough to shatter the compacted soil between the points of a subsoiler or chisel plow to lower the bulk density of soil and reduce compaction. Soil at the compacted depth must not be wet and plastic at the time of tilling, otherwise it will not reduce compaction. If subsequent construction and cleanup activities result in further compaction, North Plains will complete the measures described above a second time to alleviate the soil compaction.

If there is any dispute between the landowner and North Plains as to what areas need to be ripped or chiseled, or the depth at which compacted areas should be ripped or chiseled, North Plains will consult with the U.S. Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS).

North Plains will test the decompacted Project workspace at regular intervals for compaction in agricultural lands. North Plains will use penetrometers or other appropriate devices and will conduct tests on the same soil type under similar moisture conditions in undisturbed areas immediately adjacent to the construction area to approximate pre-construction conditions.

If mechanical relief of compaction is deemed unsatisfactory by the landowner, North Plains will consider plowing under organic matter including wood chips and manure, planting a green crop such as alfalfa to decrease soil bulk density and improve soil structure, or other measures in consultation with the USDA-NRCS.

North Plains will replace topsoil to pre-existing depths once ripping and discing of subsoil is complete. After topsoil replacement, North Plains will till the soil with a disc or rolling harrow, drag harrow, Harley rake, field cultivator, chisel plow, or equivalent to break up large clods and to prepare the soil surface. Suitable conditions generally include a firm soil surface that is not too loose or too compacted. North Plains will prepare the soil to accommodate the seeding equipment and method to be used.

Some short-term decreases in agricultural productivity are possible in areas where ground disturbance has occurred, particularly access roads. North Plains will make reasonable efforts to restore agricultural productivity of the Project workspace and will address any related compensation obligations through agreements with landowners.

6.3 PERMANENT EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS

During final grading, North Plains will stabilize slopes in areas other than cropland with erosion and sediment control BMPs. With exception for actively cultivated areas, North Plains will install permanent berms (i.e., diversion dikes or slope breakers) on slopes where ground disturbance has occurred, or where otherwise deemed necessary.

Measures for the construction of permanent berms will include the following as applicable depending on site conditions:

- North Plains will construct permanent berms to facilitate drainage toward natural dips, rocky ground, or vegetation to intercept sediment.
- North Plains will construct permanent berms to a minimum height of 4 inches or higher depending on site conditions.
- North Plains will construct permanent berms to be at an approximate 20-degree angle to the slope and channel water to the downhill side.
- North Plains will construct permanent berms to avoid directing sediment into drainages.
- North Plains will construct permanent berms of compacted earth, stone, or functional equivalent in conformance with the required regulatory authorizations and all applicable regulations governing this activity.
- North Plains will divert berm outfalls into an appropriate energy-dissipating sediment control device, such as filter socks, silt fence, or straw bales, until permanent cover or final stabilization is established to prevent discharge of

sediment (see Section 5.7). North Plains will extend berms slightly beyond the edge of the Project workspace if possible; however, only with the appropriate sediment capturing device. North Plains will inspect outfalls to ensure stabilization.

- North Plains will inspect and repair permanent berms as deemed necessary by North Plains to maintain function and prevent erosion.

Erosion and sediment control techniques for access roads will be built into the design, as further described in Section 5.3.

6.3.1 Erosion Control Blankets

North Plains will prepare the soil surface and install the erosion control blanket to ensure it is stable and the blanket makes uniform contact with the soil of the slope face or stream bank with no bridging of rills, gullies, or other low areas. North Plains will install the appropriate class of erosion control blanket in accordance with manufacturer recommendations and specifications on steep slopes that drain to surface waters, and at other locations based on site-specific conditions. North Plains will install erosion control blankets so they are generally parallel with the direction of the slope and will anchor erosion control blankets in accordance with manufacturer recommendations. North Plains will use erosion control blankets made of biodegradable, natural fiber such as straw or coir.

6.3.2 Project Seed Specifications

North Plains will purchase seeds that follow the seed and label specifications established by the Montana and North Dakota Departments of Agriculture. The Montana and North Dakota Departments of Agriculture require certificates of seed analysis for all seed mixes to manage the introduction of noxious weeds. North Plains will confirm seeds have been tested in accordance with state law(s) and within six months prior to purchase. Commercial seed will be either certified or registered seed. Seed mixture containers will be tagged in accordance with state law(s) and available for inspection. North Plains will collect seed tags during seeding activities. North Plains will review the tags prior to installation to confirm the seed mix complies with regulations and that the appropriate seed mix is applied in the correct location. Seeding will follow cleanup as closely as possible. North Plains will base seeding rates on Pure Live Seed.

If used, North Plains will treat legume seed with an inoculant specific to the species to enhance vigor and health of the plants and thereby increase nitrogen available in the soil for other plants. Inoculant will be applied in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended rate of inoculant appropriate for the seeding method, such as broadcasting, drilling, or hydroseeding.

North Plains will apply amendments, such as fertilizer and soil pH modifier materials, based on landowner agreements, except in wetlands. North Plains will consult with the local soil conservation authority and land-managing agency or landowner on the type and application of soil amendments recommended to establish the desired vegetative cover at that location. This may include soil testing.

North Plains will incorporate soil amendments into the normal plow layer as soon as possible after application.

North Plains will comply with the Project seed specifications stipulated by the land-managing agency on public lands.

6.4 SEEDING METHODS

North Plains will apply seed uniformly at specified rates by broadcasting, hydroseeding, or drill seeding. North Plains will ensure the seeding equipment is appropriate for the seed mix and is capable of dispensing native seeds without plugging or unevenly distributing the seed. To minimize ground disturbance along the entire corridor, North Plains will clear forested areas, but will leave roots and stumps in place. Within areas of cleared forest, it may not be practical to access large areas of ground with seeding and seedbed preparation equipment. In these areas, North Plains may require smaller vehicles to perform tasks such as preparing seedbeds with small rakes and surface packing after seeding. North Plains will suspend seeding activities if conditions are such that equipment will cause rutting of the surface in the designated seeding areas.

North Plains may use broadcast seeding at disturbed areas with exposed soil. North Plains will perform broadcast seeding at the rate specified in the mixture tabulation. North Plains will uniformly distribute seed by a mechanical hand-operated seeder or, in small seeding areas, by hand. Following seeding, North Plains will rake the surface with a cultipacker, harrow, or hand rake and firm the soil bed as appropriate to site conditions.

North Plains may use hydroseeding at disturbed upland areas where there is exposed soil. North Plains will not apply hydroseed in wetland locations. North Plains will perform hydroseeding at rate specified in the mixture tabulation. North Plains will apply seed in a broadcast, hydromulch slurry to clearly see any gaps in application and ensure uniform coverage of the seeding area. North Plains will ensure the hydroseeder provides for continuous agitation of slurry and a uniform flow of slurry. North Plains will pre-approve all hydromulch products.

North Plains may use seed drilling in areas where North Plains has removed stumps and have prepared a seed bed. Seeding equipment will be able to accommodate and uniformly distribute different sizes of seed at the required depth. Feeding mechanisms will be able to evenly distribute different seed types at the rates specified. North Plains will suitably firm the seedbed soil immediately following seed drilling.

North Plains will comply with the seeding requirements stipulated by the land-managing agency on public lands.

6.5 TEMPORARY REVEGETATION

North Plains may use temporary cover or seeding as a quick means to minimize soil erosion and reduce the potential for the establishment of noxious weeds. Temporary seed mixes are considered a cover crop and are made up of annual grasses, have rapid germination, and provide quick ground cover. These seed mixes are not intended to provide multi-year cover. Unless specifically requested by landowners or regulatory agencies, North Plains will not establish temporary vegetation on cultivated land or in inundated areas. North Plains will develop the temporary seed mixes to be used on this Project in coordination with the USDA-NRCS and the affected land-managing agencies. Seed mixes required by state and federal land management agencies are provided in Attachment N.

North Plains will establish temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs as described in Section 5.7 until permanent cover or final stabilization has been established.

6.6 PERMANENT REVEGETATION

North Plains will establish permanent vegetation in areas of exposed soils, such as graded areas, within the Project workspace and along temporary access roads that are to be restored to pre-construction conditions, except in actively cultivated areas and inundated wetlands. North Plains will select permanent seed mixes to augment revegetation via natural recruitment from native seed stock in the topsoil and not to change the natural species composition.

North Plains will develop the permanent seed mixes to be used on this Project in coordination with the USDA-NRCS and state and federal land managing agencies. North Plains will consult with the landowner regarding the desired seed mixes to be used on their property. Seed mixes requested by state and federal land management agencies are provided in Attachment N. North Plains will utilize a native prairie seed mix when seeding in areas of native prairie/unbroken grasslands, unless a different seed mix is required by the landowner, subject to regulatory approval.

The seed mixes authorized for use on federal land-management agency lands and on North Dakota Department of Land Trust lands are included in Attachment N.

North Plains will coordinate with the USFWS and state wildlife agencies to identify appropriate seed mixes on private lands near sensitive resources such as suitable habitat for federal- or state-listed species, subject to regulatory approval.

Native seed mixes can take two to three years to fully germinate depending on soil, site, weather conditions and the time of year that the seeds were installed. During the first year, native plants will typically grow to only about 1 to 3 inches tall. By the second year, some native grasses, sedges, and flowers may reach mature height, and some may flower, alongside many first-year native seedlings as well. Many of the native plants will mature and start flowering by the third year. Depending on the seed mix, other plants will not appear or mature for several years.

6.6.1 Permanent Seeding of Upland Areas

The Project primarily occurs within managed rangeland and agricultural areas. North Plains does not intend to seed actively cultivated areas; however, North Plains will seed with temporary cover crops or other mixes at the landowner request. In landscaped or lawn areas, North Plains will use turf grass seed mixes requested by the landowner. North Plains may reseed roadside areas with seed mixes that most closely resemble the current vegetation community, unless otherwise agreed upon with the landowner and/or road authorities, subject to regulatory approval.

6.6.2 Permanent Seeding of Wetland Areas

The Project will cross wetlands consisting of forested, scrub-shrub, and emergent wetland types. North Plains will use low ground pressure vehicles or construction mats in these wetlands for vegetation clearing and access; however, North Plains plans to span these wetlands to avoid structure placement within the wetlands to the extent practicable. Therefore, North Plains will avoid grading within these wetland communities, unless grading is required to restore inadvertent disturbance to a wetland during placement or removal of construction mats across the wetland. North Plains will continue to manage woody vegetation within these wetlands as further discussed in Section 8.

In wetlands, the preferred method for revegetation of disturbed areas is reliance on revegetation by resident plant communities. However, supplemental seeding may be beneficial at some locations to improve cover of bare soils and increase diversity. North Plains will use a wetland seed mix on exposed soils that most closely corresponds to the native vegetation community identified during North Plains wetland surveys conducted in accordance with USACE delineation protocols. North Plains will not apply fertilizer, lime, or mulch in wetlands.

6.6.3 Permanent Seeding of Waterbody Banks

The Project will cross waterbodies located in the Project workspace using the techniques described in Section 5.3.1. However, North Plains plans to span these waterbodies to avoid structure placement within bed or banks. Therefore, disturbance to waterbodies should be limited to the installation and removal of bridges, culverts, or fords. North Plains will continue to manage tall-growing woody vegetation within riparian areas as further discussed in Section 8.

North Plains will reestablish stream bank vegetation using a riparian seed mix that most closely corresponds to the native vegetation community to seed areas of exposed soils. North Plains will install temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control BMPs such as erosion control blankets until permanent cover or final stabilization is achieved, previously discussed in Sections 5.7.2 and 6.3.

6.6.4 Timing

North Plains will seed as soon as possible following final grading and seed bed preparation when the environmental conditions are appropriate. Table 6.6.4-1 provides the USDA-NRCS recommendations for the timing of native plant seed mixes based on species type and season (USDA-NRCS 2021 and 2025)..

TABLE 6.6.4-1		
Time of Seeding		
Species Type and Season of Planting	North Dakota (South Region)	Montana (Rangeland)
COOL SEASON SPECIES		
Spring ^c	Prior to May 10	Prior to May 15
Late Summer ^a	August 10 to September 15	May 15-August 1 ^d
Late Fall (dormant) ^{b,c}	See footnote ^b	See footnote ^e
WARM SEASON SPECIES		
Spring	May 10 to June 25	Prior to August 1 ^d
WARM/COOL SEASON MIX		
Spring	April 20 to June 1	Prior to August 1 ^d
^a	If legumes are part of a mixture, seed by August 25. For winter survival, it is essential that alfalfa plants reach the 6-leaf stage prior to fall dormancy. Alfalfa requires 6-8 weeks regrowth after emergence to develop the 6-leaf stage.	
^b	Seeding may occur once soil temperatures drop to 40 degrees Fahrenheit for a minimum of 5 consecutive days (usually after November 1) based upon North Dakota Weather Network or actual field measurements at a depth of 2 inches.	
^c	Pollinator plantings consisting of forbs only (no grasses) will be seeded during the spring or dormant seeding windows. Pollinator plantings consisting of forbs and grass mixtures will be seeded during the appropriate seeding widng fo the grass component of the mixture.	
^d	Warm season species establish better in spring seedlings that fall season. Only when there is a minimum of two feet of moist soil; soil must also be moist to within two inches of the surface. Seeding must be completed before August 1.	
^e	After October 15 or when the soil temperature at two inches below the soil surface remains at 40 degrees Fahrenheit or less for ten days or more. Seed before soil freezes.	
Sources: USDA-NRCS, 2021; USDA-NRCS, 2025.		

7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

During construction, North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors (EIs) responsible for ensuring compliance with environmental plans; permit and authorization conditions; and company commitments during Project activities. The EIs will report directly to a North Plains representative who has overall responsibility for successful implementation of all environmental permits and plans during construction. If required by permits and authorizations, North Plains will also employ Compliance Monitors who will report directly to the applicable agency.

During construction, the EIs will be on-site daily while construction activities are occurring. The EIs will be responsible for collecting the information required by the federal, state, and local permits and authorizations. North Plains' Environmental Project Manager will use this information to develop reports required by permits and authorizations. The EIs specific duties will include:

- attending daily construction meetings to discuss compliance issues with North Plains' Construction Team;
- managing and updating for environmental task punch list restoration tracking spreadsheets;
- serving as the point of contact for agency and Tribal authority staff who visit the construction site;
- recommending changes to EI staffing to maintain appropriate levels of environmental oversight necessary to ensure compliance with all environmental plans and regulatory permits and authorizations;
- immediately communicating any non-compliance or potential non-compliance to North Plains' Environmental Project Manager;
- verifying compliance with the conditions of environmental regulatory authorizations, environmental plans, and environmental requirements in landowner easement agreements. These requirements include, but are not limited to:
 - o verifying that the limits of authorized construction work areas and locations of access roads are properly marked before the initiation of construction activities;
 - o verifying the location of signs and highly visible flagging marking the boundaries of sensitive resource areas, such as wetlands, waterbodies, threatened and endangered species' habitat, or areas with special requirements along the construction work area;
 - o verifying that the location of dewatering structures will not direct water into known cultural resources sites or locations of sensitive species or resources;
 - o verifying that authorized dewatering and discharge activities are following state water quality standards, are not adversely impacting water chemistry

- in accordance with permits, and that there are no unauthorized deposition of silt and/or sediment into a wetland or waterbodies;
- o verifying that subsoil and topsoil are tested, as deemed necessary, in agricultural and residential areas to measure compaction and determine the need for corrective action;
- o advising North Plains when conditions such as wet weather make it advisable to restrict construction activities to avoid rutting;
- o verifying that the contours and topsoil are restored to their pre-construction conditions where grading activities have occurred;
- o using the SWPPP and depicting BMP locations and techniques to verify that temporary and permanent erosion prevention and sediment control BMPs are installed in the proper locations to prevent sediment flow into wetland, waterbodies, sensitive areas, and onto roads;
- o inspecting temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs to ensure compliance with the SWPPP and water quality standards;
- o verifying that non-functional erosion and sediment control BMPs are repaired, replaced, or supplemented in accordance with permit requirements;
- o identifying areas that will be given special attention to ensure stabilization and restoration after the construction phase, such as steeply sloped areas and waterbody crossings; and
- o verifying that timing restrictions such as seasonal prohibitions as stipulated in permits are followed.
- identifying, documenting, and overseeing corrective actions, as necessary, to ensure compliance with regulatory permits and certifications; and
- documenting daily observations.

After construction activities are complete, North Plains' EIs will continue to inspect the Project workspace in accordance with the Montana and North Dakota Storm Water Construction General Permits. EIs will inspect areas where seeding and sediment and erosion control BMPs have been implemented and will follow up with reseeding measures where vegetative cover by the specified seed mix, or revegetation by the local, native seed source, is inadequate to provide final stabilization. EIs will inspect the Project right-of-way until final stabilization or permanent cover, as defined by the applicable Storm Water Construction General Permit, is achieved (see Section 5.7).

8.0 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

North Plains' primary goal is to construct the Project and then operate and maintain the Project and its right-of-way in a manner that ensures a safe and reliable transmission line. Clearing and managing vegetation is crucial for the Project's reliability and safety and to prevent

vegetation-related outages. The NERC established the reliability standard FAC-003-4 to prevent vegetation-related outages from occurring on electrical transmission systems operating at 200 kV or higher (NERC, 2016). The HVDC Transmission Line, Rosebud Transmission Line, Oliver Transmission Line, and Morton Transmission Line will be subject to FAC-003-4. The standard requires transmission line operators to implement a documented vegetation management program with an established Minimum Vegetation Clearing Distance³ to prevent flashover between conductors and vegetation. North Plains will implement a vegetation management program and will comply with the Minimum Vegetation Clearing Distance.

8.1 ROUTINE INSPECTIONS

North Plains will conduct routine and preventative maintenance activities to identify and repair any deficiencies recorded by the inspector during routine monitoring and inspections. Routine and preventative maintenance activities can typically be performed in a short timeframe and do not result in ground disturbance. Examples of routine maintenance activities that will occur during the life of the Project are listed below:

- **Fast Aerial Patrol:** This inspection is planned to occur annually and includes a single day flyover of the transmission line with primary focus on gross defects.
- **Climbing Inspection:** This inspection technique is planned to occur approximately every three years at varying locations. This will include personnel climbing the pole structures with a primary focus on structure components and secondary focus on wire systems and insulator assemblies.
- **Detailed Aerial Inspection:** This inspection technique is planned to occur approximately every three years. This will include an extensive flyover effort with a primary focus on wire systems and insulators assemblies and a secondary focus on pole structure components.
- **Ground Based Inspection:** This inspection technique is planned to occur approximately every three years at varying locations. This will include personnel traveling to the structure site and reviewing the structure from the ground with a primary focus on guys, anchors, structure plumbness and orientation, and arrester condition. The secondary focus during this inspection will be on wire systems and insulator assemblies.
- **Special Inspections:** This inspection technique describes possible special inspections that may occur over time as the need is determined and may include detailed foundation inspection, infrared inspection, and corrosion detection.

North Plains will notify the applicable jurisdictional agency and landowners or land-managing agencies of routine and preventative maintenance activities prior to the start of work. North Plains will obtain prior approval from the appropriate jurisdictional agencies and landowners or land-managing agencies prior to conducting routine or preventative maintenance that falls outside the Project right-of-way, permanent access roads, or ownership. In the event of an emergency, North Plains will conduct maintenance that is deemed necessary to protect the health and safety

³ The Minimum Vegetation Clearing Distance is the calculated minimum distance in feet to prevent flashover between conductors and vegetation at various altitudes for both HVDC and EHV AC operating voltages.

of the public and contact the appropriate jurisdictional agencies and landowners as soon as it is safe to do so.

9.0 PLAN REVISIONS

TABLE 9.0-1 Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial draft plan.	March 2023
Rev 1	Revised per agency and North Plains comments.	August 2024
Rev 2	Revised to reflect Project changes. Updated language for consistency across all Project plans.	August 2025
Rev 3	Revised language for consistency across Project documents.	January 2026

10.0 REFERENCES

- Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2005. Avian Protection Plan Guidelines. Available online at: https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/2634/APPguidelines_final-draft_Aprl2005.pdf. Accessed May 2024.
- APLIC. 2006. Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006. Edison Electric Institute, APLIC, and the California Energy Commission. Washington, D.C., and Sacramento, CA. Available online at: <https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML1224/ML12243A391.pdf>. Accessed May 2024.
- APLIC. 2012. Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines. Edison Electric Institute and APLIC. Washington, D.C. Available online at: https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15518/Reducing_Avian_Collisions_2012watermarkLR.pdf. Accessed May 2024.
- Copstead, R.L.; D.K. Johansen; and J. Moll. 1998. Water/Road Interaction: Introduction to Surface Cross Drains. Report 9877 1806-SDTDC. San Dimas, California: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Technology and Development Program. 15 p.
- Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. 2023. Facility Study for Long-Term Transmission Service. MISO Project F118. OASIS Reference # 90481206, 95418167, 91365462. Published April 25, 2023. Available online at: [F118_Revised_V4_Final_Report.pdf\(oati.com\)](F118_Revised_V4_Final_Report.pdf(oati.com)). Accessed August 2024.
- Minnesota Power. 2024. Facilities Construction Agreement entered into by MISO and Minnesota Power, a division of ALLETE, Inc. for Square Butte TSR 91365462. February 2024. Public Version filed on the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER24-1440.
- Montana Department of Transportation. 2016. Erosion and Sediment Control Best Management Practices. Available online at: <https://www.mdt.mt.gov/publications/docs/manuals/env/bmp-manual-dec16.PDF>. Accessed August 2024.

North American Electric Reliability Corporation. 2016. FAC-003-4 Transmission Vegetation Management. Available online at: <https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Reliability%20Standards/FAC-003-4.pdf>

North Dakota Department of Transportation. 2024. Erosion and Sediment Control. Available online at: <https://www.dot.nd.gov/construction-and-planning/construction-planning/environmental/erosion-and-sediment-control>. Accessed August 2024.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2021. Nationwide Permit 57 Electric Utility Line and Telecommunications Activities. Effective Date: March 15, 2021 / Expiration Date: March 14, 2026. Authorities: Sections 10 and 404. Available on-line at: <https://saw-reg.usace.army.mil/NWP2021/NWP57.pdf>. Accessed August 2024.

U.S. Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS). 2021. Herbaceous Vegetation Establishment Guide. FOTG – Section 1 – Reference Subject – Plant Materials. Available online at: https://chesakseedhouse.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/North_Dakota_Herbaceous_Veg_Est_Guide.pdf. Accessed November 2025.

USDA-NRCS. 2025. Practice Specification Range Planting (Code 550). Available online at: https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/api/CPSFile/51591/550_MT_PS_Range_Planting_2025_.pdf. Accessed November 2025.

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT A

Federal Land-Management Agency Stipulations

Bureau of Land Management

Access Roads

On BLM lands, North Plains will install construction mats for temporary overland travel and temporary access roads that are used by equipment weighing over 10 tons, while soils are wet or moist. Where mats are not installed, North Plains will strip the topsoil on temporary overland travel and temporary access roads across BLM-managed lands that are used by equipment weighing over 10 tons while soils are wet or moist (BLM, 2015). Topsoil will be segregated and stored as described in Section 5.8.1 of the CMRP.

Fugitive Dust Control

North Plains will not use chemical additives on BLM-managed lands.

Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control BMPs

On BLM-managed lands, all construction activities will cease when ruts greater than 4 inches occur (BLM, 2015).

All topsoil piles on BLM-managed lands that are not returned within 30 days of disturbance will be seeded with BLM-approved seed mix (see Attachment M of the CMRP) to maintain soil biological activity (BLM, 2015).

Grading and Topsoil Segregation

North Plains will leave gaps between the spoil piles and will install erosion and sediment control BMPs where stockpiled topsoil and subsoil piles intersect with water conveyances, such as ditches or swales, to maintain natural drainage. North Plains will maintain separation in the form of a gap or a physical barrier, such as silt fence between the topsoil and subsoil piles to prevent mixing. Mulch will not be used to separate spoil piles on BLM-managed lands.

If required due to excessively windy conditions, North Plains will immediately tackify topsoil using either water or a suitable tackifier such as liquid mulch binder, as discussed in Section 5.7.3, on BLM-managed lands.

Excavation

North Plains will not introduce excess rocks and gravel on the surface during final grading in areas where rocks and/or gravel were not present during pre-construction conditions on BLM-managed lands.

Hazardous Materials Management

Avoid the following activities within 500 feet of wetlands and waterbodies:

- Storing hazardous materials, chemicals, fuels, or lubricating oils
- Refueling (except when conducted in accordance with the Spill Prevention and Response Plan)

- Performing equipment maintenance or repairs
- Parking vehicles or machinery

If refueling or the use of hazardous liquids is necessary within the buffer zone, a Compliance Monitor will oversee the activity and ensure spill response equipment is onsite and immediately accessible.

Decompaction

Where topsoil is stripped for the construction of temporary overland travel and temporary access roads on BLM lands, after construction is complete, North Plains will decompact to a minimum depth of 18 inches in two perpendicular passes (BLM, 2015). The topsoil will then be returned, and the site seeded with a BLM-approved native seed mix based on soil types provided by the BLM.

On BLM-managed lands, decompaction will be needed in all areas where construction mats were not installed and equipment weighing over 6 tons was used when soils were wet or saturated and at all locations where construction mats were not installed and equipment weighing over 10 tons was used.

Restoration

Reclamation activities on BLM-managed lands will not be performed when soils are saturated or frozen. Frozen/saturated soils cannot be used to backfill or used to top dress disturbed areas as they cannot be spread consistently which creates large cavities and/or large soil blocks, leading to subsidence of the soils when they thaw/dry.

Seed Specifications

Fertilizer will not be applied on BLM-managed lands (BLM, 2015).

On BLM-managed lands, drill seeding will be conducted using a rangeland drill spaced no greater than 6 inches and equipped with a depth regulator to ensure proper depth of planting. The seed mixture will be evenly and uniformly planted over the disturbed area. Smaller/heavier seeds have a tendency to drop to the bottom of the drill and are planted first. North Plains will take appropriate measures to ensure this does not occur (BLM, 2015).

Where drilling is not possible on BLM-managed lands, seed will be broadcast, and the area will be raked or chained to cover the seed. When broadcasting the seed, the seeding rate will be doubled. The seeding will be repeated until satisfactory vegetative cover is established as determined by the BLM. Evaluation of growth will not be made before completion of the second growing season after seeding. The BLM will be notified a minimum of seven days prior to seeding of the Project (BLM, 2015).

The Montana and North Dakota Departments of Agriculture require certificates of seed analysis for all seed mixes to manage the introduction of noxious weeds. North Plains will confirm seeds have been tested in accordance with state law(s) and within six months prior to purchase. Seed analysis will be provided to the BLM authorized officer 7 days prior to seeding on BLM-managed lands. Commercial seed will be either certified or registered seed. Seed mixture containers will

be tagged in accordance with state law(s) and available for inspection by the BLM authorized officer 7 days prior to seeding on BLM-managed lands.

Permanent Revegetation

On BLM-managed lands, seed mixes will not contain primary or secondary noxious weed seed in the seed mixture (see BLM seed mixes and installation requirements provided in Attachment M of the CMRP).

U.S. Forest Service and USDA Agricultural Research Service

Waterbody Crossings

North Plains will design waterbody crossings as described in Section 5.3.1 of the CMRP, and consistent with Forest Service Handbook (FSH) 2509.25 (USFS, 2006).

North Plains will install infrastructure as close as perpendicular to the axis of the stream channel as engineering constraints allow, creating the shortest and most stable crossing as required by FSH 2509.25.

In accordance with FSH 2509.25, North Plains will remove temporary waterbody crossings seasonally on USFS- and USDA ARS-managed lands.

Wetland Crossings

In accordance with FSH 2509.25, North Plains will not use ice roads in wetlands until there is at least 1 foot of packed snow or 2 inches of frozen soil on USFS- and USDA ARS-managed lands.

Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control BMPs

On NFS-managed lands, North Plains will implement erosion and sediment control BMPs in accordance with the National Best Management Practices for Water Quality Management on National Forest System Lands.¹

Seed Specifications

On USFS- and USDA ARS-managed lands, North Plains will comply with the seed specifications provided in USFS Seed Scenario #13 included in Attachment M of the CMRP.

Permanent Revegetation

On USFS- and USDA ARS-managed lands, North Plains will comply with the seed specifications provided in USFS Seed Scenario #13 included in Attachment M of the CMRP.

¹ USFS. 2012. National Best Management Practices for Water Quality Management on National Forest System Lands. Volume 1: National Core BMP Technical Guide. USDA Forest Service FS-990a. April 2012. Available online: https://www.fs.usda.gov/naturalresources/watershed/pubs/FS_National_Core_BMPs_April2012.pdf. Access April 2025.

References

- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2015. Miles City Field Office Approved Resource Management Plan. Attachment 9 from the Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendments for the Rocky Mountain Region. Prepared by U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Land Management Miles City Field Office, Montana. September 2015. BLM/MT/PL-15/010+1610.
- U.S. Forest Service. 2006. Forest Service Handbook (FSH) 2509.25 – Watershed Conservation Practices – Handbook, Chapter 10 – Management Measures and Design Criteria. Amendment No. 2509.25-2006-2. Effective May 5, 2006. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region (Region 2), Denver, CO.

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT B

Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan

North Plains Connector LLC



GRID UNITED

January 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE.....	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE.....	1
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	1
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION.....	1
5.0	TRAINING.....	2
6.0	AGRICULTURAL PROTECTION MEASURES.....	2
6.1	ADVANCE NOTICE OF ACCESS TO PRIVATE PROPERTY.....	2
6.2	LIVESTOCK OPERATIONS.....	2
6.3	IRRIGATION SYSTEMS	3
6.4	ACCESS ROADS.....	3
6.5	DUST CONTROL	3
6.6	WEED CONTROL	3
6.7	CONSTRUCTION IN WET CONDITIONS	3
6.8	PREVENTION OF SOIL EROSION	4
6.9	TOPSOIL SEPARATION AND STORAGE.....	4
6.10	CONSTRUCTION WATER DISCHARGES.....	4
6.11	LAND LEVELING	5
6.12	COMPACTION, RUTTING, AND SOIL RESTORATION	5
6.13	EXCESS ROCK	5
6.14	SOIL AMENDMENTS.....	5
6.15	DAMAGED SOIL CONSERVATION PRACTICES.....	5
7.0	PLAN REVISIONS.....	5

LIST OF TABLES

Table 7.0-1	Plan Revisions	5
-------------	----------------------	---

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AIMP	Draft Agricultural Impact and Mitigation Plan
CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
Contractor	construction contractor
EI	Environmental Inspector
Grid United	Grid United LLC
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
Plan	Draft Agricultural Impact and Mitigation Plan
Project	North Plains Connector Project

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

The Draft Agricultural Impact and Mitigation Plan (AIMP or Plan) outlines the standard procedures that North Plains will implement throughout Project construction to minimize and mitigate construction-related impacts to agricultural land use, such as remediation of damage to drain tile and lines, prevention of interference with irrigation systems, segregation of topsoil, decompaction of soil, repair of fence, management of livestock, and removal of stone, in addition to special mitigation where crossing or adjacent to specialty practices, such as organic farms or apiaries.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

North Plains will coordinate with the Montana and North Dakota Departments of Agriculture, federal and state land management agencies, and other applicable federal, state, and local agencies regarding implementation of the AIMP. North Plains will comply with all applicable federal, state, county, and local regulations pertaining to the preservation of agricultural land.

Where permit and agency requirements differ, North Plains will follow the more stringent requirements.

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance including agricultural mitigation and restoration. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of the Project construction, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan, the CMRP, and in all applicable Project permits.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors (EI) during the construction phase. Further information on EIs and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

5.0 TRAINING

Before commencing construction, North Plains will organize environmental training sessions for relevant Project personnel. These training programs will cover relevant construction, restoration, and mitigation plans, including this Plan, along with any applicable permit conditions. Furthermore, North Plains will conduct large-group training sessions before each work crew begins construction, followed by periodic follow-up training for newly assigned personnel. Training will be documented, and training records will be saved with Project files.

6.0 AGRICULTURAL PROTECTION MEASURES

North Plains will reclaim the land to its former condition to the extent possible, or compensate each landowner, as appropriate, for damages and/or impacts to agricultural operations caused resulting from construction activities. North Plains will determine reclamation and compensation needs after discussion with the landowner or their designee.

6.1 ADVANCE NOTICE OF ACCESS TO PRIVATE PROPERTY

Once North Plains and landowners have reached agreements and construction activities have been scheduled, North Plains will provide the landowner or the landowner's designee with advance notice before beginning construction on the property. Prior notice will consist of a personal contact, email, letter, or a telephone contact informing the landowner or landowner's designee of North Plains' intent to access the land. North Plains will document all contact with landowners and, if requested, will provide copies of such documentation and any correspondence to North Plains.

North Plains will consult with landowners when planning the construction schedule to minimize impacts on livestock practices, calving, planting, harvesting, or other agricultural activities.

Where feasible, North Plains will coordinate Project activities to provide access for farm equipment and livestock to fields otherwise isolated by construction activities. North Plains will construct temporary fences and gates across the construction area to prevent entry by livestock, as necessary.

6.2 LIVESTOCK OPERATIONS

North Plains will construct temporary fences and gates during construction, as necessary. Any fences, gates, cattle guards, or corrals damaged by construction will be immediately repaired or replaced. Landowners may negotiate to assume the responsibility for repair, relocation, reconfiguration, or replacement of damaged fences or other livestock-related infrastructure in fair settlement with North Plains. In the event livestock requires temporary relocation or supplemental feed, North Plains will reimburse a reasonable cost incurred for the transport of livestock, acquisition of temporary pastureland, or additional supplemental feed during construction and reclamation activities in accordance with easement stipulations. Removal or alteration of existing range improvements on public land will be prohibited.

6.3 IRRIGATION SYSTEMS

If Project construction activities or Project workspace intersect an operational or planned spray irrigation system, North Plains will work with the landowner or landowner's designee to establish an acceptable amount of time during which the irrigation system may be out of service.

If feasible and mutually acceptable to North Plains and the landowner(s), temporary measures will be implemented to allow an irrigation system to continue to operate across land on which the transmission lines are being constructed. North Plains will work with the landowner or landowner's designee to identify a preferable construction time. To avoid damaging the pipes or impeding access to the irrigation lines for maintenance, North Plains will work with landowners to identify the locations of underground water lines prior to finalizing structure locations to avoid siting the structures above or adjacent to buried lines.

If irrigation lines, or access to those lines for maintenance, are adversely impacted by construction activities associated with the Project, North Plains will restore the irrigation lines, including the relocation, reconfiguration, and replacement of existing lines, as needed. Landowners may negotiate to undertake the responsibility for repair, relocation, reconfiguration, or replacement of damaged lines in fair settlement with the North Plains. In the event a landowner chooses to undertake this responsibility, North Plains will not be responsible for correcting repairs after construction is complete.

6.4 ACCESS ROADS

If public roads do not provide sufficient access, North Plains will attempt to use existing private roads for access to and from the construction workspace. The location of access roads to be used for construction purposes requires agreement with the landowner or their designee. Temporary bridges, culverts, or other methods will be implemented so as not to impede proper drainage, as further described in the CMRP. Temporary roads will be removed upon Project completion. The land will be returned to its previous use and reclaimed as nearly as possible to the conditions that existed prior to construction, to include decompaction, where needed. Reclamation measures are described further in the CMRP and Section 6.12 of this Plan.

6.5 DUST CONTROL

North Plains will implement dust control measures during construction in accordance with the CMRP. North Plains will coordinate with farm operators to provide adequate dust control in areas where specialty crops are susceptible to damage from dust.

6.6 WEED CONTROL

Weed control will be carried out in accordance with the CMRP and Invasive and Noxious Weed Management Plans.

6.7 CONSTRUCTION IN WET CONDITIONS

During construction, North Plains may suspend certain activities in wet soil conditions based on consideration of the following factors:

- extent of surface ponding;

- potential for rutting, defined as the creation of linear depressions made by tire tracks of machinery that results in the mixing of topsoil and subsoil;
- extent and location of potential rutting and compaction and determining whether traffic can be rerouted around the wet area; and
- type of equipment and nature of the construction operations proposed for that day.

North Plains will monitor upcoming weather forecasts to determine if significant rainfall is anticipated during construction. North Plains will appropriately plan work considering the potential for wet conditions and prepare to implement mitigation measures in the event of wet weather conditions and excessive waterflow. North Plains will implement such corrective measures should conditions subsequently worsen. North Plains will cease work in the applicable area until North Plains determines that site conditions are such that work may continue in conformance with the required regulatory authorizations.

6.8 PREVENTION OF SOIL EROSION

North Plains will implement erosion prevention and sediment control measures during construction in accordance with the CMRP.

Following construction, agricultural lands will be returned to the landowner, unless other arrangements have been made between North Plains and the landowner. North Plains will reseed and mulch non-cultivated agricultural land, such as pastures and perennial grass hayfields, in consultation with landowners or will make arrangements with landowners who prefer to conduct the reseeding of these areas. North Plains will work with the landowner or landowner's designee to prevent erosion on cultivated agricultural lands in instances where disturbed areas cannot be planted before the first winter season.

6.9 TOPSOIL SEPARATION AND STORAGE

Where grading is required, North Plains will strip the topsoil layer and potentially into the subsoil layer and will separate the topsoil and subsoil in storage piles within the Project workspace. North Plains will maintain separation in the form of a gap or a physical barrier, such as a thick layer of mulch or silt fence between the topsoil and subsoil piles to prevent mixing, as further described in the CMRP.

North Plains will segregate up to 12 inches of topsoil, unless otherwise dictated by applicable permits or regulations or requested by the landowner in areas of deep topsoil. Under no circumstances will North Plains use topsoil to fill a low area or backfill structure holes. North Plains will not use topsoil to construct ramps at road or waterbody crossings.

6.10 CONSTRUCTION WATER DISCHARGES

Appropriation and discharging activities will follow the requirements identified in the CMRP and applicable regulations and permit requirements to ensure compliance with Montana and North Dakota water quality standards.

6.11 LAND LEVELING

Following the completion of construction, North Plains will restore the Project workspace to as close to the original pre-construction conditions as practicable as described in the CMRP. If uneven settling occurs or surface drainage problems develop as a result of construction, North Plains will provide additional land leveling services upon landowner's written notice, or as required by the conditions of permits and authorizations. The completion of land leveling will be scheduled in coordination with the landowner, weather and soil conditions permitting. Alternatively, North Plains will negotiate with the landowner for reasonable compensation in lieu of restoration.

6.12 COMPACTION, RUTTING, AND SOIL RESTORATION

In areas where grading has occurred particularly along upland temporary access roads, North Plains may decompact soils as described in the CMRP. Some short-term decreases in agricultural productivity are possible in areas where ground disturbance has occurred, particularly access roads. North Plains will make reasonable efforts to restore agricultural productivity of the Project workspace and will address any related compensation obligations through agreements with landowners.

North Plains will reclaim rutted land as near as practical to its pre-construction condition. North Plains will compensate landowners, as appropriate, for damages caused by construction.

6.13 EXCESS ROCK

North Plains will remove rocks exposed due to construction activity from the Project workspace prior to and after topsoil replacement as described in the CMRP.

6.14 SOIL AMENDMENTS

North Plains will apply amendments, such as fertilizer and soil pH modifier materials, based on landowner agreements, except in wetlands. North Plains will consult with the local soil conservation authority and land-managing agency or landowner on the type and application of soil amendments recommended to establish the desired vegetative cover at that location. This may include soil testing.

6.15 DAMAGED SOIL CONSERVATION PRACTICES

Soil conservation practices in place in the Project workspace, such as terraces and vegetated swales, that are damaged by construction activities will be restored to the extent practicable to their pre-construction condition.

7.0 PLAN REVISIONS

Table 7.0-1		
Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial Plan draft.	May 2025
Rev 1	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025
Rev 2	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	January 2026

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT C

Blasting Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Blasting Plan

Submitted by:

North Plains Connector LLC



GRID UNITED

January 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	1
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	2
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION	2
5.0	TRAINING	2
6.0	STANDARD BLASTING PROCEDURES AND COMMITMENTS	2
6.1	SENSITIVE SPECIES	3
7.0	TRANSPORTATION OF EXPLOSIVES	3
7.1	FEDERAL REGULATIONS	3
7.2	STATE REGULATIONS	4
7.2.1	Montana	4
7.2.2	North Dakota	5
8.0	ATTENDANCE AND SURVEILLANCE OF MOTOR VEHICLES	5
8.1	PARKING	7
8.2	FUELING	7
8.3	TIRES	7
8.4	SMOKING	8
8.5	DOCUMENTATION	8
9.0	SAFETY PROCEDURES	8
9.1	STORAGE	8
9.2	BLASTING NOTIFICATION AND SAFETY PROCEDURES	9
9.3	FIRE SAFETY	9
10.0	PLAN REVISIONS	10
11.0	REFERENCES	10

LIST OF TABLES

Table 10.0-1	Plan Revisions	10
--------------	----------------	----

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
Contractor	construction contractor
Grid United	Grid United LLC
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
Project	North Plains Connector Project
Plan	Draft Blasting Plan
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

Shallow bedrock is found through an extensive portion of the Project area, which may necessitate blasting to facilitate the excavation of foundations for transmission structures and facility infrastructure and access roads. If construction crews encounter hard rock during grading or excavation for foundations or access roads, crews may need to perform blasting using explosives to loosen or fracture the rock to reach the required depth. The Draft Blasting Plan (Plan) outlines the standard procedures that North Plains will implement during blasting, including safety precautions and notifications.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

North Plains will obtain all necessary permits and will comply with all legal requirements in connection with the use, storage, and transportation of explosives including Title 29 Part 1926 Subpart U of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 49 CFR Chapter III. The State of Montana has incorporated federal regulations 29 CFR 1926.860, 29 CFR 1926.900 through 1926.914, and 29 CFR 1910.109) regarding the use of explosives into the Administrative Rules of Montana 24.131.406.

Additionally, North Plains will adhere to recommendations and requirements issued by the applicable land-managing agency, such as the U.S. Forest Service, the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service or State Trust Lands.

North Plains will employ qualified, experienced, and licensed professionals to perform blasting operations. North Plains will require the blasting supervisor to be thoroughly familiar with and comply with the rules, regulations, requirements, and conditions of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and all federal, state, county and local regulations governing blasting operations. North Plains will require evidence of experience, licenses, certifications, and permits required to complete blasting operations.

Blasting operations will not proceed until appropriate permits have been obtained and approval by North Plains has been issued. Where requirements or conditions differ, the more stringent will apply.

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance, including the contents of this Plan. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of the Project construction, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan, the CMRP, and in all applicable Project permits.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ environmental inspectors (EIs) during the construction phase. Further information on EIs and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

5.0 TRAINING

Before commencing construction, North Plains will organize environmental training sessions for relevant Project personnel. These training programs will cover relevant construction, restoration, and mitigation plans, including this Plan, along with any applicable permit conditions. Furthermore, North Plains will conduct large-group training sessions before each work crew begins construction, followed by periodic follow-up training for newly assigned personnel. Training will be documented, and training records will be saved with Project files.

6.0 STANDARD BLASTING PROCEDURES AND COMMITMENTS

North Plains will implement standard blasting best management practices throughout the duration of the Project. Only workers thoroughly experienced and licensed in handling explosives will be allowed to supervise, handle, haul, load, or shoot explosives. In those jurisdictions where the licensing of blasters is mandatory, the blasting supervisor will provide North Plains with proof of the required certification. No blasting will be done without approval from North Plains and the blasting supervisor.

Additionally, North Plains will conduct blasting in accordance with best management practices, such as the following:

- All blasting will be performed by current registered licensed blasters and will comply with regulatory requirements in connection with the transportation, storage, and use of explosives and blast vibration limits for nearby structures, utilities, and wildlife.
- North Plains will always protect workers and the public from any injury or harm that might arise from drilling dust and the use of explosives.
- North Plains will conduct blasting activities during regular, daylight working hours.
- North Plains will clear and clean up each blasting location before and after all blasting operations.

- North Plains will conduct blasting in a manner to achieve small rock fragmentation of a maximum of 1 foot in diameter.
- North Plains may pile blasting mats or subsoil over the blast location to prevent flyrock from being blown outside the construction area.
- North Plains will use appropriate flags, barricades, and warning signals to ensure safety during blasting operations.
- North Plains will carefully plan and control all blasting activities near buildings, structures, and other facilities susceptible to vibration or air blast damage to eliminate the possibility of damage to such facilities and structures.
- Where blasting will be conducted in the vicinity of pipelines, North Plains will coordinate with the appropriate pipeline operator and will follow operator-specific procedures, as applicable.
- North Plains will perform blasting testing of surface-water resources and water wells within the distance established by applicable permits.
- Where damages result from blasting, the North Plains will repair such damage or provide fair compensation to the landowner or land managing agency.

6.1 SENSITIVE SPECIES

North Plains will avoid blasting activities within 0.5 mile of occupied bat hibernacula, if present, between November 1 and April 14, when hibernacula may be occupied. North Plains will develop site-specific blasting plans if blasting is proposed within 0.5 mile of an occupied bat hibernacula.

Based on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) 2024 Eagle Rule and coordination with USFWS to date, North Plains will seek a disturbance permit if construction or blasting are required within 0.5 mile of a bald or golden eagle nest or potential bald or golden eagle nest.

7.0 TRANSPORTATION OF EXPLOSIVES

Transportation of explosives will comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, including Title 29 CFR Part 1926 Subpart U and Title 49 CFR Chapter III. These regulations are administered by the U.S. Department of Transportation and regulate the packaging, labeling, materials compatibility, driver qualifications, and safety of transported explosives. Every effort will be made to minimize the transportation of explosives through congested or heavily populated areas.

7.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

Per Title 29 CFR Part 1926 Subpart U Section 1926.902:

- Motor vehicles or conveyances transporting explosives will only be driven by a licensed driver who is physically fit and familiar with the local, state, and federal regulations governing the transportation of explosives.

- Smoking or carrying matches or any other flame-producing device, firearm, or loaded cartridges will be prohibited while in or near a motor vehicle transporting explosives.
- Explosives, blasting agents, and blasting supplies will not be transported with other materials. Blasting caps, including electric, will not be transported in the same vehicle with other explosives.
- Vehicles used for transporting explosives will be strong enough to carry the load without difficulty and will be maintained in good mechanical condition.
- When explosives are transported by an open-body vehicle, a Class II magazine or original manufacturer's container will be securely mounted on the bed to contain the cargo.
- All vehicles used for the transportation of explosives will have tight floors and any exposed spark-producing metal on the inside of the body will be covered with wood or other non-sparking material to prevent contact with containers of explosives.
- Every motor vehicle or conveyance used for transporting explosives will be marked or placarded on both sides, the front, and the rear with the word "Explosives" in red letters, not less than 4 inches in height, on white background.
- Each vehicle used for transportation of explosives will be equipped with a fully charged fire extinguisher, in good condition. An Underwriters Laboratory-approved extinguisher of not less than 10-ABC rating will meet the minimum requirement. The driver will be trained in the use of the extinguisher on his vehicle.
- Motor vehicles or conveyances carrying explosives, blasting agents, or blasting supplies, will not be taken inside a garage or shop for repairs or servicing.
- Motor vehicles carrying explosives will not be left unattended at any time.

Per Title 49 CFR Chapter III Parts 390-397:

- Every motor vehicle containing hazardous materials will be driven and parked in compliance with the laws, ordinances, and regulations of the jurisdiction in which it is being operated.

Where requirements differ, the more stringent will apply.

7.2 STATE REGULATIONS

7.2.1 Montana

Per the Administrative Rules of Montana 61-9-413, the State of Montana has the following requirements for vehicles transporting explosives.

- Any person operating any vehicle transporting any explosive as a cargo or part of a cargo upon a highway will at all times comply with the provisions of this section.

- Vehicles transporting explosives will be marked or placarded on each side and the rear with the word "EXPLOSIVES" in letters not less than 8 inches high, or there will be displayed on the rear of such vehicle a red flag not less than 24 inches square marked with the word "DANGER" in white letters 6 inches high.
- Vehicles transporting explosives will be equipped with not less than two fire extinguishers, filled and ready for immediate use, and placed at a convenient point on the vehicle so used.

7.2.2 North Dakota

Per the North Dakota Century Code Section 39-21-44, any vehicle transporting any explosive or hazardous material as a cargo or part of a cargo upon a highway must be equipped with at least one fire extinguisher, filled and ready for immediate use, and placed at a convenient point on the vehicle.

North Plains will adhere to the Montana requirement of two fire extinguishers per vehicle, as it is the more stringent requirement.

8.0 ATTENDANCE AND SURVEILLANCE OF MOTOR VEHICLES

Class I explosives are defined in Title 49 CFR Part 173 as follows:

- Division 1.1: explosives that have a mass explosion hazard. A mass explosion is one which affects almost the entire load instantaneously.
- Division 1.2: explosives that have a projection hazard but not a mass explosion hazard.
- Division 1.3: explosives that have a fire hazard and either a minor blast hazard or a minor projection hazard or both, but not a mass explosion hazard.
- Division 1.4: explosives that present a minor explosion hazard. The explosive effects are largely confined to the package and no projection of fragments of appreciable size or range is to be expected. An external fire must not cause virtually instantaneous explosion of almost the entire contents of the package.
- Division 1.5: very insensitive explosives. This division is comprised of substances which have a mass explosion hazard but are so insensitive that there is very little probability of initiation or of transition from burning to detonation under normal conditions of transport.
- Division 1.6: extremely insensitive articles that do not have a mass explosion hazard. This division is comprised of articles which predominately contain extremely insensitive substances and that demonstrate a negligible probability of accidental initiation or propagation.

Class 2 materials explosives are defined in Title 49 CFR Part 173 as follows:

- Division 2.1: flammable gas

- Division 2.2: non-flammable gas
- Division 2.3: poisonous gas

Class 3 materials explosives are defined in Title 49 CFR Part 173 as “flammable and combustible liquids.”

Class 4 materials explosives are defined in Title 49 CFR Part 173 as follows:

- Division 4.1: flammable solid
- Division 4.2: spontaneously combustible material
- Division 4.3: dangerous when wet material

Class 5 materials explosives are defined in Title 49 CFR Part 173 as follows:

- Division 5.1: oxidizer
- Division 5.2: organic peroxide

A motor vehicle which contains a Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 material will be attended at all times by its driver or a qualified representative of the motor carrier that operates it, unless all the following conditions exist:

- the vehicle is located on the property of a motor carrier, on the property of a shipper or consignee of the explosives, in a safe haven, or, in the case of a vehicle containing 50 pounds or less of a Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 material, on a construction or survey site;
- the lawful custodian of the explosives is aware of the nature of the explosives the vehicle contains and has been instructed in the procedures which will be followed in emergencies; and
- the vehicle is within the lawful custodian's unobstructed field of view or is located in a safe haven.

For purposes of this section:

- A motor vehicle will be considered attended when the person in charge of the vehicle is in the vehicle, awake, and not in a sleeper berth, or is within 100 feet of the vehicle and has it within their unobstructed field of view.
- A qualified representative of a motor carrier is a person who:
 - o has been designated by the carrier to attend the vehicle;
 - o is aware of the nature of the hazardous materials contained in the vehicle they attend;
 - o as been instructed in the procedures they will follow in emergencies; and
 - o is authorized to move the vehicle and has the means and ability to do so.

- A safe haven is an area specifically approved in writing by local, state, or federal governmental authorities for the parking of unattended vehicles containing Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 materials.
- The rules in this section do not relieve the driver from any obligation imposed by law relating to the placing of warning devices when a motor vehicle is stopped on a public street or highway.

8.1 PARKING

A motor vehicle which contains Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 materials will not be parked under any of the following circumstances:

- on or within 5 feet of the traveled portion of a public street or highway;
- on private property, including on the premises of a fueling or eating facility, without the knowledge and consent of the person who is in charge of the property and who is aware of the nature of the hazardous materials the vehicle contains; or
- within 300 feet of a bridge, tunnel, dwelling, or place where people work, congregate, or assemble, except for brief periods when the necessities of operation require the vehicle to be parked and make it impracticable to park the vehicle in any other place.

A motor vehicle containing hazardous materials other than Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 materials will not be parked on or within five feet of the traveled portion of public street or highway except for brief periods when the necessities of operation require the vehicle to be parked and make it infeasible to park the vehicle or equipment in any other place.

8.2 FUELING

Vehicle engines will remain off during fueling and personnel will be present and in control of the fueling process at the fuel tank until fueling is complete.

8.3 TIRES

A driver will examine each tire on a motor vehicle at the beginning of each use and each time the vehicle is parked. If a tire is found to be flat, leaking, or improperly inflated, the driver will repair, replace, or properly inflate the tire before the vehicle is driven again. However, if necessary, the vehicle may be driven to the nearest safe place to perform the required repair, replacement, or inflation.

If a tire is found to have overheated, the driver will immediately remove the overheated tire and place it at a safe distance from the vehicle. The driver will not operate the vehicle until the cause of the overheating is determined and corrected.

8.4 SMOKING

Smoking will be prohibited during the loading, transporting, or unloading of explosives. Additionally, smoking or carrying of a lighted cigarette, cigar, or pipe will be prohibited on or within 25 feet of:

- a motor vehicle which contains Class 1 materials, Class 5 materials, or flammable materials classified as Division 2.1, Class 3, Divisions 4.1 and 4.2; or
- an empty tank motor vehicle which has been used to transport Class 3, flammable materials, or Division 2.1 flammable gases, which when so used, was required to be marked or placarded with the contents.

Smoking restrictions issued by land-managing agencies will be adhered to. Where requirements differ, the more stringent will apply.

8.5 DOCUMENTATION

A motor carrier that transports Division 1.1, 1.2, or 1.3 materials will furnish the driver of each motor vehicle in which the explosives are transported with a copy of the rules in this Plan and a document containing instructions on procedures to be followed in the event of accident or delay. The documents will include the nature of the explosives being transported, the precautions to be taken in emergencies such as fires, accidents, or leakages, and the names and telephone numbers of persons to be contacted in the event of an emergency. Drivers will sign a receipt for all received documents and will maintain the receipt for a minimum of one year from the date of signature.

9.0 SAFETY PROCEDURES

Safe storage and use of explosive materials will be a top priority during construction. North Plains will comply with all federal, state, and local laws and permit conditions regarding blasting safety.

9.1 STORAGE

At a minimum, the following storage requirements will be implemented:

- Explosives will be stored in an approved structure and storage facilities will be bullet-resistant, weather-resistant, theft-resistant, and fire-resistant.
- Storage sites will be located in out-of-sight areas with restricted access; kept cool, dry, and well ventilated; and will be properly labeled and signed.
- Detonators will be stored separately from other explosive materials.
- The most stringent spacing between individual magazines will be determined according to the guidelines contained in state or local explosive storage regulations.
- Both the quantity and duration of temporary on-site explosives storage will be minimized.

- North Plains will handle and dispose of dynamite storage boxes in accordance with relevant federal, state, and local laws.

9.2 BLASTING NOTIFICATION AND SAFETY PROCEDURES

North Plains will obtain appropriate permits from the appropriate county as needed, for the period when blasting may occur. North Plains will follow best management practices for blasting, including the following:

- North Plains will post warning signs at all Project entry points. Warning signs will include information on blasting, including the general hours blasting might take place, and audible signals to be used warning of impending blasting and to indicate that the site is all clear.
- Access points to areas where blasting will take place will be blocked at least 30 minutes prior to blasting to prevent access by the public. The site will be swept 5 minutes prior to blasting to ensure no unauthorized personnel have wandered onto the site. An audible warning signal, capable of carrying for one-half mile, will be used at least 2 minutes prior to blasting. An all-clear signal will be given once it has been determined the area is safe.
- Blasting in the vicinity of pipelines will be coordinated with the pipeline operator and will follow operator-specific procedures, as necessary.
- A determination of all clear danger will be derived once the blasting area has been inspected for undetonated or misfired explosives. The blasting area will also be inspected for hazards such as falling rock and rock slides. Once the area has been inspected and these issues have been addressed, the all-clear signal as described above will sound and persons will be able to safely re-enter the blast zone.
- Additional safety precautions will be developed to address site-specific conditions at the time of the blast. Special attention will be given to preventing potential hazards in the blasting area resulting from flying rock, destabilized walls, structures, presence of low flying aircraft, and dispersion of smoke and gases.
- Damages that result solely from the blasting activity will be repaired or the owner fairly compensated.

9.3 FIRE SAFETY

The presence of explosive materials on the Project site could potentially increase the risk of fire during construction. Special precautions will be taken to minimize this risk including, but not limited to:

- prohibiting ignition devices within 50 feet of explosives storage areas;
- properly maintaining magazine and explosive storage locations so they are clear of fuels and combustible materials, well ventilated, and fire-resistant;

- protecting stored magazines and explosives from wildfires that could potentially occur in the immediate area;
- posting fire suppression personnel at the blast site during periods of high fire danger;
- prohibiting operation of motor vehicles containing hazardous materials near open flames unless the driver has taken precautions and determined that the vehicle can safely pass the fire without stopping;
- prohibiting parking of motor vehicles containing hazardous materials within 300 feet of an open fire; and
- prohibiting blasting during applicable fire restriction periods as established by the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation Interactive Fire Map (Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, 2024) and the North Dakota Department of Emergency Services Fire Declarations and Burn Restrictions (North Dakota Department of Emergency Services, 2024) (see the Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan for further information on monitoring activities).

10.0 PLAN REVISIONS

Table 10.0-1 Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial Plan draft.	February 2025
Rev 1	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025
Rev 2	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	January 2026

11.0 REFERENCES

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC). 2024. DNRC Interactive Fire Map. Available online at:
<https://mtdnrc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=6bea18851bec440d9260cb0d28f53281>. Accessed July 2024.

North Dakota Department of Emergency Services. 2024. North Dakota Fire Declarations and Burn Restrictions Mapper. Available online at:
<https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/c5da309af17b4c48a3b953675a77f654>. Accessed July 2024.

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT D

Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan

North Plains Connector LLC



GRID UNITED

January 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	1
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	1
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION	2
5.0	TRAINING	2
6.0	WILDFIRE AWARENESS	2
6.1	FIRE DANGER RATINGS	2
6.2	RED FLAG EVENTS	3
7.0	FIRE PREVENTION MEASURES	4
7.1	PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES	4
7.1.1	Notifications	4
7.1.2	Equipment	5
7.1.3	Communications	5
7.1.4	Restricted Operations	5
7.1.5	Smoking	6
7.1.6	Parking	6
7.1.7	Maintenance	6
7.1.8	Refueling	7
7.1.9	Welding	7
7.1.10	Blasting	7
7.1.11	Burning	8
7.1.12	Flammable Liquids and Explosives	8
7.1.13	Spark Arrestors	8
7.1.14	Road Closures	8
7.1.15	Inspections and Monitoring	9
8.0	FIRE SUPPRESSION MEASURES	9
8.1	PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION	9
9.0	PLAN REVISIONS	9
10.0	REFERENCES	10

LIST OF TABLES

Table 7.1.1-1	Contact Information for Reporting Fire	4
Table 9.0-1	Plan Revisions	9

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
Contractor	construction contractor
Grid United	Grid United LLC
MTDNRC	Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
NDDDES	North Dakota Department of Emergency Services
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NWS	National Weather Service
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
Project	North Plains Connector Project
Plan	Draft Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFS	U.S. Forest Service

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

The Draft Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan (Plan) provides measures that North Plains will implement throughout construction activities to reduce the potential for fires, the emergency procedures to be implemented in the event of a fire, including agency notifications, and procedures for restoration of a burned area.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

The prevention and suppression of wildfires in the Project area is carried out by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), U.S. Forest Service (USFS), and local fire districts and agencies. Individual fire crews from BLM Field Offices and USFS Ranger Districts coordinate fire suppression activities on federal land within their jurisdictions.

During implementation of Project construction activities, North Plains will:

- ensure that prevention, detection, pre-suppression, and suppression activities are conducted in accordance with this Plan; federal, state, and county laws; ordinances; and regulations pertaining to fire;
- accompany agency representatives on inspections and take corrective action upon notification that North Plains is out of compliance with fire-protection requirements; and
- restrict ground disturbance activities during applicable fire restriction periods as established by the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (MTDNRC) Interactive Fire Map (MTDNRC, 2024) and the North Dakota Department of Emergency Services (NDDDES) Fire Declarations and Burn Restrictions (NDDDES, 2024).

Contact information for reporting fires is presented in Table 7.1.1-1 of this Plan.

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and

environmental compliance, to include hazardous materials management. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of the Project construction, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan, the CMRP, and in all applicable Project permits.

North Plains will be responsible for providing all necessary fire suppression equipment to their employees and operating under the requirements of this Plan. All federal, state, and county laws, ordinances, rules, and regulations, which pertain to prevention, pre-suppression, and suppression of fires, will be strictly adhered to by North Plains. All personnel will be advised of their responsibilities under the applicable fire laws and regulations and the contents of this Plan.

The fire prevention and suppression measures described in this Plan will be in effect during the execution of Project construction activities. These restrictions may change by advance written notice by fire-control or federal authorities and where requirements differ, the more stringent will be applied.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors during the construction phase. Further information on Environmental Inspectors and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

5.0 TRAINING

Before commencing construction, North Plains will conduct environmental training sessions for relevant Project personnel. These training programs will cover relevant construction, restoration, and mitigation plans, including this Plan, along with any applicable permit conditions. Furthermore, North Plains will conduct periodic follow-up training for newly assigned personnel and as needed. Training will be documented, and the training records will be saved with Project files.

6.0 WILDFIRE AWARENESS

North Plains will monitor daily for local land management agency or other state- or local agency-issued fire warnings or restrictions for the Project area and adhere to any guidance provided.

The MTDNRC Interactive Fire Map (MTDNRC, 2024) identifies locations of fires, fire restrictions, smoke and air conditions, and Agency Protection Boundaries. The NDDDES North Dakota Fire Declarations and Burn Restrictions Mapper (NDDDES, 2024) lists burn restrictions, fire danger ratings, red flag warnings, and fire weather watches.

6.1 FIRE DANGER RATINGS

North Plains will use Fire Danger Ratings to direct daily activities and in-field crew safety meetings. Fire Danger Ratings consider current and recent weather, fuel types, and fuel moisture, and are used by agencies in determining mitigation or curtailment of operations.

Fire Danger Ratings and their descriptions are available on the Wildland Fire Assessment website (Wildland Fire Assessment, 2024), the MTDNRC Interactive Fire Map (MTDNRC, 2024), and the NDDDES Declarations and Burn Restrictions Mapper (NDDDES, 2024).

6.2 RED FLAG EVENTS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Weather Service (NWS) Red Flag program issues Red Flag Warnings when warm temperatures, very low humidities, and stronger winds are expected to combine to produce an increased risk of fire danger. A Red Flag Warning is issued to advise of occurring or imminent red flag conditions, generally anticipated within the next 12 to 24 hours. The NWS may also issue a Fire Weather Watch to advise of the possible development of red flag conditions in the near future, generally anticipated within the next 24 to 72 hours (about 3 days).

Red Flag Warnings are posted on the NOAA NWS website at <https://www.weather.gov/> (NOAA-NWS, 2024a).

NOAA criteria (NOAA-NWS, 2024b) for issuing a Red Flag Warning include the following:

- Relative humidity of 15 percent or less in addition to sustained surface winds, or frequent gusts, of 25 miles per hour or greater. Both conditions must occur simultaneously for at least 3 hours in a 12-hour period.
- Temperature higher than 75 degrees Fahrenheit.
- Widely scattered dry thunderstorms with 15 percent or more coverage over the area. A thunderstorm is considered “dry” if it produces less than 0.10 inch rainfall.

Additional factors that may contribute to a Red Flag Warning include:

- significant lightning occurrence after a hot, dry period;
- a significant cold frontal passage, which is expected to cause strong sustained and gusty winds, and an abrupt wind shift, especially dry cold fronts with little or no rainfall; and
- any combination of weather and fuels conditions such as long term drought, much higher than normal maximum temperatures coupled with very low humidity, and low fuel moisture.

Current fire conditions, dangers, and restrictions will be addressed in daily safety briefings and used to direct daily activities.

- North Plains will maintain awareness of active fires in the vicinity of the Project workspace including containment status, fire-fighting deployment activities, and potential for fire to interact with Project activities.
- North Plains will monitor meteorological and environmental conditions in the field to assess the risk and potential for fire for increased risk of conditions.
- North Plains will discuss the evacuation plan prior to leaving vehicle parking locations.

- All personnel will identify and inform North Plains and other personnel of signs of an active fire, such as smoky smell or visible smoke.
- All personnel will be aware of other natural factors and human-induced activities in the Project area that increase exposure to a wildland fire, such as thunderstorms or non-project construction activities.

7.0 FIRE PREVENTION MEASURES

7.1 PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION PHASES

7.1.1 Notifications

North Plains will notify the applicable agency(s) when a Project-related fire occurs within or adjacent to the construction area. North Plains will immediately take safe actions to prevent and suppress fires on and adjacent to the Project area that are a result of Project-related activities. Unless the fire exceeds immediate control, North Plains will employ its workers and equipment to prevent fire spread. If immediate control is not possible, all personnel will immediately exit the area to predetermined muster locations a safe distance from the fire and follow the steps outlined in this Plan.

Prior to construction, North Plains will contact the appropriate fire-control authorities to establish communications, obtain any required permits, such as burning or fire waiver permits prior to conducting burning activities, and/or fulfill other obligations as directed by fire-control authorities.

Table 7.1.1-1 below provides contact information for reporting fires within the Project area.

TABLE 7.1.1-1 Contact Information for Reporting Fire		
Regulatory Authority	Address	Phone
U.S. Department of Agriculture – National Forest System Lands		
Dakota Prairie Grasslands	2000 Miriam Circle Bismarck, ND 58501	(701) 989-7300
Little Missouri National Grasslands	99 23rd Ave. W. Suite B Dickinson, ND 58601	(701) 227-7800
U.S. Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Station		
Fort Keough	243 Fort Keogh Road Miles City, MT 59301	(406) 874-8200
Bureau of Land Management		
Montana	111 Garryowen Road Miles City, MT 59301	(406) 233-2800 911
Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation		
Custer County	County Fire Warden 1010 Main Street Miles City, MT 59301	(406) 542-4304 911
Fallon County	Disaster Emergency Services 10 W Fallon Ave Baker MT 59313	(406)-778-7121 911
Rosebud County	Fire Chief 242 East Front St Forsyth, MT 59327	(406) 253-0208 (406) 346-4270 911

TABLE 7.1.1-1 Contact Information for Reporting Fire		
Regulatory Authority	Address	Phone
North Dakota Department of Emergency Services		
Golden Valley County	Emergency Manager PO Box 67 Beach, ND 58621-0067	(701) 872-3713 911
Grant County	Emergency Manager PO Box 261 Carson, ND 58529-0261	(701) 622-3944 911
Hettinger County	Emergency Manager 336 Pacific Ave Mott, ND 58646-7502	(701) 824-4227 911
Morton County	Emergency Manager 210 2nd Ave NW Mandan, ND 58554-3124	(701) 667-3290 911
Oliver County	Emergency Manager PO Box 39 Stanton, ND 58571-0039	Office: (701) 745-3022 Cell: (701) 301-0446 911
Slope County	Emergency Manager Amidon, ND 58620-9691	(701) 879-6329 911

7.1.2 Equipment

North Plains' Contractor will have adequate suppression equipment on site in sufficient numbers so each employee on-site has access to fire suppression equipment commensurate with the types of activities performed.

- Equipment may include water trucks; portable water pumps; water backpacks; chemical fire extinguishers; hand tools such as shovels, axes, Pulaski fire tools, and chain saws; and heavy equipment adequate to construct fire breaks.
- Personnel will also be equipped with appropriate personal protective equipment, such as a hard hat, work gloves, and eye protection. Fire retardant clothing will be used in accordance with federal regulations.
- All equipment will be maintained in a serviceable condition and will be readily available.

7.1.3 Communications

North Plains will be responsible for maintaining contact with fire-control agencies and will be equipped with a radio, satellite, or cellular telephone to enable immediate contact with local fire-control agencies. If telephone coverage is not available, North Plains will use the radio to contact their base, who will telephone emergency dispatch.

7.1.4 Restricted Operations

North Plains will restrict or cease operations in specified locations during periods of high fire danger at the direction of the land management agency's closure order. Restrictions may vary

from stopping certain operations at a given time to stopping all operations. It will be North Plains' responsibility to ensure personnel are aware of and following area fire orders.

7.1.5 Smoking

- North Plains will designate areas for smoking and will prohibit smoking of any kind around flammable materials at all times and on the entire construction site when the fire hazard is high.
- Smoking will be strictly prohibited on private lands, as well as anywhere there is vegetation or other combustible fuel source in the immediate vicinity and/or on/along any public roads that are not improved, such as gravel or pavement.
- On public lands where conditions allow smoking, unfinished product and cigarette filters will be disposed of via appropriate means, such as in a bottle with water or other device designed for the purpose of safe disposal of such products.

7.1.6 Parking

- Motorized equipment, including worker transportation vehicles, will only be driven or parked within the designated and approved work limits.
- Equipment and personal vehicle parking areas, staging areas, and designated vehicle-parking areas may be cleared to remove potentially flammable vegetation material. Clearing will extend a minimum of 2 feet beyond the edge of the area to be occupied but not beyond the boundaries of the approved Project workspace.
- Whenever possible, parking will be avoided in, on, and over vegetation or any other combustible material.
- Hot engines and equipment components such as mufflers can potentially increase the risk of fire ignitions in vegetated areas. Where vegetation is present, and prior to parking, personnel will first stomp down the vegetation and then use water distribution systems to wet the vegetation beneath and immediately adjacent to where each vehicle will be parked.
- After parking, vehicle undercarriages will be visibly inspected for signs of debris or vegetation contact with the vehicle heat sources.
- Whenever possible, vehicles will be backed into their parking spots to allow for a quick exit in the event of an emergency. Where backing in is not viable, space will be maintained between parallel parked vehicles, so vehicles are able to freely leave the area. When and where safe to do so, keys will be left in the vehicle so that any driver can quickly move the vehicle.

7.1.7 Maintenance

- Vehicles, including utility terrain vehicles, will be regularly washed and serviced to ensure vehicle cooling systems are efficient and as often as needed to remove vegetation that could become a combustible fuel source. While performing

morning vehicle walkaround inspections, North Plains' Contractor will also inspect vehicle radiators and the undercarriage.

- Water storage systems will be full at the start of every day and refilled during the day, as necessary.
- Fire extinguishers will be inspected at the start of each day to ensure proper charge.
- Batteries for communications devices, such as cellular phones, satellite phones, SPOT Beacons, or similar devices will be fully charged at the start of each day.

7.1.8 Refueling

- Fuel trucks will have a large fire extinguisher charged with the appropriate chemical to control electrical and gas fires.
- All equipment and vehicle refueling will be done in a designated area that has been cleared of flammable material prior to initiating refueling activities.

7.1.9 Welding

- Standard fire equipment will be located in all vehicles and at welding sites. A spark shield will be required. All equipment will be kept in a serviceable condition and readily available.
- Flammable vegetation will be soaked with water or removed from an area large enough to contain all sparks from any spark-producing equipment or tools, including welding, cutting, drilling steel, or grinding.
- A spotter will be designated to watch for fire while equipped with suppression tools including fire extinguishers, a shovel, and a backpack water pump.
- Spark-producing activities will be completed while fire suppression personnel are present within the construction area to monitor for the possibility of ignition from smoldering embers.

7.1.10 Blasting

The presence of explosive materials on the Project site could potentially increase the risk of fire during construction. Special precautions will be taken to minimize this risk in conjunction with this Plan, the Blasting Plan, and the CMRP including, but not limited to:

- prohibiting ignition devices within 50 feet of explosives storage areas;
- properly maintaining magazine storage sites so they are clear of fuels and combustible materials, well ventilated, and fire-resistant;
- protecting magazines and magazine storage sites from wildfires that could occur in the immediate area;

- posting fire suppression personnel at blast sites during high fire danger periods; and
- prohibiting blasting during extreme fire danger periods or as directed by agencies.

7.1.11 Burning

- North Plains may allow the burning of non-merchantable wood in accordance with all state and local regulations where North Plains has acquired the applicable permits and approvals from applicable agencies and landowners.
- Burning will not be allowed in wetlands or agricultural lands.
- Burning within 100 feet of a wetland or waterway will be prohibited without site-specific approval in advance from North Plains and in accordance with applicable permits and approvals.
- Crews will conduct burning, if necessary, in compliance with fire danger ratings and warnings.

7.1.12 Flammable Liquids and Explosives

- The handling and use of explosives will be conducted in strict conformance with all local, state, and federal regulations, and as detailed in this Plan, Blasting Plan, and the Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan.
- North Plains will store flammable materials in approved containers away from ignition sources and remove flammable waste from the construction site.
- Glass containers will not be used to store gasoline or other flammables.

7.1.13 Spark Arrestors

All equipment assigned to the Project will be inspected and approved by North Plains. Stationary and mobile internal combustion engines will be equipped with spark arrestors that meet agency standards, and for which the following standards will apply:

- Light trucks and cars with factory-installed mufflers in good condition may be used on roads where the roadway is cleared of all vegetation.
- Vehicles equipped with catalytic converters may present potential fire hazards and will be parked in areas where vegetation is less than 8 inches tall.
- Spark arrestors will be used on roads where vegetation exists and will be maintained in good working order.

7.1.14 Road Closures

North Plains will coordinate with local road authorities and the appropriate fire-suppression agencies of any scheduled road closures to ensure emergency routes are available. North Plains

will minimize, to the extent possible, the duration of road closures. North Plains will work with the local road authorities to identify a bypass or detour if necessary. North Plains will clearly mark all bypasses or detours and will designate one person to direct traffic for the duration the bypass or detour is in use.

7.1.15 Inspections and Monitoring

North Plains will ensure flammable operations, such as welding, and suppressed fires are monitored until determined completely extinguished. Federal, state, and local fire-control agencies may perform inspections in areas under their jurisdiction at their discretion.

8.0 FIRE SUPPRESSION MEASURES

8.1 PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION

If a fire occurs within the Project area during construction, North Plains will take the following actions:

- Ensure the safety of all personnel in the area.
- Take immediate action to suppress fires using available manpower and equipment.
- Immediately notify the nearest fire-suppression agency of the fire location, action taken, and status.
- Relinquish fire-suppression activities to agency fire-management officers upon their arrival.

If a reported fire is controlled, North Plains will note the location and monitor the progress in extinguishing the fire. North Plains will designate an employee to remain at the scene until the fire is fully extinguished. The extinguished fire will be monitored in accordance with procedures described in this Plan.

- If the fire is unmanageable field crews will, in the following order:
 - o evacuate via planned routes to predetermined safe locations,
 - o call 911, and
 - o call the district dispatch for the area.

9.0 PLAN REVISIONS

TABLE 9.0-1		
Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial plan draft.	March 2025
Rev 1	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025
Rev 2	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	January 2026

10.0 REFERENCES

- Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation. 2024. DNRC Interactive Fire Map. Available online at: <https://mtdnrc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=6bea18851bec440d9260cb0d28f53281>. Accessed July 2024.
- North Dakota Department of Emergency Services. 2024. North Dakota Fire Declarations and Burn Restrictions Mapper. Available online at: <https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/c5da309af17b4c48a3b953675a77f654>. Accessed July 2024.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Weather Service (NWS). 2024a. Available online at: <https://www.weather.gov/> Accessed July 2024.
- NOAA-NWS. 2024b. Available online at: https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov ofs/nws_forecastinfo.html. Accessed July 2024.
- Wildland Fire Assessment System. 2024. Available online at: <https://www.wfas.net/>. Accessed July 2024.

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT E

Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Hazardous Materials Management Plan

North Plains Connector LLC



GRID UNITED

January 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE.....	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE.....	1
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	1
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION.....	1
5.0	TRAINING.....	2
6.0	HAZARDOUS MATERIAL AND WASTE MANAGEMENT.....	2
6.1	OVERVIEW OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PROPOSED FOR USE.....	2
6.2	STORAGE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	3
6.2.1	Storage Containers.....	3
6.2.2	Container Labeling Requirements.....	3
6.3	TRANSPORTATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	4
6.4	REFUELING AND SERVICING.....	4
7.0	DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS WASTES.....	5
7.1	CONTAMINATED CONTAINERS.....	5
7.2	WASTE OIL FILTERS.....	6
7.3	USED OILS.....	6
8.0	PLAN REVISIONS.....	7
9.0	REFERENCES.....	7

LIST OF TABLES

Table 8.0-1	Plan Revisions.....	7
-------------	---------------------	---

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
Contractor	construction contractor
DOT	Department of Transportation
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Grid United	Grid United LLC
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
Plan	Draft Hazardous Materials Management Plan
Project	North Plains Connector Project

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

The Draft Hazardous Materials Management Plan (Plan) provides measures North Plains will use throughout construction to reduce the risks associated with the use, storage, transportation, production, and disposal of hazardous materials, including hazardous substances and wastes.

The objectives of this Plan are to minimize the potential for a spill of fuel or other hazardous material, contain any spill to the smallest possible area, mitigate any hazardous material spill, and protect areas that are environmentally sensitive.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Construction activities associated with the Project are subject to various regulations regarding the storage and handling of hazardous materials. When assessing which mitigation measures are appropriate for a specific area, North Plains will adhere to this Plan and the applicable federal, state, and local permits that condition or regulate hazardous waste management. Where permit and agency requirements differ, the more stringent requirements will be followed.

In the event of an unanticipated release, North Plains will follow the steps and notification requirements outlined in the Spill Prevention and Response Plan to maintain compliance with regulatory reporting requirements.

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance, to include hazardous materials management. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of Project construction activities, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan and in all applicable Project permits.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors during the construction phase. Further information on Environmental Inspectors and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

5.0 TRAINING

Before commencing construction, North Plains will organize environmental training sessions for relevant Project personnel. These training sessions will cover relevant construction, restoration, and mitigation plans, including this Plan, along with any applicable permit conditions. Construction staff will be trained on the hazardous material management requirements, including proper handling, storage, and disposal. Furthermore, North Plains will conduct large-group training sessions before each work crew begins construction, followed by periodic follow-up training for newly assigned personnel. Training will be documented, and training records will be saved with Project files.

6.0 HAZARDOUS MATERIAL AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

Construction of the Project will require the use of certain potentially hazardous materials, such as fuels, oils, explosives, as well as herbicides or pesticides. Hazardous materials have the potential to pose a significant threat to human health and the environment based upon their quantity, concentration, or chemical composition.

Potential risks associated with these materials can be reduced substantially when stored, used, transported, and disposed of properly, as described in the following sections.

North Plains will also follow cleanup procedures outlined in the Spill Prevention and Response Plan in the event of spills of potentially hazardous materials during construction and operation of the Project.

6.1 OVERVIEW OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PROPOSED FOR USE

To the maximum extent possible, North Plains will minimize the use and generation of hazardous waste during the Project, including, but not limited to, minimizing the amount of hazardous materials needed for the Project; using alternative non-hazardous substances; recycling usable material such as oils, paints, and batteries; and filtering and reusing solvents and thinners.

Hazardous materials used during Project construction may include petroleum products such as gasoline, diesel fuel, and hydraulic fluids; lubricating oils and solvents; cleansers; explosives; and pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers. North Plains will prepare a complete inventory of all hazardous materials onsite during Project construction. North Plains will maintain copies of the required Safety Data Sheet for each hazardous chemical onsite and will ensure that copies are readily accessible during each work shift to all employees within their respective work areas.

All materials used during construction will be used according to manufacturer recommendations; labeling; federal, state, and local regulations; and as outlined in the Project CMRP. Herbicide storage and application will follow the requirements described in the Invasive and Noxious Management Plan.

North Plains will be responsible for consulting with the applicable agencies if extremely hazardous substances are to be used during Project activities.

6.2 STORAGE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Hazardous materials will be stored in designated locations only. Hazardous materials will not be stored in surface water conveyances, or within the 100-year floodplain. North Plains will prohibit storage of hazardous materials within 100 feet of a waterbody, wetland boundary, spring, environmentally sensitive area, or within a municipal watershed. North Plains will also prohibit use and storage of hazardous materials, chemicals, fuels, lubricating oils, and other petroleum products within 200 feet of active private water wells and identified public or municipal water wells.

Secondary containment will be of sufficient size to contain the contents of the single largest unit stored at any one time, plus 10 percent. Additional precautions will include limiting the quantity and amount of time such materials are stored near surface waters, implementing barriers between hazardous materials and the surface waters, and employing trained personnel to monitor activities at the storage location(s). Cleanup materials, including absorbent spill pads, booms, and plastic bags will also be stored in these areas and in quantities sufficient to contain a release, should one occur. No smoking signs will be conspicuously placed wherever there is a hazard from a flammable or reactive material. Explosives storage is discussed in detail in the Project CMRP and Blasting Plan. North Plains will maintain records of stored hazardous waste or materials throughout Project construction.

6.2.1 Storage Containers

Containers storing hazardous waste or materials will be compatible with the wastes or materials stored. Materials will not be stored in containers that previously held an incompatible waste or material. Containers storing hazardous wastes will be labeled, dated, and kept sealed at all times, except when adding or removing contents. Hazardous wastes and materials will be secured in a manner which prevents damage, vandalism, or theft. All storage areas will be secured via gates, locks, or guard personnel at night and during periods of non-construction.

Secondary containment will be provided for all hazardous liquid materials stored on-site and will consist of bermed or diked areas, spill pallets, or other appropriate storage capable of holding 110 percent of the volume of the largest volume of stored material. North Plains will inspect containers weekly at a minimum to verify the integrity of the containers and secondary containment systems. If a container is damaged or leaks, the waste will be transferred to an appropriate container in good condition.

6.2.2 Container Labeling Requirements

North Plains will comply with the following labeling requirements for any container used on site to store hazardous wastes. Containers will be labeled with the information below and as required in 40 CFR 262:

- the waste accumulation start date and the words “hazardous waste;”
- the composition and physical state of the waste; and
- warning words indicating the particular hazards of the waste, such as flammable, corrosive, reactive, or toxic.

6.3 TRANSPORTATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Procedures for loading and transporting fuels and other hazardous materials will meet the minimum requirements established by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), Montana and North Dakota DOTs, U.S. Forest Service, and Bureau of Land Management, as well as other pertinent regulations.

Hazardous materials used for the Project will, at all times, be properly stored in approved containers and labeled, including during transportation. Containers used for transportation will comply with U.S. DOT and applicable state transportation requirements. Smaller containers will be used on site to transport needed amounts of hazardous materials to a specific location. Transfer of materials from large to small containers will be performed using the appropriate equipment, including pumps, hoses, and safety equipment; hand pouring techniques will not be used.

Prior to transporting hazardous materials, appropriate shipping papers will be completed, and North Plains will inspect the containers to ensure they are sealed in such a manner that no material will be released. Transportation of hazardous materials will be performed by a licensed hazardous materials transport contractor in accordance with all federal, state, and local regulations. In addition, North Plains will ensure all handling or packaging of hazardous materials and all paperwork for transport of hazardous materials is performed by properly trained personnel in accordance with applicable federal and state regulations.

Special provisions apply to the transportation of explosives and are discussed in greater detail in the Project CMRP and Blasting Plan. Additional requirements regarding liquids transfer and transport are included in the Spill Prevention and Response Plan.

6.4 REFUELING AND SERVICING

North Plains will make every reasonable effort to minimize the threat of a fuel spill during refueling and servicing. Fuel/service vehicles will carry a suitable absorbent material to collect approximately 20 gallons of spilled materials. In addition, all vehicles will be inspected for leaks prior to entering or exiting the Project site, and regularly throughout Project construction. North Plains will perform regular maintenance and inspection of equipment and vehicles that Project construction crews will operate near or cross over waterbodies or wetlands.

Construction equipment will be removed from wetlands and parked a minimum of 100 feet away from surface waters, including waterbodies, wetlands, and springs, at the end of each workday. Where no accessible or suitable upland areas are available, and upon approval from North Plains, stationary equipment located within 100 feet of a surface water or conveyance will be placed within secondary containment appropriate for the largest volume contained within the equipment.

Maintenance, refueling, and lubrication of equipment and vehicles will not be allowed within 100 feet of a waterbody, wetland boundary, spring, active private water well and identified public or municipal water well, environmentally sensitive area, or within a municipal watershed. Construction vehicles such as trucks, bulldozers, and excavators, helicopters, and equipment such as pumps and generators will be fueled and serviced in designated areas.

Refueling locations will generally be located in level, upland locations to minimize the potential for a spilled substance to reach a surface water. Typically, smaller rubber-tired and personnel

vehicles will be refueled and serviced at local gas stations or material yards, and tracked vehicles will be refueled and serviced on-site. In some cases, pickup trucks or fuel tankers may be used to refuel and service construction vehicles on the right-of-way.

Washing of construction vehicles, such as concrete trucks, will be allowed only in designated areas at least 100 feet from a waterbody, wetland boundary, spring, active private water well and identified public or municipal water well, environmentally sensitive area, or within a municipal watershed. Washing areas will be contained with berms or other barriers to prevent transport of wastewater or sediments into surface waters or stormwater conveyances. Waste concrete material will be removed and properly disposed of once it has hardened.

Refueling or use of other hazardous liquids may occur within these setback areas only if the Environmental Inspector has determined that there is no reasonable alternative, and the Contractor has taken appropriate steps (including secondary containment structures) to prevent spills. North Plains will monitor the activity and will have spill response equipment onsite and readily available. Should a spill occur, North Plains will notify the appropriate agency and/or emergency response authorities.

Refueling, vehicle washing and maintenance, and spill prevention and response are discussed in greater detail in the CMRP and Spill Prevention and Response Plan.

7.0 DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS WASTES

Hazardous wastes will be regularly collected and disposed of in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations. North Plains will assign hazardous materials oversight to specific individuals prior to, and throughout, construction of the Project.

While not anticipated, should hazardous wastes be generated and stored onsite for greater than 90 days, North Plains will apply for a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Identification Number, which is needed to complete the Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest to ship wastes off-site.

7.1 CONTAMINATED CONTAINERS

Any container that once held hazardous material products or wastes will be deemed potentially hazardous due to the potential presence of residual hazardous material within the container. To be managed as a non-hazardous waste, the containers will meet the following requirements:

- The containers will be empty, which means as much of the contents have been removed as possible using practices commonly used to remove materials from that type of container so none will be released regardless of the position of the container.
- A container previously storing compressed gas will be considered empty when the pressure in the container approaches atmospheric.
- Empty containers with a volume of less than five gallons may be disposed of as a non-hazardous solid waste or scrapped.

- Empty containers with a volume greater than five gallons will be returned to the vendor for re-use, sent to a drum recycler for reconditioning, or used or recycled on-site.

The above requirements will occur within one year of the container being emptied.

7.2 WASTE OIL FILTERS

Used metal canister oil filters may be managed as non-hazardous wastes provided:

- filters are thoroughly drained of free flowing oil. Oil which drips out of a filter drop-by-drop will not be considered free flowing;
- filters are accumulated, stored, and transferred in a closed, rainproof container;
- filters are transferred for the purposes of recycling; and
- filters are not terne-plated (an alloy of tin and lead).

Terne-plated oil filters are considered a hazardous waste and, if not recycled, will be managed as such.

7.3 USED OILS

Used oils are defined by the EPA (2024) as:

- used synthetic oil, usually derived from coal, shale, or polymer-based starting material;
- used engine oil typically includes gasoline and diesel engine crankcase oils and piston-engine oils for automobiles, trucks, boats, airplanes, locomotives, and heavy equipment;
- used transmission fluid;
- used refrigeration oil;
- used compressor oil;
- any oil that has been refined from crude oil and, as a result of use, has been contaminated with physical or chemical impurities;
- any oil that has been refined from crude oil and, as a consequence of extended storage, spillage, or contamination with non-hazardous impurities such as dirt, rags, and water, is no longer useful for its intended purpose; and
- spent lubricating fluids that have been removed from a truck, heavy equipment, automobile, or bus.

The following are not considered used oils:

- waste oil that is bottom clean-out waste from virgin fuel storage tanks, virgin fuel oil spill cleanups, or other oil wastes that have not actually been used;
- products such as antifreeze and kerosene;
- vegetable and animal oil; and
- petroleum distillates used as solvents.

Used oil may be considered a hazardous waste if:

- the concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls exceed 50 parts per million;
- total halogens exceed 1,000 parts per million and/or
- it is mixed with a hazardous waste.

Used oil not being burned or recycled will be managed as a hazardous waste unless laboratory analysis determined it to be non-hazardous.

8.0 PLAN REVISIONS

Table 8.0-1 Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial Plan draft.	February 2025
Rev 1	Revised Plan for North Plains Comments. Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025
Rev 2	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	January 2026

9.0 REFERENCES

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2024. Reference Table for What is Used Oil. Available online at <https://www.epa.gov/hw/reference-table-question-what-used-oil>. Accessed August 12, 2024.

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT F

Invasive and Noxious Species Management Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Noxious Weed Management Plan North Dakota

Prepared by:

North Plains Connector LLC



GRID UNITED

July 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE.....	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE.....	1
3.1	FEDERAL REGULATIONS	1
3.1.1	U.S. Department of Agriculture.....	1
3.1.1.1	U.S. Department of Agriculture - Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.....	1
3.1.1.2	USDA – U.S. Forest Service	2
3.1.1.3	USDA – FS Dakota Prairie Grasslands/Little Missouri National Grasslands	2
3.2	STATE REGULATIONS	2
3.2.1	Noxious and Regulated Weeds	2
3.2.1.1	North Dakota Department of Trust Lands	3
3.3	STATE AND COUNTY LISTED NOXIOUS WEEDS.....	3
3.4	NOXIOUS WEED PRESENCE	4
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	5
4.1.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION.....	5
4.2	COUNTY WEED BOARD.....	5
4.2.1	County Weed Officers.....	5
5.0	TRAINING.....	6
6.0	NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL	7
6.1	PREVENTIVE MEASURES.....	7
6.1.1	Noxious and Regulated Weeds	7
6.2	TREATMENT AND CONTROL METHODS	8
6.2.1	Control Methods	8
6.2.1.1	Hand-pulling or Pulling Tools	9
6.2.1.2	Mechanical	9
6.2.1.3	Chemical (herbicides)	9
7.0	POST CONSTRUCTION NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL	10
7.1	SITE RESTORATION AND REVEGETATION.....	10
7.2	MONITORING AND OPERATION	10
8.0	PLAN REVISIONS.....	11
9.0	REFERENCES.....	11

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.3-1	State and County Enforced Noxious Weeds.....	3
Table 3.4-1	Confirmed Noxious Weed Presence by County within the Survey Corridor	4
Table 4.2.1-1	County Weed Board Contact Information	6
Table 8.0-1	Plan Revisions	11

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

APHIS	Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
County Weed Boards	Morton, Golden Valley, Grant, Hettinger, Oliver, and Slope County Weed Boards
CTS	closed transfer system
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
Grid United	Grid United LLC
NDCC	North Dakota Century Code
NDDA	North Dakota Department of Agriculture
NDDTL	North Dakota Department of Trust Lands
NFS	National Forest System
North Plains Plan	North Plains Connector LLC Draft Noxious Weed Management Plan – North Dakota
Project	North Plains Connector Project
State certified applicator	State certified contractor for herbicide applications
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFS	U.S. Forest Service

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

North Plains recognizes that construction activities may promote the spread of noxious weeds on public and private lands. Soil disturbance may stimulate weed seeds already present in the soil seed bank to germinate and establish, and movement of equipment used in weed-infested areas during construction of the Project could promote the spread of noxious weeds to new lands and waters.

North Plains' goal is to outline the management strategies that will be used to minimize the spread of noxious weeds identified within the Project workspace in compliance with law or regulation. Management strategies will be implemented where applicable and appropriate prior to construction, and during Project construction, reclamation, and operation phases. Existing noxious weed occurrences will be documented throughout the Project workspace through pre-construction surveys, publicly available datasets, or monitoring.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. The Draft Noxious Weed Management Plan - North Dakota (Plan) references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

This section provides a brief overview of federal and state legislation and regulatory compliance applicable to noxious weeds in the Project area. North Plains will conduct Project activities in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations regarding the control and management of noxious weeds.

3.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

3.1.1 U.S. Department of Agriculture

3.1.1.1 U.S. Department of Agriculture - Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) is a federal noxious weed program designed to prevent the introduction of nonindigenous invasive plants into the U.S. APHIS noxious weed activities include exclusion and permitting and in cooperation with other agencies and state agencies, and integrated management of introduced weeds, including biological control.

3.1.1.2 U.S. Forest Service

The authority to manage for invasive species on National Forest System (NFS) lands and other lands under U.S. Forest Service (USFS) control is delegated at Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), section 2.20 (7 CFR 2.20) and Title 7, CFR, section 2.60 (7 CFR 2.60). Title 36, CFR Parts 221, 222, 228, 241, 251, 261, 290, 292, 293, 296, and 297 provide additional authorities to manage and regulate invasive species across NFS lands, including establishing requirements and prohibitions to prevent and control aquatic and terrestrial invasive species. In addition, USFS regulations at 36 CFR 222.8 outline the Agency's obligation to work cooperatively in identifying invasive species, including noxious weeds, problems, and initiating control programs in aquatic and terrestrial areas of the USFS (USFS, 2011).

3.1.1.3 USFS Dakota Prairie Grasslands/Little Missouri National Grasslands

The USFS Dakota Prairie Grasslands Noxious Weed Management Project Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) describes the USFS approach to treat and control existing infestation sites, as well as an adaptive strategy for treating up to 13,900 acres of new or previously unknown noxious weed infestations. The FEIS (USFS, 2007) also documents analysis conducted to determine the potential environmental consequences of treating noxious weeds on the Dakota Prairie Grasslands. North Plains will adhere to Appendix F of the FEIS (2007), USDA – Forest Service Guide To Noxious Weed Prevention Practices (USFS, 2001), and any other USFS recommendations and requirements when conducting weed control and reclamation activities on NFS lands.

The FEIS and Land and Resource Management Plan For the Dakota Prairie Grasslands Northern Region (USFS, 2001) addresses control and management of noxious weeds species as a high priority for ensuring long-term health of national grasslands and includes national goals relevant to land and resource management based on USFS manual objective statements.

3.2 STATE REGULATIONS

3.2.1 Noxious and Regulated Weeds

The Project is located in Golden Valley, Slope, Hettinger, Grant, Morton, and Oliver Counties in North Dakota. At the state and county levels, the Agricultural Commissioner and County Weed Officers are responsible for organizing a noxious weed control or eradication program with all political subdivisions within North Dakota that own, control, or have jurisdiction over land (North Dakota Century Code [NDCC], 2024).

NDCC Chapter 4.1-47 defines a noxious weed as a plant propagated by either seed or vegetative parts, and determined to be injurious to public health, crops, livestock, land, or other property. Additionally, this chapter states that each person must do all things necessary and proper to control the spread of noxious weeds; makes it illegal for any person to distribute, sell, or offer for sale a noxious weed within the state; and defines the duties of the Agriculture Commissioner, including maintaining the State Noxious Weed List (NDCC, 2024).

Per NDCC Chapter 4.1-47-30:

- a person may not willfully transport any material that contains noxious weed seeds or propagating parts on a public road, in a manner that allows for the dissemination of noxious weeds;
- a person may not willfully drive or transport any equipment on a public road, in a manner that allows for the dissemination of noxious weeds; and
- a person may not willfully dispose of any material that contains noxious weed seeds or propagating parts in a manner that allows for the dissemination of noxious weeds.

3.2.1.1 North Dakota Department of Trust Lands

In accordance with North Dakota Department of Trust Lands (NDDTL) land leases, noxious weeds must be controlled. The NDDTL does not list any additional weeds beyond those listed in at the state and county levels; however, they do list the following six 'watch list' species as having potential to become a nuisance to the land.

- yellow starthistle;
- black henbane;
- hoary cress;
- common tansy;
- Palmer amaranth; and
- waterhemp.

3.3 STATE AND COUNTY LISTED NOXIOUS WEEDS

The control of thirteen declared state noxious weeds is enforced by all cities and counties within North Dakota. Cities and counties have the option to add additional weeds for control enforcement only in their jurisdiction. Table 3.3-1 provides a list of the declared noxious weeds enforced within the counties and cities crossed by the Project, according to the State Noxious Weeds List (North Dakota Department of Agriculture [NDDA], 2026a) and the County and City Noxious Weeds List (NDDA, 2026b). The Project is not located within the jurisdiction of any city.

Table 3.3-1 includes a list of the statewide noxious and regulated weeds enforced within the counties crossed by the Project. North Plains will implement the noxious weed management and control measures described in Section 6.0 for the weeds listed in Table 3.3-1.

TABLE 3.3-1			
State and County Enforced Noxious Weeds			
Species Category / Common Name	Scientific Name	Habitat	Enforcement Area ^a
PLANTS			
Absinth wormwood	<i>Artemisia absinthium</i> L.	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Baby's breath	<i>Gypsophila paniculata</i> L.	Terrestrial	Grant County ^b
Black henbane	<i>Hyoscyamus albus</i>	Terrestrial	Grant, Slope, and Golden Valley Counties ^b

TABLE 3.3-1			
State and County Enforced Noxious Weeds			
Species Category / Common Name	Scientific Name	Habitat	Enforcement Area ^a
Canada thistle	<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Common burdock	<i>Arctium minus</i>	Terrestrial	Grant and Golden Valley Counties ^b
Common mullein	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i> L.	Terrestrial	Golden Valley County ^b
Dalmatian toadflax	<i>Linaria dalmatica</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Diffuse knapweed	<i>Centaurea diffusa</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Halogeton	<i>Halogeton glomeratus</i>	Terrestrial	Grant County ^b
Hoary cress	<i>Lepidium draba</i>	Terrestrial	Golden Valley County ^b
Houndstongue	<i>Cynoglossum officinale</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Leafy spurge	<i>Euphorbia esula</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Musk thistle	<i>Carduus nutans</i> L.	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Palmer amaranth	<i>Amaranthus palmeri</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Purple loosestrife	<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	Aquatic	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Russian knapweed	<i>Acroptilon repens</i> , <i>Rhaponticum repens</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Saltcedar	<i>Tamarix</i> spp.	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Spotted knapweed	<i>Centaurea stoebe</i> , <i>C. maculosa</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota ^b
Ventenata grass	<i>Ventenata dubia</i>	Terrestrial	Hettinger and Slope counties and on NFS lands ^c
Waterhemp	<i>A. rudis tuberculatus</i> (Moq.)	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
Yellow toadflax	<i>Linaria vulgaris</i>	Terrestrial	All cities and counties in North Dakota
^a Source: https://www.ndda.nd.gov/divisions/plant-industries/noxious-weeds . ^b Source: https://www.ndda.nd.gov/sites/www/files/documents/files/County%20and%20City%20Noxious%20Weed%20Scientific%20Names%20List.pdf . ^c Included at the request of Hettinger and Slope counties and USFS. While not designated a noxious weed in North Dakota, ventenata grass (<i>Ventenata dubia</i>) is present across the state line in Montana counties crossed by the Project.			

3.4 NOXIOUS WEED PRESENCE

North Plains conducted surveys for state- and county-listed noxious weed species within the Project survey corridor. Noxious weed surveys were conducted concurrently with the wetland and waterbody surveys and were not timed to coincide with any species-specific morphological state. Table 3.4-1 shows noxious weeds with confirmed presence in the Project survey corridor based on occurrences documented during noxious weed surveys.

TABLE 3.4-1						
Confirmed Noxious Weed Presence by County within the Project Survey Corridor						
Species	Golden Valley	Slope	Hettinger	Grant	Morton	Oliver
Absinth Wormwood		X	X	X	X	
Canada Thistle	X	X	X	X	X	X
Cheatgrass ^a						
Cicer Milkvetch ^a			X			
Crested Wheatgrass ^a	X	X				
Field Bindweed	X	X	X	X	X	
Field Brome ^a	X	X				
Houndstongue		X				
Intermediate Wheatgrass ^a	X					

TABLE 3.4-1 Confirmed Noxious Weed Presence by County within the Project Survey Corridor						
Species	Golden Valley	Slope	Hettinger	Grant	Morton	Oliver
Kentucky Bluegrass ^a	X	X				
Leafy Spurge		X	X	X	X	X
Musk Thistle					X	
Saltcedar						
Smooth Brome ^a	X					
Spotted Knapweed						
Tall Buttercup						
Tansy Ragwort						
^a Non-native or invasive plant species recognized by the USFS but not considered a statewide noxious weed in North Dakota						
Source: WEST, 2024						

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance, to include hazardous materials management. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of the Project construction, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan, the CMRP, and in all applicable Project permits.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors during the construction phase. Further information on Environmental Inspectors and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

4.2 COUNTY WEED BOARD

North Dakota County Weed Boards encourage noxious weed control by landowners and land occupants within the county and investigate signed complaints received by the County Weed Officer regarding noxious weeds.

The jurisdiction of a County Weed Board extends to all land within the county but does not include any land within the corporate limits of a city if that city has established its own noxious weed control program under the conditions of NDCC Chapter 4.1-47; no city programs are crossed by the Project. North Plains will collaborate with county weed boards, as needed, in the identification, prevention, and treatment of noxious weeds. County Weed Boards can offer assistance as it relates to identification, prevention, and treatment of noxious weeds.

4.2.1 County Weed Officers

County Weed Officer responsibilities include, but are not limited to:

- cooperating with the board and the operation and enforcement of NDCC Chapter 4.1-47 within the county;

- maintaining awareness of the location of noxious weeds within the county;
- meeting the pesticide certification requirements set forth in NDCC Chapter 4.1-33;
- encouraging noxious weed control by all landowners and land occupants within the county; and
- investigating all signed complaints received by the officer regarding noxious weeds.

Table 4.2.1-1 provides the primary contact information of the Morton, Golden Valley, Grant, Hettinger, and Slope County Weed Boards (County Weed Boards), according to the 2025 County and City Weed Board Directory. Additional Hettinger County and Oliver County weed board contact information was provided through discussions with the respective county staff.

TABLE 4.2.1-1			
County Weed Board Contact Information			
County / Contact Title	Name	Phone	Email Address
GOLDEN VALLEY			
Weed Officer	Mark Egan	(701) 300-3143	goldenvalleweedboard@gmail.com
Chair	Gerald Streitz	(701) 690-7923	mellsnd4@hotmail.com
Secretary/Treasurer	Ashley Ueckert	(701) 340-4685	ashley.uekert@ndsu.edu
SLOPE			
Weed Officer/Secretary	Joan Lorge	(701) 523-6675	jlorge@nd.gov
Chair	Gene Davis	(701) 279-5769	
HETTINGER			
Weed Officer	Ben Auch	(701) 260-1398	benauch113018@gmail.com
Chair	Cade Blickensderfer		
Secretary/Treasurer	Cameo Frieze	(701) 260-9088	cfrieze@nd.gov
GRANT			
Weed Officer/Secretary	Merlin Leithold	(701) 220-7908	leithold@westriv.com
Chair	Leonard Gerhardt	(701) 597-3591	
MORTON			
Weed Officer	Cody Schnabel	(701) 391-8006	cody.schnabel@mortonnd.org
Chair	Kevin Schmidt	(701) 220-9891	koschmidt@msn.com
Secretary/Treasurer	Dawn Rhone	(701) 667-3300	dawn.rhone@mortonnd.org
OLIVER			
Weed Officer	Daryn Karges	(701) 880-1013	dskarges@gmail.com
Chair	Dorothy Duhr	(701) 207-0287	dduhr@betc.com
Source: NDDA, 2025. County and City Weed Boards. Available online at: https://www.ndda.nd.gov/sites/www/files/documents/files/WeedBoardDirectory_Aug2025.pdf . Accessed March 2026.			

5.0 TRAINING

North Plains will provide noxious weed identification, management, and control training as part of Contractor orientation. As part of this training, North Plains will review and ensure Project personnel understand the contents of this Plan. As needed, North Plains will make available a copy of *A Guide to North Dakota Noxious and Troublesome Weeds* (North Dakota State

University, 2020), which includes photos of all North Dakota state and county listed noxious weeds.

6.0 NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

6.1 PREVENTIVE MEASURES

6.1.1 Noxious and Regulated Weeds

Noxious weeds can be spread by natural processes, such as wildlife and wind, as well as through human means involving vehicles and construction equipment, construction activities, farm equipment, and livestock. The most effective management approach to control the spread of noxious weeds is implementation of preventative measures.

North Plains will implement noxious weed preventative measures that are consistent with state and county regulations, and will work with County Weed Boards, as necessary.

- Prior to moving equipment to the Project area, North Plains will thoroughly clean construction equipment, including construction mats, to limit the potential for the spread of noxious weeds.
- North Plains will clean the equipment at designated wash stations in upland areas.
- Prior to construction, North Plains will complete noxious weed surveys within the Project workspace to map known populations of noxious and regulated weeds. North Plains will mark areas of the Project workspace that contain documented occurrences of noxious and regulated weeds (i.e., infested areas). Such markings will clearly indicate the limits of the infestation(s) within the construction area.
- A third-party contractor approved by the County Weed Officer, or a County Weed Officer familiar with the identification of listed state and county noxious weeds listed in Table 3.3.-1 will perform surveying and mapping using geographical information systems/geographical positioning systems.
- During construction, North Plains will clean the tracks, tires, and blades of equipment by hand or compressed air to remove excess soil prior to movement of equipment out of noxious weed infested areas or use cleaning stations to remove vegetative materials.
- North Plains will use mulch and straw or hay bales that are certified free of noxious weeds for temporary erosion and sediment control in disturbed areas.
- North Plains will implement pre-construction treatments, such as mowing, prior to seed development or a state-certified contractor(s) will apply herbicide to areas of noxious weed infestation prior to other clearing, site grading, excavation, or other soil disturbing work at locations identified during the noxious weed surveys.
- Pre-construction control treatments will avoid the use of herbicides within 100 feet of a waterbody or wetland.

- While not designated a noxious weed in North Dakota, ventenata grass (*Ventenata dubia*) is present in western Montana counties crossed by the route. Hettinger County, Slope County, and USFS have requested ventenata grass be added to the list of weeds to be controlled by North Plains. North Plains will monitor for, and control, ventenata grass and report according to the procedures listed in Section 6.2.

6.2 TREATMENT AND CONTROL METHODS

North Plains will conduct noxious weed treatment methods in accordance with existing regulations, the state- and county-listed weeds included in Table 3.3-1, and landowner or agency agreements. North Plains will adhere to Appendix F of the FEIS (2007), USDA – Forest Service Guide To Noxious Weed Prevention Practices (USFS, 2001), and any other USFS recommendations and requirements when conducting weed control and reclamation activities on NFS lands. Pre-treatment of noxious weed infestations will be conducted prior to vegetation clearing or ground-disturbing construction activities if pre-treatment will aid in controlling the spread of weeds during construction. North Plains and inspections staff will choose the best available treatment and control methods based on site-specific information, including the season, location, and the noxious or regulated weed species present. Control methods may include herbicide application or mechanical measures, such as mowing or manual removal.

During construction, North Plains will periodically monitor the construction areas to allow for early detection of infestations of noxious weeds. If noxious or regulated weeds are observed within construction areas, North Plains or a state-certified herbicide applicator will implement appropriate control measures in an attempt to control the identified infestations and to reduce the spread or proliferation of weeds within the construction area.

During inactive periods of construction, defined as periods of 120 days or longer, North Plains will treat any previously identified noxious weeds of concern sites, as well as any new areas within the construction corridor, as determined necessary by North Plains.

After construction activities are complete, North Plains will reclaim the Project workspace in accordance with the CMRP and using seed mixes approved by the landowner and land-managing agencies. North Plains will coordinate with the USFS regarding preferred seed mixes to be used on the portions of the Project that cross these federally managed lands.

After Project construction has been completed in areas disturbed by construction and areas over which North Plains will retain surface use control, such as converter stations, North Plains will provide weed control to limit the potential spread of noxious weeds onto adjacent lands. Any herbicide spraying performed by North Plains will be done by a state-licensed or state-certified applicator.

6.2.1 Control Methods

North Plains will determine appropriate weed management techniques based on the current conditions at the location of infestation. Weed management techniques may include hand-pulling, mechanical removal, or the use of herbicides. These methods are discussed below.

Before using a noxious weed control method not outlined in this Plan, North Plains will consult with the appropriate County Weed Board(s).

6.2.1.1 Hand-pulling or Pulling Tools

Hand-pulling weeds has a small ecological impact, causes minimal damage to neighboring vegetation, and has low to no cost. It does, however, require significant labor and thus can only be used in areas of small infestation. Hand-pulling may be used when individual or small numbers of weeds are observed cropping up in the right-of-way.

Handheld tools are available to remove weeds in the same manner as hand-pulling. These tools provide additional leverage and are able to remove weeds with deeper and/or larger roots. As with hand-pulling, this method, if used, will be limited to areas of small infestations.

6.2.1.2 Mechanical

Mowing or brushing can quickly remove large swaths of weeds, but care will be taken that cuttings are removed promptly to prevent regrowth or from being carried offsite via wind or water to germinate in uninfested areas. Additionally, if mowing or brushing is used, North Plains will identify the weed and be aware of that species timing requirements to ensure that they are cut at the appropriate point in development (e.g., prior to flowering) to prevent regrowth or spread.

6.2.1.3 Chemical (herbicides)

North Plains will base herbicide selection on information gathered from local county weed boards and/or the NDDA. Prior to herbicide application, the state-certified applicator will obtain any required permits or approvals from the local weed district and landowner. North Plains will adhere to Appendix F of the FEIS (2007), USDA – Forest Service Guide to Noxious Weed Prevention Practices (USFS, 2001), and any other USFS recommendations and requirements when conducting weed control and reclamation activities on NFS lands. Herbicide type(s) will be determined based on the weed species required to be controlled and existing land use of the area in which it will be applied. Whenever possible, the state-certified applicator will select effective, species-appropriate herbicides that are unlikely to drift, leach to groundwater or wash into streams, are nontoxic to people and other organisms, and easy to apply. The state-certified applicator will make every effort to minimize negative environmental impacts when conducting herbicide applications.

A state-certified applicator will conduct herbicide applications in accordance with this Plan and NDCC Chapter 4.1-47. The state-certified applicator will strictly adhere to all label instructions and implement all manufacture guidelines for the type of herbicide and application used and based on conditions in the field at the time. For example, manufacturer's guidelines might recommend that herbicides only be applied under appropriate weather conditions, that application sprayers be mounted low to the ground, and that sprayer booms incorporate specialized nozzles designed to produce large droplet sizes with limited drift potential. Adherence to these specifications and manufacturer label directions will minimize the potential for drift or transport of herbicides to off right-of-way areas. All herbicides applied prior to or during construction will be non-residual or will have a significant residual effect no longer than 30 days.

The state-certified applicator may employ vehicle-mounted sprayers and/or hand application methods depending on location(s) of infestation(s) and conditions in the field. The state-certified applicator will implement best management practices when applying herbicides in the construction area to reduce potential impacts to avian and wildlife species. The state-certified applicator will complete calibration checks of equipment at the beginning of spraying and

periodically thereafter to ensure proper application rates are achieved. Industry standards and/or manufacturer's guidelines will determine the required or appropriate application equipment calibration checks. The state-certified applicator will maintain application records and provide copies, as requested,

The state-certified applicator will manage and use herbicides according to the provisions listed below.

- Application procedures will be conducted by a licensed commercial applicator to ensure that proper mixing, application, cleanup, personal protection, and safety procedures are followed.
- The state-certified applicator will bring herbicides to the Project site premixed or mix in a closed transfer system (CTS), in returnable/refillable containers, and transfer contents by CTS to application tanks to limit worker and environmental exposure and eliminate the need for disposal of herbicide containers in area landfills.
- The state-certified applicator will transport herbicides in a manner that will prevent tipping or spilling, and the inspections staff will inspect all herbicide equipment and containers daily for leaks.
- The state-certified applicator will not use, store, or mix herbicides in or within 100 feet of a wetland or waterbody or as specified in permits for federal or state lands, whichever is more stringent.
- The state-certified applicator will not apply herbicides within 100 feet of known Regional Forester's Sensitive Species plant occurrences within NFS lands without prior regulatory approval.
- Herbicide applications on NFS lands will be approved by the USFS prior to use and applied in accordance with all applicable conditions of the Noxious Weed FEIS (2007).

7.0 POST CONSTRUCTION NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

7.1 SITE RESTORATION AND REVEGETATION

After construction activities are complete, North Plains will reclaim the Project workspace in accordance with the CMRP and using seed mixes approved by the landowner and land-managing agencies. North Plains will coordinate with the USFS regarding preferred seed mixes to be used on the portions of the Project that cross these federally managed lands. Site restoration will involve grading disturbed work areas to approximate preconstruction contours and natural drainage patterns as closely as possible. North Plains will maintain temporary erosion controls until replaced by permanent erosion control structures or until restoration is complete.

7.2 MONITORING AND OPERATION

Following construction, North Plains' on-site operations staff will monitor all mapped and newly identified sites of infestation within areas disturbed by construction and all areas over which North

Plains retains control of the surface use. North Plains will perform monitoring annually for a minimum of 5 years or per mutual agreement between North Plains and the appropriate County Weed Board. In addition, North Plains will conduct reseeding, as needed, to re-establish a desirable vegetative cover to stabilize the soils and slow the potential for re-invasion of noxious weeds.

In areas with continued presence of noxious or regulated weeds, the weed treatment and control measure(s) chosen will be the best available for the season, location, and species, as described in Section 6.2. Where necessary, North Plains will contract a state-certified applicator to complete herbicide applications. The County Weed Officer(s) may inspect all sites of concern, as they deem necessary, and will determine if the infestation sites have been eradicated.

8.0 PLAN REVISIONS

Table 8.0-1		
Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 1	Initial Plan draft.	April 2023
Rev 2	Global revisions based on agency comments.	May 2024
Rev 3	Global revisions based on Montana Noxious Weed review.	August 2024
Rev 4	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025
Rev 5	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	January 2026
Rev 6	Updated revisions based on USFS review and updates to Tables 3.3-1 and 4.2.1-1.	March 2026
Rev 7	Updated contacts in Table 4.2.1-1.	May 2026
Rev 8	Revisions based on County Weed Officer reviews.	July 2026

9.0 REFERENCES

North Dakota Century Code. 2024. Chapter 4.1-47 – Noxious Weed Control. Available online at: <https://ndlegis.gov/general-information/north-dakota-century-code/index.html>. Accessed April 2024.

North Dakota Department of Agriculture (NDDA). 2026a. Noxious Weeds. Available online at: <https://www.ndda.nd.gov/divisions/plant-industries/noxious-weeds>. Accessed March 2026.

NDDA. 2026b. County and City Listed Noxious Weed. Available online at: <https://www.ndda.nd.gov/sites/www/files/documents/files/County%20and%20City%20Noxious%20Weed%20Scientific%20Names%20List.pdf>. Accessed March 2026.

NDDA. 2025. City and County Weed Board Directory. Available online at https://www.ndda.nd.gov/sites/www/files/documents/files/WeedBoardDirectory_Aug2025.pdf. Accessed March 2026.

North Dakota Department of Trust Lands. 2019. Available online at: <https://www.land.nd.gov/weedandpest>. Accessed July 2024.

- North Dakota State University. 2020. A Guide to North Dakota Noxious and Troublesome Weeds (W1691). Available online at: <https://www.ndsu.edu/agriculture/sites>. Accessed April 2024.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 2001. Guide to Noxious Weed Prevention Practices. Available online at: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/pdfs/weeds/GuidetoNoxWeedPrevPractices.pdf>. Accessed July 2024.
- USFS. 2007. Final Environmental Impact Statement Noxious Weed Management Project for the Dakota Prairie Grasslands. Available online at: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/project/?project=4060>. Accessed July 2024.
- USFS. 2011. Field Service Manual 2900 - Invasive Species Management, Chapter - Zero Code. Available online at: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/about-agency/regulations-policies/manual/2900-zero-code>. Accessed August 2024.
- USFS. 2013. National Strategic Framework for Invasive Species Management. Available online at: https://www.fs.usda.gov/foresthealth/publications/Framework_for_Invasive_Species_FS-1017.pdf. Accessed July 2024.
- U.S. Department of the Interior. 2021. U.S. Department of the Interior Invasive Species Strategic Plan, Fiscal Years 2021-2025. Washington, D.C., 54p.
- WEST. 2024. 2022-2023 General Habitat Survey Report, Montana and North Dakota. Prepared for North Plains Connector LLC, Houston, Texas. Prepared by WEST, Golden Valley, Minnesota. Submission pending.



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Noxious Weed and Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan

Montana

Prepared by:

North Plains Connector LLC



Revised June 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	1
3.1	FEDERAL REGULATIONS.....	2
3.1.1	U.S. Department of Agriculture.....	2
3.1.2	U.S. Department of the Interior.....	2
3.1.3	Bureau of Land Management.....	2
3.2	STATE REGULATIONS.....	3
3.2.1	Noxious and Regulated Weeds.....	3
3.2.2	Aquatic Invasive Species.....	4
3.3	STATE AND COUNTY LISTED NOXIOUS WEEDS AND AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES.....	5
3.4	NOXIOUS WEED PRESENCE.....	8
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	8
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION.....	9
4.2	COUNTY WEED BOARDS.....	9
5.0	TRAINING	9
6.0	NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL	10
6.1	PREVENTATIVE MEASURES.....	10
6.1.1	Noxious and Regulated Weeds.....	10
6.1.2	Aquatic Invasive Species.....	10
6.2	TREATMENT AND CONTROL METHODS.....	11
6.2.1	Control Methods.....	12
7.0	POST CONSTRUCTION RESTORATION AND REVEGETATION	14
7.1	SITE RESTORATION AND REVEGETATION.....	14
7.2	MONITORING AND OPERATION.....	15
8.0	PLAN REVISIONS	15
9.0	REFERENCES	16

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.3-1	State and County Enforced Noxious Weeds and Aquatic Invasive Species.....	6
Table 3.4-1	Confirmed Noxious Weed Presence by County within the Survey Corridor.....	8
Table 4.2-1	County Weed Board Coordinator Contact Information.....	9
Table 8.0-1	Plan Revisions.....	15

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AIS	aquatic invasive species
AIS Act	Montana Aquatic Invasive Species Act
ANS	Montana Aquatic Nuisance Species
APHIS	Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
ARM	Administrative Rules of Montana
ARS	Agriculture Research Service
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
County Weed Boards	Rosebud County Weed Board, Custer County Weed and Pest Board, and Fallon County Noxious Weed Board
CTS	closed transfer system
CWD	County Weed District
EI	Environmental Inspector
MCA	Montana Code Annotated
MDA	Montana Department of Agriculture
MFWP	Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
Plan	Noxious Weed and Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan – Montana
Project	North Plains Connector Project
the Departments	Montana Department of Agriculture; Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks; Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation; and Montana Department of Transportation
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USDOI	U.S. Department of the Interior
Weed Control Act	Montana County Weed Control Act

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains), a Delaware limited liability company formed under Section 18-201 of the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, has prepared this Noxious Weed and Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan – Montana (Plan) for the North Plains Connector Project (Project), a proposed interregional electric connector line system. North Plains, an affiliate of Grid United LLC, is a Houston, Texas-based company developing next generation energy infrastructure to power the future. Grid United LLC is focused on the infrastructure needed to make the United States power grid more modern, efficient, reliable, and secure.

The Project is located in Custer, Fallon, and Rosebud counties in Montana and crosses lands owned by state and federal agencies, as well as private landowners.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

North Plains recognizes that construction activities may promote the spread of noxious weeds and aquatic invasive species (AIS) on public and private lands along the Project. The invasion of noxious weeds and AIS can reduce the economic productivity and ecological integrity of lands and waters in Montana (Montana Aquatic Nuisance Species [ANS] Technical Committee, 2002; Montana Department of Agriculture [MDA], 2017). Soil disturbance may stimulate weed seeds already present in the soil seed bank to germinate and establish, and movement of equipment used in weed-infested areas or AIS-infested waters during construction of the Project could promote the spread of noxious weeds and AIS species to new lands and waters.

North Plains' goal is to outline the management strategies that will be used to minimize the spread of noxious weeds and AIS identified within the Project workspace in compliance with law or regulation. Management strategies will be implemented where applicable and appropriate prior to construction, and during Project construction, reclamation, and operation phases. Existing noxious weed occurrences will be documented throughout the Project workspace through pre-construction surveys, publicly available datasets, or monitoring.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Draft Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

This section provides a brief overview of federal and state legislation and regulatory compliance applicable to noxious weeds and AIS in the Project area. North Plains will conduct Project activities in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations regarding the control and management of noxious weeds and AIS.

3.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

3.1.1 U.S. Department of Agriculture

3.1.1.1 U.S. Department of Agriculture- Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) manages a noxious weed program designed to prevent the introduction of nonindigenous invasive plants into the United States. APHIS activities related to noxious weed management include exclusion and permitting in cooperation with other agencies and state agencies, and integrated management of introduced weeds, including biological control.

3.1.1.2 USDA - Agricultural Research Service - Fort Keogh

The Project crosses the USDA Agriculture Research Service (ARS) – Fort Keogh site, at which rangeland is managed for livestock. All Project activities within this area will be conducted in accordance with federal, state, and local regulations, Project permits, and with any additional requirements or stipulations put forth by the ARS.

3.1.2 U.S. Department of the Interior

The U.S. Department of the Interior (USDOI) defines an invasive species as a “non-native organism whose introduction causes or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human, animal, or plant health” (USDOI, 2021). The USDOI Invasive Species Strategic Plan (2021) provides a comprehensive management strategy for agencies within the USDOI, and builds on agencies’ existing invasive species management plans and collaborative partnerships to describe strategic on-the-ground actions to reduce the threat of invasive species.

In addition to the state and county listed noxious weeds, discussed in Section 3.3, the USDOI lists the following species that may be present in the Project area as invasive species:

- Elodea (waterweed);
- Cheatgrass; and
- Emerald ash borer.

3.1.3 Bureau of Land Management

USDOI Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Manual 9015 (1992) defines a noxious weed as “a plant that interferes with management objectives for a given area of land at a given point in time” and an invasive species as “a non-native species whose introduction causes or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human, animal, or plant health. Invasive species include plants, animals, pathogens, and other organisms in terrestrial and aquatic habitats.”

BLM Manual 9015 (1992) directs the BLM to manage noxious weeds and undesirable plants on BLM lands by reducing existing population levels, managing and controlling existing infestations, preventing the establishment and spread of new infestations. The BLM requires Project owners to evaluate the risk of spreading noxious weeds throughout the Project and ensure that Project contracts contain commitments which hold North Plains responsible for the prevention and control of noxious weeds associated with Project activities.

3.2 STATE REGULATIONS

3.2.1 Noxious and Regulated Weeds

The Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 4.5.201 designates certain exotic plants as noxious weeds under the Montana County Weed Control Act (Weed Control Act) and requires all counties to implement management guidelines consistent with the criteria outlined in the Weed Control Act, as described below. Noxious weeds are assigned a priority level depending on the species' known presence in Montana, which determines the required management criteria for all species within a given priority level, as described below:

- Priority 1A species are not present or have limited presence in Montana and require eradication if detected, education, and prevention (ARM 4.5.206).
- Priority 1B species have limited presence in Montana and require eradication or containment and education (ARM 4.5.207).
- Priority 2A species are common in isolated areas of the state. Management is prioritized by the Rosebud County Weed Board, the Custer County Weed and Pest Board, and the Fallon County Noxious Weed Board (collectively, County Weed Boards) and includes eradication or containment and management of these species (ARM 4.5.208).
- Priority 2B species are abundant in Montana and widespread in many counties. Management is prioritized by the County Weed Boards and includes eradication or containment and management of these species (ARM 4.5.209).
- ARM 4.5.102 does not designate Priority 3 regulated plants as noxious weeds, but ARM 4.5.210 does recognize under them as species with the potential to cause significant negative impacts. Priority 3 regulated plants may not be intentionally spread or sold other than as a contaminant in agriculture products. The state recommends research, education, and prevention of these species, but does not require control, unless required by individual counties.

The Weed Control Act defines a noxious weed as any exotic terrestrial or aquatic plant species established or introduced in the state that may negatively impact agriculture, forestry, livestock, wildlife, or other beneficial uses or harm native plant communities (7-22-2101, Montana Code Annotated [MCA]). The MDA is responsible for designating statewide noxious weeds and provides leadership and coordination for noxious weed management throughout Montana.

The Weed Control Act establishes weed management districts composed of one or more counties, also referred to as County Weed Districts (CWDs), across the state and assigns the responsibility of administering each CWD's noxious weed management program to their respective County Weed Board (7-22-2102, MCA). The CWDs are responsible for developing noxious weed management plans, implementing the Weed Control Act, designating additional noxious weeds relevant to each CWD, and coordinating with state and federal agencies on public lands (MDA, 2017).

According to the Weed Control Act, it is unlawful to allow a noxious weed to propagate or go to seed, except where a noxious weed management program or agreement has been made (7-22-2116, MCA).

Per MCA 7-22-2152, developments that require state or local approval and may result in potential noxious weed spread, including major facility developments proposed under the Montana Major Facility Siting Act (70-20, MCA), must submit a written revegetation plan to the relevant County Weed Board(s) for approval prior to construction. The revegetation plan will describe the proposed seeding and/or planting methods intended to reestablish cover and provide weed management in disturbed areas. North Plains will notify the County Weed Board(s) at least 15 days prior to construction commencement, and construction activity will not commence in a given county until the revegetation plan has been approved.

3.2.2 Aquatic Invasive Species

The Montana Aquatic Invasive Species Act (AIS Act) defines an AIS as a non-native aquatic species that has potential to cause harm to the economy, environment, recreation, or human health (80-7-1003, MCA). According to the 2002 Montana ANS Management Plan, AIS are assigned a priority level (listed below) based the species' establishment in the state and the availability of management strategies for those species (Montana ANS Technical Committee, 2002):

- Priority Class 1 species are not established in Montana but have a high potential for invasion. Limited management strategies are available and include prevention and eradication of new populations.
- Priority Class 2 species are established and have potential to spread in Montana. Limited management strategies are available and include prevention, impact mitigation, and population size control.
- Priority Class 3 species are not established in Montana but have a high potential for invasion. Available management strategies include prevention and eradication of new populations.
- Priority Class 4 species are established and have potential to spread in Montana. Management strategies are available and include prevention, impact mitigation, and population size control.

Per the AIS Act, there is no centralized authority for coordinating AIS management activities in the state. Instead, the MDA; Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (MFWP); Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation; and Montana Department of Transportation (collectively, the Departments) all have the authority to manage AIS as necessary, based on their existing responsibilities in the state (Montana ANS Technical Committee, 2002). MCA 80-7-1007 allows the Departments to develop and implement individual or Department-wide invasive species management plan(s) to detect, prevent, and control invasive species under each agency's individual or collective jurisdiction. These plan(s) address the transport of AIS; designation and treatment of AIS within invasive species management areas, such as designated areas or bodies of water where the Departments regulate AIS or potential carriers of AIS; and rules for inspection and quarantine of vessels and equipment, such as any implement or machinery that has been at

least partially immersed in surface waters, traveling within the state or into Montana from a neighboring state.

MCA 80-7-1012 states it is unlawful to “purchase, sell, barter, distribute, propagate, transport, introduce, or possess an invasive species,” except for approved purposes. After use within an invasive species management area, North Plains will drain all vessels and equipment of water or implement other reasonable measures to drain water if North Plains cannot disengage a drain plug or a drain plug does not exist, prior to being transported on land or a public highway (80-7-1010, MCA). Currently, there are no invasive species management areas within Rosebud, Custer, or Fallon Counties in Montana (MFWP, 2024); however, MCA 80-7-1015 establishes a statewide invasive species management area and requires mandatory inspection of vessels and equipment entering the state.

Vessels and equipment transported into the state or within the state from west of the Continental Divide require inspection prior to in-water use (MFWP, 2023; MFWP, 2024). North Plains may use existing check stations, if present along the Project, or may coordinate with the MFWP AIS Program to receive the required inspections. Prior to inspection, vessels and equipment will be clean and dry, with no visible mud or vegetation (MFWP, 2024). If North Plains identifies an AIS on a vessel or equipment, they will clean and decontaminate that vessel or equipment before it is allowed to leave the inspection site or check station (80-7-1011, MCA). North Plains will coordinate with MFWP to notify the Department with primary jurisdiction immediately and the equipment owner will comply with required treatment and control procedures.

3.3 STATE AND COUNTY LISTED NOXIOUS WEEDS AND AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES

Montana has 36 Priority 1A, 1B, 2A, and 2B designated statewide noxious weeds and 5 designated statewide Priority 3 regulated plants (ARM 4.5.210), where control is enforced by all counties in Montana (MDA, 2019). As noted in Section 3.2.1, control of Priority 3 regulated species is recommended, but not required under the Weed Control Act. One or more CWDs lists six additional plants that they enforce within the jurisdiction of those districts.

According to the MFWP, Montana has 29 AIS, including 1 amphibian, 3 crustaceans, 9 fish, 1 mammal, 7 mollusks, and 8 plants (MFWP, 2026). MFWP also lists five fish parasites and pathogens as AIS; however, these are not discussed in this Plan. Of the eight aquatic invasive plants listed, three are also designated by MDA as statewide noxious weeds and three are Priority 3 regulated plants. Of the AIS species listed by MFWP, 18 of 29 have not yet been detected in Montana. Table 3.3-1 includes a list of the statewide noxious and regulated weeds, CWD-listed noxious weeds, and AIS enforced within the counties crossed by the Project.

TABLE 3.3-1				
State and County Enforced Noxious Weeds and Aquatic Invasive Species				
Common Name/Species Category	Scientific Name	State Priority ^a	Habitat ^b	Enforcement Area
NOXIOUS AND REGULATED WEEDS				
Plants				
Black henbane	<i>Hyoscyamus albus</i>	None	Terrestrial	Rosebud County, Montana
Blueweed	<i>Echium vulgare</i>	Priority 1B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Brazilian waterweed ^{c, d}	<i>Egeria densa</i>	Priority 3	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Canada thistle	<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Cheatgrass	<i>Bromus tectorum</i>	Priority 3	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Common buckthorn	<i>Rhamnus cathartica</i>	Priority 2A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Common burdock	<i>Arctium minus</i>	None	Terrestrial	Fallon County, Montana
Common reed	<i>Phragmites australis</i> ssp. <i>australis</i>	Priority 1A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Common tansy	<i>Tanacetum vulgare</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Curlyleaf pondweed ^c	<i>Potamogeton crispus</i>	Priority 2B	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Dalmatian toadflax	<i>Linaria dalmatica</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Diffuse knapweed	<i>Centaurea diffusa</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Dyer's woad	<i>Isatis tinctoria</i>	Priority 1A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Eurasian watermilfoil ^c	<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> , <i>M. spicatum</i> x <i>M. sibiricum</i>	Priority 2A	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Field bindweed	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Flowering rush ^c	<i>Butomus umbellatus</i>	Priority 2A	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Hoary alyssum	<i>Berteroa incana</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Houndstongue	<i>Cynoglossum officinale</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Hydrilla ^{c, d}	<i>Hydrilla verticillata</i>	Priority 3	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Knotweed complex	<i>Polygonum cuspidatum</i> , <i>P. sachalinense</i> , <i>P. x bohemicum</i> , <i>Fallopia japonica</i> , <i>F. sachalinensis</i> , <i>F. x bohemica</i> , <i>Reynoutria japonica</i> , <i>R. sachalinensis</i> , and <i>R. x bohemica</i>	Priority 1B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Kochia	<i>Bassia scoparia</i>	None	Terrestrial	Rosebud County, Montana
Leafy spurge	<i>Euphorbia esula</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Meadow hawkweed complex	<i>Hieracium caespitosum</i> , <i>H. praealtum</i> , <i>H. floridundum</i> , and <i>Pilosella caespitosa</i>	Priority 2A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Medusahead	<i>Taeniatherum caput-medusae</i>	Priority 1A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Orange hawkweed	<i>Hieracium aurantiacum</i> , <i>Pilosella aurantiaca</i>	Priority 2A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Oxeye daisy	<i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Parrot feather watermilfoil ^{c, d}	<i>Myriophyllum aquaticum</i> or <i>M. brasiliense</i>	Priority 3	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Perennial pepperweed	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i>	Priority 2A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Poison hemlock	<i>Conium maculatum</i>	None	Terrestrial	Fallon and Rosebud counties, Montana
Puncture vine	<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	None	Terrestrial	Rosebud County, Montana
Purple loosestrife	<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	Priority 1B	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Rush skeletonweed	<i>Chondrilla juncea</i>	Priority 1B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Russian knapweed	<i>Acroptilon repens</i> , <i>Rhaponticum repens</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Russian olive	<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i>	Priority 3	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Saltcedar	<i>Tamarix</i> spp.	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana

TABLE 3.3-1				
State and County Enforced Noxious Weeds and Aquatic Invasive Species				
Common Name/Species Category	Scientific Name	State Priority ^a	Habitat ^b	Enforcement Area
Scotch broom	<i>Cytisus scoparius</i>	Priority 1B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Scotch thistle	<i>Onopordum acanthium</i>	None	Terrestrial	Rosebud County, Montana
Spotted knapweed	<i>Centaurea stoebe</i> , <i>C. maculosa</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
St. Johnswort	<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Sulfur cinquefoil	<i>Potentilla recta</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Tall buttercup	<i>Ranunculus acris</i>	Priority 2A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Tansy ragwort	<i>Senecio jacobaea</i> , <i>Jacobaea vulgaris</i>	Priority 2A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Ventenata	<i>Ventenata dubia</i>	Priority 2A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Whitetop	<i>Cardaria draba</i> , <i>Lepidium draba</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Yellow starthistle	<i>Centaurea solstitialis</i>	Priority 1A	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Yellow toadflax	<i>Linaria vulgaris</i>	Priority 2B	Terrestrial	All counties in Montana
Yellowflag iris	<i>Iris pseudacorus</i>	Priority 2A	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES				
Amphibian				
American bullfrog	<i>Lithobates catesbeianus</i>	Class 4	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Crustaceans				
Fishhook waterflea ^d	<i>Cercopagis pengoi</i>	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Rusty crayfish ^d	<i>Orconectes rusticus</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Spiny waterflea ^d	<i>Bythotrephes longimanus</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Fish				
Bighead carp ^d	<i>Hypophthalmichthys nobilis</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Black carp ^d	<i>Mylopharyngodon piceus</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Grass carp ^d	<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Northern snakehead ^d	<i>Channa argus</i>	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Round goby ^d	<i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Ruffe ^d	<i>Gymnocephalus cerna</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Silver carp ^d	<i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Tench ^d	<i>Tinca tinca</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Zander ^d	<i>Sander lucioperca</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Mammals				
Nutria ^d	<i>Myocastor coypus</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Mollusks				
Asian clam	<i>Corbicula</i> spp.	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Chinese mystery snail ^d	<i>Cipangopaludina chinensis malleat</i>	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Faucet snail	<i>Bithynia tentaculata</i>	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
New Zealand mudsnail	<i>Potamopyrgus antipodarum</i>	Class 2	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Quagga mussel	<i>Dreissena rostriformis bugensis</i>	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Red-rim melania	<i>Melanoides tuberculata</i>	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Zebra mussel	<i>Dreissena polymorpha</i>	Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Plants				
Brazilian waterweed ^{c, d}	<i>Egeria densa</i>	Priority 3	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Curlyleaf pondweed ^c	<i>Potamogeton crispus</i>	Priority 2B/ Class 4	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Eurasian watermilfoil ^c	<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> , <i>M. spicatum</i> x <i>M. sibiricum</i>	Priority 2A/ Class 3	Aquatic	All counties in Montana

TABLE 3.3-1				
State and County Enforced Noxious Weeds and Aquatic Invasive Species				
Common Name/Species Category	Scientific Name	State Priority ^a	Habitat ^b	Enforcement Area
Flowering rush ^c	<i>Butomus umbellatus</i>	Priority 2A/Class 4	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Fragrant waterlily ^e	<i>Nymphaea odorata</i>	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Hydrilla ^{c, d}	<i>Hydrilla verticillata</i>	Priority 3/ Class 1	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Parrot feather watermilfoil ^{c, d}	<i>Myriophyllum aquaticum</i> or <i>M. brasiliense</i>	Priority 3	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
Yellow floating heart ^{d, e}	<i>Nymphoides peltata</i>	Unknown	Aquatic	All counties in Montana
^a Noxious weed priority levels include Priority 1A, Priority 1B, Priority 2A, Priority 2B, and Priority 3; Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) priority levels include Class 1, Class 2, Class 3, and Class 4. ^b For noxious weeds, the aquatic habitat descriptor includes both submerged and emergent aquatic plant species. ^c Identified as a noxious weed or regulated weed by MDA (2019) and as an AIS plant by MFWP (2026). ^d AIS currently undetected in Montana, according to MFWP (2026). ^e Identified as an AIS plant by the MFWP (2026), but not listed as a statewide noxious or regulated plant by MDA (2019). Sources: MDA, 2019 and 2024; MFWP, 2026; Montana ANS Technical Committee, 2002.				

3.4 NOXIOUS WEED PRESENCE

North Plains conducted surveys for state- and county-listed noxious weed species within the Project survey corridor. Noxious weed surveys were conducted concurrently with the wetland and waterbody surveys during the growing season between 2022 and 2026; surveys were not timed to coincide with any species-specific morphological state. Table 3.4-1 shows noxious weeds with confirmed presence in the Project survey corridor based on occurrences documented during noxious weed surveys between 2022 and 2025. Surveys in 2026 are ongoing and not included in Table 3.4-1.

TABLE 3.4-1			
Confirmed Noxious Weed Presence by County within the Project Survey Corridor			
Species	Rosebud	Custer	Fallon
Canada Thistle	X	X	X
Cheatgrass ^a	X	X	X
Field Bindweed	X	X	X
Leafy Spurge		X	X
Saltcedar	X	X	
Spotted Knapweed			X
Tall Buttercup		X	
Tansy Ragwort			X
^a Not considered a noxious weed in Montana but is considered a statewide regulated plant with potential to have significant negative impacts.			

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The primary construction contractor and/or subcontractor(s) are responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance including noxious weed and AIS management and control, as

described in this Plan. North Plains will own the entire Project and, as a result, is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

North Plains will be legally responsible for the implementation of this Plan. For the duration of the Project, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan and in all applicable Project permits.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors (EIs). Further information on EIs and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

4.2 COUNTY WEED BOARDS

The public is encouraged to work with County Weed Board coordinators, extension agents, and other experts to identify and report suspected noxious and regulated weeds. In addition to the functions described in Section 3.2.1, the role of the County Weed Boards is to provide guidance regarding noxious weed detection and control by landowners and land occupants within each county and to investigate signed complaints received by a County Weed Board coordinator regarding noxious weeds. County Weed Boards can offer assistance as it relates to identification, prevention, and treatment of noxious weeds.

Table 4.2-1 includes the primary contact information for the Custer, Fallon, and Rosebud County Weed Boards, according to the Montana Weed Control Association (2020).

TABLE 4.2-1			
County Weed Board Coordinator Contact Information			
County / Contact Title	Name	Phone	Email
CUSTER			
Weed Coordinator	Alix Walker	(406) 874-3371	weedandmosquito@custercountymt.gov
FALLON			
Weed Coordinator	Sara Berger	(406) 853-0898	bergers@falloncounty.net
ROSEBUD			
Weed Coordinator	Amy Adler	(406) 346-7608	amy.adler@rosebudcountymt.gov
Source: Montana Weed Control Association, 2026.			

5.0 TRAINING

North Plains will provide noxious weed identification, management, and control training to Project personnel prior to commencing construction. As part of this training, North Plains will review and ensure Project personnel understand the contents of this Plan and the CMRP. As needed, North Plains will make available a copy of *Montana’s Noxious Weeds* (Montana State University Extension, 2020), which includes photos of all Montana statewide designated noxious weeds and Priority 3 regulated plants.

6.0 NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL

6.1 PREVENTATIVE MEASURES

6.1.1 Noxious and Regulated Weeds

Noxious weeds can be spread by natural processes, such as wildlife and wind, as well as through human means involving vehicles, construction equipment, and construction or restoration activities. Implementation of preventative measures to control the spread of noxious weeds is the most cost-effective management approach. North Plains will implement noxious weed preventative measures that are consistent with state and county regulations, and will work with County Weed Board coordinators, as necessary.

North Plains will implement the following preventative measures within the Project workspace for statewide and CWD-listed noxious weeds and, when feasible, Priority 3 regulated plants (collectively, noxious and regulated weeds):

- Prior to moving equipment to the Project area, North Plains will thoroughly clean construction equipment, including construction mats, to limit the potential for the spread of noxious and regulated weeds. North Plains will clean the equipment at designated wash stations in upland areas.
- Prior to construction, North Plains will complete noxious weed surveys within the Project workspaces to map known populations of noxious and regulated weeds. North Plains will mark areas of the Project workspace that contain documented occurrences of noxious and regulated weeds (i.e., infested areas). Such markings will clearly indicate the limits of the infestation within the construction workspace.
- During construction, North Plains will clean the tracks, tires, and blades of equipment by hand or compressed air to remove excess soil prior to moving equipment out of mapped noxious weed infested areas or use wash stations to remove vegetative materials.
- North Plains will use mulch and straw or hay bales that are certified free of noxious weeds for temporary erosion and sediment control in disturbed areas.
- North Plains will implement pre-construction control treatments, such as mowing prior to seed development and/or herbicide treatments by a state-certified applicator in infested areas prior to vegetation clearing; site grading, excavation, or other soil-disturbing work; or overland travel within or through infested areas identified during the noxious weed surveys.
- Pre-construction control treatments will avoid the use of herbicides within 100 feet of a waterbody or wetland.

6.1.2 Aquatic Invasive Species

Like noxious and regulated weeds, AIS can be spread by natural processes and human means. The movement of vehicles and construction equipment from infested waters can allow for AIS, including plants and animals, to be transported to new locations and water diversions can allow

for AIS from different areas to invade new habitats (Montana ANS Technical Committee, 2002). Since there are limited acceptable controls available for use in aquatic habitats once an AIS has been established, implementation of preventative measures to control the spread of AIS is the most cost-effective management approach.

North Plains will implement the following preventative measures in wetlands and waterbodies:

- Vehicles and equipment intended for in-water use in Montana will be inspected when entering the state or crossing the Continental Divide. North Plains will be responsible for initiating vehicle and equipment inspections prior to Project use. Inspections may occur at existing state check stations, or by coordinating with the MFWP AIS Program for alternative inspection options. Check station locations can be found at <https://fwp.mt.gov/conservation/aquatic-invasive-species/watercraft-inspection-stations>. If the inspection uncovers an AIS, North Plains will remain at the check station until the vehicle or equipment is cleaned and decontaminated.
- Upon completion of in-water work activities, North Plains will remove all visible mud, vegetation, or aquatic debris from the vehicles or equipment, drain areas that may retain or store water, and dry or disinfect the vehicles and equipment prior to relocation to another Project site or transport on a public highway. North Plains may clean the equipment with high-pressure washing equipment at designated wash stations in upland areas, if necessary to fully remove the debris.
- North Plains will coordinate with MFWP to identify any new AIS management areas along the Project prior to construction commencement. After in-water use within an AIS management area, North Plains will inspect in-water work vehicles and equipment at a state watercraft check station or by coordinating with the MFWP AIS Program. If the inspection uncovers an AIS, North Plains will remain at the check station until the vehicle or equipment is cleaned and decontaminated.
- North Plains will not wash equipment within 100 feet of wetlands or waterbodies.
- North Plains will clean and dry equipment such as temporary flumes, culverts, timber mats, or bridge structures after removal from a wetland or waterbody and prior to Project relocation or transport.

6.2 TREATMENT AND CONTROL METHODS

North Plains will conduct noxious and regulated weed treatments in accordance with existing regulations and landowner or agency agreements. Pre-treatment of noxious weed infestations will be conducted prior to vegetation clearing or ground-disturbing construction activities if pre-treatment will aid in controlling the spread of weeds during construction. North Plains will choose the best available treatment and control methods based on site-specific information, including the season, location, and the noxious or regulated weed species present. Control methods may include herbicide application or mechanical measures, such as mowing or manual removal.

During construction, North Plains will periodically monitor the construction areas to allow for early detection of noxious weed infestations. If noxious or regulated weeds are observed within construction areas, North Plains or a state-certified herbicide applicator will implement appropriate

control measures in an attempt to control the identified infestations and to reduce the spread or proliferation of weeds within the construction area.

During inactive periods of construction, defined as periods of 120 days or longer, North Plains will treat any previously identified noxious weed or regulated weed-infested areas, as well as any new infestations areas within the construction corridor, as determined necessary.

After construction activities are complete, North Plains will reclaim the Project workspace in accordance with the CMRP and using seed mixes approved by the landowner and land-managing agencies.

After Project construction has been completed in areas disturbed by construction and areas over which North Plains will retain surface use control, such as converter stations, North Plains will provide weed control to limit the potential spread of noxious or regulated weeds onto adjacent lands. Any herbicide spraying performed by North Plains will be done by a state-licensed or state-certified applicator.

6.2.1 Control Methods

North Plains will determine appropriate weed management techniques based on the current conditions at the location of infestation. Weed management techniques may include hand-pulling, mechanical removal, or the use of herbicides. These methods are discussed below.

Before using a noxious weed control method not outlined in this Plan, North Plains will consult with the appropriate County Weed Board(s).

6.2.1.1 Hand-pulling or Pulling Tools

Hand-pulling weeds has a small ecological impact, causes minimal damage to neighboring vegetation, and has low to no cost. It does, however, require significant labor and, thus, can only be used as a control method for small infestations. Hand-pulling may be used when individual or small numbers of weeds are observed in the right-of-way.

Handheld tools are available to remove weeds in the same manner as hand-pulling. These tools provide additional leverage and the capability to remove weeds with deeper and/or larger roots. As with hand-pulling, this method, if used, will be limited to small infestations.

6.2.1.2 Mechanical

Mowing or brushing can quickly remove large swaths of weeds, but care must be taken that cuttings are removed promptly to prevent regrowth or prevent weeds from being carried offsite via wind or water to germinate in adjacent uninfested areas. Additionally, if mowing or brushing is used, North Plains will identify the weed(s) present and confirm the species' life cycle and control timing requirements to ensure that they are cut at the appropriate point in development (e.g., prior to flowering or seed maturation) to prevent regrowth or spread.

6.2.1.3 Chemical (herbicides)

North Plains will base herbicide selection on information gathered from local County Weed Boards and/or the MDA. Prior to herbicide application, North Plains or the state-certified applicator will obtain any required permits or approvals from the CWD and landowner. Herbicide type(s) will be

determined based on the weed species present and existing land use and land cover in the areas where the herbicide will be applied. Whenever possible, the state-certified applicator will select effective, species-appropriate herbicides that are unlikely to drift, leach to groundwater or wash into streams, are nontoxic to people and other organisms, and easy to apply. The state-certified applicator will make every effort to minimize negative environmental impacts when conducting herbicide applications.

A state-certified applicator will conduct herbicide applications in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations. The state-certified applicator will strictly adhere to all label instructions and implement all manufacture guidelines for the type of herbicide and application used and based on conditions in the field at the time. For example, manufacturer's guidelines recommend that users only apply herbicides under appropriate weather conditions, that application sprayers be mounted low to the ground, and that sprayer booms incorporate specialized nozzles designed to produce large droplet sizes with limited drift potential. Adherence to these specifications and manufacturer label directions will minimize the potential for drift or transport of herbicides to off right-of-way areas. All herbicides applied prior to or during construction will be non-residual or will have a significant residual effect no longer than 30 days. The state-certified applicator will keep a copy of the herbicide Material Safety Data Sheets at work sites.

The state-certified applicator may employ vehicle-mounted sprayers and/or hand application methods depending on the infestation location(s) and conditions in the field. Vehicle-mounted sprayers (e.g., handgun, boom, and injector) will be used primarily in open areas that are readily accessible by vehicle. The state-certified applicator will use hand application methods (e.g., backpack spraying) that target individual plants to treat small scattered noxious weed populations in rough terrain or where required due to the proximity to sensitive plants, wetlands or waterbodies, or other sensitive wildlife habitats. The state-certified applicator(s) will complete calibration checks of equipment at the beginning of spraying and periodically thereafter to ensure proper application rates are achieved. Industry standards and/or manufacturer's guidelines will determine the required or appropriate application equipment calibration checks. The state-certified applicator will maintain application records, including: the active ingredient; formulation; application rate; date, time, and location; and provide copies, as requested.

The state-certified applicator will manage and use herbicides according to the provisions listed below.

- Herbicide application procedures will be conducted by a licensed, state-certified commercial applicator to ensure that proper mixing, application, cleanup, personal protection, and safety procedures are followed.
- The state-certified applicator will bring herbicides to the Project site premixed or mix them in a closed transfer system (CTS), in returnable/refillable containers, and transfer contents by CTS to application tanks to limit worker and environmental exposure and eliminate the need for disposal of herbicide containers in area landfills.
- The state-certified applicator will transport herbicides in a manner that will prevent tipping or spilling, and the inspections staff will monitor all herbicide equipment and containers daily for leaks.
- North Plains will prepare a Spill Prevention and Response Plan to address handling, storage, and spill response prior to herbicide use.

- The state-certified applicator will not use, store, or mix herbicides within 100 feet of a wetland or waterbody, or as specified in permits for federal or state lands, whichever is more stringent.
- North Plains will not apply herbicide using aerial methods; state-certified applicator will apply herbicides using vehicle-mounted sprayers and/or hand application methods.
- After herbicide application on public lands, the state-certified applicator will post signage indicating the extent of the treated areas.

6.2.1.4 BLM-Managed Lands

In accordance with Appendix B of the BLM Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision (2007), North Plains will implement the following measures within the Project workspace on BLM lands:

- Prior to herbicide use on BLM land, North Plains will coordinate with BLM to identify applicable procedures that would be implemented within the Project workspace on BLM land. These will include a subset of the Standard Operating Procedures for Applying Herbicides identified within Appendix B PEIS Record of Decision (2007).
- Coordinate with BLM regarding special status species that may occur or are known to occur on the BLM lands crossed by the Project. North Plains will consider effects to special status species when selecting herbicide treatments before treating an infested area.
- The state-certified applicator will not apply herbicides within 100 feet of known special status plant occurrences within BLM lands without prior approval. Herbicides used within 100 feet of special status plant species will be selected to minimize risks to sensitive plants and restricted to hand application methods.
- Avoid treating vegetation during time-sensitive periods (e.g., nesting and migration, sensitive life stages) for special status species with documented presence within 100 feet of the area to be treated, unless doing so is required for effective control of the noxious weed species.
- Minimize treatments near waterbodies during periods when fish or aquatic special status species are in life stages most sensitive to the herbicide(s) used and use spot rather than broadcast or aerial treatments.
- North Plains will use the requested seed mixes and procedures provided by the BLM and described in Section 6 of the CMRP.

7.0 POST CONSTRUCTION RESTORATION AND REVEGETATION

7.1 SITE RESTORATION AND REVEGETATION

After construction activities are complete, North Plains will reclaim the Project workspace in accordance with the CMRP and using seed mixes approved by the landowner and land-managing

agencies. North Plains will coordinate with the BLM and ARS regarding preferred seed mixes to be used on the portions of the Project that cross these federally managed lands. Site restoration will involve grading disturbed work areas to approximate pre-construction contours and natural drainage patterns as closely as possible. North Plains will maintain temporary erosion controls until replaced by permanent erosion control structures or until restoration is complete.

7.2 MONITORING AND OPERATION

Following construction, North Plains’ on-site operations staff will monitor all mapped or newly identified infestation sites within the areas disturbed by Project construction and all areas over which North Plains retains control of the surface use. North Plains will perform monitoring annually for a minimum of three years following construction or per mutual agreement between North Plains and the appropriate County Weed Board. In addition, North Plains will conduct reseeding, as needed, to re-establish a desirable vegetative cover to stabilize the soils and slow the potential for re-invasion of noxious and regulated weeds.

In areas with continued presence of noxious or regulated weeds, the weed treatment and control measure(s) chosen will be the best available for the season, location, and species, as described in Section 6.2. Where necessary, a state-certified applicator will complete herbicide applications. The County Weed Coordinator(s) may inspect all sites of concern, as they deem necessary, and will determine if the infestation sites have been eradicated.

8.0 PLAN REVISIONS

TABLE 8.0-1		
Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 1	Initial draft plan	August 2024
Rev 2	2024 Noxious weed survey and other minor updates incorporated	July 2025
Rev 3	Minor updates for consistency with other plans	January 2026
Rev 4	2025 Noxious weed survey and other minor updates incorporated	June 2026

9.0 REFERENCES

- BLM. 2007. Final Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. FES 07-21. USDI BLM. Available online at: <https://eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/70300/570>. Accessed July 2024.
- Montana Aquatic Nuisance Species (ANS) Technical Committee. 2002. Montana Aquatic Nuisance Species (ANS) Management Plan. Available online at: <https://fwp.mt.gov/binaries/content/assets/fwp/conservation/ais/reports/montana-ans-plan---final---edited-for-printing-10-16-02.pdf>. Accessed August 2024.
- Montana Department of Agriculture (MDA). 2017. Montana Noxious Weed Management Plan. Available online at: <https://agr.mt.gov/docs/NWTF-docs/MT-Noxious-Weed-Management-Plan--Update-2017.pdf>. Accessed August 2024.
- MDA. 2019. Montana Noxious Weed List. Effective June 21, 2019. Available online at: <https://agr.mt.gov/docs/weeds-docs/2019-Montana-Noxious-Weed-List.pdf>. Accessed August 2024.
- MDA. 2024. County Listed Noxious Weeds 2022-2024. Available online at: https://agr.mt.gov/_docs/weeds-docs/County-Listed-Noxious-Weeds.pdf. Accessed January 2026.
- Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (MFWP). 2023. AIS Laws and Rules. Available online at: <https://fwp.mt.gov/conservation/aquatic-invasive-species/rules>. Accessed December 2023.
- MFWP. 2024. Email Dated June 12 from T. Woolf (MFWP) to M. Voth (Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc.).
- MFWP. 2026. AIS Species Identification Guide. Available online at: <https://fwp.mt.gov/conservation/aquatic-invasive-species/species-identification>. Accessed January 2026.
- Montana State University Extension. 2020. Montana's Noxious Weeds. Available online at: <https://issuu.com/msuextension/docs/eb0159?e=33838256/63057008>. Accessed August 2024.
- Montana Weed Control Association. 2026. Montana Weed Control Association 2026 Directory. Available online at: <https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:VA6C2:77514e95-758a-4d52-ba49-d95f7dd4f5e9?viewer%21megaVerb=group-discover>. Accessed May 2026.

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT G

MBTA Compliance Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Migratory Bird Treaty Act Compliance Plan

Prepared by:

North Plains Connector LLC



July 2026

**NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR LLC
NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT
DRAFT MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY COMPLIANCE PLAN**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE.....	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE.....	3
3.1	FEDERAL REGULATIONS.....	3
3.1.1	Migratory Bird Treaty Act.....	3
3.1.2	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.....	3
3.1.3	Endangered Species Act.....	4
3.1.4	Executive Order 13186.....	5
3.2	STATE REGULATIONS.....	5
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	5
5.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	6
5.1	HABITAT DESCRIPTION	8
5.2	PUBLIC LANDS	12
6.0	MIGRATORY BIRDS POTENTIALLY OCCURRING ALONG THE PROJECT	14
6.1	PROJECT SURVEYS	14
6.2	SPECIES	15
6.2.1	Birds of Conservation Concern	15
6.2.2	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	18
6.2.3	U.S. Forest Service Regional Forester's Sensitive Species	18
6.2.4	Bureau of Land Management Special Status Species.....	19
6.3	NESTS.....	21
6.3.1	Colonial Nesting Waterbirds and Waterbird Concentration Areas	21
6.3.2	Non-eagle Raptor Nests	23
6.3.3	Bald and Golden Eagle Nests	28
7.0	POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON MIGRATORY BIRDS	31
7.1	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	31
7.1.1	Physical Harm.....	31
7.1.2	Habitat Alteration and Degradation	31
7.1.3	Hazardous Materials and Spills.....	32
7.1.4	Fire	32
7.1.5	Disturbance and Displacement	32
7.2	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE IMPACTS	32
7.2.1	Electrocution.....	33
7.2.2	Perching and Nesting Opportunities.....	33
7.2.3	Collision	34
8.0	AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES.....	35
8.1	PROJECT PLANNING.....	35
8.2	SITING AND DESIGN.....	36
8.3	CONSTRUCTION.....	41
8.4	RECLAMATION.....	46
8.5	OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	47
9.0	IMPLEMENTATION	47
10.0	PLAN REVISIONS	48
11.0	REFERENCES.....	49

LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.1-1	Land Cover Types within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance	9
Table 6.2.1-1	List of Birds of Conservation Concern with Documented Occurrences Along the North Plains Connector Project	16
Table 6.2.1-2	List of Birds of Conservation Concern with Potential to Occur Along the North Plains Connector Project.....	17
Table 6.2.2-1	Bird Species with an ESA Designation and Potential to Occur within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance	18
Table 6.2.3-1	Regional Forester’s Sensitive Bird Species with Potential to Occur in the Project Limits-of-Disturbance on U.S. Forest Service-managed Lands	19
Table 6.2.4-1	Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Sensitive Special Status Bird Species and with Potential to Occur Within the Project Workspace Limits-of-Disturbance on BLM-managed Lands.....	20
Table 6.3.2-1	Occupied Non-eagle Raptor Nests Observed During Project Surveys in 2022 and 2023 Along the North Plains Connector	23
Table 6.3.3-1	Occupied Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Observed During Project Surveys in 2022 and 2023 Along the North Plains Connector.....	28
Table 8.3-1	Avoidance Buffers and Seasonal Timing Restrictions Proposed for Construction Activities Near Non-eagle Nests.....	45
Table 8.3-2	Agency-recommended Buffers and Breeding Season Dates for Bald and Golden Eagles	46
Table 10.0-1	Plan Revisions	48

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.0-1	Overview of the North Plains Connector Project	2
Figure 5.1-1	Vegetative Land Cover Types crossed by the North Plains Connector Project in Montana	10
Figure 5.1-2	Vegetative Land Cover Types crossed by the North Plains Connector Project in North Dakota.....	11
Figure 5.2-1	Public Lands in the Vicinity of the North Plains Connector Project	13
Figure 6.3-1	Rookeries within 1 mile of the North Plains Connector Project.....	22
Figure 6.3-2	Non-Eagle Raptor Nests within 1 mile of the North Plains Connector Project in Montana	24
Figure 6.3-3	Non-Eagle Raptor Nests within 1 mile of the North Plains Connector Project in North Dakota.....	25
Figure 6.3-4	Burrowing Owl Burrows within 2 miles of the North Plains Connector Project in Montana	26
Figure 6.3-5	Burrowing Owl Burrows within 2 miles of the North Plains Connector Project in North Dakota.....	27
Figure 6.3-6	Confirmed and Potential Eagle Nests near the North Plains Connector Project in Montana	29
Figure 6.3-7	Confirmed and Potential Eagle Nests near the North Plains Connector Project in North Dakota.....	30
Figure 8.2-1	Proposed Line Marking North Plains Connector Project.....	40

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A. Birds of Conservation Concern with Potential to Occur within the North Plains Connector Project Limits-of-Disturbance
- Appendix B. Conservation Measures for Occupied Non-eagle Raptor Nests on the North Plains Connector Project
- Appendix C. Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Documented Near the North Plains Connector Project

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

2024 Eagle Rule	Permits for Incidental Take of Eagles and Eagle Nests; Final Rule
AC	alternating current
APLIC	Avian Power Line Interaction Committee
APP	Avian Protection Plan
ARMP	Approved Resource Management Plan
BA	Biological Assessment
BCC	Birds of Conservation Concern
BCR	Bird Conservation Region
BE	Biological Evaluation
BFD	bird flight diverter
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMRP	Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan
DC	direct current
EHV	extra high voltage
EI	Environmental Inspector
EMP	Eagle Management Plan
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FR	Federal Register
HVDC	high-voltage direct current
HVDC Transmission Line	new 525-kV HVDC electric transmission line
IPaC	Information for Planning and Consultation
kV	kilovolt
LRMP	Land and Resource Management Plan
LOD	limits-of-disturbance
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MFWP	Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks
MLCF	Montana Landcover Framework
Morton County Converter Station	new AC/DC converter station in Morton County, North Dakota
Morton County Switchyard	new Morton County Switchyard, North Dakota
Morton Transmission Line	new 345-kV EHV AC electric transmission line in Morton County, North Dakota
MOU	memorandum of understanding
MP	milepost
MTNHP	Montana Natural Heritage Program
NDGFD	North Dakota Game and Fish Department
NLCD	National Land Cover Database

2024 Eagle Rule	Permits for Incidental Take of Eagles and Eagle Nests; Final Rule
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
NPC	North Plains Connector
East Oliver Substation	new substation in Oliver County, North Dakota
Oliver Transmission Line	new 345-kV EHV AC electric transmission line in Morton and Oliver counties, North Dakota
OPGW	optical power ground wire
Plan	Migratory Bird Treaty Act Compliance Plan
Project	North Plains Connector Project
RFSS	Regional Forester's Sensitive Species
Rosebud County Converter Station	new AC/DC converter station in Rosebud County, Montana
Rosebud Transmission Line	new 500-kV extra high voltage AC electric transmission line in Rosebud County, Montana
SCP	Species of Conservation Priority
SOC	Species of Concern
SPRP	Spill Prevention and Response Plan
SSS	Special Status Species
SWAP	State Wildlife Action Plan
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
USC	U.S. Code
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
White Lake NWR	White Lake National Wildlife Refuge
WMA	wildlife management area

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains), a Delaware limited liability company formed under Section 18-201 of the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, has prepared this Migratory Bird Treaty Act Compliance Plan (Plan) for the North Plains Connector Project (Project), a proposed interregional electric connector line. North Plains, an affiliate of Grid United LLC, is a Houston, Texas-based company developing next generation energy infrastructure to power the future. Grid United LLC is focused on the infrastructure needed to make the United States power grid more modern, efficient, reliable, and secure.

The Project is an approximately 422-mile-long high-voltage direct current (HVDC) electric transmission line and associated infrastructure designed to connect the eastern and western energy grids in the United States. As proposed, the Project will extend from near Colstrip in southeastern Montana to two separate end points in southwestern North Dakota – one near the town of Center and the other near St. Anthony (see Figure 1.0-1). The Project crosses lands owned by state and federal agencies, as well as private landowners.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

North Plains has prepared this Plan to describe the potential impacts of the Project on migratory birds and document avoidance and minimization measures the Project will implement to reduce those potential impacts.

This Plan discusses measures that have already been implemented during the Project siting and design phases, as well as measures that will be implemented during Project construction and reclamation phases. Potential impacts during Project operations and maintenance are briefly described in this Plan, when relevant to measures implemented during earlier Project phases. However, measures applicable during operations and maintenance will be addressed in a separate Avian Protection Plan (APP).

This Plan has been developed to demonstrate Project compliance with applicable state and federal regulations, including the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 (MBTA), Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940 (BGEPA), Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA), as well as the right-of-way and special use permit conditions applicable to Bureau of Land Management (BLM), National Forest Service lands managed by U.S. Forest Service (USFS), and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Agricultural Research Service (ARS) lands during the Project's construction phase. Species discussed in the Plan include migratory birds, eagles, species listed or proposed under the ESA, birds considered Regional Forester's Sensitive Species (RFSS) on USFS-managed lands, and birds considered Special Status Species (SSS) by BLM.



3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

This section provides a brief overview of federal and state legislation and regulatory compliance applicable to migratory birds with the potential to occur along the Project. North Plains will conduct Project activities in accordance with applicable state and federal agency regulations.

3.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

3.1.1 Migratory Bird Treaty Act

The MBTA (16 U.S. Code [USC] § 703–711 [1918]) assigns legal authority to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to protect over 1,000 species of raptors, diurnal migrants, and passerine migratory birds from take. Under MBTA, “take” of migratory birds native to the United States and its territories is prohibited. The definition of “take” under MBTA includes killing, possessing or capturing migratory birds, and protections under the MBTA extend to the nests of protected species when they contain eggs or young. The USFWS enforces the MBTA and maintains a list of all species protected by the MBTA at 50 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] § 10.13 (1973).

Currently, no permit is available for incidental take of migratory birds. In 2021, the USFWS provided advanced notice of its plan to develop a proposed rule authorizing the incidental taking or killing of migratory birds (86 Federal Register [FR] 54667 [2021]). The potential rule could include exceptions to the take prohibition, general permits for certain activities, and specific or individual permits. The USFWS held a public comment period regarding the potential rule that closed on December 3, 2021; however, a proposed rule has not yet been published and no other information has been made available as of the date of this document.

A series of legal opinions have been issued since 1978 regarding the issue of “incidental” or “non-purposeful” take under the MBTA. The current status of the legal scope and applicability of the MBTA to incidental take is in flux. On April 11, 2025, the Acting Solicitor for the U.S. Department of the Interior (USDOl) issued a legal opinion that specified that only intentional take of migratory birds is prohibited by the MBTA and that the prohibitions of the MBTA do not apply to the incidental take of migratory birds (USDOl, 2025). It is possible that USFWS will revert to the prosecutorial discretion model, which was commonly used prior to 2016 and was reinstituted as recently as October 2021. Given the likelihood for legal challenges and varying interpretations of whether incidental take is prohibited by the MBTA, North Plains has elected to voluntarily implement measures to avoid and minimize the incidental taking of migratory birds.

3.1.2 Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act

In addition to the MBTA, bald (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) and golden (*Aquila chrysaetos*) eagles are protected under the BGEPA (16 USC § 668–668d [1940]). The BGEPA prohibits take, possession, transport, export, or import of any bald or golden eagle, whether alive or dead, including parts, nests, or eggs, unless allowed by permit. “Take” under the BGEPA includes pursuing, shooting, wounding, killing, or disturbing. “Disturbing” includes agitating or bothering to a degree that causes or is likely to cause injury, decreased productivity, or nest abandonment by interfering with normal breeding, feeding, or sheltering behavior. If a proposed project or action occurs in areas where nesting, feeding, or roosting eagles occur, then project proponents may need to take additional conservation measures to achieve compliance with the BGEPA.

In 2009, USFWS established permit regulations that authorize incidental eagle take of eagles (50 CFR § 22.26 [2009]). In 2024, the USFWS revised those regulations to streamline the permitting process in the *Permits for Incidental Take of Eagles and Eagle Nests; Final Rule* (2024 Eagle Rule; USFWS 2024a) for incidental take of eagles (50 CFR §§ 13 and 22 [1974]). The 2024 Eagle Rule follows the permitting approach previously defined in the *National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines* (USFWS, 2007) to create a general permit option and authorize bald eagle nest disturbance take associated with eligible activities (50 CFR § 22.280 [2024]), including:

- linear infrastructure construction and maintenance within 660 feet of a bald eagle nest;
- aircraft operation, including helicopters and fixed-wing aircraft within 1,000 feet of an in-use bald eagle nest; and
- blasting within 0.5 mile of an in-use bald eagle nest.

Golden eagle nest disturbance does not qualify for a general permit and instead requires a specific permit to authorize disturbance.

Certain construction and reclamation activities near eagle nests may increase the potential for eagle nest disturbance and may warrant permitting. Project coordination with the USFWS field offices and Migratory Bird Office is ongoing; Project-specific eagle permitting considerations and nest-specific avoidance and minimization measures are discussed in the Project's Eagle Management Plan (EMP).

3.1.3 Endangered Species Act

The ESA establishes a national policy for conserving threatened and endangered species of fish, wildlife, and plants, and the habitat on which they depend. The USFWS administers the ESA for terrestrial and freshwater species and is responsible for listing a species as threatened or endangered (listed species), designating critical habitat, developing and implementing protective regulations and recovery plans, and undertaking several other management and conservation efforts pursuant to the ESA.

Section 9 of the ESA prohibits the take of listed wildlife, where the definition of “take” includes harassing, harming, or killing (16 USC §§ 1532 [1973], 1538 [1973]). Harassment under ESA includes both intentional and negligent acts that may injure wildlife or significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns such as breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 CFR § 17.3 [1975]). Such acts may include significant habitat modification or degradation, where habitat impacts kill or injure wildlife by impairing essential behaviors such as breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 CFR § 17.3 [1975]). Some threatened wildlife species are regulated under an ESA 4(d) Rule that may except take following specified restrictions.

Section 7 of the ESA requires federal agencies to consult or conference with the USFWS to ensure that any actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out do not jeopardize the continued existence of a federally listed or proposed species, or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated or proposed critical habitat. In reviewing the Project, the U.S. Department of Energy, the lead federal agency for the Project, will consult or conference with the USFWS on behalf of USDA ARS, BLM, and USFS, in compliance with Section 7 to assess

whether the Project could adversely affect any federally listed or proposed terrestrial or freshwater species and designated or proposed critical habitat.

USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) identified three listed or proposed bird species as having the potential to occur within 1 mile of the Project limits-of-disturbance (LOD).¹ Listed species include the piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*), rufa red knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*), and whooping crane (*Grus americana*; USFWS, 2025a). A fourth bird species, the pinyon jay (*Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus*), under review for listing (USFWS, 2023a) could also be present. All four species are also listed as protected under MBTA, and therefore receive legal protection under its provisions. Coordination with USFWS regarding potential impacts on federally listed and proposed species is ongoing. Additional details regarding potential impacts and avoidance and minimization measures for these species are included in the Project's Biological Assessment (BA).

3.1.4 Executive Order 13186

Federal Executive Order 13186 (66 FR 3853 [2001]: *Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds*) directs federal agencies that have, or are likely to have, a measurable negative effect on migratory bird populations to develop and implement a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the USFWS to protect migratory birds (66 FR 3853-3856 [2001]). The MOU must describe measures and actions that the federal agency will take to protect migratory birds, restore their habitat, and limit overall impacts.

The Project will cross federal land managed by the USDA ARS, BLM, and USFS. The BLM has an MOU with the USFWS that is currently expected to expire in 2027 (BLM, 2010, 2022). The USFS has an MOU with the USFWS that has no current expiration date (USFWS, 2009, 2022). Specific measures North Plains will implement to minimize impacts on migratory birds on USDA ARS-, BLM-, and USFS-managed lands in accordance with the agencies' migratory bird MOUs are discussed in this Plan. Additional measures specific to USFS-managed lands are discussed in the USFS Biological Evaluation (BE).

3.2 STATE REGULATIONS

State regulations protecting non-game birds generally reiterate the protections described under the MBTA. North Dakota Century Code 20.1-04-04 prohibits destroying or interfering with the nests and eggs of protected bird species. Montana Code Annotated also prohibits the killing of non-game birds and protects the nests and eggs of wild birds, except under certain circumstances covered by state permits (87-5-201).

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The primary construction contractor and/or subcontractor(s) are responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance including the implementation of measures described in this Plan. North Plains will own the entire Project and, as a result, is responsible for construction of all Project facilities.

¹ The LOD includes Project workspace and rights-of-way.

For the duration of the Project, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan and in all applicable Project permits.

North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors (EIs) during construction and reclamation to ensure compliance with this Plan and all other environmental conditions and commitments. North Plains may also designate Biological Monitors to fulfill Plan obligations requiring specific biological training or expertise. Further information on EIs and their general responsibilities are provided in the Project's Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan (CMRP).

5.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project will include an HVDC transmission line and related facilities that will provide 3,000 megawatts of transfer capacity at 525 kilovolts (kV) between the eastern and western grids. Specifically, the Project will connect the Midcontinent Independent System Operator and Southwest Power Pool on the eastern grid with the Western Electricity Coordinating Council electrical power markets on the western grid.

As listed below and shown on Figure 1.0-1 above, the Project will involve constructing new and modifying existing electric transmission facilities in Montana and North Dakota. The Project will consist of four transmission line segments and associated aboveground facilities, as follows:

- Modifications at the existing Colstrip Substation in Rosebud, which is owned and operated by NorthWestern Energy, will involve an approximately 13-acre expansion of the existing site to accommodate the Project. The existing Colstrip Substation will be modified to interconnect the Rosebud Transmission Line by installing equipment within and adjacent to the substation. NorthWestern Energy will expand the existing footprint of the Colstrip Substation by approximately 4 acres to the northwest and approximately 9 acres to the south and east to accommodate the interconnection. This will be the point of interconnection to the Western Electricity Coordinating Council power system for the western grid.
- A new 500-kV extra high voltage (EHV) alternating current (AC) Rosebud Transmission Line in Rosebud County, Montana (Rosebud Transmission Line). The new line will consist of two separate, parallel circuits, each approximately 3 miles long. The line will extend east from the existing Colstrip Substation to the new Rosebud County Switchyard associated with the new Rosebud County Converter Station.
- One new AC/ direct current (DC) converter station in Rosebud County, Montana (Rosebud County Converter Station). The converter station will connect the eastern terminus of the Rosebud Transmission Line to the western terminus of the new 525-kV HVDC Transmission Line. Additionally, two 0.3- and 0.4-mile single-circuit lines connect the switchyard to the converter station; these lines and the switchyard are collectively part of the Rosebud County Converter Station.
- A 342-mile HVDC Transmission Line rated up to 525 kV extending from Montana into North Dakota, with an associated right-of-way approximately 200 feet wide, narrowing to 150 feet in select areas.
 - o Montana: North Plains will install approximately 174 miles of the HVDC Transmission Line in Rosebud, Custer, and Fallon counties. The line will

extend east from the new AC/DC Rosebud County Converter Station in Rosebud County near Colstrip, Montana to the Montana-North Dakota state line in Fallon County.

- o North Dakota: North Plains will install approximately 168 miles of the HVDC Transmission Line in Golden Valley, Slope, Hettinger, Grant, and Morton counties. The line will extend east from the Montana-North Dakota border in Golden Valley County to the new AC/DC Morton County Converter Station in Morton County, North Dakota.
- A new AC/DC converter station in Morton County, North Dakota (Morton County Converter Station). The converter station will connect the eastern terminus of the HVDC Transmission Line to the western terminus of two new 345-kV EHV AC electric transmission line segments.
- Approximately 22 miles of new 345-kV EHV AC Morton Transmission Line in Morton County, North Dakota, with an associated right-of-way generally 200 feet wide, expanding up to 320 feet where co-located with the Oliver Transmission Line. The line will extend east and southeast from the new Morton County Converter Station to the new Morton County Switchyard. The Morton County Switchyard will serve as the interconnection point to the Southwest Power Pool system for the eastern grid. The Morton County Switchyard will be constructed and operated by the utility owner (Basin Electric Power Cooperative).
- Approximately 52 miles of new 345-kV EHV AC Oliver Transmission Line located in Morton and Oliver counties, North Dakota, with an associated right-of-way about 125 to 320 feet wide. The line will extend east and north from the Morton County Converter Station in Morton County to the planned East Oliver Substation in Oliver County, North Dakota.

The East Oliver Substation is under development by Minnesota Power as part of a separate, independent project. The East Oliver Substation will serve as the interconnection point to the Midcontinent Independent System Operator for the eastern grid.

The Project includes a right-of-way centered on each transmission line. The Project rights-of-way are typically 200 feet wide but may range from 125 to 320 feet to accommodate line neckdowns and co-location. North Plains will not use the entire right-of-way to construct the transmission lines. Instead, North Plains will perform construction activities within specific Project workspaces. Some portions of the right-of-way cross steep topography that prevents safe travel down the right-of-way. Other areas of the right-of-way cross culturally or environmentally sensitive resources. North Plains has designed the Project workspace to avoid these areas.

The Project workspace includes:

- permanent structure foundations and associated temporary structure pad workspace, located entirely within the Project right-of-way;
- permanent fiber repeater stations and temporary workspaces for fiber/line splicing sites;
- temporary workspaces for guard structures;

- access roads both within and outside of the rights-of-way, including new temporary and permanent access roads, existing access roads with and without improvements, and temporary overland travel paths;
- temporary workspace for pulling and tensioning sites extending outside of the right-of-way; and
- temporary workspaces and permanent facility locations for the Rosebud County Converter Station, Rosebud County Switchyard, Morton County Converter Station, and Morton County Switchyard.

Additionally, North Plains will clear trees and tall shrubs within the right-of-way during Project construction. Project operational activities will include routine inspections and periodic vegetation maintenance, such as the removal of tall woody vegetation that could compromise the integrity of the transmission lines. Forested vegetation within the right-of-way will be permanently converted to lower-growing vegetation. As noted earlier, measures specific to the operations and maintenance phase, including vegetation maintenance in the right-of-way, will be addressed in the APP.

The Project workspace and rights-of-way are collectively referred to as the limits-of-disturbance (LOD) in this document.

5.1 HABITAT DESCRIPTION

The Project is located within the Northwestern Great Plains Level III Ecoregion, which encompasses portions of eastern Montana, western North Dakota and South Dakota, northeastern Wyoming, and northern Nebraska (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [USEPA], 2013). The ecoregion is semiarid and characterized by rolling plains, sporadic buttes, and badlands. Much of the region was originally dominated by native grasslands, which are now fragmented but persist in rangeland patches. Agricultural uses, including rangeland and crop production, are prevalent.

The Project is located within six level IV ecoregions: the Montana Central Grasslands, River Breaks, Pine Scoria Hills, Missouri Plateau, Sagebrush Steppe, and Little Missouri Badlands, as described below (USEPA, 2013).


- The **Montana Central Grasslands** is fairly flat and contains numerous streams. Historic vegetation included short grasses, though much of the ecoregion is now used for pastureland (USEPA, 2013).
- The **River Breaks** is one of the more rugged level IV ecoregions in the area, has limited suitability for grazing cattle, and is generally uncultivated. Common plant species include threadleaf sedge (*Carex filifolia*), needle-and-thread (*Hesperostipa comata*), Rocky Mountain juniper (*Juniperus scopulorum*), little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), and buffalograss (*Bouteloua dactyloides*; USEPA, 2013).
- The **Pine Scoria Hills**, like the River Breaks, are poor for cattle and agriculture. Forests of Rocky Mountain juniper and ponderosa pines (*Pinus ponderosa*) grow at higher elevations. A mixture of junipers and grasses can be found at lower elevations, (USEPA, 2013).


- The **Missouri Plateau** is a fairly open area with shortgrass prairie. Scattered throughout the ecoregion is wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), and pastureland (USEPA, 2013).
- The **Sagebrush Steppe** is a dry ecoregion made up of shortgrass prairie and sagebrush (*Artemisia* spp.) with the primary disturbance being from livestock grazing (USEPA, 2013).
- The **Little Missouri Badlands** is within the watershed of the Little Missouri River. Vegetation includes shortgrass prairie, juniper, and deciduous forests made up of cottonwood (*Populus* spp.) and green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), which grow along streams. The land is typically used for pastureland and recreation (USEPA, 2013).

Potential bird habitat was assessed using data on vegetation and land cover from the Montana Landcover Framework (MLCF) (Montana Natural Heritage Program [MTNHP], 2020) and National Land Cover Database (NLCD) data in North Dakota (NLCD, 2024). Table 5.1-1 summarizes the acres of each land cover type located within the Project LOD, as shown in Figures 5.1-1 (Montana) and 5.1-2 (North Dakota). The land cover categories from the MLCF data were merged with NLCD categories for consistency.

According to the land cover datasets, most of the land in the Project LOD is herbaceous grassland, followed by forest, agriculture, and shrubland (see Table 5.1-1). The remaining land cover types provide less than two percent of the land cover within the LOD.

TABLE 5.1-1				
Land Cover Types within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance				
Land Cover or Vegetation Community Type	Project Workspace (acres) ^a	Right-of-Way (acres)	Project Limits-of-Disturbance Total (acres) ^b	Project Limits-of-Disturbance Total (percentage)
Herbaceous ^c	2,662.1	4,069.7	6,731.8	57.0
Agriculture ^d	1,111.4	1,535.9	2,647.3	22.4
Shrubland	634.6	872.3	1,506.9	12.8
Forest ^e	188.0	294.8	482.8	4.1
Other ^f	92.6	170.8	263.4	2.2
Sparse and Barren ^g	69.8	103.4	173.2	1.5
Open Water	0.3	8.2	8.5	0.1
Total^h	4,758.8	7,055.2	11,813.9	100
^a Existing access roads with no planned improvements are only included in the provided acreages where this road type overlaps forested habitat in the right-of-way. ^b Combined Project workspace and right-of-way, with overlap removed. ^c Includes herbaceous land covers, hay/pasture, and emergent herbaceous wetlands. ^d Includes cultivated crops. ^e Includes forested land covers and conservatively includes other land cover types with potential tree components. ^f Includes developed areas and mining and resource extraction areas. ^g Less than 15 percent vegetative cover. ^h Totals may not add up due to rounding. Note: Values may not add up to totals shown due to rounding. Existing access roads with no planned improvements for Project use have been omitted from the provided acreages. Sources: Montana Natural Heritage Program, 2020; National Land Cover Database, 2024.				





5.2 PUBLIC LANDS

The Project workspace contains lands owned and managed by three federal agencies. In Montana, the Project crosses approximately 10 miles of BLM-managed land and approximately 8 miles of USDA Agricultural Research Service-managed land within Fort Keogh. In North Dakota, the Project crosses approximately 10 miles of USFS-managed land within the Little Missouri National Grassland.

The BLM provides protection to SSS species on BLM-managed lands. BLM's broad SSS designation is intended to encompass species already protected under the ESA, as well as locally or regionally rare species and species not currently protected under the ESA that may warrant special management consideration on BLM-managed lands. All BLM SSS receive protection within BLM-managed lands to promote species conservation and reduce the likelihood and need for future listing under the ESA (BLM, 2008). The avoidance and minimization measures within this Plan will also help the Project meet the requirements of the BLM Miles City Field Office's Approved Resource Management Plan (ARMP) (BLM, 2015).


The USFS maintains a list of RFSS to track and identify species facing population viability concerns within USFS-managed lands (USFS, 2024b). Like the BLM SSS, RFSS receive protection and management attention on USFS-managed lands (USFS, 2011). The Little Missouri National Grassland is included in the Land and Resource Management Plan for the Dakota Prairie Grasslands (LRMP) (USFS, 2001). Further information on Project compliance with the LRMP is detailed in the Project's Biological Evaluation.

Protected wildlife areas are designated or directly managed by federal and state agencies with the specific goal of creating or protecting wildlife habitat or vegetation. They include, but are not limited to, nature preserves, wildlife refuges, and wildlife management areas (WMAs).

White Lake National Wildlife Refuge (White Lake NWR) is located near the Project in Slope County. White Lake NWR is managed by the USFWS and is one of over 565 refuges and 38 wetland management districts in the National Wildlife Refuge System. The refuge provides habitat for many wildlife species, with high concentrations of waterfowl and shorebirds during spring and fall migration. White Lake NWR is located approximately 1 mile from the centerline of the HVDC Transmission Line.

There are no WMAs located within the Project LOD, but two state WMAs – Wilbur Boldt and Otter Creek – are located within 0.25-mile of the Project LOD in North Dakota. The Wilbur Boldt WMA encompasses an approximately 161-acre area within Oliver County, North Dakota. The WMA is located west of and adjacent to the Project terminus at the East Oliver Substation. The Otter Creek WMA encompasses an approximately 319-acre area within Grant County, North Dakota and is located approximately 0.2 mile north of the Project LOD (see Figure 5.2-1). These WMAs are managed by the North Dakota Game and Fish Department (NDGFD) primarily for public use, including recreational activities such as hunting, fishing, and trapping (NDGFD, 2023).

There are no state parks in Montana or North Dakota near the Project LOD. However, the Project crosses approximately 17 miles of Montana State Trust Lands and approximately 5 miles of North Dakota State Trust Lands. Public lands near the Project are shown in Figure 5.2-1.



6.0 MIGRATORY BIRDS POTENTIALLY OCCURRING ALONG THE PROJECT

A variety of migratory bird species could be present seasonally in available habitats along the Project. In North America, there are four flyways, representing generalized pathways birds use for migration that regulatory authorities use for the management of migratory bird populations (USFWS, 2025b). The Project is located in the middle of the Central Flyway, which includes the Northwest Territories, Saskatchewan, and most of Alberta in Canada; and Montana, North Dakota, Wyoming, South Dakota, Nebraska, Colorado, Kansas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas in the United States. The Central Flyway is important for a variety of migratory bird species, especially waterfowl, cranes (i.e., the sandhill crane [*Antigone canadensis*] and whooping crane), raptors, shorebirds, and passerines (Fritts, 2022).

When assessing a project's potential effects on bird species protected under the MBTA, conservation efforts are often guided by the USFWS Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) list specific to the Bird Conservation Region (BCR) where the project is located. BCC are “migratory nongame birds that without additional conservation action are likely to become candidates for listing under the Endangered Species Act” (USFWS, 2021a). A BCR is an area with distinct habitats and species composition that distinguishes it from other nearby areas (U.S. North American Bird Conservation Initiative, 2021). There are 37 BCRs in North America. The Project is in BCR 17 – Badlands and Prairies, which is located in Montana, North Dakota, Wyoming, and South Dakota. A discussion on BCC species relevant to the Project is in Section 6.1.

Migratory birds with additional protections include species listed under the ESA and species designated by the BLM or USFS as sensitive species within their managed lands, including RFSS birds on USFS-managed lands and BLM SSS birds on BLM-managed lands.

States also designate species that are a high priority for local conservation efforts through their Species of Concern (SOC) list or State Wildlife Action Plan (SWAP). Montana includes state listings for SOC in the Montana SWAP (Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks [MFWP], 2015) and North Dakota includes Species of Conservation Priority (SCP) in the North Dakota SWAP (Dyke et al., 2015). A SOC or SCP designation does not provide regulatory protections for the listed species in either state. Species listed under the SWAP for North Dakota or SOC list for Montana and which are also BCCs are noted in Tables 6.2.1-1 and 6.2.1-2 (Dyke et al., 2015, MTNHP, 2024).

6.1 PROJECT SURVEYS

North Plains conducted aerial surveys to identify raptor nests, including bald and golden eagle nests, within 1 to 2 miles of the Project centerline in 2022 and 2023. Surveys in 2022 identified potential raptor nests for a broad range of species and colonial nesting waterbird rookeries, while surveys in 2023 focused on eagle nests, select diurnal sensitive raptor species nests,² and colonial nesting waterbird rookeries.³

In addition, North Plains conducted nest clearance surveys in select areas in 2025. Surveys primarily focused on locating nests of ground-nesting and burrowing species to avoid impacts during pre-construction geotechnical survey activities conducted for the Project during the

² Diurnal raptor BCC species included in the IPaC for the Project or considered SSS or RFSS on BLM- and USFS-managed lands, respectively, were targeted during Project surveys. These included: ferruginous hawk, prairie falcon, and peregrine falcon.

³ Colonial nesting waterbird surveys were recommended during pre-survey coordination with state agencies.

breeding season. Results from these survey efforts are incorporated in Sections 6.2 through 6.5, where relevant.

6.2 SPECIES

6.2.1 Birds of Conservation Concern

An IPaC review was conducted to identify BCC species with the potential to occur within a 1-mile buffer of the Project LOD (USFWS, 2025a). IPaC provides guidance on which of the BCR 17 species are likely to occur within a given area, as well as the status of the species as breeding season, winter, or year-round residents. The BCC list for BCR 17 includes 26 species (USFWS, 2021a); based on IPaC, 23 of the BCC birds have the potential to occur at the Project (Appendix A; Tables 6.2.1-1 and 6.2.1-2).

To better understand which species are likely to be relevant to the Project, occurrences of these species within the Project LOD were compiled from the following desktop sources to supplement Project nest surveys: USFS (burrowing owl), MTNHP Natural Heritage Inventory (species occurrences and eagle nests), eBird⁴ (bird observations), and NDGFD (eagle nests).

Nests belonging to two BCC species (ferruginous hawk [*Buteo regalis*] and prairie falcon [*Falco mexicanus*]) were recorded during the Project raptor nest surveys (see Section 6.1 and 6.3.2 for details). However, these nests are located outside of the LOD. Additionally, several singing male BCC were documented during nest clearance surveys in 2025. One potential Sprague's pipit (*Anthus spragueii*) nest was also recorded during these surveys, near a singing male in Fallon County, Montana. Willet (*Tringa semipalmata*) and red-headed woodpecker (*Melanerpes erythrocephalus*) were observed outside the LOD within 1 mile of the Project centerline during these surveys. Overall, 11 BCC species are known to occur within the Project LOD, many of which are ground-nesting passerines (see Table 6.2.1-1).

The 11 species with survey or desktop occurrences within the Project LOD are included in Table 6.2.1-1. However, since occurrence data for some BCC species is sparse within the Project LOD, the expected geographic range was also evaluated for each species by consulting several additional data sources (NDGFD, 2019; Cornell Lab of Ornithology, 2024; MFWP, 2024; USFWS, 2025a). Twelve species with no documented occurrences in the Project LOD, but with the potential to occur along the Project are included in Table 6.2.1-2. Appendix A provides further information on the geographic range (by county) and nesting season for each BCC species.

⁴ eBird is a publicly accessible database of bird observations managed by the Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Submissions are checked by regional experts to maintain the quality of available data (eBird, 2025).

TABLE 6.2.1-1

List of Birds of Conservation Concern with Documented Occurrences Along the North Plains Connector Project ^a

Species	Project is within Breeding Range	Federal Status	Montana Status ^b	North Dakota Status ^c	Range (States)
Baird's Sparrow (<i>Centronyx bairdii</i>) ^{d, e}	Yes	–	SOC	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Bobolink (<i>Dolichonyx oryzivorus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP Level II	MT; ND
Chestnut-collared longspur (<i>Calcarius ornatus</i>) ^d	Yes	–	SOC	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Ferruginous hawk (<i>Buteo regalis</i>) ^d	Yes	–	SOC	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Franklin's gull (<i>Leucophaeus pipixcan</i>) ^d	Yes	–	SOC	–	MT; ND
Grasshopper sparrow (<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i>)	Yes	–	–	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Lark bunting (<i>Calamospiza melanocorys</i>)	Yes	–	–	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Marbled godwit (<i>Limosa fedoa</i>)	Yes	–	–	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Northern harrier (<i>Circus hudsonius</i>)	Yes	–	–	SCP Level II	MT; ND
Sprague's pipit (<i>Anthus spragueii</i>) ^{d, e}	Yes	–	SOC	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Thick-billed longspur (<i>Rhynchophanes mccownii</i>)	Yes	--	SOC	SCP Level III	MT; ND
^a Table covers the Project limits-of-disturbance, including the Project workspace and right-of-way. Occurrence information is based on publicly available data, natural heritage inventory data, and/or survey data. ^b SOC = Species of Concern ^c SCP = Species of Conservation Priority. SCP designated in North Dakota's State Wildlife Action Plan are assigned a level from I to III, with I being the highest priority. ^d Indicates wildlife species is a Special-status Species on Bureau of Land Management- (BLM-) managed lands. ^e Indicates wildlife species is a Regional Forester's Sensitive Species on U.S. Forest Service- (USFS-) managed lands. Note: MT = Montana; ND = North Dakota. Sources: North Dakota Game and Fish Department, 2019; BLM, 2020; USFS, 2023a, 2023b, 2024a; Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, 2024; eBird, 2025; Montana Natural Heritage Program, 2025; North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department, 2025; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2025a.					

TABLE 6.2.1-2

List of Birds of Conservation Concern with Potential to Occur Along the North Plains Connector Project

Species	Project is within Breeding Range	Federal Status ^a	Montana Status ^b	North Dakota Status ^c	Range (States)
Black tern (<i>Chlidonias niger</i>) ^d	Yes	–	SOC	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Black-billed cuckoo (<i>Coccyzus erythrophthalmus</i>) ^d	Yes	–	SOC	SCP Level I	MT; ND
California gull (<i>Larus californicus</i>)	Yes	–	–	–	MT; ND
Lewis's Woodpecker (<i>Melanerpes lewis</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	–	MT
Lesser Yellowlegs (<i>Tringa flavipes</i>)	No	–	–	–	MT; ND
Long-eared owl (<i>Stigidae asio</i>)	Yes	–	–	–	MT; ND
Mountain plover (<i>Anarhynchus montanus</i>) ^d	Yes	–	SOC	–	MT
Pinyon jay (<i>Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus</i>) ^d	Yes	UR	SOC	–	MT
Prairie falcon (<i>Falco mexicanus</i>) ^e	Yes	–	–	SCP Level II	MT; ND
Red-headed woodpecker (<i>Melanerpes erythrocephalus</i>) ^d	Yes	–	SOC	SCP Level I	MT; ND
Western grebe (<i>Aechmophorus occidentalis</i>)	Yes	–	–	–	MT; ND
Willet (<i>Tringa semipalmata</i>)	Yes	–	–	SCP Level II	MT; ND
^a UR = under review for federal listing					
^b SOC = Species of Concern					
^c SCP = Species of Conservation Priority (Level I – III)					
^d Wildlife species is a Special-status Species on Bureau of Land Management- (BLM-) managed land.					
^e Prairie falcon nests were recorded during the Project's raptor nest surveys. However, they occur outside of the Project's limits-of-disturbance.					
Note: MT = Montana; ND = North Dakota.					
Sources: North Dakota Game and Fish Department, 2019; BLM, 2020; U.S. Forest Service, 2023a, 2023b, 2024a; Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, 2024; eBird, 2025; Montana Natural Heritage Program, 2025; North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department, 2025; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2025a.					

6.2.2 Threatened and Endangered Species

Based on agency coordination and a review of IPaC (USFWS, 2025a), four migratory bird species with an ESA designation have potential to occur in the Project LOD (see Table 6.2.2-1). Potential impacts and conservation measures for these species are discussed in more detail in the Project's BA.

TABLE 6.2.2-1				
Bird Species with an ESA Designation and Potential to Occur within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance				
Species	Project is within Breeding Range	Federal Status ^a	Range (States)	Documented to Occur in the Project LOD
Pinyon Jay (<i>Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus</i>)	Yes	UR	MT	No
Piping Plover (<i>Charadrius melodus</i>)	No	LT	MT; ND	No
Rufa Red Knot (<i>Calidris canutus rufa</i>)	No	LT	MT; ND	No
Whooping Crane (<i>Grus americana</i>)	No	LE	MT; ND	No
^a Listing under Endangered Species Act of 1973; LE = Endangered; LT = Threatened; UR = under review for federal listing. Note: LOD = limits-of-disturbance; MT = Montana; ND = North Dakota. Sources: North Dakota Game and Fish Department, 2019; U.S. Forest Service, 2023b, 2024a; Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, 2024; eBird, 2025; Montana Natural Heritage Program, 2025; North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department, 2025; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2025a.				

6.2.3 U.S. Forest Service Regional Forester's Sensitive Species

The USFS maintains a list of RFSS species that receive special management considerations on USFS-managed lands, including the Little Missouri National Grassland in western North Dakota. RFSS are defined as "those plant and animal species identified by a Regional Forester for which population viability is a concern, as evidenced by: (a) significant current or predicted downward trends in population numbers or density, or (b) significant current or predicted downward trends in habitat capability that would reduce a species' existing distribution (Forest Service Manual 2670.5(19), [2005]).

Within the Little Missouri National Grassland, there are six bird species designated as RFSS (see Table 6.2.3-1). None of the six RFSS bird species have been documented on USFS-managed land within the Project LOD.

TABLE 6.2.3-1						
U.S. Forest Service (USFS) Regional Forester's Sensitive Bird Species with Potential to Occur in the Project Limits-of-Disturbance on USFS-managed Lands						
Species	Project is within Breeding Range	Federal Status	Montana Status ^a	North Dakota Status ^b	Range (States)	Documented to Occur in the Project LOD on USFS-managed Lands
Baird's Sparrow (<i>Centronyx bairdii</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No ^c
Burrowing Owl (<i>Athene cunicularia</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level II	MT; ND	No ^c
Greater Sage-grouse (<i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No ^c
Interior Least Tern (<i>Sternula antillarum athalassos</i>)	No	–	SOC	SCP: Level II	MT; ND	No
Long-billed Curlew (<i>Numenius americanus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No
Sprague's Pipit (<i>Anthus spragueii</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No
^a SOC = Species of Concern ^b SCP = Species of Conservation Priority (Level I– III) ^c Documented to occur within the Project LOD on non-USFS-managed lands. Notes: LOD = limits-of-disturbance; MT = Montana; ND = North Dakota. Sources: North Dakota Game and Fish Department, 2019; USFS, 2023a, 2023b, 2024a; Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, 2024; eBird, 2025; North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department, 2025.						

6.2.4 Bureau of Land Management Special Status Species

Like the USFS, BLM maintains a list of SSS that receive special protections on BLM-managed lands. The SSS list includes ESA listed, proposed, and candidate species (see Section 6.2.2) and BLM-designated Sensitive Species. BLM Sensitive Species are species that require special management to avoid further listing under the ESA.

There are a total of 28 SSS birds, including the 4 ESA species listed in Section 6.2.2 and 24 BLM Sensitive Species (see Table 6.2.4-1). Five BLM-designated sensitive bird species are known to occur on BLM-managed land within the Project LOD.

TABLE 6.2.4-1						
Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Special Status Bird Species with Potential to Occur Within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance on BLM-managed Lands						
Species ^a	Project is within Breeding Range	Federal Status	Montana Status ^b	North Dakota Status ^c	Range (States)	Documented to Occur in the Project LOD on BLM-managed Land
American Bittern (<i>Botaurus lentiginosus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No ^d
Baird's Sparrow (<i>Centronyx bairdii</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	Yes
Bald Eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>)	Yes	–	–	SCP: Level II	MT; ND	No ^d
Black-backed Woodpecker (<i>Picoides arcticus</i>)	No	–	SOC	–	MT	No
Black-billed Cuckoo (<i>Coccyzus erythrophthalmus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No
Black Tern (<i>Chlidonias niger</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No
Brewer's Sparrow (<i>Spizella breweri</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level III	MT; ND	No ^d
Burrowing Owl (<i>Athene cunicularia</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level II	MT; ND	Yes
Caspian Tern (<i>Hydroprogne caspia</i>)	No	–	SOC	–	MT; ND	No
Chestnut-collared Longspur (<i>Calcarius ornatus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No ^d
Common Tern (<i>Sterna hirundo</i>)	No	–	SOC	–	MT; ND	No
Ferruginous Hawk (<i>Buteo regalis</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	Yes
Franklin's Gull (<i>Leucophaeus pipixcan</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No ^d
Golden Eagle (<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level II	MT; ND	No
Greater Sage-grouse (<i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	Yes
Loggerhead Shrike (<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level II	MT; ND	Yes
Long-billed Curlew (<i>Numenius americanus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No
Mountain Plover (<i>Charadrius montanus</i>)	Yes ^e	–	SOC	–	MT	No
Peregrine Falcon (<i>Falco peregrinus</i>)	No	–	–	SCP: Level III	MT; ND	No
Red-headed Woodpecker (<i>Melanerpes erythrocephalus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No
Sage Thrasher (<i>Oreoscoptes montanus</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	–	MT	No
Sprague's Pipit (<i>Anthus spragueii</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	SCP: Level I	MT; ND	No


TABLE 6.2.4-1						
Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Special Status Bird Species with Potential to Occur Within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance on BLM-managed Lands						
Species ^a	Project is within Breeding Range	Federal Status	Montana Status ^b	North Dakota Status ^c	Range (States)	Documented to Occur in the Project LOD on BLM-managed Land
Veery (<i>Catharus fuscescens</i>)	Yes ^e	–	SOC	–	MT; ND	No
White-faced Ibis (<i>Plegadis chihi</i>)	Yes	–	SOC	–	MT; ND	No
^a BLM Special Status Species include BLM designated Sensitive Species and Endangered Species Act of 1973- (ESA-) listed, proposed, and candidate species. This table includes only BLM Sensitive Species; see Table 6.2.2-1 for ESA-related species. ^b SOC = Species of Concern ^c SCP = Species of Conservation Priority (Level I– III) ^d Documented to occur within the LOD on non-BLM-managed lands. ^e Project LOD within or near the edge of the species' known breeding range. Sources: LOD = limits-of-disturbance; MT = Montana; ND = North Dakota. Sources: North Dakota Game and Fish Department, 2019; BLM, 2020; U.S. Forest Service, 2023a; Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, 2024; eBird, 2025; Montana Natural Heritage Program, 2025.						

6.3 NESTS

6.3.1 Colonial Nesting Waterbirds and Waterbird Concentration Areas

Colonial nesting waterbird rookeries were surveyed concurrently with aerial raptor nest surveys in 2022 and 2023 within a 1-mile-buffer on either side of the proposed Project centerline at the time of survey. In addition, the MTNHP provided information on historical rookeries in 2021 and 2022, prior to Project surveys, and locations within the survey extent were visited to document rookery presence and occupancy status. During the Project surveys, two occupied active great blue heron (*Ardea herodias*) rookeries were observed within 1 mile of the Project workspace, including one in Custer County, Montana containing 4 nests and one in Grant County, North Dakota containing approximately 20 nests (see Figure 6.3-1).

Six migratory waterbird concentration areas containing unidentified waterfowl and waterbirds were incidentally observed during aerial surveys within 1 mile of the Project workspace, including five in Montana and one in North Dakota. In addition, during the raptor nest surveys, Canada geese (*Branta canadensis*) were observed occupying eight stick nests originally constructed by raptors within 1 mile of the Project workspace. None of the waterbird concentration areas or occupied waterfowl nests are located on BLM-managed lands, so they are not subject to the BLM-specific disturbance buffers (see Table 8.3-1). Results from the surveys provide information about potential stopover habitat for migrating waterbirds, although the surveys occurred late in the spring migration season (May) and likely did not capture all species that migrate through or breed in the area.



6.3.2 Non-eagle Raptor Nests


Project raptor nest surveys in 2022 and 2023 occurred within a 1-mile-buffer on either side of the proposed Project centerline at the time of survey. In areas where USFWS modeling (USFWS, 2019) indicated contiguous areas of moderate-high to very high predicted golden eagle nest site density, the survey buffer was increased to 2 miles. Surveyors documented 107 occupied non-eagle raptor nests within 1 mile of the Project workspace, including those not identified to species (see Table 6.3.2-1 and Figures 6.3-2 and 6.3-3). There are four nests where conservation measures may apply (see labeled nests in Figures 6.3-2 and 6.3-3; Appendix B).


Seven species were identified occupying nests, the most common of which was the red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), followed by the great horned owl (*Bubo virginianus*). Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), Cooper's hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*), prairie falcon, ferruginous hawk, and sharp-shinned hawk (*A. striatus*) nests were found in smaller numbers. The species observed within a raptor nest can vary from year to year.


Burrowing owls (*Athene cunicularia*) occur along the Project. Known nesting occurrences for this species were obtained from MTNHP and the USFS (see Figures 6.3-4 and 6.3-5). Additionally, several burrows were documented during nest clearance surveys in 2025, although nesting was not confirmed during these surveys.


Details about individual non-eagle raptor nests near enough to the Project to warrant potential conservation measures are included in Appendix B.

TABLE 6.3.2-1			
Occupied Non-eagle Raptor Nests Observed During Project Surveys in 2022 and 2023 Along the North Plains Connector Project ^a			
Species	Number of Occupied Nests Observed in Montana	Number of Occupied Nests Observed in North Dakota	Total Number of Occupied Nests Observed
Red-tailed hawk (<i>Buteo virginianus</i>)	16	46	62
Great horned owl (<i>Bubo virginianus</i>)	4	18	22
Swainson's Hawk (<i>Buteo swainsoni</i>)	2	6	8
Cooper's hawk (<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>)	1	2	3
Prairie Falcon (<i>Falco mexicanus</i>) ^b	1	1	2
Ferruginous hawk (<i>Buteo regalis</i>) ^{b, c}	0	2	2
Sharp-shinned Hawk (<i>Accipiter striatus</i>)	1	0	1
Unidentified raptor species	1	6	7
Total	26	81	107
^a Table includes occupied potential non-eagle raptor nests within 1 mile of the proposed workspace. ^b Bird of Conservation Concern. ^c One of the ferruginous hawk nests was consistent in size and structure with an eagle nest and is also included in Table 6.3.3-1. Notes: The survey areas in 2022 and 2023 consisted of a 1-mile buffer around the proposed centerline at the time of the survey, with a 2-mile buffer in areas with moderate-high to very high predicted golden eagle nest site density (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2019). Sources: Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc., 2023a, 2023b.			











6.3.3 Bald and Golden Eagle Nests

Bald and golden eagles are protected by the MBTA and BGEPA, in addition to being BLM-designated Sensitive Species. Eagle nest surveys occurred concurrently with raptor nest surveys in 2022 and 2023 along a 1-mile buffer of the proposed Project route at the time of survey. An expanded 2-mile buffer was used in areas with higher predicted golden eagle nest density (USFWS, 2019). During the raptor nest surveys, nests consistent in the size and structure of eagle nests were categorized as potential eagle nests. One occupied bald eagle nest was documented within 1 mile of the Project workspace; additionally, 24 occupied golden eagle or potential eagle nests were recorded within 2 miles of the Project workspace during surveys (see Table 6.3.3-1 and Figures 6.3-6 and 6.3-7). Known eagle nest locations were also requested from state agencies and incorporated in the Project survey effort.

Details about individual eagle nests and potential eagle nests are described in Appendix C and the Project's EMP.

TABLE 6.3.3-1 Occupied Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Observed During Project Surveys in 2022 and 2023 Along the North Plains Connector ^a			
Species	Number of Occupied Nests Observed in Montana	Number of Occupied Nests Observed in North Dakota	Total Number of Occupied Nests Observed
Bald eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>)	1	0	1
Golden eagle (<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>)	13	2	15
Ferruginous hawk (<i>Buteo regalis</i>) ^{b,c}	0	1	1
Red-tailed hawk (<i>Buteo jamaicensis</i>) ^b	1	0	1
Unidentified raptor species	5	2	7
Total	20	5	25
^a Table includes occupied bald eagle nests within 1 mile of the Project workspace, occupied golden eagle nests within 2 miles of the Project workspace, and occupied potential eagle nests within 2 miles of the Project workspace. "Occupied" includes nest with an occupied active or occupied inactive nest status as of the most recent Project survey at the nest. ^b Though nests were occupied by a non-eagle species during Project surveys, nests were consistent in size and structure with an eagle nest, and are therefore included if within 2 miles of the Project's workspace. ^c This ferruginous hawk nest is also included in Table 6.3.2-1. Notes: The survey areas in 2022 and 2023 consisted of a 1-mile buffer around the proposed centerline at the time of the survey, with a 2-mile buffer in areas with moderate-high to very high predicted golden eagle nest site density (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2019). Sources: Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc., 2023a, 2023b.			





7.0 POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON MIGRATORY BIRDS

7.1 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

Project activities during construction and reclamation phases could result in impacts to migratory birds. Potential impacts such as migratory bird nest disturbance, nest destruction, and bird injury or fatality are described below. The risk of migratory bird take due to construction and reclamation activities would primarily occur during the breeding season, except where noted.

7.1.1 Physical Harm

Construction of the Project involves the use of heavy machinery and vehicles to fell trees, clear vegetation, excavation, transport equipment and supplies, and install transmission line structures and other facilities. These activities could physically harm or kill migratory birds, particularly recently fledged young, eggs, or nestlings that cannot readily leave the nest. Burrowing owls would be at high risk whenever present because of their tendency to use burrows during the day, when they might be collapsed by Project activities and heavy machinery.

Collision with migratory birds on Project access roads during construction is also possible, especially if bird attractants (e.g., roadkill for raptors) occur near roadways.

Seasonal timing restrictions, nest buffers, and pre-construction nest clearance surveys during the migratory bird breeding season are planned to minimize this risk, along with measures to avoid impacts on active owl burrows (see Section 8.3). Thoughtful planning, siting, and design strategies have also been implemented to reduce impacts (see Sections 8.1 and 8.2).

7.1.2 Habitat Alteration and Degradation

The removal of vegetation and ground disturbance to prepare for Project construction can also impact migratory birds. Some habitat impacts are temporary and will be restored similar to pre-construction condition to avoid long term habitat loss, such as grasslands in temporary workspaces. In permanent workspaces, including permanent access roads, converter stations, and switchyards, vegetation will be removed and habitats permanently lost. Additionally, trees within the Project right-of-way will be cleared during construction and maintained during operations to prevent trees and tall shrubs from encroaching on the conductors; though these areas may be revegetated, they will be permanently converted to short shrubs and/or grassland. Noxious weeds introduced as a result of Project ground disturbance can also degrade bird habitat and available habitat for nests and foraging; thus, noxious weed management helps to preserve higher quality bird habitat.

Clearing and grading of workspaces near waterbodies could result in a temporary increase in sedimentation and turbidity within waterbodies in and adjacent to the Project workspace. These activities may cause temporary water quality reductions due to increased erosion, sedimentation, and turbidity, and could also result in an inadvertent release of chemical contaminants, such as fuel or lubricants (see Section 7.1.3).

Thoughtful planning, siting, and design strategies have also been implemented to reduce impacts related to habitat alteration (see Sections 8.1 and 8.2). Seasonal timing restrictions, nest buffers, and pre-construction nest surveys during the migratory bird breeding season are planned to further minimize these risks (see Section 8.3). Measures will also be implemented during the reclamation phase to minimize habitat loss and degradation (see Section 8.4).

7.1.3 Hazardous Materials and Spills

Small amounts of hazardous substances, primarily in the form of vehicle fuels and lubricants, will be present in equipment or storage containers at construction sites and material storage yards. Inadvertent spills or leaks of hazardous liquids during refueling, equipment operation or maintenance, or storage during Project construction have the potential to enter the water column and stream bed sediments and could cause illness or mortality in aquatic species and birds that prey upon them. These considerations are especially pertinent to waterfowl, waterbirds, and shorebirds, as well as songbirds that depend on riparian and wetland habitats. However, the limited volumes of hazardous substances present in any one location along the construction right-of-way would be unlikely to result in long-term effects, particularly in streams where spills would be diluted.

Spills and leaks of hazardous materials, including petroleum products, antifreeze, and herbicides, could also harm vegetation or wildlife, if present. Birds could be affected by equipment-related fluid spills through direct ingestion or contact with their pelage or plumage as well as inhalation. Contaminated water may be as a drinking source, or a place to nest or raise young. Over time, oil and other pollutants can collect in plants and animals (Nowak et al., 2019).

North Plains will implement multiple Project plans to address these concerns, as described in Sections 8.1 and 8.3.

7.1.4 Fire

The Project is located in a semiarid region prone to wildfires, especially during the drier summer months. The use of heavy machinery and vehicles during Project construction, as well as burning and blasting during construction, could increase the risk of unexpected fires at the Project. Wildfires pose an immediate danger to wildlife, and have the potential to destroy habitat and nests, injure or kill birds that cannot escape, and displace those that can.

North Plains will implement a Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan to address these concerns, as described in Sections 8.1 and 8.3.

7.1.5 Disturbance and Displacement

In areas adjacent to Project construction, noise and disturbance from human presence and construction activities such as blasting and the use of construction equipment, helicopters, and other vehicles during the breeding season could cause adult birds to abandon their nests and disrupt feeding and brooding behaviors, leading to the loss of young birds and eggs.

North Plains used available agency guidance and ongoing agency feedback to compile seasonal timing restrictions and avoidance buffers relevant to each state and to state and federal land-managing agencies. Tables 8.3-1 and 8.3-2 summarize these restrictions for non-eagle migratory birds and for eagles, respectively. Nest-specific avoidance and minimization measures pertaining to eagles are described in greater detail in the Project's EMP.

7.2 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE IMPACTS

As stated in Section 2.0, potential impacts during Project operations and maintenance are described in this Plan, only where relevant to Project planning, siting, and/or design. The measures discussed below will be implemented prior to Project operation to minimize impacts to

migratory birds from day one of commercial operation. North Plains will develop an APP to address additional measures specific to Project operations and maintenance (see Section 8.5).

7.2.1 Electrocutation

Power lines (conductors) and substations both have the potential to result in bird mortality through electrocution. Electrocution risk is related to both structural and biological variables (Avian Power Line Interaction Committee [APLIC], 2006). Electrocution from power lines occurs when a bird touches two energized conductors or an energized conductor and grounding wire simultaneously. However, the clearance distance between conductors and between conductors and grounding required by the National Electrical Safety Code for the size of transmission lines being used for the Project (i.e., greater than 230 kV) exceed the height and wingspans of large birds that occur in the Project region, including eagles. Therefore, the Project transmission lines will not present an electrocution risk to birds.

The Colstrip and East Oliver substations, however, do pose potential bird electrocution risks. Electrocutions can occur at substations via the same mechanism due to energized lines placed next to other structures and lines. Along with bird mortality, electrocutions can cause substation outages, loss of revenue, and damage to equipment. Bird electrocutions at substations can be frequent, affecting a variety of species including raptors (Dwyer, 2023). AltaLink, a transmission service provider in Alberta, Canada, has attributed approximately 20 percent of all substation outages to wildlife interactions with low voltage equipment, noting birds as a primary source (Heck and Sutherland, 2013). These substations will be owned and operated by third parties, and are beyond the purview of this Plan.

7.2.2 Perching and Nesting Opportunities

Predatory birds such as raptors and corvids (e.g., ravens and crows) often perch on and hunt from the highest available vantage point, and the construction of new transmission lines may provide increased perching opportunities. Raptor and corvid nest site availability can also increase with the construction of above ground power infrastructure (Steenhof et al., 1993). This can result in concerns regarding raptor safety (i.e. electrocutions, see Section 7.2.2) or a potential increase in predation on species of concern, such as greater sage-grouse.

Historically, APLIC recommended perch deterrents to minimize bird electrocutions and fecal contamination of insulators by discouraging perching in at-risk areas on power line structures. Currently, perch deterrents are primarily used as redundant measures in high-electrocution risk locations on a structure and/or as perch management tools to minimize line operational issues (e.g., fecal contamination of insulators and potential flashovers; APLIC, 2006).

Studies assessing the effectiveness of deterrents in minimizing corvid and raptor perching have shown limited success. Lammers and Collopy (2005) found that while perch deterrents decreased raptor perch duration when compared to other perching surfaces, they did not prevent perching on structures with deterrents. Similarly, Dwyer and Doloughan (2013) reported that perch deterrents may reduce the frequency or duration of raptor perching on a structure, but did not prevent perching entirely. No commercially available perch deterrent designs are able to fully prevent bird perching; and it is virtually impossible to prevent perching on steel lattice structures. Additionally, some raptors and corvids (e.g., red-tailed hawk, common raven) readily perch on power line conductors or shield wires where perch deterrents cannot be installed, particularly on projects with larger transmission voltages.

Studies have also found that perch deterrents may increase nesting potential and/or directly facilitate nesting, depending on the type and design of the perch deterrent used (Messmer et al., 2013; Liguori, 2013; APLIC 2006). One long-term risk assessment (Liguori, 2013) documented increased perching and electrocution risks at structures with perch deterrents. A high rate of mechanical failure was also noted for installed deterrents, further lessening their effectiveness (Liguori, 2012).

Due to the documented lack of effectiveness and potential negative impacts of perch deterrents, North Plains does not intend to use perch deterrents on the Project. Instead, monopole structure designs, which generally reduce perching and nest-building opportunities, will be utilized to the extent practicable (see Section 8.2).

7.2.3 Collision

Operational risk to migratory birds due to collision with power lines varies across the landscape and is generally based on the design and voltage of power lines in proximity to bird species and habitats present. Important site-specific factors in assessing collision risk across the landscape include adjacent habitats, power line configuration and orientation to bird use areas, topography, weather, bird morphology, flight characteristics, and level of human influences (Olendorff and Lehman, 1986; Bevanger and Brøseth, 2001; Harness et al., 2003; APLIC, 2012; Bernardino et al., 2018). Based on a number of historical studies and observations, biological variables that influence a bird species' susceptibility to line collision include bird vision, behavior, size, maneuverability, and flight characteristics such as altitude and speed. The altitude of migratory bird flights (several hundred to several thousand feet above the ground) typically exceeds the height of even the tallest transmission line structures in the United States (APLIC, 2012). As a result, the orientation of power lines relative to lower-altitude flight paths is more relevant when evaluating collision risk for migratory birds.

Lower-altitude flights are commonly associated with daily movement corridors where birds move between nesting, roosting, and foraging habitats. Where these corridors cross power lines, the risk of a potential line collision increases (APLIC, 2012). Line orientation and location in proximity to stopover habitats used by migratory birds (e.g., whooping cranes and sandhill cranes) may also increase avian collision risk or potential exposure (Bevanger, 1994; APLIC, 2012). Higher risk areas include habitats that attract waterfowl or water birds, such as wetlands, lakes, playads, rivers, and grain fields.

Other factors, such as inclement weather and low-light conditions that are common during migration, can increase collision risk (Willard, 1978; Faanes, 1987; Morkill and Anderson, 1991; Heck, 2007; APLIC, 2012). Additionally, human activities (i.e., hunting) near power lines may affect bird collision rates. Roosting or foraging birds may flush near human activities, causing them to collide with transmission lines (James and Haak, 1979; APLIC, 2012; Heck et al., 2016).

Based on these factors, bird species with large wingspans and slower maneuverability, such as cranes, herons, swans, pelicans, and geese, have been shown to be more susceptible to power line collisions. Other susceptible species are smaller, heavy-bodied birds that are fast fliers with short, wide wings, such as ducks, rails, coots, and grebes (APLIC, 2012). Few studies have documented eagle and other raptor collisions with overhead power lines. Raptors are adept flyers and collision incidents with overhead lines occur with much less frequency than collision incidents involving other bird species (Bevanger, 1994). However, they may be more susceptible to power line collision when preoccupied or distracted (Olendorff and Lehman, 1986; Harness et al., 2003). Research has suggested bald eagle collisions are more likely to occur where lines intersect with

commonly used movement corridors and where birds are flying at lower altitudes, such as near nest sites, winter concentration roosts, and along foraging areas (Olendorff and Lehman, 1986; Harness et al., 2003; Mojica et al., 2009, 2020).

The installation of bird flight diverters (BFDs) on transmission line overhead shield wires and/or optical power ground wires (OPGW)⁵ increases a power line's visibility within high-use corridors for low-altitude flights and is an accepted approach to minimize avian collision risks for power lines in the United States (APLIC, 2012). Determining where to install BFDs to reduce potential avian collision risk depends on a number of Project-specific factors. See Sections 8.2 and 8.3 for details on the line marking and BFD installation proposed for the Project.

8.0 AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

To comply with the MBTA, the Project will implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce the risk of nest destruction and bird mortality or injury resulting from the Project activities. Though not directly required for MBTA compliance, several commitments will also reduce general Project impacts on migratory bird habitats. Avoidance and minimization measures related to Project siting and design have already been implemented by the Project; additional measures will be implemented during Project construction and reclamation, as described below.

Specific measures intended to reduce Project impacts on federally listed species and RFSS species are discussed in greater detail in the Project BA and USFS BE, respectively. Conservation measures implemented to protect these species and/or their habitat may also reduce Project impacts on migratory birds. Although eagles are included in this Plan, further details related to BGEPA compliance, including nest-specific conservation measures, are detailed in the Project EMP.

North Plains will implement the measures described in this Plan to minimize or avoid impacts on birds protected under the MBTA.

8.1 PROJECT PLANNING

In addition to the APP and EMP already discussed in this document, North Plains has developed and will implement a variety of Project-specific plans to document commitments and agency guidance relevant to Project construction and reclamation.

The list below includes a subset of these plans relevant to migratory birds and their habitats:

- The **Blasting Plan** outlines the standard procedures that North Plains will implement during blasting, including safety precautions and notification procedures.
- The **Fire Prevention and Suppression Plan** describes the safety measures that North Plains will implement during construction activities to prevent fires, and the emergency procedures North Plains will implement in the event of a fire.
- The **CMRP** describes construction procedures and mitigation that North Plains will implement during construction to reduce potential impacts from Project activities.

⁵ North Plains is proposing to use OPGW for the shield wires on the Project, which combines the functions of shielding and communications.

The CMRP also describes the restoration and reclamation process that North Plains will implement when construction is complete.

- The **Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Mitigation Plan** demonstrates North Plains' compliance with Montana Executive Orders 12-2015 and 21-2015, and the Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Stewardship Act of 2015. The Plan summarizes the steps North Plains will take to avoid and minimize potential Project impacts on greater sage-grouse in Montana.
- The **Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan** describes procedures that will be implemented during Project construction for handling, storing, and disposing of all solid and hazardous materials that are used or generated as a result of the Project.
- The **Invasive and Noxious Species Management Plan** includes both the Montana Noxious Weeds and Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan and the North Dakota Noxious Weed Management Plan. These plans describe the applicable laws and management requirements specified by federal and state agencies, as well as measures North Plains will implement to prevent the spread of invasive and noxious species.
- The **Spill Prevention and Response Plan (SPRP)** describes the methods used to prevent, control, and respond to spills of hazardous substances that may affect surface waters. The SPRP also discusses proper storage and handling of hazardous substances.
- The **Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)** describes the Project's erosion prevention and sediment control measures. In addition, North Plains will adhere to monitoring requirements to ensure compliance with water quality standards in Montana and North Dakota.

8.2 SITING AND DESIGN

During Project siting, North Plains implemented the following measures to avoid and minimize impacts on migratory birds and their habitats:

- The Project transmission line was co-located with existing infrastructure, such as transmission lines and roadways, where feasible.
- North Plains routed the Project outside protected areas that typically contain higher quality habitats that may serve as high-use bird habitats, including nature preserves, wildlife refuges, and WMAs.
- Transmission line structures and Project workspaces were sited to avoid impacts on waterbodies, wetlands, and floodplains, where possible, by spanning the conductors over wetlands and waterbodies. Where avoidance was not possible, North Plains has adjusted workspaces and/or revised the crossing methods to minimize impacts.

- Transmission structures and temporary workspaces were set back a minimum of 10 feet from the ordinary high-water mark of surface waterbodies, where possible, to reduce potential erosion, sedimentation, and turbidity concerns.
- When possible, the Project route and workspaces were adjusted to increase the distance between the Project LOD and sensitive features such as eagle nests and greater sage-grouse leks. Specifically, the Project attempted to keep workspaces at least 0.5-mile from golden eagle nests and 660 feet from bald eagle nests. Where possible, North Plains adjusted the route and access roads to avoid siting Project infrastructure within a 2-mile buffer of active greater sage-grouse leks and there are no active leks within 0.25-mile of the centerline.
- The Project also minimized the span length when crossing large rivers such as the Powder and Tongue rivers, by crossing at the narrowest practicable locations, as recommended by the USFWS Montana Field Office (USFWS, 2021b).
- Lighting at Project buildings such as converter stations, switchyards, and fiber repeater stations will be designed to reduce lighting at night. Downward reflecting shields will be added to limit attracting insects or migrating birds.

North Plains proposes the following design features to reduce operational impacts:

- Installation of tubular steel monopole structures to limit perching and nesting substrate for raptors and corvids (particularly within 2 miles of active greater sage-grouse leks) and to minimize the structure footprint, where practicable.
- Where monopole structures cannot be used, North Plains may instead use multi-pole or lattice structures. Construction constraints and design considerations that may require the use of non-monopole structures include, but are not limited to: steep terrain, locations requiring longer spans, structures where the alignment changes direction by a substantial degree, and locations with difficult access for construction.
- The use of guy wires will be prohibited at Project structures.
- Long transmission line span lengths (up to approximately 1,200 feet) will be used to minimize the number of structures that can potentially be available for use as perches or nest surfaces by raptors and corvids.

In addition to the design features listed above, North Plains conducted an independent analysis to identify transmission line segments that may have a higher bird collision risk than the rest of the Project. These segments will be marked by installing appropriately sized and designed BFD on the OPGW to increase line visibility to birds and reduce the potential for bird collisions, in accordance with APLIC (2012) guidance. Energized conductors at the Project's high voltage level are larger and more visible than the OPGW and cannot be marked due to potential operational issues.

Priority spans for line marking (the process of BFD installation) were identified based on the multiple criteria and related supporting data. Line segments were assigned risk rankings based on their location relative to federal or state wildlife refuges, location within whooping crane migration corridors in North Dakota, presence of bisecting or adjacent grain croplands, proximity

to prominent surface water resources, presence of waterbird or waterfowl concentrations documented in area, and presence of eagle nests within 2 miles combined with suitable eagle habitat along the Project centerline. Calculation methods and data sources used for this analysis are discussed in more detail in the Project's Avian Collision Risk Assessment (Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc., 2025).

Priority spans for line marking are presented in Figure 8.2-1. In Montana, spans with higher risk rankings reflected a variety of risk parameters and were distributed throughout the state. Open water, emergent wetlands, documented species occurrences, and the presence of grain crops resulted in elevated bird collision risk in the following proposed line marking areas along the HVDC Transmission Line in Montana:

- Approximately 0.5 mile (MPs 62.5 to 63.0) near Tongue River – ranked high due to bald eagle and waterbird occurrences associated with the nearby river, as well as the presence of grain crops.
- Approximately 1.5 miles (MPs 91.5 to 93.0) near Powder River – ranked high due to the presence of grain crops and emergent wetlands.
- Approximately 1.5 miles (MPs 112.2 to 113.6) near Highway 12 – ranked high due to sharp-tailed grouse (*Tympanuchus phasianellus*) and great blue heron presence, as well as open water and wetlands.
- Approximately 2.2 miles (MPs 114.9 to 117.1) near Highway 12 – ranked high due to bald eagle, American white pelican (*Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*), and snow goose (*Anser caerulescens*) presence, as well as a small lake.

In North Dakota, spans with higher risk rankings primarily reflected the Project's location within the whooping crane migration corridor, though other species observations and the presence of wildlife areas, waterbodies, and wetlands were also key factors. The most prominent high-risk area in North Dakota was associated with White Lake NWR. Proposed line marking areas along the Project in North Dakota include the following:


- Along the Oliver Transmission Line:
 - o Approximately 2.0 miles (MPs 22.1 to 24.1) south of Heart River – ranked high due to whooping crane, great blue heron, and bald eagle occurrences and the presence of grain crops, a small lake, and wetlands.
 - o Approximately 0.2 mile (MPs 26.8 to 27.10) near Heart River crossing – ranked high due to whooping crane and bald eagle occurrence and the presence of grain crops.
 - o Approximately 3.7 miles (MPs 48.1 to 51.8) – near Wilber Bolt State WMA ranked high due to whooping crane occurrence, the presence of grain crops, and a large lake.
- Along the HVDC Transmission Line:

- o Approximately 9.2 miles (MPs 219.2 to 228.4) near White Lake NWR – ranked high due to the whooping crane and waterbird occurrences associated with the nearby lake, as well as the presence of grain crops.

North Plains will also install BFDs within the 75 percent and 50 percent bands of the whooping crane migration corridor in North Dakota to minimize the likelihood of collision with OPGW during Project operation; note, the whooping crane line marking area overlaps several of the priority segments listed above in North Dakota.

In total, approximately 134.3 miles (32 percent of the Project) of Project transmission line are recommended for line marking, including approximately 20.8 miles recommended for marking to minimize general avian collision risk in both Montana and western North Dakota, approximately 119.4 miles in North Dakota recommended for marking to minimize collision risk for whooping crane within the 75 percent migration corridor, and 5.9 miles of overlap between the two. BFDs will be installed with 50-foot spacing and staggered where multiple shield wires are present to increase the line visibility from a horizontal plane.

North Plains will finalize the type of BFD to be installed prior to Project construction, and will avoid BFDs known to cause operational issues, including voiding the OPGW warranty or abrading the aluminum conductor per APLIC recommendations (2012). North Plains may consider multiple installation methods, including helicopter, drone-assisted robot, and/or direct drone installations, as necessary.



8.3 CONSTRUCTION

During Project construction, North Plains will implement various measures to minimize impacts on migratory birds and habitats from Project activities.

North Plains will require all Project staff and contractors working on-site to participate in an environmental awareness training program. EIs and/or biological monitors will be present on-site during construction to review Project activities daily for compliance with federal, state, and local regulatory requirements.

General measures for construction activities include the following:

- Stake the boundaries of the Project workspace to keep construction activities within the approved Project workspace.
- Use signage to mark areas outside of construction workspaces and identify sensitive resource areas such as wetland boundaries and other protected habitats.
- Minimize ground disturbance within temporary workspaces (e.g., structure pads) during Project construction. Vegetation removal will be limited as part of construction activities to the minimum area required by the Project for construction. Where activities such as grading are not necessary, the North Plains will avoid ground and vegetation disturbance.
- Limit construction activities to the time period between dawn and dusk, and/or use down-shielding or directional lighting and motion or heat sensors to minimize light pollution in adjacent habitats to minimize disturbance and displacement of nesting and roosting birds. The use of low-intensity, energy-saving lighting will be prioritized, when possible.
- Implement measures such as speed limits and the use of water trucks, sprinklers, and chemical surfactants to reduce the creation of fugitive dust, which has the potential to reduce air quality and affect both the abundance of prey insects and health of vegetation necessary for foraging, roosting, and nesting of nearby birds.
- Cut or scrape vegetation at or slightly above the ground surface, leaving rootstock and the seed bank in place. Where proposed, construction matting will be placed over the cut vegetation, minimizing rutting and soil compaction while also preserving the intact root stock and facilitating regrowth of vegetation after construction.
- Install appropriately designed and sized BFD on the OPGW above the energized conductors in priority spans and within the whooping crane migration corridor, as described in Section 8.2.
- Report incidental migratory bird mortality to the USFWS Injury and Mortality Reporting System: <https://www.fws.gov/media/3-202-17-annual-report-avian-injury-mortality>. North Plains will not collect birds (live or dead) without a valid permit or USFWS approval.

- Comply with applicable federal and state laws in the use of rodenticides or pesticides.
- Use non-chemical rodent controls, when possible, to avoid secondary poisoning of raptors.
- North Plains will implement the SWPPP, SPRP, and Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan including:
 - o applying sediment, erosion, and containment control measures to avoid and minimize impacts on waterbodies and wetlands; and
 - o avoiding the following within a 100-foot buffer of wetlands and waterbodies: storing hazardous materials, chemicals, fuels, or lubricating oils; refueling (unless done in accordance with the SPRP); equipment and maintenance repairs; and parking. If refueling or use of other hazardous liquids must occur within a buffer area, an EI will be present to monitor the activity and will have spill response equipment onsite and readily available.
- North Plains will implement the Fire Prevention Plan including:
 - o complying with all federal, state, county, and local fire regulations pertaining to the prevention of uncontrolled fires; and
 - o implementing measures to reduce the potential for accidentally starting fires from cutting, grinding, and burning of brush or vegetative debris, vehicle use, or smoking during periods when fire risk is high.
- North Plains will implement the Blasting Plan including:
 - o only using explosives within specified times and at specified distances from sensitive wildlife or surface waters, as established by the appropriate federal and state agencies.
- North Plains will implement the Invasive and Noxious Species Management Plans to avoid and minimize the spread of invasive or nuisance species along the Project, including:
 - o minimizing herbicide and pesticide use and using hand application or vehicle-mounted treatments instead of aerial application; targeted application of herbicides will be completed in a manner that applies chemicals to a specific plant or group of plants while avoiding herbicides contacting off-target vegetation; and
 - o using appropriately licensed applicators to apply herbicides and pesticides where required and applied only in accordance with label and application permit directions and stipulations for terrestrial and aquatic applications.

Measures specifically intended to avoid and minimize vehicle collision risk during Project construction include the following:

- Require speed limits of 25 miles per hour or less on all non-public Project access roads for construction equipment and personnel to reduce risk of bird-vehicle collisions.
- Keep the Project site clean of debris, garbage, and waste. Trash will be collected and stored in closed dumpsters to avoid attracting birds to potential food sources within the Project.
- If observed near the Project LOD during construction, remove roadkill carcasses to avoid attracting raptors to roadways where they are at risk for vehicular collisions. If removal is not possible, roadkill carcasses should be moved from the area immediately adjacent to the road. If the carcass is located along a public road, contractors may instead notify county personnel to seek carcass removal.

Measures specifically intended to avoid or minimize impacts on birds, including eggs, nestlings, and fledglings, and take of occupied or otherwise protected nests during Project construction include the following:

- Implement seasonal activity restrictions within set buffer distances around active non-eagle raptor nests to minimize Project disturbance during sensitive breeding periods; these buffers will be determined by species or species group (i.e., raptors) and landownership, where appropriate. Seasonal restrictions and distances are based on applicable agency recommendations and Project-specific coordination (see Table 8.3-1).
- Implement appropriate seasonal restrictions and disturbance buffers for bald eagles and golden eagles during the breeding season, as detailed in Table 8.3-2, to the maximum extent practicable. National breeding season dates will be used for all eagle nests, as they encompass the breeding season dates provided by the other agencies (USFWS, 2024a). North Plains will also develop a Project-specific EMP that further describes measures applied to avoid and minimize impacts on bald and golden eagles.
- Conduct a pre-construction aerial raptor nest survey the same year as construction commencement to identify new eagle and sensitive raptor nests built near the Project and install species- and location-specific avoidance buffers around any new nests found, as described above and in Tables 8.3-1 and 8.3-2.
- If an active nest is discovered outside of the seasonal restriction dates, avoidance buffers and minimization measures must still be implemented until the nest no longer contains eggs or young.
- Inactive (i.e., no eggs, young, or adults present) non-eagle nests and nests of species not protected under the ESA or BGEPA, or by the BLM or USFS on their managed lands, may be removed or destroyed, if needed (USFWS, 2015). Nest inactivity must be confirmed by an EI or biological monitor.

- Helicopters used for construction will allow a 1,000-foot buffer around raptor nests during the breeding season.
- Per the Project Blasting Plan, blasting must be conducted only during daylight hours. Project blasting will be avoided within 0.5-mile of bald and golden eagle nests during the nesting season to prevent disturbance to nesting eagles. Eagle disturbance permits may be obtained for select nests, if North Plains cannot avoid blasting during the breeding season. Blasting will also be avoided during the nesting season within the buffers specified in Table 8.3-1 for other sensitive raptor species nesting on federal lands.
- To the extent feasible, conduct ground- or vegetation-disturbing construction activities (e.g. vegetation clearing, tree trimming, overland travel, grading and excavation, etc.) within uncultivated or undeveloped habitats (e.g., grasslands, forests, and shrublands) between August 1 – April 14, to avoid Project impacts within the general migratory bird nesting season (April 15 – July 31; NDGFD, 2025; MacDonald et al., 2024; Appendix A).
- If ground- or vegetation-disturbing construction activities cannot occur outside of the general migratory bird nesting season, North Plains will conduct nest clearance surveys (i.e., nest searches) in the affected area no more than seven days prior to the ground- or vegetation-disturbing construction activities. The purpose of the nest clearance surveys will be to locate bird nests that contain nestlings or eggs in undisturbed vegetation where impacts are planned; these surveys will typically focus on ground and shrub-nesting birds. Nest clearance surveys will help to avoid nest destruction for several of the BCC species known to occur within the Project, many of which are ground-nesting passerines (see Table 6.2.1-1).
 - o When a nest is found, it will be marked with flagging and a buffer will be implemented to avoid nest destruction during construction.
 - o Nests of most bird species will incur a six-foot avoidance buffer when the nest is active; avoidance buffers for ground-nesting raptors are larger and vary by species, see Table 8.3-1 for details.
 - o On BLM-managed lands, nest clearance surveys will include a 300-foot buffer from the Project workspace, where possible, and survey summaries will be submitted to the BLM-Miles City Field Office, as recommended in the Miles City Office Approved Resource Management Plan (BLM, 2015).
- If a bird nest is discovered by Project staff during construction, the EI should be contacted to discuss measures needed to avoid bird mortality or nest abandonment.

North Plains will also implement species-specific avoidance and minimization measures to minimize potential effects to ESA-listed species, including whooping cranes, rufa red knot, and piping plover. These measures are preliminary in the Project BA and will be updated in this Plan after consultation with USFWS is complete.

- If whooping cranes are sighted during construction or maintenance, avoid disturbance within 1 mile until the cranes have left the area and notify the USFWS

of the sighting. The typical migration seasons include April to May for spring migration and September and October for fall migration; however, the conservation measure would apply year-round.

- During construction, lower or mark for visibility any equipment over 15 feet tall when not in use, at night, or during low visibility conditions.
- Ensure all Project staff and contractors working on-site participate in an environmental awareness training program that includes proper identification, response protocol, and reporting of whooping cranes. Additionally, provide pamphlets or identification guides to operations staff and contractors while conducting work on-site during the migration seasons.
- In the unlikely event piping plovers or rufa red knots are sighted during construction, North Plains will avoid disturbance within 0.6 mile of the sighting until the birds have left the area and will notify the USFWS of the sighting.

TABLE 8.3-1

Avoidance Buffers and Seasonal Timing Restrictions Proposed for Construction Activities Near Non-eagle Nests		
Land Ownership/Group or Species	Recommended Buffer Distance	Seasonal Restriction Window (based on breeding season dates) ^a
PRIVATE LANDS - PROJECT-WIDE		
Migratory birds (non-eagle raptors) ^b	100 feet	February 1 – July 15, or as long as the nest is active (contains eggs, nestlings, or fledglings)
Migratory birds (non-raptors) ^c	6 feet	April 15 – July 31, or as long as the nest is active (contains eggs, nestlings, or fledglings)
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT - MONTANA ^d		
Raptors (select non-eagle raptors) ^e	0.5 mile	–
Colonial nesting waterbirds	0.5 mile	April 1 – July 15
Migratory birds	0.1 mile	April 15 – July 15
U.S. FOREST SERVICE - NORTH DAKOTA ^f		
Peregrine falcon	1.0 mile	February 1 – July 31
Prairie falcon ^g	0.25 mile	April 1 – July 31
Merlin	0.5 mile	April 1 – August 15
Ferruginous hawk ^g	0.5 mile	March 1 – July 31
Burrowing owl	0.25 mile	April 15 – August 31
^a If an active nest for the respective species is found outside of its listed seasonal restriction window, avoidance buffers and minimization measures must still be implemented until the nest is no longer active. ^b Restriction based on a recommendation from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) North Dakota Field office. The Project plans to implement this recommendation Project-wide (USFWS, 2023b). ^c Restriction proposed by the Project to be implemented for private lands and on U.S. Forest Service- (USFS-) managed land for species without designed agency-recommended buffers. ^d Restrictions based on guidance in the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Approved Resource Management Plan (BLM, 2015). This guidance is only applicable on BLM-managed lands. ^e The buffer distance provided by the BLM applies to burrowing owl, ferruginous hawk, Swainson's hawk, prairie falcon, and northern goshawk nest sites active within the last 7 years. Per the 2015 ARMP and agency coordination, surface-disturbing and disruptive activities are allowed within the 0.5 mile nest buffers with design features to maintain the functionality of the nest and habitat; however, no seasonal restrictions apply. ^f Restrictions based on guidance in the USFS Land Resource Management Plan for the Dakota Prairie Grasslands (USFS, 2001). This guidance is only applicable on USFS-managed lands. ^g Indicates species is listed as a Bird of Conservation Concern.		

Table 8.3-2

Agency-recommended Buffers and Breeding Season Dates for Bald and Golden eagles				
Agency	Bald Eagle		Golden Eagle	
	Recommended Buffer Distance	Seasonal Restriction Window	Recommended Buffer Distance	Seasonal Restriction Window
FEDERAL AGENCIES				
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) – National Guidance	330 feet for non-visible activity; 660 feet for construction activity; 0.5 mile for blasting; 1,000 feet for helicopters ¹	January 15 – August 31 ^{2, a}	0.5 – 1.0 mile ^{3, 4, 5, b}	January 1 – August 31 ^{2, a}
Bureau of Land Management (BLM) ^{6, c}	0.5 mile	–	0.5 mile	–
U.S. Forest Service (USFS) Dakota Prairie Grasslands (DPG) ^{7, 8, d}	1.0 mile	February 1 – July 31	0.5 mile	February 1 – July 31
STATE AGENCY ^e				
Montana Fish Wildlife, and Parks (MFWP) ^{9, f}	0.5 mile for disturbing activities (0.25 mile for construction of roads); 0.25 mile (horizontal distance) or 1,000 feet (vertical distance) for helicopters	February 1 – August 15 ^g	–	–
^a National breeding season dates USFWS will be used for all nests, as they encompass the breeding season dates provided by USFWS field offices and other agencies. For nests located on BLM- or USFS-managed lands, additional guidance or seasonal restrictions may apply. ^b Typical permitting buffer distances for golden eagles range from 0.5 mile to 1.0 mile across USFWS national guidelines and field office specific guidelines. ^c The buffer distances provided by the BLM apply to bald and golden eagle nests active within the last 5 and 7 years, respectively. Per the 2015 ARMP and agency coordination, surface-disturbing and disruptive activities are allowed within the 0.5 mile nest buffers with design features to maintain the functionality of the nest and habitat; however, no seasonal restrictions apply. This guidance is only applicable on BLM-managed lands. ^d Buffer guidance and seasonal restrictions are only applicable on USFS-managed lands. If a USFS recommendation cannot be followed, North Plains will coordinate with the USFS. ^e North Dakota Game and Fish Department does not provide specific guidance for eagle nest disturbance in North Dakota. ^f MFWP recommendations will be implemented where practicable. ^g MFWP recommendations do not apply to unoccupied nests, alternate nests, nests where eagles have fledged, failed nests, abandoned nests, or to nests where the associated eagles have demonstrated a tolerance to the activity (Montana Bald Eagle Working Group, 2010). Sources: ¹ (USFWS, 2007); ² (USFWS, 2024b); ³ (USFWS, 2024c); ⁴ (Grid United LLC, 2023); ⁵ (USFWS, 2023a); ⁶ (BLM, 2015); ⁷ (USFS, 2024); ⁸ (USFS, 2001); ⁹ (Montana Bald Eagle Working Group, 2010).				

8.4 RECLAMATION

After construction is complete, North Plains will reclaim areas of native habitat that are temporarily disturbed during Project construction (e.g., temporary workspaces) in accordance with the Project's CMRP (see Section 8.1).

Applicable measures related to reclamation and restoration in the CMRP include:

- Restore native vegetation using certified weed-free seed mixes that include native plants with a high forb-to-grass ratio, developed in coordination with the Natural Resource Conservation Service and state- and federal land-managing agencies.

- Implement the Project's Noxious Weed and Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan in Montana and Noxious Weed Management Plan in North Dakota to avoid and minimize the spread of invasive or nuisance species along the Project.
- Reclaim new Project access roads within 2 miles of active greater sage-grouse leks to two-tracks, if use will be necessary during Project operation. Where new Project access roads within 2 miles of active greater sage-grouse leks cannot be reclaimed this way, gates will be installed to restrict public access.

8.5 OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

North Plains will develop an APP to minimize impacts on migratory birds related to operations and maintenance for the life of the Project. The APP will address migratory bird concerns during vegetation and operational maintenance, including seasonal restrictions and avoidance buffers for certain non-emergency maintenance activities.

9.0 IMPLEMENTATION

North Plains is committed to the avoidance and minimization measures discussed in the Plan. To ensure that these measures are followed, North Plains will:

- Incorporate avoidance and minimization measures into construction documents (i.e., construction drawings and plans) for the Project, where relevant.
- Require environmental training for North Plains staff and contractors on the avoidance and minimization measures described in this document.
- Include environmental training for all construction personnel and contractors prior to starting work.
- During construction and reclamation, periodically reevaluate whether measures described in this Plan are being followed and provide follow-up training when necessary.

10.0 PLAN REVISIONS

TABLE 10.0-1		
Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial draft Plan	October 2025
Rev 1	Update introductory language and incorporate Rev 8 route	April 2026
Rev 2	Updates to eagle and raptor guidance and Rev 8.1 route.	July 2026

11.0 REFERENCES

- Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 2006. Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006. Public Interest Energy Research Program (PIER) Final Project Report CEC-500-2006-022. Edison Electric Institute, APLIC, and the California Energy Commission. Washington, D.C., and Sacramento, California. Available online at: <https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML1224/ML12243A391.pdf>.
- APLIC. 2012. Reducing Avian Collisions with Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2012. Edison Electric Institute and APLIC, Washington, D.C. Available online at: https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/11218/Reducing_Avian_Collisions_2012watermarkLR.pdf.
- APLIC. 2015 Best Management Practices for Electric Utilities in Sage-Grouse Habitat. Edison Electric Institute and APLIC. Washington, D.C. Available online at: https://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15646/SAGR%20BMP%20FINAL_June%202015.pdf.
- Bernardino, J., K. Bevanger, R. Barrientos, J. F. Dwyer, A. T. Marques, R. C. Martins, J. M. Shaw, J. P. Silva, and F. Moreira. 2018. Bird Collisions with Power Lines: State of the Art and Priority Areas for Research. *Biological Conservation* 222: 1-13. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2018.02.029.
- Bevanger, K. 1994. Bird Interactions with Utility Structures: Collision and Electrocution, Causes and Mitigating Measures. *Ibis* 136(4): 412-425.
- Bevanger, K. and H. Brøseth. 2001. Bird Collisions with Power Lines - an Experiment with Ptarmigan (*Logopus* spp.). *Biological Conservation* 99: 341-346. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00217-2.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2008. Manual Transmittal Sheet: 6840 – Special Status Species Management. BLM, Washington, D.C. December 12, 2008. Available online at: <https://www.ntc.blm.gov/krc/system/files?file=legacy/uploads/25241/BLM%20MS%206840%20Special%20Status%20Species%20Management%20Dec%202008.pdf>. Accessed August 2023.
- BLM. 2010. Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service to Promote the Conservation of Migratory Birds. BLM MOU WO-230-2010-04. Available online at: https://www.blm.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2022-04/IB2022-036_att1.pdf.
- BLM. 2015. Miles City Field Office Approved Resource Management Plan. U.S. Department of the Interior, BLM, Miles City Field Office, Miles City, Montana.
- BLM. 2020. 2020 Montana/Dakotas Special Status Species List. Email Transmission – 03/16/2020. Instruction Memorandum No. MT-2020-012. Expires September 30, 2023.
- BLM. 2022. Addendum to BLM and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Memorandum of Understanding to Promote the Conservation of Migratory Birds. Information Bulletin 2022-036. BLM, Washington, D. C. April 21, 2022. Available online at: <https://www.blm.gov/policy/ib-2022-036>.
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2024. Birds of the World. Available online at: <http://birdsoftheworld.org/bow/home>.
- Dwyer, J. F. and K. Doloughan. 2013. Testing Systems of Avian Perch Deterrents on Electric Power Distribution Poles. *Human-Wildlife Interactions* 7:39-54.
- Dwyer, J. F. 2023. Expanding raptor protection to include collision prevention and substation management. *Raptor Conservation*: 411-214. doi: 0.19074/1814-8654-2023-2-411-412.

- Dyke, S., S. Johnson, and P. Isakson. 2015. North Dakota State Wildlife Action Plan. Prepared by North Dakota Game and Fish Department, Bismark, North Dakota. July 1, 2015. Available online at: https://gf.nd.gov/sites/default/files/publications/swap-2015_0.pdf.
- eBird. 2025. eBird: An Online Database of Bird Distribution and Abundance [web application]. eBird, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York. Available online: <https://ebird.org>.
- Fritts, R. 2022. Avian Superhighways: The Four Flyways of North America. American Bird Conservancy, Marshal, Virginia. May 16, 2022. Available online at: <https://abcbirds.org/news/four-flyways-of-north-america/>.
- Grid United LLC (Grid United). 2023. North Plains Connector: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and Grid United Meeting Notes. Participants: D. Worth, T. Campbell, and B. Johnson (Grid United); H. Riddle, J. Martin, and J. Berglund (USFWS Ecological Services Field Office); S. Herbert and A. Lehmicke (USFWS Migratory Bird Permitting Office); M. Voth, C. Foo, C. Derby, and T. Mattson (Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc.); and J. Thommes and L. Churchill (Merjent). August 3, 2023.
- Harness, R. E., S. Milodragovich, and J. Schomburg. 2003. Raptors and Power Line Collisions. *Colorado Birds* 37: 118-122.
- Heck, N. 2007. A Landscape-Scale Model to Predict the Risk of Bird Collisions with Electric Power Transmission Lines in Alberta. Thesis. University of Calgary, Alberta.
- Heck, N. and T. Sutherland. 2013. Use of Custom-Fitted Cover-Ups to Reduce Power Outages and Mitigate Avian Electrocutions. *Electric Energy T&D Magazine*. 6(17): 19-23. Available online at: https://electricenergyonline.com/show_article.php?mag=92&article=742.
- Heck, N. S., J. F. Dwyer, R. E. Harness, M. A. Landon, and L. A. Nielsen. 2016. Quantifying and Mitigating Avian Collisions with Transmission Lines in Pincher Creek, Alberta, Canada. Pp. 353-361. *In*: J. Doucet, ed. Environmental Concerns in Rights-of-Way Management 11th International Symposium. Utility Arborist Association.
- James, B. W. and B. A. Haak. 1979. Factors Affecting Avian Flight Behavior and Collision Mortality at Transmission Lines. Final report of a study for the Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, by the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education. Boulder, Colorado.
- Lammers, W. M. and M. W. Collopy. 2005. The Response of Avian Predators to a New High Voltage Transmission Line in Northern Nevada: Final Report for Study Period 2003-2004. Department of Natural Resources & Environmental Science, University of Nevada, Reno.
- Liguori, S. 2012. Evaluation of avian interactions with the Rocky Mountain Power Cimarex line, Pinedale, Wyoming: 2012 Progress report. Submitted to BLM Pinedale Field Office by Rocky Mountain Power. December 2012.
- Liguori, S. 2013. Effectiveness of perch discouragers on electric utility poles. The Wildlife Society, Utah Chapter, Annual Meeting. Cedar City, Utah. August 2013.
- MacDonald, G. J., M. J. Anteau, K. S. Ellis, L. D. Igl, N. D. Niemuth, and J. L. Vest. 2024. Seasonal and Breeding Phenologies of 38 Grassland Bird Species in the Midcontinent of North America. Open-File Report 2024-1002. Ecosystems Mission Area—Species Management Research Program. Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Service Agency, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Prairie Pothole Joint Venture. 43 pp. doi: 10.3133/ofr20241002.

- Mojica, E. K., B. D. Watts, J. T. Paul, S. T. Voss, and J. Pottie. 2009. Factors Contributing to Bald Eagle Electrocutions and Line Collisions on Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. *Journal of Raptor Research* 43(1): 57-61. doi: 10.3356/JRR-07-60.1.
- Mojica, E. K., C. E. Rocca, J. Luzenski, R. E. Harness, J. L. Cummings, J. Schievert, D. D. Austin, and M. A. Landon. 2020. Collision Avoidance by Wintering Bald Eagles Crossing a Transmission Line. *Journal of Raptor Research* 54(2): 147-153. doi: 10.3356/0892-1016-54.2.147.
- Montana Bald Eagle Working Group. 2010. Montana Bald Eagle Management Guidelines: An Addendum to Montana Bald Eagle Management Plan (1994). Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Helena, Montana. Available online at: https://fwp.mt.gov/binaries/content/assets/fwpcconservation/wildlife-reports/bald-eagle/bald-eagle-guidelines-final-5_05_10.pdf.
- Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks (MFWP). 2015. Montana's State Wildlife Action Plan. MFWP, Helena, Montana. January 9, 2015. Available online at: <https://fwp.mt.gov/binaries/content/assets/fwpgisresources/docs/swap/70169.pdf>.
- MFWP. 2024. Montana Field Guide: Animals. Montana Natural Heritage Program and MFWP. Available online at: <https://fieldguide.mt.gov/displayPhyDiv.aspx?Kingdom=Animalia>. Accessed May 2024.
- Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP). 2020. Montana Landcover Framework (2010 - 2017). MTNHP, Helena, Montana. April 10, 2020. Available online at: [https://mslservices.mt.gov/Geographic Information/Data/DataList/datalist_Details.aspx?did=%7BB24A26F3-0BAD-42FC-858A-426FD5DF1064%7D](https://mslservices.mt.gov/Geographic%20Information/Data/DataList/datalist_Details.aspx?did=%7BB24A26F3-0BAD-42FC-858A-426FD5DF1064%7D).
- MTNHP. 2024. Animal Species of Concern. MTNHP, Helena, Montana. Last updated September 30, 2024. 58 pp. Available online at: https://mtnhp.org/SpeciesOfConcern/output/NHP_Animal_SOC.pdf.
- MTNHP. 2025. Species Occurrences in Rosebud, Custer, and Fallon Counties, Montana [Data set]. Provided March 10, 2025. Available online at: <https://mtnhp.org>.
- National Land Cover Database (NLCD). 2024. Annual National Land Cover Database: Annual NLCD Collection 1.0: 2023 Land Cover of Conus. US Geological Survey, Sioux Falls, South Dakota. Released October 2024. doi: 10.5066/P94UXNTS. Available online at: <https://www.sciencebase.gov/catalog/item/655ceb8ad34ee4b6e05cc51a>.
- North American Bird Conservation Initiative (NABCI). 2021. Bird Conservation Regions. NABCI, Washington, D.C. Available online at: <https://nabci-us.org/resources/bird-conservation-regions>. Accessed August 2024.
- North Dakota Game and Fish Department (NDGFD). 2019. Species Identification. Wildlife and Conservation. Available online at: <https://gf.nd.gov/wildlife/id>. Accessed May 2024.
- NDGFD. 2023. Wildlife Management Areas - Listing. NDGFD, Bismarck, North Dakota. Updated August 2023. Available online at: <https://gf.nd.gov/wma-listing>. Accessed March 2024.
- NDGFD. 2025. North Dakota Grassland Birds. NDGFD, Bismarck, North Dakota. Available online at: <https://gf.nd.gov/wildlife/id/grassland-birds>.
- North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department (NDPRD). 2025. North Dakota Natural Heritage Inventory Biotics Data. NDPR, Bismarck, North Dakota. Provided by C. Dirk (NDPR). March 17, 2024.

- Nowak, P., K. Kucharska, and M. Kamiński. 2019. Ecological and Health Effects of Lubricant Oils Emitted into the Environment. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16(16): doi: 10.3390/ijerph16163002.
- Olendorff, R. R. and R. N. Lehman. 1986. Raptor Collisions with Utility Lines: An Analysis Using Subjective Field Observations. Final report. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Research and Development, San Ramon, California.
- U.S. Department of the Interior (USDOI). 2025. Withdrawal of Solicitor Opinion M-37065 "Permanent Withdrawal of Solicitor Opinion M-37050 "The Migratory Bird Treaty Act Does Not Prohibit Incidental Take"". Memorandum M-37085. Office of the Solicitor, Washington, D.C. April 11, 2025. Available online at: <https://www.doi.gov/sites/default/files/documents/2025-04/m-37085.pdf>.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2013. Level III and Level IV Ecoregions of the Continental United States. Ecosystems Research, USEPA. April 16, 2013. Available online at: <https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/level-iii-and-iv-ecoregions-continental-united-states>. Accessed August 2025.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2007. National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines. USFWS, Washington, D.C. Available online at: https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/national-bald-eagle-management-guidelines_0.pdf.
- USFWS. 2009. Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to Promote the Conservation of Migratory Birds. FS Agreement # 08-MU-113-2400-264. April 12, 2009. Available online at: <https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/memorandum-of-understanding-doa-forest-service.pdf>.
- USFWS. 2015. Nationwide Standard Conservation Measures. April 20, 2015. 6 pp. Available online at: <https://www.fws.gov/media/nationwide-standard-conservation-measures>.
- USFWS. 2019. Golden Eagle Distribution and Movement. USFWS Service Mountain-Prairie Region, Golden Eagle Webpage. Last updated October 26, 2019.
- USFWS. 2021a. Birds of Conservation Concern 2021. USFWS Migratory Birds, Falls Church, Virginia. Available online at: <https://tethys.pnnl.gov/sites/default/files/publications/birds-of-conservation-concern-2021.pdf>.
- USFWS. 2021b. Comment Letter Regarding Proposed North Plains Connector Transmission Line Project in North Dakota and Montana. Letter to B. Johnson, Grid United, Houston, Texas. Montana Ecological Services Field Office, USFWS, Helena, Montana. November 12, 2021. 9 pp. + attachment.
- USFWS. 2022. Addendum: Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to Promote the Conservation of Migratory Birds. April 21, 2022. Available online at: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/sites/nfs/files/legacy-media/r04/FWS%20Migratory%20Birds%20MOU-extension.pdf>.
- USFWS. 2023a. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 90-Day Findings for Five Species. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. 50 CFR Part 17. 88 Federal Register (FR) 158: 55991-55995. Available online at: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-08-17/pdf/2023-17726.pdf>.
- USFWS. 2023b. USFWS Timing/Buffer Recommendations. North Dakota Ecological Services Field Office, USFWS, Bismark, North Dakota. March 2023.

- USFWS. 2024a. Permits for Incidental Take of Eagles and Eagle Nests; Final Rule. 50 CFR Parts 13 and 22. 89 Federal Register 29: 9920-9965. February 12, 2024.
- USFWS. 2024b. General Permit Conditions – Incidental Take of Eagles by Power Lines. Version: EAGL-03.01.24. March 1, 2024. Available online at: https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/2024-03/gp-standard-terms_powerlines_final_website_03.01.24.pdf.
- USFWS. 2025a. Initial Project Scoping: IPaC - Information for Planning and Consultation. IPaC, Environmental Conservation Online System, USFWS, Washington, D.C. Available online at: <https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov>.
- USFWS. 2025b. Migratory Bird Program Administrative Flyways. USFWS, Washington, D.C. Available online at: <https://www.fws.gov/partner/migratory-bird-program-administrative-flyways>.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 2001. Land and Resource Management Plan for the Dakota Prairie Grasslands Northern Region 2001. Dakota Prairie Grasslands, USFS, Bismark, North Dakota. Available online at: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/r01/dpg/natural-resources>.
- USFS. 2011. Forest Service Manual Chapter 2670 – Wildlife, Fish, and Sensitive Plant Habitat Management. Amendment No. 2600-2011-1. Effective May 25, 2011. Available online at: https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5350761.pdf. Accessed July 2024.
- USFS. 2023a. Dakota Prairie Grasslands Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List – Wildlife. McKenzie Ranger District and Medora Ranger District, Dakota Prairie Grasslands, USFS. November 2023.
- USFS. 2023b. USFS Geodatabase [Data set]. Provided May 18, 2023, by G. Schonert (USFS).
- USFS. 2024a. USFS Geodatabase [Data set]. Available online at: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/r01/dpg/data-tools/gis>.
- USFS. 2024b. Biological Survey and Reporting Guidelines, Dakota Prairie Grasslands. Updated May 2024.
- Steenhof, K., M. N. Kochert, and J. A. Roppe. 1993. Nesting by Raptors and Common Ravens on Electrical Transmission Line Towers. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 57:271-281.
- Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc. (WEST). 2023a. 2022 Raptor Nest Survey Report, Montana and North Dakota. Prepared for North Plains Connector LLC, Houston, Texas. Prepared by WEST, Golden Valley, Minnesota. January 2023.
- WEST. 2023b. 2023 Raptor Nest Survey Report, Montana and North Dakota. Prepared for North Plains Connector LLC, Houston, Texas. Prepared by WEST, Golden Valley, Minnesota. October 2023.
- WEST. 2025. Avian Collision Relative Risk Assessment. Prepared for North Plains Connector LLC, Houston, Texas. Prepared by WEST, Golden Valley, Minnesota. January 2025.

**Appendix A. Birds of Conservation Concern with Potential to Occur within the North
Plains Connector Project Limits-of-Disturbance**

APPENDIX A												
Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) with Potential to Occur in the North Plains Connector Project Limits-of-Disturbance												
Species ^a			Primary Nesting Season	Montana			North Dakota					
Common Name	Scientific Name	Potential Breeding Habitat		Rosebud	Custer	Fallon	Golden Valley	Slope	Hettinger	Grant	Morton	Oliver
Baird's Sparrow	<i>Centronyx bairdii</i>	Sparsely vegetated mixed-grass and fescue prairie with vegetation from previous growing seasons.	May 20 - Aug 15	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Black-billed Cuckoo	<i>Coccyzus erythrophthalmus</i>	Forest and wooded areas associated with water	May 15 - Oct 10	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Black Tern	<i>Chlidonias niger</i>	Lakes, peat bogs, marshes, wetlands.	May 15 - Aug 20	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Bobolink	<i>Dolichonyx oryzivorus</i>	tall-grass and mixed grass prairie, can nest in old hay pastures	May 20 - Jul 31	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
California Gull	<i>Larus californicus</i>	Islands on lakes, river, reservoirs. Will forage in natural and man-made open areas and water bodies, garbage dumps, and agricultural areas.	Mar 1 – Jul 31	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chestnut-collared Longspur	<i>Calcarius ornatus</i>	Short and mixed-grass prairie, grazed/mowed tallgrass prairie.	May 1 - Aug 10	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Ferruginous Hawk	<i>Buteo regalis</i>	Flat or rolling grasslands, sparsely vegetated canyon areas.	Mar 15 - Aug 15	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Franklin's Gull	<i>Leucophaeus pipixcan</i>	Floating mats on open water.	May 1 - Jul 31	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Grasshopper Sparrow (Northern)	<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i>	Sparsely vegetated prairie.	June 1 - Aug 20	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Lark Bunting	<i>Calamospiza melanocorys</i>	Grassland, shrub-steppe, and agricultural areas.	May 10 - Aug 15	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Lesser Yellowlegs	<i>Tringa flavipes</i>	Non-breeding	NA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Lewis's Woodpecker	<i>Melanerpes lewis</i>	Open forested areas with a high density of trees with snags.	Apr 20 - Sep 30	X	X	X						
Long-eared Owl	<i>Asio otus</i>	Densely wooded or brushy habitat adjacent to open habitat such as grassland.	Mar 1 - Jul 15	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

APPENDIX A												
Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) with Potential to Occur in the North Plains Connector Project Limits-of-Disturbance												
Species ^a			Primary Nesting Season	Montana			North Dakota					
Common Name	Scientific Name	Potential Breeding Habitat		Rosebud	Custer	Fallon	Golden Valley	Slope	Hettinger	Grant	Morton	Oliver
Marbled Godwit	<i>Limosa fedoa</i>	Short-grass prairie, sparsely vegetated areas associated with wetlands.	May 1 - Jul 31	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Mountain Plover	<i>Charadrius montanus</i>	Short-grass prairie, grazing tall-grass prairie, can nest in agricultural areas if restricted	Apr 15 - Aug 15	X	X	X						
Northern Harrier	<i>Circus hudsonius</i>	Seasonal or semi-permanent wetlands, dry uplands.	Apr 1 - Sep 15	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Pinyon Jay	<i>Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus</i>	Pinyon-juniper woodlands.	Feb 15 - Jul 15	X	X	X						
Prairie Falcon	<i>Falco mexicanus</i>	Open grasslands.	Mar 1 - Jul 31	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Red-headed Woodpecker	<i>Melanerpes erythrocephalus</i>	Open forested areas with snags.	May 10 - Sep 10	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sprague's Pipit	<i>Anthus spragueii</i>	Grazed open native prairie.	May 10 - Aug 31	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Thick-billed Longspur	<i>Rhynchophanes mccownii</i>	open and sparsely vegetated habitat in the semi-arid shortgrass steppe and in overgrazed pastures.	May 1 – Aug 15	X	X	X	X	X				
Western Grebe	<i>Aechmophorus occidentalis</i>	Fresh water lakes and marshes with open water and emergent vegetation.	Jun 1 - Aug 31	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Willet	<i>Tringa semipalmata</i>	Shortgrass prairie, wetlands, croplands, alkali flats.	Apr 20 - Aug 5	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
^a An Information for Planning and Conservation System review was used to identify the BCC with potential to occur in the Project LOD (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service [USFWS] 2025a). Source: Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2024; Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks 2024; North Dakota Game and Fish Department 2019; USFWS 2025a.												

Appendix B. Conservation Measures for Occupied Non-eagle Raptor Nests on the North Plains Connector Project

APPENDIX B Conservation Measures for Occupied Non-eagle Raptor Nests on the North Plains Connector Project						
Transmission Line/State/County/Milepost	Species	Unique ID	Land Ownership	Proposed Buffer	Proposed Construction Time-of-Year Restriction	Distance from Project Workspace (miles)
HVDC TRANSMISSION LINE						
MONTANA						
CUSTER						
48.3	Red-tailed hawk	MT-RTHA-22809	Bureau of Land Management	0.5 mile	March 1 – July 31	0.3
NORTH DAKOTA						
HETTINGER						
277.7	Swainson's hawk	ND-SWHA-23027	Private Land	100 feet	February 1 – July 15	<0.1
GRANT						
302.3	Swainson's hawk	ND-SWHA-23036	Private Land	100 feet	February 1 – July 15	<0.1
OLIVER TRANSMISSION LINE						
NORTH DAKOTA						
MORTON						
29.7	Great horned owl	ND-GHOW-23008	Private Land	100 feet	February 1 – July 15	<0.1
ID = identification						
Notes: This table shows expected conservation measures at nests recorded during Project aerial raptor nest surveys in 2022 and 2023. Table inclusion is based on distance from the Project workspace as of March 2025. Nests are shown in Figures 6.3-2 and 6.3-3.						
Sources: Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc., 2023a, 2023b.						

Appendix C. Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Documented Near the North Plains Connector Project

APPENDIX C						
Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Documented Near the North Plains Connector Project. ^a						
Transmission Line/ State/County/ Milepost	Species	Unique ID	Land Ownership ^b	Distance (miles) ^c to Nearest Project Workspace	Nearest Existing Infrastructure/ Anthropogenic Disturbance within Evaluation Buffers	Project Infrastructure Visible within Evaluation Buffers? (Y/N) ^d
HVDC TRANSMISSION LINE						
MONTANA						
ROSEBUD						
7.7	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-27106	Montana State Trust Lands	1.2 mi - Access Road - New, Temporary	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.3 mi	N
15.2	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-23063	Private Land	0.3 mi - Pulling Site	Paved Road within 0.7 mi	Y
	Bald Eagle	MT-BAEA-22967	Private Land	0.1 mi - Access Road - Existing, Needs Improvement	Unpaved Road within 0.1 mi (Project Infrastructure: Access Road - Existing, Needs Improvement)	Y
17.3						
19.2	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-23098	Private Land	0.4 mi - Access Road - Existing, Needs Improvement	Unpaved Road within 0.4 mi (Project Infrastructure; Access Road - Existing, Needs Improvement)	Y
21.6	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-27121	Bureau of Land Management	0.9 mi - Pulling Site	Residence within 0.6 mi	Y
34.2	Bald Eagle	MT-BAEA-22992	Private Land	2.2 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	None within 0.5 mi	N
34.5	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-22991	Private Land	3.5 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	Unpaved Road within 0.5 mi	N
38.2	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-22972	Private Land	6.4 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.5 mi	N
41.7	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-23185	Montana State Trust Lands	6.1 mi - Pulling Site	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.3 mi	N
42.8	Bald Eagle	MT-BAEA-23181	Private Land	3.3 mi - Pulling Site	Agriculture within 0.1 mi	N
CUSTER						
30.7	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-27116	Private Land	0.5 mi - Structure Pad	Unpaved Road within 0.5 mi	Y
37.7	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-27117	Private Land	1.2 mi - Structure Pad	Unpaved Road within 0.2 mi	N
44.2	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-22988	Private Land	1.5 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	None within 1.0 mi	N
44.4	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-27105	Private Land	1.5 mi - Access Road - New, Temporary	None within 1.0 mi	N

APPENDIX C						
Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Documented Near the North Plains Connector Project. ^a						
Transmission Line/ State/County/ Milepost	Species	Unique ID	Land Ownership ^b	Distance (miles) ^c to Nearest Project Workspace	Nearest Existing Infrastructure/ Anthropogenic Disturbance within Evaluation Buffers	Project Infrastructure Visible within Evaluation Buffers? (Y/N) ^d
52.1	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-22958	U.S. Dept of Agriculture – Agriculture Research Service	1.0 mi - Pulling Site	Unpaved Road within 0.3 mi	Y
56.7	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-23032	Private Land	2.7 mi - Access Road - New, Permanent	Unpaved Road within 0.1 mi	N
58.0	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-27120	Bureau of Land Management	2.0 mi - Structure Pad	None within 1.0 mi	N
61.0	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-27109	Private Land	0.8 mi - Access Road - New, Temporary	Paved Road within 1.0 mi (Project Infrastructure; Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed)	Y
61.8	Bald Eagle	MT-BAEA-23043 ^e	Private Land	1.7 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	Agriculture within 660 ft	N
62.6	Bald Eagle	MT-BAEA-27112 ^e	Private Land	1.2 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	Agriculture within 660 ft	N
67.6	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-23142	Private Land	0.9 mi - Access Road - New, Permanent	Agriculture within 0.3 mi	Y
69.3	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-27122	Bureau of Land Management	1.3 mi - Access Road - New, Permanent	Structure (Other) within 0.7 mi	N
77.7	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-23280	Private Land	1.2 mi - Access Roads - New, Permanent; Existing, No Improvements Needed	Unpaved Road within 0.9 mi	N
80.9	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-27107	Private Land	0.3 mi - Access Road - New, Permanent	Unpaved Road within 660 ft	Y
81.8	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-27104	Private Land	0.6 mi - Access Road - New, Permanent	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.2 mi	Y
82.1	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-27118	Private Land	0.7 mi - Access Road - New, Permanent	Unpaved Road within 0.2 mi	Y
82.5	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-23281	Montana State Trust Lands	0.8 mi - Access Road - New, Permanent	Road (Surface Unknown) within 660 ft	Y
83.4	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-27115	Private Land	0.6 mi - Structure Pad	None within 1.0 mi	Y
89.4	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-23058	Private Land	3.6 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	None within 1.0 mi	N

APPENDIX C						
Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Documented Near the North Plains Connector Project. ^a						
Transmission Line/ State/County/ Milepost	Species	Unique ID	Land Ownership ^b	Distance (miles) ^c to Nearest Project Workspace	Nearest Existing Infrastructure/ Anthropogenic Disturbance within Evaluation Buffers	Project Infrastructure Visible within Evaluation Buffers? (Y/N) ^d
97.7	Bald Eagle	MT-BAEA-23195	Private Land	4.3 mi - Pulling Site	Existing Transmission Line within 0.2 mi	N
100.0	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-27114	Private Land	1.5 mi - Pulling Site	None within 1.0 mi	N
100.0	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-27119	Bureau of Land Management	1.7 mi - Pulling Site	None within 1.0 mi	N
101.2	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-23040	Bureau of Land Management	0.8 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.8 mi (Project Infrastructure; Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed)	Y
104.2	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-27110	Private Land	1.2 mi - Access Road - Existing, No Improvements Needed	Paved Road (Highway) within 1.0 mi	N
106.3	Unidentified Raptor	MT-RAPT-23066	Private Land	N/A	N/A	N/A
107.6	Golden Eagle ^f	MT-GOEA-23493	Private Land	1.4 mi - Access Road - New, Permanent	None within 1.0 mi	N
109.5	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-23087	Private Land	0.1 mi - Structure Pad; Access Road - New, Temporary	Existing Transmission Line within 0.1 mi	Y
117.1	Golden Eagle	MT-GOEA-23084	Private Land	1.9 mi - Access Road - Overland Travel	Existing Transmission Line within 0.6 mi	N
NORTH DAKOTA						
GOLDEN VALLEY						
186.1	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-22789	Private Land	N/A	N/A	N/A
186.3	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-23168	Private Land	N/A	N/A	N/A
SLOPE						
187.0	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-22887	Private Land	N/A	N/A	N/A
187.4	Unidentified Raptor	ND-RAPT-22788	Private Land	0.6 mi - Access Road - New, Temporary	Agriculture within 660 ft	Y
188.5	Unidentified Raptor	ND-RAPT-22947	Private Land	0.5 mi - Structure Pad	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.4 mi	Y
191.2	Unidentified Raptor ^g	ND-RAPT-23080	Private Land	0.2 mi - Structure Pad	Paved Road within 0.4 mi	Y
201.7	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-23457 ^h	U.S. Forest Service	2.3 mi - Pulling Site	Unpaved Road within 0.4 mi	N
201.7	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-27363 ^h	U.S. Forest Service	3.0 mi - Pulling Site	Unpaved Road within 0.2 mi	N

APPENDIX C						
Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Documented Near the North Plains Connector Project. ^a						
Transmission Line/ State/County/ Milepost	Species	Unique ID	Land Ownership ^b	Distance (miles) ^c to Nearest Project Workspace	Nearest Existing Infrastructure/ Anthropogenic Disturbance within Evaluation Buffers	Project Infrastructure Visible within Evaluation Buffers? (Y/N) ^d
201.7	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-27368 ^h	U.S. Forest Service	3.0 mi - Pulling Site	Unpaved Road within 0.2 mi	N
208.6	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-22782	Private Land	N/A	N/A	N/A
215.5	Golden Eagle	GE504 ^{h, i}	Private Land	0.5 mi - Access Road - New, Temporary	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.5 mi	Y
215.7	Golden Eagle	GE503 ^{h, i}	Private Land	0.5 mi - Structure Pad	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.3 mi	Y
215.8	Golden Eagle	GE020 ^{h, i}	Private Land	0.5 mi - Structure Pad	Road (Surface Unknown) within 0.2 mi	Y
238.8	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-27370	Private Land	N/A	N/A	N/A
239.0	Unidentified Raptor ^j	ND-RAPT-23158	Private Land	0.3 mi - Structure Pad	Agriculture within 660 ft	Y
240.9	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-27371 ^h	Private Land	1.6 mi - Pulling Site	Paved Road within 0.2 mi	N
240.9	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-27365	Private Land	1.6 mi - Pulling Site	Paved Road within 0.2 mi	N
240.9	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-27367 ^h	Private Land	1.7 mi - Pulling Site	Structure (Residence) within 0.3 mi	N
HETTINGER						
250.0	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-27364 ^h	Private Land	1.8 mi - Pulling Site	Agriculture within 660 ft	N
GRANT						
318.7	Ferruginous Hawk ^k	ND-FEHA-23366	Private Land	0.2 mi - Pulling Site	Agriculture within 660 ft	Y
OLIVER TRANSMISSION LINE						
NORTH DAKOTA						
MORTON						
19.4	Bald Eagle	ND-BAEA-23103 ^l	Private Land	1.8 mi - Pulling Site	Agriculture within 660 ft	N
19.4	Bald Eagle	ND-BAEA-27366	Private Land	1.8 mi - Pulling Site	Agriculture within 660 ft	N
24.5	Bald Eagle	ND-BAEA-23101 ^l	Private Land	1.6 mi - Access Roads - New, Temporary; Existing, Needs Improvement	Agriculture within 660 ft	N
27.0	Bald Eagle	ND-BAEA-22839 ^l	Private Land	1.2 mi - Structure Pad	Agriculture within 660 ft	N
MORTON TRANSMISSION LINE						
NORTH DAKOTA						
MORTON						
21.1	Golden Eagle	ND-GOEA-23266 ^h	Private Land	N/A	N/A	N/A

APPENDIX C						
Eagle and Potential Eagle Nests Documented Near the North Plains Connector Project. ^a						
Transmission Line/ State/County/ Milepost	Species	Unique ID	Land Ownership ^b	Distance (miles) ^c to Nearest Project Workspace	Nearest Existing Infrastructure/ Anthropogenic Disturbance within Evaluation Buffers	Project Infrastructure Visible within Evaluation Buffers? (Y/N) ^d
^a	Includes all eagle and potential eagle nests observed during the North Plains Connector Project raptor nest surveys in 2022 and 2023 and agency provided eagle nests within 1 mile of the Project workspace.					
^b	For eagle nests which occur directly on USFS-managed or BLM-managed land, North Plains will apply the most restrictive of the applicable time-of-year restrictions (TOYR) and buffers, as detailed in Table 8.3-2. If the most restrictive buffers and TOYRs cannot be followed, North Plains will coordinate with the BLM and/or USFS. For nests not located on BLM- or USFS-managed lands, evaluation buffers are only dependent upon eagle species and state.					
^c	Distances are based on Project workspace as of October 2025. In some instances, nearest Project workspace may be located beyond an evaluation buffer but rounded to the buffer distance.					
^d	N = no; Y = yes					
^e	Historic bald eagle nest, per Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) nest data.					
^f	Golden eagle nests occupied by a red-tailed hawk in 2023.					
^g	Unidentified raptor nest occupied by Canada goose in 2023.					
^h	Golden eagle nest documented in a powerline structure during Project surveys in 2022; however, the nest was removed and confirmed missing during Project surveys in 2023.					
ⁱ	New NDGFD nest record, as of April 2025; not yet field-verified through Project surveys.					
^j	Swainson's hawk seen near nest, but nest not consistent with size and structure of a Swainson's hawk nest.					
^k	Ferruginous hawk nest consistent in size and structure with an eagle nest.					
^l	Historic bald eagle nest, per North Dakota Game and Fish Department (NDGFD) nest data.					
Notes:	ID = identification; N/A = not applicable; TOYR = time-of-year restriction.					
Sources:	MTNHP (2025). Species Occurrences in Rosebud, Custer, and Fallon Counties, Montana [Data set]. Provided March 10, 2025.					
	NDGFD (2023). Eagle Nest Data. Provided April 19, 2023					
	NDGFD (2025). Eagle Nest Data. Provided April 1, 2025.					

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT H

Paleontological Resources Management and Mitigation Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Submitted by:

North Plains Connector LLC



GRID UNITED

January 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE.....	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE.....	1
3.1	PERMITS AND REPOSITORIES.....	2
3.2	3SIGNIFICANCE AND SENSITIVITY OF PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	3
3.3	POTENTIAL FOSSIL YIELD CLASSIFICATION.....	4
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	5
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION.....	5
5.0	TRAINING.....	5
6.0	SUMMARY OF EXISTING DATA AND FIELD SURVEY RESULTS.....	6
6.1	GEOLOGIC AND PALEONTOLOGICAL CONTEXT.....	6
6.2	PREVIOUSLY DOCUMENTED FOSSIL LOCALITIES.....	11
6.3	FIELD SURVEY FOSSIL LOCALITIES.....	11
7.0	MONITORING PLAN.....	12
7.1	GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR MONITORING.....	12
7.2	CONSTRUCTION TRAINING.....	13
7.3	MONITORING LOCATIONS.....	13
7.4	FOSSIL DISCOVERY AND SALVAGE.....	14
7.5	MICROFOSSILS.....	15
7.6	LABORATORY WORK.....	15
7.7	REPORTING.....	16
8.0	PLAN REVISIONS.....	16
9.0	REFERENCES.....	16

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.3-1	Summary of BLM Potential Fossil Yield Classification.....	4
Table 6.1-1	Summary of Geologic Units in the Project with PFYC and Paleontological Resources.....	6
Table 7.1-1	Standard Paleontological Mitigation Categories.....	12
Table 7.3-1	PLSS List of Tracts Managed by the USDA ARS Requiring Full-Time Monitoring.....	14
Table 8.0-1	Plan Revisions.....	16

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 6.1-1	Project Area Geology Overview.....	8
--------------	------------------------------------	---

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Project-Landowner Overview Maps
Attachment B	Geology Close-up Maps
Attachment C	Unanticipated Discovery Plan

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ARS	Agricultural Research Service
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
Contractor	construction contractor
EI	Environmental Inspector
Grid United	Grid United LLC
KLJ	KLJ Engineering
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
PFYC	Potential Fossil Yield Classification
PI	Principal Investigator
Plan	Draft Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan
PLSS	Public Land Survey System
Project	North Plains Connector Project
SVP	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFS	U.S. Forest Service

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

The proposed transmission line and access roads cross lands administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Agricultural Research Service (ARS), Bureau of Land Management (BLM), U.S. Forest Service (USFS), State of Montana, State of North Dakota, and privately-owned lands, as shown on the maps in Appendix A.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

KLJ Engineering (KLJ) prepared the Draft Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan (Plan) based on the results of geologic maps reviews, literature searches, previous locality searches, and the results of paleontological field surveys completed in 2023 and 2024 for the Project. This Plan will be implemented to fulfill the federal and state requirements for protecting paleontological resources and mitigating potential effects of Project construction.

Construction ground disturbing activities can potentially impact surficial and subsurface paleontological resources. Prior to these activities, paleontological resource surveys are performed within defined Project areas to document these resources and recommend clearance, avoidance, or mitigation measures for scientifically significant specimens. After review, these recommendations can include required spot-checking or full-time monitoring of subsurface (bedrock) disturbance to mitigate the loss of significant resources. Multiple federal agencies adhere to the guidelines set forth by the BLM to define the potential for paleontological resources in geologic formations. From these guidelines, a Potential Fossil Yield Classification (PFYC) of high (4) potential may require a pedestrian survey and recommendation for monitoring based on paleontological resources identified during the survey. A PFYC classification of very high (5) requires both pedestrian survey and subsequent full-time monitoring for all subsurface ground disturbance activities.

The following sections of the Plan present the paleontological resource requirements and recommendations for the Project. This plan details the procedures pertinent to mitigate impacts to paleontological resources during Project construction. North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

The Project is subject to federal environmental laws governing paleontological resources as it crosses BLM, USDA, and USFS-managed lands, requiring federal permits. These federal laws and regulations include:

- Antiquities Act of 1906, (16 U.S. Code 431-433, chapter 3060, §2, 34 Stat. 225 or 16 U.S.C. 431, et seq. 54 U.S.C. §§320301-320303, P.L. 113-287.

- The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (P. L. 91-190, 42 U.S.C. 4321-4347, January 1, 1970, as amended by P. L. 94-52, July 3, 1975, P. L. 94-83, August 9, 1975, and P. L. 97-258 § 4(b), Sept. 13, 1982).
- Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, (43 U.S. Code 1712[c], 1732[b]); sec. 2, Federal Land Management and Policy Act of 1962 [30 U.S.C. 611]; Subpart 3631.0 et seq.), Federal Register Vol. 47, No. 159, 1982.
- Paleontological Resources Preservation Act, Title VI, Subtitle D in the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009, P. L. 111-011.

Along with federal lands, the Project is required to comply with state laws as the Project is partially sited over state lands in North Dakota and Montana. State laws include:

- Paleontological Resource Protection and Geological Survey Paleontological Resource Protection, North Dakota State Century and Administrative Codes NDCC § 54-17.3; NDAC § 43-04 (State of North Dakota, 1990, 2016).
- Paleontological Resource Protection, Montana Code Annotated § 22-3
- Montana Major Facility Siting Act of the Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Montana Code Annotated 75-20-102. Chapter 20 of Title 75.

The BLM has set forth guidelines for the management of paleontological resources (BLM, 2008; BLM, 2015; BLM, 2016; BLM, 2022). This monitoring plan complies with these guidelines as well as professional standards set forth by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP) (2010) and the paper “Best Practices in Mitigation Paleontology” (Murphey et al., 2019).

3.1 PERMITS AND REPOSITORIES

All paleontological work on BLM lands will be approved and coordinated by the BLM Miles City Field Office. The paleontological Principal Investigator (PI) must have a current BLM Paleontological Resources Use Permit. All paleontological work on USDA lands will be approved and coordinated by the USDA ARS Fort Keough Livestock and Range Research Laboratory. All fossils collected from BLM and USDA lands must be repositied in a federally approved repository.

All paleontological work on Montana state lands will be approved and coordinated by the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation Archaeologist. The current designated repository for Montana state lands is the Museum of the Rockies in Bozeman, Montana.

All paleontological work on USFS lands will be approved and coordinated by the USFS Dakota Prairie Grasslands Dickinson Field Office. The PI must have a current USDA Forest Service Authorization to Conduct Paleontological Resources Research or Collection.

All paleontological work on North Dakota state lands will be approved and coordinated by the North Dakota Geologic Survey Paleontologist. All monitors must have a current North Dakota Paleontological Resource Collecting Permit. All fossils collected from USFS and North Dakota state lands must be repositied in a federally approved repository. The current designated repository for North Dakota state lands is the North Dakota Heritage Center and State Museum in Bismarck, North Dakota.

3.2 SIGNIFICANCE AND SENSITIVITY OF PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

From Murphey and Daitch (2007): “Paleontology is a multidisciplinary science that combines elements of geology, biology, chemistry, and physics in an effort to understand the history of life on earth. Paleontological resources, or fossils, are the remains, imprints, or traces of once-living organisms preserved in rocks and sediments. These include mineralized, partially mineralized, or un-mineralized bones and teeth, soft tissues, shells, wood, leaf impressions, footprints, burrows, and microscopic remains. Paleontological resources include not only fossils themselves, but also the associated rocks or organic matter and the physical characteristics of the fossils’ associated sedimentary matrix”.

“The fossil record is the only evidence that life on earth has existed for more than 3.6 billion years. Fossils are considered non-renewable resources because the organisms they represent no longer exist. Thus, once destroyed, a fossil can never be replaced”.

Murphey and Daitch (2007) have determined that fossils are important scientific and educational resources and can be used to:

- study the phylogenetic relationships amongst extinct organisms, as well as their relationships to modern groups;
- elucidate the taphonomic, behavioral, temporal, and diagenetic pathways responsible for fossil preservation, including the biases inherent in the fossil record;
- reconstruct ancient environments, climate change, and palaeoecological relationships;
- provide a measure of relative geologic dating which forms the basis for biochronology and biostratigraphy, and which is an independent and corroborating line of evidence for isotopic dating;
- study the geographic distribution of organisms and tectonic movements of land masses and ocean basins through time;
- study patterns and processes of evolution, extinction, and speciation; and
- identify past and potential future human-caused effects to global environments and climates

The BLM defines a significant paleontological resource as, “any paleontological resource that is considered to be of scientific interest, including most vertebrate fossil remains and traces, and certain rare or unusual invertebrate and plant fossils. A significant paleontological resource is considered to be scientifically important because it is a rare or previously unknown species, it is of high quality and well-preserved, it preserves a previously unknown anatomical or other characteristic, provides new information about the history of life on earth, or has identified educational or recreational value” (BLM, 2008).

As defined by the SVP, “significant paleontological resources are fossils and fossiliferous deposits, here defined as consisting of identifiable vertebrate fossils, large or small, uncommon

invertebrate, plant, and trace fossils, and other data that provide taphonomic, taxonomic, phylogenetic, paleoecologic, stratigraphic, and/or biochronologic information. Paleontological resources are considered to be older than recorded human history and/or older than middle Holocene (i.e., older than about 5,000 radiocarbon years)” (SVP, 2010).

3.3 POTENTIAL FOSSIL YIELD CLASSIFICATION

Along with significance, the sensitivity of paleontological resources to adverse impacts has been classified. Under the Paleontological Resources Preservation Act, the highest federal standard and laws dictate the utilization of the unified PFYC system to rank the paleontological resources potential of geologic units on federal lands . Each geologic unit is given a class ranking (low [1] to very high [5]) dependent on the probability of significant fossil resources found therein (BLM, 2022). This classification system is summarized in Table 3.3-1. These rankings provide an initial cursory potential for significant fossil resources in geologic formations based on literature reviews, known fossil localities, and aerial surveillance of outcrop exposures. PFYC evaluations are included in Section 4.0 for each respective formation and member within the Project. These values also provide guidance to the need for monitoring ground disturbing activities that may impact surficial and subsurface bedrock.

Table 3.3-1 Summary of BLM Potential Fossil Yield Classification				
Class	Potential	Description	Characteristics	Comments
1	Very Low	Geologic units unlikely to contain recognizable paleontological resources.	Geologic units that are Igneous or metamorphic (excluding air-fall and reworked volcanic ash units, or Precambrian in age.	Management concern for paleontological resources is negligible or not applicable. Assessment or mitigation is unnecessary or very rare except in isolated circumstances.
2	Low	Geologic units that are not likely to contain paleontological resources.	Field surveys have verified no significant paleontological resources present or are very rare, generally younger than 10,000 years before present, recent eolian deposits, and diagenetic alteration of sediments.	Management concern for paleontological resources is low and further assessment is usually unnecessary except in isolated instances. Mitigation where paleontological resources are known to exist. Determined on a case-by-case basis.
3	Moderate	Geologic units with fossil content being variable in significance, abundance, and predictability.	Paleontological resources may occur intermittently with low abundance, are widely scattered, of a marine origin with sporadic known occurrences, or surface impact is known to be low-to-moderate.	Management concern for paleontological resources is moderate. Ground disturbing activities may require a field survey by a qualified paleontologist. Considerations range from record searches to monitoring dependent on the proposed action.
4	High	Geologic units with a high occurrence of paleontological resources.	Significant paleontological resources previously documented but varying in occurrence and predictability, susceptible to adverse impacts from surface disturbing activities, rare fossils, and looting activities.	Management concern for paleontological resources is moderate to high. A field survey by a qualified paleontologist is often needed to assess paleontological resources and potential action impacts. On-site monitoring may be necessary during ground disturbing activities. Avoidance or resource preservation should be considered.

Table 3.3-1 Summary of BLM Potential Fossil Yield Classification				
Class	Potential	Description	Characteristics	Comments
5	Very High	Highly fossiliferous geologic units that regularly and predictably produce significant paleontological resources.	Significant paleontological resources previously documented and occur consistently, highly susceptible to adverse impacts from surface disturbing activities, and frequency of looting.	Management concern for paleontological resources is high to very high. A field survey by a qualified paleontologist is almost always needed prior to ground disturbing activities. On-site monitoring may be necessary during land use and ground disturbing activities. Avoidance or resource preservation should be considered.
U	Unknown	Geologic units cannot receive a PFYC assignment.	Often geologic units that have limited information due to lack of scientific literature, field study, or BLM has not yet verified or assessed the unit.	Management concern for paleontological resources is medium to high and field surveys are normally necessary to determine the resource potential.
W	Water	Any surface area mapped as water	Bodies of water do not normally contain paleontological resources. Shorelines should be carefully considered for uncovered or transported paleontological resources.	Reservoirs are a special concern because important paleontological resources are often exposed during low water intervals. In areas of karst sinkholes and cenotes may trap animals and contain paleontological resources. Dredging river systems may result in the disturbance of sediments that contain paleontological resources
I	Ice	Any surface area mapped as ice or snow	Receding glaciers, including exposed lateral and terminal moraines should be considered for their potential to reveal recently exposed paleontological resources	Other considerations include melting snow fields that may contain paleontological resources with possible soft-tissue preservation

Source: BLM, 2022

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance, including the contents of this Plan. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of the Project construction, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan, the CMRP, and in all applicable Project permits.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ environmental inspectors (EIs) during the construction phase. Further information on EIs and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

5.0 TRAINING

Before commencing construction, North Plains will organize environmental training sessions for relevant Project personnel. These training programs will cover relevant construction, restoration, and mitigation plans, including this Plan, along with any applicable permit conditions.

Furthermore, North Plains will conduct large-group training sessions before each work crew begins construction, followed by periodic follow-up training for newly assigned personnel. Training will be documented, and training records will be saved with Project files.

6.0 SUMMARY OF EXISTING DATA AND FIELD SURVEY RESULTS

Although the Project crosses federal, state, and mostly private lands in Montana and North Dakota, only federal and state lands underwent pedestrian field surveys. Along with the field surveys, a paleontological assessment for the entire Project including a previous localities search, geologic map review, and a review of the relevant scientific literature was completed by KLJ (Lukens and Shaw, 2024; Shaw et al., 2024). Utilizing this information, the geology and paleontology of the Project were determined. This section summarizes the geologic and paleontological context, previously documented fossil localities, and fossil localities discovered during the field surveys.

6.1 GEOLOGIC AND PALEONTOLOGICAL CONTEXT


The Project in Montana is directly underlain by the Paleocene Fort Union Formation (PFYC 4); the Cretaceous Hell Creek (PFYC 5), Fox Hills (PFYC 4), and Pierre (PFYC 4) Formations; and Quaternary deposits (PFYC 2, U) (Vuke et al., 2001a, b, c, d; Vuke and Colton, 2003; Vuke et al., 2003; BLM, 2022). In North Dakota, the Project is directly underlain by the Oligocene/Eocene White River Group (PFYC 4-5); the Eocene Golden Valley Formation (PFYC 4); the Paleocene Fort Union Group (PFYC 4); the Cretaceous Hell Creek Formation (PFYC 5); and Quaternary deposits (PFYC 2, U) (Clayton et. al, 1980; Carlson, 1982; Carlson, 1983; BLM, 2022) (see Figure 6.1.1-1 and Appendix B).


Most of the Project is directly underlain by the Fort Union Formation/Group. The Fort Union Formation/Group is separated into the following members/formations: Tullock, Lebo, Ludlow, Tongue River, Sentinel Butte, and Cannonball.


Paleontologically, all the geologic units underlying the Project have a high to very high fossil potential (except the Quaternary deposits) and preserve diverse vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant taxa in localized deposits (see Table 6.1.1). Additional information regarding the geologic units and their paleontological resources can be found in the field survey reports (Lukens and Shaw, 2024; Shaw et al., 2024).

Table 6.1-1				
Summary of Geologic Units in the Project with PFYC and Paleontological Resources				
Series	Geologic Unit	PFYC	Location	Typical Paleontological Resources
Quaternary	Quaternary Deposits (terrace gravels, alluvium, and colluvium)	2, U	ND, MT	None or Unknown
Eocene/ Oligocene	White River Group	4-5	ND	Vertebrate taxa include fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, and a wide variety of mammals. Invertebrate taxa include gastropods and ostracods.
Eocene	Golden Valley Formation	4	ND	Vertebrate taxa are less common and include fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals. Invertebrate taxa and plants are common and include gastropods, bivalves, leaves, and pollen.

Table 6.1-1				
Summary of Geologic Units in the Project with PFYC and Paleontological Resources				
Series	Geologic Unit	PFYC	Location	Typical Paleontological Resources
Paleocene	Fort Union Formation/Group			
	Sentinel Butte	4	ND	Vertebrate taxa are rare and include fish, salamanders, reptiles, birds, and mammals. Invertebrate taxa are common and include bivalves and gastropods. Plants are common and include leaves, seeds, fruits, logs, and stumps.
	Tongue River	4	ND, MT	Vertebrate taxa recovered are rare and include fish, salamanders, reptiles, birds, and mammals. Invertebrate taxa and plants are very common and include bivalves and gastropods along with many leaves, seeds, fruits, and pollen.
	Lebo	4	MT	Vertebrate taxa recovered include amphibian, bird, reptile and a wide variety of mammals. Invertebrate taxa and plants are very common and include bivalves and gastropods along with many leaf impressions.
	Cannonball	4	ND	Vertebrate taxa are rare and include sharks, rays, bony fish, turtles, crocodilians, and champsosaurs. Invertebrates are common and include bivalves, gastropods, foraminifera, crabs, lobsters, corals, ostracodes, bryozoans, and echinoids.
	Ludlow	4	ND, MT	Vertebrate taxa are rare and include amphibian, bird, reptile, and mammals. Invertebrate taxa and plants are the most common and include bivalves, gastropods, leaves, seeds, fruits, flowers, cones, and pollen.
	Tullock	4	MT	Vertebrate taxa are rare and include amphibian, bird, reptile, and mammals.
Cretaceous	Hell Creek Formation	5	ND, MT	Vertebrate taxa recovered include sharks, rays, bony fishes, amphibians, turtles, crocodilians, pterosaurs, a diverse dinosaur assemblage, birds, and mammals. Invertebrate taxa include insects, bivalves, gastropods, and rare ammonites. Plants are known from leaves, seeds, flowers, cones, roots and fruits.
	Fox Hills Formation	4	MT	Vertebrate taxa recovered include sharks, amphibians, mosasaurs, other marine reptiles, and birds. Common invertebrate taxa include pelecypods, bryozoans, and rare crabs.
	Pierre Shale	4	MT	Vertebrate taxa recovered include bony fishes, sharks, turtles, crocodilians, mosasaurs, plesiosaurs, rare dinosaurs, pterosaurs, and birds. Invertebrate fossils are very common and include bivalves, cephalopods, gastropods, echinoderms, arthropods, corals, bryozoans, and brachiopods.
Source: BLM, 2015; BLM, 2022				







6.2 PREVIOUSLY DOCUMENTED FOSSIL LOCALITIES

Previously documented locality searches were conducted for the Project during the field surveys. The locality searches encompassed all proposed areas of the Project right-of-way plus a one-mile buffer with a focus on where the Project crosses lands managed by the BLM, USFS, USDA ARS, in addition to Montana and North Dakota State lands. Public Land Survey System (PLSS) information for these parcels was submitted for analysis to respective agencies, repositories, and databases to find previously studied or published localities. Online databases, mindat.org (Hudson Institute of Mineralogy, 2024), University of California Museum of Paleontology (2024), and the Yale Peabody Museum (2024), were also searched for known localities. More than 50 localities were identified across the Project right-of-way and one-mile buffer in the Fort Union, Hell Creek, Fox Hills, and Pierre Shale Formations. The previous localities consisted of a variety of vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant taxa.

A complete listing is available in the field survey reports (Lukens and Shaw, 2024; Shaw et al., 2024).

6.3 FIELD SURVEY FOSSIL LOCALITIES

During the field surveys, vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant fossil localities were found. In 2023, a total of 82 fossil localities were documented with 17 localities representing vertebrates, one locality representing invertebrates, and 64 localities representing plants (Lukens and Shaw, 2024).

The vertebrate fossils range from solitary turtle shell fragments to partial turtle carapaces and plastrons, and crocodilian dermal ossicles, skeletal elements, and a tooth. Most of these fossils, exhibiting rounding from transportation prior to burial, were found as float and are weathered. The one invertebrate locality is found within a road cut that exposed a yellow-tan, medium to coarse-grained, cross-bedded sandstone channel deposit. Both Unionidae (freshwater clams) and Gastropoda (snail) shells are found within the channel on cross-bedding surfaces. The valves and shells are highly weathered with the shells delaminating. Trionychidae carapace fragments, a crocodilian tooth, and several unidentifiable bone fragments were also found in this deposit.

The plant localities ranged from well-preserved leaves that exhibit first and second order venation with well-defined margins to partial silicified logs that exhibit poor to excellent preservation and carbonized plant hash found within thinly laminated, light grey siltstones. Notably, two logs found on USDA ARS-administered Ft. Keogh exhibit excellent preservation tentatively identified as cf. Ginkgo. In the Project area, leaf and vegetative impressions were found on the surfaces of red-to-orange, iron concretary material largely within sandstones and siltstones, and on parting surfaces of the thinly bedded carbonaceous shales occurring adjacent to and within the lignites. Several silicified stumps were discovered and documented during the survey. More detailed information is available in the field survey reports (Lukens and Shaw, 2024; Shaw et al., 2024).

In 2024, an additional 28 localities were documented with 10 localities representing vertebrates, seven localities representing invertebrates, 10 localities representing plants, and one locality representing a trace fossil (Shaw et al., 2024).

7.0 MONITORING PLAN

All paleontological work during construction will be overseen by a Paleontological Principal Investigator (PI or paleontologist). The PI will be contracted to implement and direct paleontological monitoring activities described by this Plan. A qualified PI is an individual with a graduate degree in paleontology or geology and is proficient and experienced in recognizing, identifying, documenting, and collecting fossils in the field (BLM, 2008; SVP, 2010). Construction monitoring will be performed by the PI and/or Paleontological Resource Monitors who also are qualified to operate under the PI's Paleontological Resource Use Permit. Full-time inspection, or monitoring, is defined as having a paleontologist on-site during any ground disturbing activities to monitor for potential paleontological resources and collect scientifically significant fossils during construction.

7.1 GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR MONITORING

The results of the Project's paleontological assessments and field surveys define standard paleontological resource recommendations that include clearance, avoidance, fossil recovery, sampling, and monitoring. Definitions of these recommendations are provided in detail in Table 7.1-1.

TABLE 7.1-1 Standard Paleontological Mitigation Categories.	
Category	Mitigation Recommendation
Clearance	Based on the desktop review and/or field survey or monitoring results, if adverse impacts on paleontological resources are anticipated to be non-existent or below the level of significance for a given surface-disturbing action in a given area, and no further consideration of paleontological resources is deemed necessary, immediate paleontological clearance is recommended. A clearance recommendation can be made for an entire project area or any portion thereof (including surface and/or subsurface), depending on paleontological potential.
Avoidance	If the cost of fossil recovery or other mitigation options is determined to be too high, or permanent damage to the resource caused by surface disturbance is considered to be unavoidable, it may be necessary to "avoid" or "reroute" the portion of the project that intersects the fossil locality in order to prevent adverse impacts on the resource. Avoidance should also be considered if a known fossil locality appears to contain critical scientific information that should be left undisturbed for subsequent scientific evaluation. Avoidance for later scientific research is the typical mitigation recommendation made for scientifically significant extensive paleontological discoveries.
Fossil Recovery	If isolated small, medium- or large-sized fossils are discovered within a project area during field surveys or construction monitoring, and they are determined to be scientifically significant, they should be recovered. Fossil recovery may involve simply collecting a fully exposed fossil from the ground surface, or may involve a systematic excavation, depending upon the size and complexity of the fossil discovery. Fossil excavations should be designed in such a way as to minimize construction delays while properly collecting the fossil and associated data according to professional paleontological standards.
Sampling	Scientifically significant microfossils (vertebrate, invertebrate, plant, or trace fossils) may be identified in rock matrix during surveys or monitoring, or, if they are known to occur elsewhere in the same geologic unit or type of deposit in the general area, a determination of their presence or absence may require the use of test sampling of rock matrix for screen-washing in a paleontological laboratory. In some cases, depending upon the rock unit involved, test sampling may be appropriate even if microfossils are not visible in the field. The fossils found, if any, will then be inspected and evaluated to determine their significance and whether additional mitigation recommendations are necessary. Mitigation may include collection of additional matrix for screen-washing. The decision to sample may not be made until monitoring is occurring, because it is usually triggered by conditions in the field.
Monitoring	If significant (well-preserved, uncommon, and/or identifiable) paleontological resources are known to be present in an area, or if there is a high likelihood that subsurface fossils are present in geologic formations or members thereof within a given project area based on prior field surveys, museum records, or scientific or technical literature, paleontological monitoring of construction excavations would be recommended. Monitoring involves systematic inspections of graded cut slopes, trench sidewalls, spoils piles, and other types of construction excavations for the presence of fossils, and the fossil recovery and documentation of these fossils before they are destroyed by further ground-disturbing actions.

TABLE 7.1-1 Standard Paleontological Mitigation Categories.	
Category	Mitigation Recommendation
	Standard monitoring is typically used in the most paleontologically sensitive geographic areas/geologic units (PFYC 4 and 5); while spot- check monitoring is typically used in geographic areas/geologic units of moderate or unknown paleontological sensitivity (PFYC 3 or PFYC U). The goal of monitoring is to identify scientifically significant subsurface fossils as soon as they are unearthed in order to minimize damage to them and remove them and associated contextual data from the area of ground disturbance, thereby resulting in subsurface paleontological clearance. Microfossil sampling, macrofossil recovery, and avoidance of fossils may all occur during any monitoring program.

7.2 CONSTRUCTION TRAINING

Prior to earthmoving activities, all construction personnel will be briefed about the types of fossils that they could encounter and the steps to take if fossils are uncovered during construction within the Project area. The instruction will include a notification protocol for all personnel to follow if fossils are discovered and a paleontologist is not present. Instruction will stress the non-renewable nature of paleontological resources; the restrictions of fossil collection on federal, state, and private lands; the consequences of illegal collecting; and why fossils are significant to science and humanity. This training will be accomplished at the pre-construction kick-off meeting and conducted by the paleontologist.

7.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

The Project is located primarily in areas of high and very high fossil potential (White River Group [PFYC 5], Golden Valley [PFYC 4], Fort Union [PFYC 4], Hell Creek [PFYC 5], Fox Hills [PFYC 4], and Pierre [PFYC 4] Formations) with numerous exposed bedrock outcrops. The paleontological resources within these geologic units tend to occur mainly in localized deposits. For this reason, it is difficult to determine where fossils will occur prior to construction except in locations where previous or new fossil localities are known. Pre-construction, pedestrian paleontological resource surveys document and define the significance of the fossil resources found within the survey area. These surveys, as mentioned in Section 5.1, can provide recommendations for surface activities. Multiple fossils were discovered and documented during field surveys. These localities indicate the possible encounter of subsurface fossils at those locations. However, geological formations identified with high and very high potential, with no surficial finds, can still contain significant fossils within the subsurface.

All areas with exposed and subsurface PFYC 4 or 5 geologic units (White River Group, Golden Valley, Fort Union, Hell Creek, Fox Hills, and Pierre Formations) located on federal- and state-managed lands will be monitored by the paleontologist during ground disturbing construction activities. Fossils on private lands are not subject to federal survey or monitoring recommendations; however, North Plains will encourage construction personnel to monitor and report fossils and will implement applicable fossil monitoring and discovery procedures at the request of individual landowners.

Only geologic units with PFYC 4 or 5 on federal- and state-managed lands are required to be monitored by a paleontologist during ground disturbing activities. Federal and state agencies in consultation with the paleontologist will determine the extent and intensity of monitoring needed across their managed lands.

It was previously determined by the USDA ARS that full-time monitoring will be required within the USDA ARS-managed tracts listed in Table 7.3-1 due to the high number of significant botanical and vertebrate localities identified during the 2023 field survey (Lukens and Shaw, 2024).

TABLE 7.3-1 PLSS List of Tracts Managed by the USDA ARS Requiring Full-Time Monitoring		
Section	Township	Range
23	6N	46E
24	6N	46E
13	6N	46E
19	6N	47E
18	6N	47E
20	6N	47E
17	6N	47E

Areas with PFYC 2 or U geologic units (Quaternary deposits) will be spot-checked at the discretion of the paleontologist. Should fossils be encountered in PFYC 2 or U geologic units, the Unanticipated Discovery Plan (see Appendix C) will be implemented first. The paleontologist then will determine if further monitoring is necessary.

Following all Project safety requirements, monitoring will include visual inspections of foundation excavations and excavation sidewalls, graded surfaces, and spoil piles for evidence of fossils exposed during excavation. Inspecting/evaluating fossil discoveries should occur when conditions are safe. Prior to beginning monitoring work, the paleontologist, North Plains, and machinery operators should agree on procedures for brief work stoppages to allow for examination and collection of fossils. Along with the appropriate safety equipment, the paleontologist should also be equipped with flagging, survey stakes, and paleontology tools and supplies for fossil exploration and salvage.

Changes to monitoring efforts (increase, decrease, or cessation) will be based on observations made by the paleontologist and consultation and approval by North Plains, and relevant federal and state land managing agencies.

7.4 FOSSIL DISCOVERY AND SALVAGE

When fossils are discovered, the paleontologist will quickly explore and recover the fossil material and its scientific data to minimize construction delays. Paleontologists are expected and encouraged to signal and stop equipment operators to check suspected fossil discoveries. If a fossil is discovered by the paleontologist during monitoring, the Contractor will stop construction activities and immediately notify the Environmental Inspector (EI). The paleontologist will establish a protective buffer or flagging to demarcate the location and protect the fossils. An initial buffer of 100 feet surrounding the fossils should be allowed to adequately protect the fossils and paleontologists while construction activities resume outside the buffer. This buffer may be reduced following fossil evaluation and significance determination. The paleontologist and/or EI will notify North Plains in order to coordinate with the applicable land-managing agency to assist in evaluating and determining the significance of the discovery. Fossil salvage may require several minutes for small, isolated fossils; several hours for larger fossils; or possibly several days for extensive, complex localities.

Determination of significance and time needed to collect the discovered fossils may require consultation with North Plains, the relevant applicable land-managing agency, and the PI. Consultation could be required, for example, if the significant locality's surficial extent exceeds the Paleontological Resource Use Permit's limit of 1-square meter for collection.

Should the fossil locality become an extensive site on BLM land, the BLM will be notified immediately upon the discovery. A Locality-Specific Paleontological Mitigation Plan will be developed and submitted by the PI no more than 72 hours after the discovery and approved by the BLM within a reasonable review period. This plan will provide an explanation of the scientific significance of the paleontological resources, the methods to be used, and provisions for conservation, preparation, and curation. The draft Locality-Specific Paleontological Mitigation Plan will be submitted to consulting parties for a five-day working review, after which the comments and recommendations will be addressed by the PI, and a Final Locality-Specific Paleontological Mitigation Plan will be submitted. The mitigation plan for the extensive paleontological discovery will then be implemented by the Project.

Fossils should be collected when the determination of a fossil's significance is uncertain due to only a small portion of the fossil being visible. Significance may not be fully determined until additional preparation of the fossil is completed.

An unanticipated fossil discovery is defined as a discovery of paleontological resources in unexpected areas by construction personnel without the presence of a paleontologist. Upon discovery of fossil material by construction personnel, work will be temporarily halted within a 100-foot buffer and the Contractor will notify the EI to coordinate with the paleontologist to mobilize to the site for evaluation. The EI will establish a protective buffer or flagging to demarcate the location and protect the fossils until the arrival of the paleontologist. The procedures for the unanticipated discovery of fossils are described in the Unanticipated Discovery Plan (see Appendix C).

7.5 MICROFOSSILS

Microfossils (small to microscopic in size) consisting of vertebrates, invertebrates, plants, or trace fossils may be discovered during monitoring. Should significant microfossils be found, the paleontologist (with concurrence from North Plains and landowner or land-managing agency) will collect test samples for screening. If micro-vertebrate fossils are encountered during the screen testing, bulk matrix samples will be taken for offsite processing. In practice, the amount of matrix sampled will be dependent on the abundance or lack of fossil-rich matrix found.

7.6 LABORATORY WORK

All fossils collected from the Project will be removed to a secure paleontological laboratory for preparation, fossil identification, and pre-curation. Preparation will include 1) the removal of the surrounding matrix (rock) from the fossil material with hand tools, air scribes, and simple chemical methods and 2) the stabilization of the fossils by adhesives, consolidants, and supporting plaster jackets. Collected matrix will be screen-washed and dried for subsequent picking out of the remaining matrix under a microscope. Following preparation, fossils will be inventoried, identified to lowest taxon and element, locality forms created, and all relevant scientific data prepared for curation and then transported to a federal or state repository.

7.7 REPORTING

Following completion of the lab work and curation, a draft paleontological monitoring report will be submitted to North Plains and applicable federal and state land-management agencies for review. Report contents will follow professional, federal, and state standards. The final report will include a complete geographic information system data package and confidential locality data. Data from relevant BLM-administered lands will be uploaded to the BLM database.

8.0 PLAN REVISIONS

Table 8.0-1 Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial Plan draft.	February 2025
Rev 1	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025

9.0 REFERENCES

- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2008. Assessment and Mitigation of Potential Impacts to Paleontological Resources Instruction Memorandum No. 2009-011.
- BLM. 2015. Official Bureau of Land Management Potential Fossil Yield Classification for the geologic formations of Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota, (version 9/15/2015). Bureau of Land Management, Billings, Montana, 97 pp.
- BLM. 2016. Potential Fossil Yield Classification (PFYC) System for Paleontological Resources on Public Lands. Instruction Memorandum No. 2016-124.
- BLM. 2022. Potential Fossil Yield Classification (PFYC) System for Paleontological Resources on Public Lands. Permanent Instruction Memorandum No. 2022-009.
- Carlson, C.G. 1982. Geology of Grant and Sioux Counties, North Dakota: part I, North Dakota Geological Survey, Bulletin 67, 1:126,720.
- Carlson, C.G. 1983. Geology of Billings, Golden Valley, and Slope counties, North Dakota. North Dakota Geological Survey Bulletin, 76(1), 1-40.
- Clayton, L., Moran, S.R., Bluemle, J.P., and Carlson, C.G. (1980). Geologic map of North Dakota, U.S. Geological Survey, series unknown, 1:500,000.
- Lukens, M.W. and Shaw, A.R. 2024. Paleontological Survey Report for the North Plains Connector Transmission Line in Montana and North Dakota. KLJ, Billings MT. 52 pp.
- Hudson Institute of Mineralogy. 2024. Slope County, North Dakota, USA (mindat.org), Grant County, North Dakota, USA (mindat.org), Morton County, North Dakota, USA (mindat.org), Golden Valley County, North Dakota, USA (mindat.org), Hettinger County, North Dakota, USA (mindat.org), Custer County, Montana, USA (mindat.org), Rosebud County, Montana, USA (mindat.org). Available online at <https://www.mindat.org/>. Accessed January 2024


- Murphey, P.C., and Daitch, D. 2007. Paleontological overview of oil shale and tar sands areas in Colorado, Utah and Wyoming. U.S. Department of Energy, Argonne National Laboratory Report Prepared for the U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Land Management, 468 pp. and 6 maps (scale 1:500,000)
- Murphey, P.C., Knauss, G.E., Fisk, L.H., Deméré, T.A., and Reynolds, R.E. 2019. Best practices in mitigation paleontology. *Proceedings of the San Diego Society of Natural History*, 47, 1–43.
- Shaw, A.R., Lukens, M.W., and Seaux, G. 2024. North Plains Connector Transmission Line iProject in Montana and North Dakota: 2024 Paleontological Resources Field Survey Report. KLJ, Billings MT. 39 pp.
- State of North Dakota. 1990. Geological Survey Paleontological Resource Protection, North Dakota Administrative Code § Article 43-04 et seq. Available online at: <https://ndlegis.gov/information/acdata/html/43-04.html>. Accessed: July 2024.
- State of North Dakota. 2016. Paleontological Resource Protection, North Dakota Century Code § 54-17.3 et seq. Available online at: <https://statecodesfiles.justia.com/north-dakota/2016/title-54/chapter-54-17.3/chapter-54-17.3.pdf>. Accessed: July 2024.
- Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. 2010. Standard procedures for the assessment and mitigation of adverse impacts to paleontological resources. Available online at: https://vertpaleo.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/SVP_Impact_Mitigation_Guidelines.pdf. Accessed July 2024.
- University of California Museum of Paleontology Online Database. 2024. Available online at: <https://ucmpdb.berkeley.edu/loc.html>. Accessed January 2024
- Vuke, S.M., Bergantino, R.N., Colton, R.B., Wilde, E.M., and Heffern, E.L. 2001a. Geologic map of the Forsyth 30' x 60' quadrangle, eastern Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 425, 11 p., 1 sheet, scale 1:100,000.
- Vuke, S.M., Heffern, E.L., Bergantino, R.N., and Colton, R.B. 2001b. Geologic map of the Powderville 30' x 60' quadrangle, eastern Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 429, 8 p., 1 sheet, scale 1:100,000.
- Vuke, S.M., Luft, S.J., Colton, R.B., and Heffern, E.L. 2001c. Geologic map of the Miles City 30' x 60' quadrangle, eastern Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 426, 11 p., 1 sheet, scale 1:100,000.
- Vuke, S.M., Wilde, E.M., Colton, R.B., and Stickney, M.C. 2001d. Geologic map of the Baker 30' x 60' quadrangle, eastern Montana and adjacent North Dakota: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 427, 9 p., 1 sheet, scale 1:100,000.
- Vuke, S.M., and Colton, R.B. 2003. Geologic map of the Terry 30' x 60' quadrangle, eastern Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 477, 9 p., 1 sheet, scale 1:100,000.

Vuke, S.M., Wilde, E.M., Colton, R.B., and Stickney, M.C. 2003. Geologic map of the Wibaux 30' x 60' quadrangle, eastern Montana and adjacent North Dakota: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 465, 11 p., 1 sheet, scale 1:100,000.

Yale Peabody Museum Online Database. 2024. Advanced Search. Available online at: <https://peabody.yale.edu/>. Accessed January 10, 2024.


DRAFT

APPENDIX A
PROJECT-LANDOWNER OVERVIEW MAPS




***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
Preferred Structure	BLM	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 1 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



- | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Paleo Survey Area | PLSS Sections | State |
| Preferred Route | PLSS Townships | USDA ARS Ft. Keogh |
| Preferred Access | Private | USFS |
| Laydown Yard | BLM | |




Project-Landowner Overview			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 2 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
County Line	BLM	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 3 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
County Line	BLM	



Project-Landowner Overview


Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 4 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000



NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



- | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Paleo Survey Area | County Line | BLM |
| Preferred Route | PLSS Sections | State |
| Preferred Access | PLSS Townships | USDA ARS Ft. Keogh |
| Laydown Yard | Private | USFS |




Project-Landowner Overview			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 5 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 6 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World
Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State
Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000





NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 7 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT

1:50,000




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 8 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 9 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



- | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Paleo Survey Area | PLSS Townships | USDA ARS Ft. Keogh |
| Preferred Route | Private | USFS |
| Preferred Access | BLM | |
| PLSS Sections | State | |




Project-Landowner Overview			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 10 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



- | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Paleo Survey Area | PLSS Sections | State |
| Preferred Route | PLSS Townships | USDA ARS Ft. Keogh |
| Preferred Access | Private | USFS |
| County Line | BLM | |




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 11 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 12 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT 1:50,000			






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
Laydown Yard	BLM	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 13 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT

1:50,000




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	State Border	Private	USFS
Preferred Route	County Line	BLM	
Preferred Access	PLSS Sections	State	
Laydown Yard	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 15 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT




1:50,000






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Project-Landowner Overview			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 16 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT			
1:50,000			



NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
	BLM	



Project-Landowner Overview


Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 17 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000




***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
Laydown Yard	BLM	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 18 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World
Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State
Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT


1:50,000






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 19 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	County Line	BLM
Preferred Route	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Access	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Laydown Yard	Private	USFS




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 20 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT


1:50,000






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
Laydown Yard	BLM	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 21 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 22 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000





NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
County Line	BLM	




Project-Landowner Overview			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 23 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT			
1:50,000			






**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



- | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Paleo Survey Area | PLSS Sections | State |
| Preferred Route | PLSS Townships | USDA ARS Ft. Keogh |
| Preferred Access | Private | USFS |
| Laydown Yard | BLM | |




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 24 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 25 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World
Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State
Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT


1:50,000






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



- | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|------|
| Paleo Survey Area | Laydown Yard | Private | USFS |
| Preferred Route | County Line | BLM | |
| Preferred Access | PLSS Sections | State | |
| Preferred Structure | PLSS Townships | USDA ARS Ft. Keogh | |




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 26 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT


1:50,000






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 27 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World
Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State
Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT


1:50,000






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Laydown Yard	BLM
Preferred Route	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Access	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Structure	Private	USFS




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 28 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 29 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
Laydown Yard	BLM	




Project-Landowner Overview			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 30 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT			
1:50,000			






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 31 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World
Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State
Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT

1:50,000





NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND

0 0.5 1 Miles

Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Access	Private	USFS
Laydown Yard	BLM	


Montana North Dakota South Dakota
Miles City Dickinson Mandan Bismarck

Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 32 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT

1:50,000




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	County Line	BLM
Preferred Route	PLSS Sections	State
Preferred Access	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Laydown Yard	Private	USFS




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 33 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000






***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	USDA ARS Ft. Keogh
Preferred Route	Private	USFS
Preferred Access	BLM	
PLSS Sections	State	




Project-Landowner Overview

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 34 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, Montana State Library, ND GIS, MDT, & NDDOT
1:50,000




ATTACHMENT B
GEOLOGY CLOSE-UP MAPS




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (MT)	Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
Preferred Access	Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	
Preferred Structure	Alluvium (Qal)	
PLSS Sections	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 1 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer			
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (MT)	Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
Preferred Access	Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	
Laydown Yard	Alluvium (Qal)	
PLSS Sections	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 2 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (MT)	Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
Preferred Route	Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	
Preferred Access	Alluvium of alluvial terrace deposit (QTat)	
County Line	Alluvium (Qal)	
PLSS Sections	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)	
PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)	




Geology Close-up

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 3 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG

1:50,000




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (MT)	Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
Preferred Route	Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	
Preferred Access	Alluvium of alluvial terrace deposit (QTat)	
County Line	Alluvium (Qal)	
PLSS Sections	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)	
PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 4 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (MT)	Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)
Laydown Yard	Alluvium (Qal)	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)
County Line	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)	
PLSS Sections		




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 5 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (MT)	Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
Preferred Route	Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	
Preferred Access	Alluvium (Qal)	
PLSS Sections	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)	
PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 6 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




<div><div></div></div> Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (MT)	<div><div></div></div> Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
<div><div></div></div> Preferred Route	<div><div></div></div> Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	
<div><div></div></div> Preferred Access	<div><div></div></div> Alluvium (Qal)	
<div><div></div></div> PLSS Sections	<div><div></div></div> Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)	
<div><div></div></div> PLSS Townships	<div><div></div></div> Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 7 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




<div><div></div><div>Paleo Survey Area</div></div> <div><div></div><div>Preferred Route</div></div> <div><div></div><div>Preferred Access</div></div> <div><div></div><div>PLSS Sections</div></div> <div><div></div><div>PLSS Townships</div></div>
Surface Geology (MT) <div><div></div><div>Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)</div></div> <div><div></div><div>Alluvium (Qal)</div></div> <div><div></div><div>Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)</div></div> <div><div></div><div>Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)</div></div>
<div><div></div><div>Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)</div></div>




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 8 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (MT)	Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
Preferred Route	Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	
Preferred Access	Alluvium (Qal)	
PLSS Sections	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)	
PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 9 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			



NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	Alluvium (Qal)
Preferred Route	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)
Preferred Access	Ludlow Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfld)
PLSS Sections	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)
PLSS Townships	Tullock Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tft)
Surface Geology (MT)	
Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 10 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer			
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (MT)	
Preferred Access	Alluvium (Qal)	
County Line	Lebo Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfle)	
PLSS Sections	Ludlow Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfld)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 11 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (MT)
Preferred Route	Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)
Preferred Access	Alluvium (Qal)
PLSS Sections	Ludlow Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfld)
PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 12 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Alluvium (Qal)
Preferred Route	Hell Creek Formation (Khc)
Preferred Access	Ludlow Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfld)
Laydown Yard	Pierre Shale (Kp)
PLSS Sections	Timber Lake & Trail City Mbrs, Fox Hills Fm (Kfft)
PLSS Townships	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)
Surface Geology (MT)	
Alluvial terrace deposit (Qat)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 13 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer			
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Colgate Mbr, Fox Hills Fm (Kfc)
Preferred Route	Hell Creek Formation (Khc)
Preferred Access	Ludlow Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfld)
PLSS Sections	Pierre Shale (Kp)
PLSS Townships	Timber Lake & Trail City Mbrs, Fox Hills Fm (Kftt)
Surface Geology (MT)	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)
Alluvium (Qal)	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 14 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer			
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			



NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections	Tongue River Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tftr)
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Surface Geology (MT)	Ludlow Fm, Fort Union Gp (TI)
Laydown Yard	Alluvium (Qal)	Sentinel Butte Fm, Fort Union Gp (Ts)
State Border	Hell Creek Formation (Khc)	
County Line	Ludlow Mbr, Fort Union Fm (Tfld)	




Geology Close-up

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 15 of 34
---------------	----------------	-----------------------	---------------

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG

1:50,000




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND


Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
County Line	Ludlow Fm, Fort Union Gp (TI)
PLSS Sections	Sentinel Butte Fm, Fort Union Gp (Ts)

Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 16 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Route	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
Preferred Access	Ludlow Fm, Fort Union Gp (TI)
PLSS Sections	Sentinel Butte Fm, Fort Union Gp (Ts)
PLSS Townships	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 17 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
Laydown Yard	Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)
PLSS Sections	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 18 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)
PLSS Sections	White River Group (Tw)




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 19 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			



NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area

Preferred Route

Preferred Access

Laydown Yard

County Line

PLSS Sections

PLSS Townships

Surface Geology (ND)

Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)

Geology Close-up

Drawn By: JDP

Date: 8/8/2024


Project #: 2309-00309

Page 20 of 34

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer


Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG

1:50,000




***NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan***


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



- | | |
|-------------------|--|
| Paleo Survey Area | PLSS Townships |
| Preferred Route | Surface Geology (ND) |
| Preferred Access | Golden Valley Formation (Tg) |
| Laydown Yard | Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts) |
| PLSS Sections | |




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 21 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			



NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area

Preferred Route

Preferred Access

PLSS Sections

PLSS Townships

Surface Geology (ND)

Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)

Golden Valley Formation (Tg)

Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)

Geology Close-up

Drawn By: JDP

Date: 8/8/2024

Project #: 2309-00309


Page 22 of 34

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer

Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG


1:50,000

KLJ



NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area

Preferred Route

Preferred Access

County Line

PLSS Sections

PLSS Townships

Surface Geology (ND)

Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)

Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)

Geology Close-up

Drawn By:
JDP

Date:
8/8/2024


Project #:
2309-00309

Page 23
of 34

Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer


Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG

1:50,000




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
Laydown Yard	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)
PLSS Sections	Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 24 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
PLSS Sections	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 25 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Sections
Preferred Route	PLSS Townships
Preferred Access	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Structure	Hell Creek Formation (Kh)
Laydown Yard	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
County Line	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)




Geology Close-up

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 26 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG

1:50,000




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Hell Creek Formation (Kh)
PLSS Sections	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)




Geology Close-up

Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 27 of 34
------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------


Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG

1:50,000




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



- | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Paleo Survey Area | Laydown Yard | Surface Geology (ND) |
| Preferred Route | PLSS Sections | |
| Preferred Access | PLSS Townships | |
| Preferred Structure | | |
| | | Fox Hills Formation (Kf) |
| | | Hell Creek Formation (Kh) |
| | | Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc) |




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 28 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer			
Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Route	Hell Creek Formation (Kh)
Preferred Access	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
PLSS Sections	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)
PLSS Townships	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 29 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Hell Creek Formation (Kh)
Laydown Yard	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
PLSS Sections	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)






Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 30 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 31 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




**NPC Paleontological Resources
Monitoring and Mitigation Plan**


Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
Laydown Yard	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)
PLSS Sections	Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 32 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			




NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND




Paleo Survey Area	PLSS Townships
Preferred Route	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Access	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
Laydown Yard	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)
County Line	Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)
PLSS Sections	




Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 33 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			






NPC Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

Colstrip, MT to Bismarck, ND



Paleo Survey Area	Surface Geology (ND)
Preferred Route	Tongue River (Bullion Creek) Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tb)
Preferred Access	Cannonball Fm, Fort Union Gp (Tc)
PLSS Sections	Sentinel Butte Formation, Fort Union Gp (Ts)
PLSS Townships	



Geology Close-up			
Drawn By: JDP	Date: 8/8/2024	Project #: 2309-00309	Page 34 of 34
Orthophoto Source: ESRI World Imagery Layer Data Sources: KLJ, MT State Library, ND GIS, MDT, NDDOT, & MBMG			
1:50,000			

ATTACHMENT C
UNANANTICIPATED DISCOVERY PLAN

UNANTICIPATED DISCOVERY PLAN

Procedure to Follow After Discoveries Are Made

Unusual objects might be encountered during ground disturbing activities that might be fossils. In those cases, these steps should be followed. All project personnel should be instructed on procedures in the event an unanticipated paleontological resource discovery is found. All contact information for relevant personnel outlined in this procedure is found below.

Abbreviations: Paleontology Principal Investigator (PI or paleontologist), Paleontological Resources Monitor (PRM).

1. In the event that suspected paleontological resources are uncovered during ground disturbing activities, the Contractor will immediately notify the appropriate Project Coordinator. All undertaking-related activities, including vehicular traffic, within 100 feet of the discovery should immediately be halted. Fossils will be left in place untouched until further instructions are received from the PI.
2. The Project Coordinator will immediately notify the PRM (if present) and the PI for confirmation of the discovery.
3. If the discovery is confirmed and determined by the PI or PRM to be significant:
 - a. For federal land(s), the PI will notify the relevant Federal Field Office Manager and/or Paleontology Coordinator.
 - b. For discoveries on State land, the PI will notify the State Coordinator for consultation.
 - c. If the discovery is on private land, the PI will notify the landowner. The PI will determine the significance of the paleontological discovery and the need for mitigation and make recommendations to the landowner.
4. If the discovery is not significant, the fossil(s) will be documented, a locality form completed, and left in place. The PI will authorize work to continue and follow-up with an email to the relevant federal or state agency.
5. Following the determination of fossil significance, the PI will do the following:
 - a. If the find can be immediately salvaged and fully documented by the paleontologist, no additional action is required, and the salvage will be reported to the federal or state agency as part of the normal reporting, and the PI can authorize work to restart once salvage is completed.
 - b. If the mitigation of the find will take more than half a day (4 hours) or an extended amount of time (more than 8 hours), the PI may need to develop and implement a separate mitigation plan to adequately document and excavate the paleontological resources. The PI will notify the relevant federal or state agency immediately upon discovery of a large or extensive fossil locality.
 - c. The PI will submit the mitigation plan to the federal or state agency for review. The mitigation plan shall identify qualified personnel per federal and state regulations who will conduct mitigation activities. The federal or state agency will approve a mitigation plan within seven (7) business days of submittal.

- d. If a mitigation plan is required, work will not resume within 100 feet of the paleontological find until the federal or state agency notifies the Project (in writing) that mitigation is complete, and activities can resume. The federal or state agency will issue a Notice to Proceed after review and approval of the draft report from the PI.
- 6. The PI will prepare a preliminary list of recovered fossils that conforms to the standards for paleontological resource reports. A copy of this report shall accompany the fossils to the approved curation facility (repository) or given to the landowner if found on private land. Final reports will be submitted to all applicable agencies as required.
- 7. Paleontological resources recovered from federal and state lands will be prepared for curation in accordance with standard professional paleontological techniques and curated at a designated federally approved repository.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Name	Title/Agency	Phone	E-mail
Federal – Bureau of Land Management			
Samantha Keeran	Miles City Office Paleontology Coordinator	406-233-2800	
Greg Liggett	MT/Dak State Office Paleontologist	406-896-5162	gliggett@blm.gov
Federal – USDA Forest Service			
Brian Kempenich	Dakota Prairie Grasslands, Minerals Program Coordinator	701-227-7847	Brian.kempenich@usda.gov
Federal – USDA Agricultural Research Service			
Jay Angerer	For Keogh Livestock & Range Research Laboratory, Research Leader	406-874-8222	Jay.angerer@usda.gov
William Ross	Administrative and Financial Management, Realty Specialist	970-492-7071	william.ross@usda.gov
State - Montana			
Patrick Rennie	DNRC Archaeologist	406-444-2882	prennie@mt.gov
State – North Dakota			
Clint Boyd	NDGS Paleontologist	701-328-8163	caboyd@nd.gov
Paleontological Resources Consultant (PI)			
TBD	Paleontologist		
Paleontological Resources Monitor(s) (PRM)			
TBD			
TBD			
Project Manager: Grid United			
Brian Dudeck	Grid United		Brian.dudeck@gridunited.com
Project Coordinator(s)			
TBD			
Contractors			
TBD			
TBD			

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT I

Plan for the Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Plan for the Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials

North Plains Connector LLC



January 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	1
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	1
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION	1
5.0	TRAINING	2
6.0	CONTAMINATED MATERIALS DUE DILIGENCE	2
7.0	DISCOVERY OF CONTAMINATED MATERIALS	2
7.1	INITIAL RESPONSE PROCEDURES	2
7.2	CONTAINMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION	3
8.0	NOTIFICATIONS	3
8.1	LAND-MANAGING AGENCY CONTACTS	3
8.2	JURISDICTIONAL CONTACTS	4
9.0	AVOIDANCE AND RESPONSE PLANS	5
10.0	PLAN REVISIONS	5

LIST OF TABLES

Table 8.1-1	Land-Managing Agency Contacts for Unanticipated Contamination Discovery .	4
Table 8.2-1	Jurisdictional Contacts for Unanticipated Contamination Discovery	4
Table 10.0-1	Plan Revisions	5

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A	Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials Report
--------------	--

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
Contractor	construction contractor
EI	Environmental Inspector
Grid United	Grid United LLC
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
Plan	Draft Plan for the Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials
Project	North Plains Connector Project
USFS	U.S. Forest Service

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

The Draft Plan for the Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials (Plan) outlines the standard procedures that North Plains will implement throughout Project construction to manage contaminated material encountered during Project work. This Plan will apply to material contaminated as a result of historical activities or events that occurred prior to the Project commencing.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Construction activities associated with the Project are subject to various regulations regarding the management of contaminated materials, such as soils and groundwater. When assessing which mitigation measures are appropriate for a specific area, North Plains will adhere to this Plan and the applicable federal, state, and local permits, which condition or regulate contaminated materials management. Where permit and agency requirements differ, the more stringent requirements will be followed.

In the event of an unanticipated release, North Plains will follow the steps and notification requirements outlined in the Spill Prevention and Response Plan to maintain compliance with regulatory reporting requirements.

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance, including the contents of this Plan. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of the Project construction, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan, the CMRP, and in all applicable Project permits.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors (EIs) during the construction phase. Further information on EIs and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

5.0 TRAINING

Before commencing construction, North Plains will organize environmental training sessions for relevant Project personnel. These training programs will cover relevant construction, restoration, and mitigation plans, including this Plan, along with any applicable permit conditions. Furthermore, North Plains will conduct large-group training sessions before each work crew begins construction, followed by periodic follow-up training for newly assigned personnel. Training will be documented, and training records will be saved with Project files.

6.0 CONTAMINATED MATERIALS DUE DILIGENCE

North Plains conducted desktop reviews of land crossed by the Project for records of previous contamination. This review did not identify any previous locations of contamination within the Project workspace. As the Project design is finalized, North Plains will continue to conduct reviews to identify any previously recorded sites that could impact, or be impacted by, the Project.

This Plan addresses the measures North Plains will take in the event previously undiscovered contaminated soil, water, or other material is detected during Project construction.

7.0 DISCOVERY OF CONTAMINATED MATERIALS

Throughout construction, North Plains, the Contractor and the EI(s) will observe work areas for signs of potential contamination, including:

- discoloration of soil;
- chemical-like odors from soil or water;
- oily sheens or puddles on soil or water;
- buried drums or waste such as garbage, debris, ash, medical waste, or clinical containers;
- discolored surface water; and
- differences in vegetation growth.

7.1 INITIAL RESPONSE PROCEDURES

If signs of potential contamination are encountered, North Plains will stop work in the area of the suspected contamination, restrict access to the suspected contamination site, and notify the EI or the Spill Coordinator (identified in the Spill Prevention and Response Plan), the applicable landowner, and the appropriate agency(ies). The EI or Spill Coordinator will complete the *Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials Report* form provided in Attachment A with the assistance of on-site personnel. This form will serve as a record of contaminated material discovery and documentation of the measures outlined in this Plan.

7.2 CONTAINMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION

North Plains will immediately initiate measures to avoid the spread of contaminated material until the type of contaminant, the concentration, potential exposure routes, and management options are evaluated and next steps determined. If signs of potential contamination are observed during construction, the following procedures will be implemented:

- Excavation will stop in the area of potential contamination and the EI, Construction Manager, and North Plains Environmental will be contacted immediately.
- If potentially contaminated soil will not be backfilled, the soil will be placed on an impervious surface or 10-mil polyethylene and covered with 10-mil polyethylene to prevent rainfall run-on and run-off.
- Contaminated materials will be stored in appropriately designated and approved areas away from wetlands, waterbodies, or stormwater conveyances until proper disposal by a licensed disposal contractor has been arranged. North Plains will not remove potentially contaminated soil from the site unless approved to do so by the applicable regulatory authority.
- Measures will be implemented to prevent precipitation run-off from entering open excavations containing contaminants, such as installing water bars to divert runoff from entering the excavation. Potentially contaminated groundwater will not be pumped from the excavation.
- Potentially contaminated soil and groundwater will be managed in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

After contaminated material is recovered, machinery used in the clean-up will be decontaminated, and recovered material will be treated as hazardous waste. Contaminated cleanup materials, such as absorbent pads, and vegetation will be disposed of in a similar manner. If necessary, characterization of contaminated materials will be performed either by the relevant agency or by North Plains under the guidance of the relevant agency using available material-specific standard operating procedures. North Plains may verify successful remediation through sampling and laboratory analysis of the contaminated area.

In general, North Plains will seek expert advice to properly clean up significantly contaminated sites. Clean up and disposal of contaminated materials is also discussed in the CMRP, the Hazardous Materials Management Plan, and the Spill Prevention and Response Plan.

8.0 NOTIFICATIONS

Should contamination be discovered during the Project, North Plains will contact the applicable land-managing and jurisdictional agencies outlined in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 consistent with applicable reporting regulations.

8.1 LAND-MANAGING AGENCY CONTACTS

Should contamination be discovered during the Project, North Plains will contact the applicable land-managing agency, listed below for guidance. Hazardous or deleterious substances or other

wastes contamination, regardless of size, will be reported to the applicable agency(ies). North Plains will provide the information identified in Attachment A to facilitate the agency's characterization of the contamination.

TABLE 8.1-1		
Land-Managing Agency Contacts for Unanticipated Contamination Discovery		
Project Area / Land-Managing Agency	Address	Phone Number
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT (BLM)-MANAGED LANDS		
BLM	111 Garryowen Road Miles City, MT 59301	(406) 896-5004
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)-MANAGED LANDS		
USDA Agriculture Research Service -Fort Keogh	243 Fort Keogh Road Miles City, MT 59301	(406) 874-8200
NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM-MANAGED LANDS		
U.S. Forest Service (USFS) Dakota Prairie Grasslands	2000 Miriam Circle Bismarck, ND 58501	(701) 989-7300
USFS Little Missouri National Grasslands Medora Ranger District	99 23rd Ave. W. Suite B Dickinson, ND 58601	(701) 227-7800
MONTANA STATE-MANAGED LANDS		
Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation	1539 Eleventh Ave. Helena, MT 59601	(406) 444-2074
NORTH DAKOTA STATE-MANAGED LANDS		
North Dakota Department of Trust Lands	1707 N 9 th Street Bismarck, ND 58501	(701) 328-2800

8.2 JURISDICTIONAL CONTACTS

Should contamination be discovered during the Project, North Plains will contact the appropriate agency with jurisdiction, listed below for guidance. Hazardous or deleterious substances or other wastes contamination, regardless of size, will be reported to the applicable agency(ies). North Plains will provide the information identified in Attachment A to facilitate the agency's characterization of the contamination.

TABLE 8.2-1			
Jurisdictional Contacts for Unanticipated Contamination Discovery			
Agency	Address	Phone Number	Jurisdiction
USACE, Omaha District - Montana	P.O. Box 7032 Billings, MT 59103	(406) 657-5910	Contamination identified within jurisdictional wetlands and waterbodies in Montana
USACE, Omaha District - North Dakota	3319 University Drive Bismarck, ND 58504	(701) 255-0015	Contamination identified within jurisdictional wetlands and waterbodies in North Dakota
Montana Department of Environmental Quality	1520 E 6 th Avenue Helena, MT 59601	(406) 444-2544	Contamination identified within the State of Montana
North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality	4201 Normandy Street Bismarck, ND 58503-1324	(701) 328-5150	Contamination identified within the State of North Dakota

9.0 AVOIDANCE AND RESPONSE PLANS

If a contaminant is found to pose an immediate threat to human health or safety, the area in which the contamination was discovered will be evacuated and secured until trained personnel are onsite, the appropriate notifications have been made as required by applicable law and permit conditions, and mitigation measures have been designed and implemented to allow the removal of the contaminant. Contaminated soil will not be returned to an excavation unless written approval is obtained by the appropriate regulatory agency and by North Plains.

If the contaminant does not pose an immediate health or safety concern and will not interfere with construction activities, a written response plan for conducting construction activities within the contaminated area will be prepared. North Plains may excavate test pits or borings to evaluate the extent of the contamination within the Project workspace. Depending on the nature and extent of contaminated media, site-specific measures may be identified to complete construction without impacting the contaminated area, or complete construction without facilitating conveyance of the hazardous materials outside the boundary of the previously contaminated area. These measures may include:

- storing excavated soil on an impervious surface or a sheet of 10-mil polyethylene;
- avoiding water withdrawals from excavations;
- removing and disposing contaminated media at an approved disposal facility;
- replacing contaminated soil with clean backfill; or
- implementing staged withdrawal and disposal of standing water from excavations during backfilling to avoid overflow and runoff.

10.0 PLAN REVISIONS

TABLE 10.0-1		
Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial Plan draft.	February 2025
Rev 1	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025
Rev 2	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	January 2026

ATTACHMENT A

**UNANTICIPATED DISCOVERY OF
CONTAMINATED MATERIALS REPORT**



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Unanticipated Discovery of Contaminated Materials Report				
Date		Time		
Location Information				
Site Name/Milepost				
GPS Coordinates				
Other Location Information				
Property Owner				
Observations				
Depth				
Odor				
Color				
Physical State				
Notification Record				
Date	Time	Agency	Contact	Notes

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT J

Spill Prevention and Response Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Spill Prevention and Response Plan

North Plains Connector LLC



GRID UNITED

January 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	1
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	1
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION	2
5.0	TRAINING	2
6.0	GENERAL PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, QUANTITIES, AND STORAGE	2
6.1	FUEL, LUBRICANT, AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE AREAS	3
6.2	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	4
6.3	INSPECTIONS	5
7.0	SPILL PREVENTION MEASURES	6
7.1	SECONDARY CONTAINMENT	6
7.2	REFUELING	6
8.0	SPILL RESPONSE MEASURES	8
8.1	SPILL RESPONSE EQUIPMENT	8
8.2	IMMEDIATE CONTAINMENT AND RESPONSE	9
8.2.1	Spills Occurring in Upland Areas	10
8.2.2	Spills Occurring in Wetlands or Waterbodies	10
9.0	NOTIFICATIONS AND REPORTING	11
9.1	EMERGENCY CONTACTS	11
9.1.1	FEDERAL	11
9.1.2	MONTANA	12
9.1.3	NORTH DAKOTA	13
10.0	POST-SPILL CLEAN UP REQUIREMENTS	14
10.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	14
11.0	RECORDKEEPING	15
12.0	PLAN REVISIONS	16
13.0	REFERENCES	16

LIST OF TABLES

Table 9.1.2-1	Contact Information for Reporting Spills on BLM or USDA Land in Montana	13
Table 9.1.3-1	Contact List for National Forest System Lands	14
Table 12.0-1	Plan Revisions	16

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A	Construction Spill Report Form
Attachment B	Emergency Response Contractors; Disposal And Treatment Facilities

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMRP	Construction, Mitigation, and Restoration Plan
Contractor	construction contractor
DOT	Department of Transportation
MDEQ	Montana Department of Environmental Quality
MDES	Montana Department of Emergency Services
NDDEQ	North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
NRC	National Response Center
Plan	Draft Spill Prevention and Response Plan
Project	North Plains Connector Project
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

The Draft Spill Prevention and Response Plan (Plan) was developed to provide measures that will be used by North Plains to prevent, control, and respond to spills of substances that may affect surface waters. This Plan also discusses proper storage and handling procedures of hazardous substances.

Based on Project design, the development of a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan, required for facilities that store more than 1,320 gallons of petroleum products, is not applicable. Should Project activities require storage of more than 1,320 gallons of petroleum products in containers with capacities of 55 gallons or greater, North Plains will develop a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan in accordance with Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 112.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

North Plains will comply with all permit and land-managing agency requirements with regard to the prevention of and response to spills of hazardous substances. Federal- and state-specific notification and reporting requirements are discussed in greater detail in Section 9.0 of this Plan. Where requirements differ, the more stringent will be followed.

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance, to include hazardous materials management. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of the Project construction, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan, the CMRP, and in all applicable Project permits.

North Plains will appoint a Spill Coordinator who will be responsible for coordinating crews for spill cleanup, investigating spills, and the completion of spill report forms. The Spill Coordinator will be responsible for reporting spills to North Plains.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ Environmental Inspectors during the construction phase. Further information on Environmental Inspectors and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

5.0 TRAINING

Before commencing construction, North Plains will organize environmental training sessions for relevant Project personnel. These training programs will cover relevant construction, restoration, and mitigation plans, including this Plan, along with any applicable permit conditions. Furthermore, North Plains will conduct large-group training sessions before each work crew begins construction, followed by periodic follow-up training for newly assigned personnel. Training will be documented, and training records will be saved with Project files.

North Plains will provide training to all personnel on the appropriate methods to prevent, control, and respond to spills. North Plains will train personnel in the proper management of those materials under normal operating circumstances and under emergency circumstances. At a minimum, training will cover:

- contents of this Spill Response and Prevention Plan;
- general Project operations;
- liquids and waste materials storage;
- secondary containment;
- safety and health considerations;
- operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent spills;
- spill response and recovery procedures; and
- reporting procedures.

6.0 GENERAL PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, QUANTITIES, AND STORAGE

Equipment used to construct the Project will require the use of various petroleum products. Typical fuels used for the Project will include diesel fuel and gasoline; typical lubricants will include engine oil, transmission/drive train oil, hydraulic oil, gear oil, and general lubricating grease; and typical coolants will include glycols, such as anti-freeze.

The quantity of liquids stored onsite will vary throughout the life of the Project. North Plains will store liquids in bulk or retail packaging at contractor yards, which will be transported in trucks to the Project workspace as needed. Licensed operators will use fuel trucks to transport large quantities of fuel and smaller quantities of fuel may be transported via pickup trucks and stored temporarily in the Project workspace along the right-of-way.

6.1 FUEL, LUBRICANT, AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE AREAS

Storage of containers will be conducted in accordance with U.S. Environmental Policy Agency regulations 40 CFR Part 112, 40 CFR Part 261, and 40 CFR Part 265.170.

- All petroleum products required for fueling and maintenance of construction equipment will be stored in designated, well maintained, and secured locations to minimize the environmental and safety impacts associated with spills of fuel, lubricants, or hazardous materials.
- Areas in which petroleum products are stored and which have the potential to be spilled off site will be designed to contain spills. Appropriate secondary containment will include dikes, berms, or retaining walls sufficiently impermeable to contain spill oils.
- All containers and drums will be stored in such a way to avoid contact with the ground and standing water, be protected to prevent rupture or leakage, and to facilitate inspection.
- Containers used to store liquids will be in good condition, kept closed except when adding or emptying waste, and will not contain waste incompatible with the containers.
- Fuels, lubricants, waste oil, and any other regulated substances will be stored in aboveground tanks only. Storage tanks and containers will conform to all applicable industry codes, such as the National Fire Protection Association and Unified Facilities Criteria and will be appropriately labelled at all times.
- Secondary containment will be in place for all fuel, lubricant, and waste oil storage, as well as dewatering pumps. Secondary containment structures will provide a minimum containment volume equal to 10 percent of the aggregate volume of all containers or the total volume of the largest container, whichever is greater, plus one foot of freeboard.
- Secondary containment will be inspected regularly for evidence of spill. If visual inspection of the secondary containment structure confirms no spill has occurred, the accumulated water will be drawn off and discharged in a surrounding upland area.
 - o If evidence of a spill is observed, such as a sheen on the water or presence of odor, the accumulated water will be pumped into drum, tank, or truck storage for offsite disposal in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations.
- Tools and materials appropriate to stop the flow of leaking containers and piping will be kept on-site. Equipment may include plugs, patches, clamps, and metal screws with rubber washers. Fully stocked spill kits will be located at all fuel storage, loading, and unloading areas.

- Appropriate signage, such as “Fuel Storage Area – No smoking within 50 feet,” will be installed at all fuel storage areas.
- Hazardous materials will be stored in designated locations only. Fuel, lubricants, or hazardous materials will be stored at least 100 feet from a waterbody, wetland boundary, spring, environmentally sensitive area, or within a municipal watershed. Hazardous materials will not be stored in surface water conveyances, or within the 100-year floodplain.
- North Plains will also prohibit storage of hazardous materials, chemicals, fuels, lubricating oils, and other petroleum products within 200 feet of active private water wells and identified public or municipal water wells.
- Construction equipment will be removed from wetlands and parked a minimum of 100 feet away from surface waters, including waterbodies, wetlands, and springs, at the end of each workday. Where no accessible or suitable upland areas are available, and upon approval from North Plains, stationary equipment located within 100 feet of a surface water or conveyance will be placed within secondary containment appropriate for the largest volume contained within the equipment.
- Washing of construction vehicles, such as concrete trucks, will be allowed only in designated areas at least 100 feet from a waterbody, wetland boundary, spring, active private water well and identified public or municipal water well, environmentally sensitive area, or within a municipal watershed. Washing areas will be contained with berms or other barriers to prevent transport of wastewater or sediments into surface waters or stormwater conveyances. Waste concrete material will be removed and properly disposed of once it has hardened.
- Refueling or use of other hazardous liquids may occur within the setback areas identified above only if the Environmental Inspector has determined that there is no reasonable alternative, and the contractor has taken appropriate steps (including secondary containment structures) to prevent spills.
- No hazardous or potentially hazardous materials, other than essential materials such as coating or sandblasting media, gasoline, diesel, or standard lubricants will be transported into the Project workspace without North Plains’ coordination and approval.
 - o Hazardous waste containers and drums will be separated and protected from incompatible materials by means of dike, berm, retaining wall or other approved means. Incompatible materials are wastes which, when mixed, can produce effects which are harmful to human health and the environment.

6.2 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE

The following measures will be implemented during equipment maintenance:

- North Plains will inspect equipment daily to ensure it is free of leaks prior to use on the Project, and prior to entering or working in or near waterbodies or wetlands.

- During construction, North Plains will regularly inspect hoses, pipes, valves, and tanks to ensure equipment is free of leaks. Any equipment leaking or in need of repair will be immediately removed from service and repaired, prior to resuming use of the equipment. Containment and absorbent materials will be placed under the equipment until the leak can be repaired.
- Equipment that requires extensive repairs will be removed from the Project workspace until the repairs are completed. If equipment is unable to be moved, the Contractor, in collaboration with the Spill Coordinator and inspection and monitoring staff, will develop a protection plan to be implemented until repairs have been completed.
- Precautionary measures such as placement of absorbent pads or drip pans beneath equipment will be implemented when performing equipment maintenance or repair activities.
- Maintenance, refueling, and lubrication of construction equipment will not be allowed within 100 feet of a waterbody, wetland boundary, spring, active private water well and identified public or municipal water well, environmentally sensitive area, or within a municipal watershed.
- Refueling or use of other hazardous liquids may occur within the setback areas identified above only if the Environmental Inspector has determined that there is no reasonable alternative, and the contractor has taken appropriate steps (including secondary containment structures) to prevent spills.
- Equipment maintenance wastes, including used oils and other fluids, will be managed by properly trained personnel. All equipment maintenance waste will be collected in labeled containers appropriate for the contents, stored within designated areas, and disposed of in accordance with this Plan, the CMRP, the Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Plan, and local, state, and federal regulations. All equipment maintenance wastes will be properly disposed of at facilities permitted to receive hydrocarbon waste.
- North Plains will retain all transport and disposal manifests and records.

6.3 INSPECTIONS

North Plains will regularly inspect spill response equipment to ensure spill kits are fully stocked at all times and will replenish spill response materials and equipment, as needed. Inspection and monitoring staff will conduct inspections of oil-containing equipment at least weekly, and all equipment will be inspected prior to its use. These inspections will include the following items below, at a minimum and as applicable:

- container integrity;
- secondary containment efficacy;
- condition of hoses, piping, valves, and seals;

- condition of piping and piping support;
- condition of valve locking mechanisms;
- fluid levels;
- fluids gauges; and
- leaks of any type or stains and accumulated free product on the ground.

Inspections will be recorded electronically or on a paper spill report form, included as Attachment A.

7.0 SPILL PREVENTION MEASURES

The following sections describe various spill prevention measures that North Plains will implement to reduce the potential for a spill to occur. Measures include conducting oil transfer operations in accordance with applicable oil pollution prevention and safety requirements, as well as conducting periodic inspections and personnel training.

North Plains will ensure environmental protection measures are applied Project-wide and will address the concerns associated with spills. These measures will be subject to change and will be finalized after discussions with agencies and as the Project progresses.

7.1 SECONDARY CONTAINMENT

All equipment containing hazardous materials with the potential to discharge to water bodies will be placed in secondary containment structures that will provide a minimum containment volume equal to 10 percent of the aggregate volume of all containers or the total volume of the largest container, whichever is greater, plus one foot of freeboard. All personnel will follow oil loading and unloading procedures developed by North Plains and in accordance with land-managing agency requirements, and at all times have spill response materials on hand for immediate response to a spill, should one occur.

7.2 REFUELING

North Plains will ensure that fuel loading and unloading operations are conducted in accordance with the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) requirements and regulations, as well as all applicable agency and permit conditions and requirements. North Plains will maintain readily available absorbent materials or other spill containment materials onsite at all times. All trucks transporting fuel to onsite equipment will travel only on approved access roads. Additional fueling best management practices will be implemented, including the following:

- Only authorized personnel will dispense fuels and all refueling will be conducted during daylight hours, unless otherwise approved by North Plains.
- Personnel will conduct fuel transfer operations through aboveground unloading hoses only, which will be supported and designed to minimize abrasion during transfer operations at all times.

- Fuel dispensing equipment, such as portable gas cans, nozzles, and hoses will be of the appropriate type for the fuel and equipment.
- North Plains will take appropriate measures during refueling operations to reduce the risk of a spill, including placing an absorbent pad or a secondary containment structure under the fuel nozzle during fueling and ensuring fuel tanks are not overfilled. Refueling activities will be observed by designated personnel at all times.
- Authorized personnel will remain present at all times during fueling operations. If both ends of the fueling hose are not visible by one person, a second individual will be positioned at the concealed end.
- Within large wetlands where no upland site is available for refueling, auxiliary fuel tanks on construction equipment will be used.
- Refueling of construction equipment will not be allowed within 100 feet of a waterbody, wetland boundary, spring, active private water well and identified public or municipal water well, environmentally sensitive area, or within a municipal watershed.
- If conditions require that refueling cannot occur at the setback distances listed above, North Plains will consult with the inspection and monitoring staff to determine necessary emergency equipment that will be in place and emergency response actions that will be conducted prior to refueling activities.
 - o North Plains will, at a minimum, consider the environmental and safety risks of relocating equipment to a refueling area against risks involved with refueling *in situ*.
 - o If requested by North Plains, the Environmental Inspector will evaluate site-specific conditions and may require implementation of additional precautionary measures prior to approval of refueling within the restricted zones.

North Plains will take the following additional measures to prevent spills prior to, during, and after unloading:

- Prior to unloading: North Plains will verify fuel levels, double check connections, and examine hoses for integrity. North Plains will post signs warning all vehicular traffic operating in the transfer area to use caution.
- During unloading: Only trained personnel authorized to conduct fuel transfers will oversee unloading activities. Qualified and authorized personnel will continually monitor the transfer and pumping system for leaks, as well as the fuel level in the receiving container to prevent overfilling.
- After unloading: Personnel conducting fueling operations will completely drain and disconnect the transfer hose and evaluate all tank truck drains and connections for proper closure prior to vehicle departure.

To prevent vehicles from departing before disconnection of the transfer hose, spill prevention techniques will include:

- installation of barriers or warning signs to prevent vehicles from leaving before unloading has been completed, and
- installation of wheel chocks to prevent vehicle movement during unloading. Chocks will remain in place until fueling operations have been deemed complete.

8.0 SPILL RESPONSE MEASURES

North Plains will comply with all applicable local, state, and federal regulations regarding emergency response operations including, but not limited to, spill control, cleanup, notification, and disposal procedures. North Plains will ensure all personnel onsite are familiar with the procedures in this Plan, and applicable permits and agency conditions.

North Plains will commit the personnel, equipment, and materials necessary to respond to and control a spill of any nature. North Plains will submit a list of spill response contractors and commercial disposal facilities prior to commencing construction (see Attachment B). In the event of a spill, North Plains will adhere to all applicable local, state, and federal regulations for spill cleanup and reporting. State and federal reporting requirements are discussed in Section 9.0 of this Plan.

Upon discovery of a spill, North Plains will make all reasonable efforts to immediately control the source of the discharge and contain the spilled material. Responding personnel will immediately place absorbent materials, with efforts directed to limiting the area of contamination. All reasonable efforts will be made to prevent any spill from reaching wetlands, waterbodies, or other surface waters. If a spill reaches surface waters, North Plains will immediately install straw bales, booms, and absorbent materials to contain the spilled material and reduce further migration of the spilled material. In the event of a spill that reaches surface waters, the spill response contractors provided by North Plains will be called to assist with cleanup efforts.

Clean up activities will be initiated as soon as the spill has been contained. North Plains will collect and contain all spilled material, contaminated soil, and absorbent material(s) in appropriate, lidded, and labeled containers for disposal in accordance with federal, state, and local regulations.

8.1 SPILL RESPONSE EQUIPMENT

North Plains will maintain spill kits containing a sufficient quantity of absorbent and barrier materials to adequately contain and recover potential spills. Spill kits will include, but will not be limited to, absorbent pads, commercial absorbent materials, spill containment barriers, plastic bags and/or sheeting, skimmer pumps, holding tanks, and shovels. This equipment will be located near fuel storage areas and other locations as applicable to be readily available to all personnel in the event of a spill. North Plains will maintain Safety Data Sheets onsite for diesel, gasoline, lubrication oil, and any other hazardous materials used on the Project at each location where these materials are stored.

Additional best management practices that will be followed include:

- All personnel will be aware of the locations of spill response equipment.

- Each construction crew will have on hand sufficient supplies of absorbent materials, barrier material, and U.S. DOT-approved containers to allow for expedient containment and recovery of any spill which may occur.
- Fuel trucks transporting fuel to on-site construction equipment will travel only on approved access roads and have spill containment materials on hand which are adequate to control foreseeable spills.
- All fuel nozzles will be equipped with a functional, automatic shut-off and over-flow alarm.
- Suitable plastic lining materials will be available for placement below and on top of temporarily stored contaminated soils and materials.

8.2 IMMEDIATE CONTAINMENT AND RESPONSE

Controlling spills will be accomplished by stopping or isolating the source of the spill, using the required response materials to contain the spill and, if warranted, cessation of operations within the affected area(s).

Immediately upon discovery of the spill of any fuel, oil, hazardous material, or other regulated substance, the person discovering the situation will:

- identify the source of the spill;
- immediately deploy absorbent materials and initiate actions to contain the material that has spilled;
- initiate action to eliminate the source of the spill to the maximum extent that is safely possible; and
- notify the Spill Coordinator and/or inspection staff and provide them with the:
 - o location and cause of the spill;
 - o the type of material spilled; and,
 - o whether the spill has reached or is likely to reach any surface water.

Personnel will only respond to a spill if they have adequate training to do so safely.

Upon learning of a spill or a potential spill the Spill Coordinator will:

- Obtain as much information as possible as to the nature and size of the spill and record it on a Construction Spill Report Form, included in Attachment A.
- Assess the situation and determine the need for further action, which may include mobilizing additional personnel and equipment for containment and/or cleanup appropriate to the extent of the spill.
- Direct subsequent containment activities or assign needed responsibilities to other personnel.

- If it is determined that a spill cannot be mitigated due to the scope of on-site equipment and personnel, the Spill Coordinator will immediately notify the Contractor that emergency response contractors are needed to contain and/or clean up the spill. Available emergency response contractors are identified in Attachment B of this Plan.
- The Spill Coordinator will assist North Plains to ensure that all response actions are consistent with the requirements of this Plan, the CMRP, as well as permit conditions and land-managing agency requirement.

As soon as possible after initiating spill control and cleanup activities, North Plains will determine if the spill is reportable based on federal or state regulations (see Section 9.0). Any amount of any material in such quantity with a reasonable potential to injure or be detrimental to human health, animal or plant life, property, or unreasonably interfere with public welfare or use of property will be reported. In addition to current spills, the discovery of evidence of previous unauthorized discharges, such as contaminated soil or groundwater, will also be reported.

8.2.1 Spills Occurring in Upland Areas

In addition to the above measures, the following procedures will be followed for any spill occurring in an upland area:

- If a spill occurs during refueling operations, the operation will be stopped until the spill can be controlled, and the incident mitigated.
- Absorbent materials will be placed over the spill to minimize spreading and to reduce infiltration into the soil.
- If free-standing fluid is present, fluids will be skimmed to the extent possible and transferred into U.S. DOT-approved containers.
- Flowing spills will be contained and/or absorbed before reaching sensitive resource areas such as surface waters or wetlands. Berms, booms, or wattles will be placed around the perimeter of the spill, as needed.
- All storm drains within the area that may be impacted by the spill will be plugged.
- If needed, berms or ditches will be constructed to stop the spill's flow.
- North Plains will ensure spilled material, contaminated soil, and other materials associated with a spill, such as used absorbent pads, are treated, collected, contained, and disposed of in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local agency requirements.

8.2.2 Spills Occurring in Wetlands or Waterbodies

In addition to the above measures, the following conditions will apply if a spill occurs near or into a stream, wetland, or other waterbody, regardless of the size of the spill:

- For spills into streams, lakes, or other waterbodies containing standing or flowing water, regardless of size, the Spill Coordinator will immediately notify North Plains of the incident.
- For spills within standing water, sorbent booms and pads will be used to contain and recover spilled materials. In addition, other spill response materials and equipment will be on hand, as appropriate, for each waterbody, and used to contain and recover foreseeable spills, such as containment booms, skimmer pumps, holding tanks, boats, and other equipment.
- If contaminated soils are present in wetlands, as much of the spill as possible will be contained and the appropriate agencies will be contacted before excavating the soil.
- Unless the applicable land-managing agency deems otherwise, contaminated soil will be excavated and temporarily placed on plastic sheeting in a bermed area, a minimum of 100 feet away from the wetland. Contaminated soils will be covered with plastic sheeting while being stored temporarily and properly disposed of as soon as possible, in accordance with this Plan and local, state, and federal regulations.
- Once a spill is contained, cleanup activities will begin immediately. All spilled material, contaminated soil, and absorbent material will be picked up and contained for disposal. In the event of a large spill or a spill that migrates into surface waters, waste cleanup specialists will be called to assist in cleanup efforts.

9.0 NOTIFICATIONS AND REPORTING

9.1 EMERGENCY CONTACTS

Any spill of any material in such quantity that may, with reasonable probability, injure or be detrimental to human health, animal, plant life, property, or may unreasonably interfere with public welfare or property use will be reported. This includes chemical, biohazardous, petroleum-product, and sewage spills and incidents, as well as non-Project-related contaminated soil or groundwater that is discovered during Project activities. As soon as possible after beginning spill control and cleanup activities, North Plains will determine if the spill is reportable and, if so, will notify the appropriate authorities.

This Plan provides the federal and state contacts that North Plains will notify in the event of a reportable hazardous material spill. For spills on state and federal lands, North Plains will document the spill and provide the documentation to the land management agency's authorized officer. North Plains will also note the cause of the spill and note corrective measures taken to prevent another spill from occurring.

9.1.1 FEDERAL

The Clean Water Act of 1973 and Oil Protection Act of 1990 require operators to notify the National Response Center (NRC) at (800) 424-8802 or (202) 267-2675 of oil spills as soon as they are discovered or within 15 minutes of discovery. This requirement applies to all discharges that reach waters of the U.S. or adjoining shorelines and

- cause a sheen;
- violate applicable water quality standards; or
- cause a sludge or emulsion beneath the surface of the water or upon adjoining shorelines.

The report will include the following:

- name, organization, and telephone number;
- name and address of the party responsible for the incident;
- date and time of the incident;
- location of the incident;
- source and cause of the discharge;
- types of material(s) discharged;
- quantity of materials discharged;
- danger or threat posed by the discharge;
- number and types of injuries, if any;
- weather conditions at the incident location; and
- other information to help emergency personnel respond to the incident.

9.1.2 MONTANA

North Plains will report petroleum spills greater than 25 gallons to Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) within 24 hours of being detected as required by Administrative Rules of Montana 17.56 Subchapter 5. The Contractor will contain and clean up petroleum spills less than 25 gallons in volume within 24 hours. If cleanup cannot be completed within 24 hours, North Plains will report the spill to the MDEQ leak line for reporting spills at (800) 457-0568. Outside normal business hours, North Plains will report spills to the Montana Disaster and Emergency Services (MDES) Duty Officer 24-hour phone number at (406) 324-4777. Spills will be reported to a live person and voice mails will not be considered adequate notification.

In addition to the following reporting requirements, notification may be required by permits issued by local, state, or federal government agencies or as conditions required by land-managing agencies. Notification to the NRC may also be required at (800) 424-8802; MDES or MDEQ will not be responsible for notifying the NRC.

The following types of spills will be reported:

- releases or spills of hazardous substances in amounts that meet or exceed the reportable quantities in 40 CFR Part 302;
- spills, overfills, and suspected releases from underground storage tanks and petroleum storage tanks;
- releases or spills of any materials that would lower the quality of groundwater below water quality standards;
- spills that enter or may enter state water or a drainage that leads directly to surface water;
- spills that cause sludge or emulsion beneath the surface of the water, stream banks or shorelines;
- spills that cause a film, sheen, or change the color of the water, stream banks or shorelines; and
- spills of 25 gallons or more of any petroleum product such as crude oil, gasoline, diesel fuel, aviation fuel, asphalt, road oil, kerosene, fuel oil; produced water, injection water, salt water or combination thereof; and derivatives of mineral, animal, or vegetable oils.

Should a spill occur on Bureau of Land Management (BLM) or U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Agricultural Research Service lands in Montana, North Plains will contact the applicable office, listed below, for guidance.

TABLE 9.1.2-1 Contact Information for Reporting Spills on BLM or USDA Land in Montana		
Land-Managing Agency	Address	Phone Number
BLM	111 Garryowen Road Miles City, MT 59301	(406) 896-5004
USDA Agricultural Research Service - Fort Keogh	243 Fort Keogh Road Miles City, MT 59301	(406) 874-8200
USDA Rosebud County Farm Service Agency	270 S 3rd Ave Forsyth, MT 59327	(406) 346-7333 ext. 2
USDA Custer County Farm Service Agency	3120 Valley Drive E Miles City, MT 59301	(406) 232-7905 ext. 2
USDA Fallon County Farm Service Agency	141 S 4th St W Baker, MT 59313	(406) 778-2238 ext. 2

9.1.3 NORTH DAKOTA

North Plains will ensure that all releases or spills of hazardous substances or other wastes, regardless of size, are immediately and properly managed, contained, and removed. North Plains will notify the North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality (NDDEQ) of a spill of any size that could enter a waterway, such as a stream, river, pond, lake, shoreline, wetland, or ditch.

The following types of spills will be reported:

- releases or spills of hazardous substances in amounts that meet or exceed the reportable quantities in 40 CFR Part 302;
- spills, overfills, and suspected releases from underground storage tanks and petroleum storage tanks;
- releases or spills of any materials that would lower the quality of groundwater below water quality standards; and
- any spill or discharge of liquid or solid waste which may cause pollution of waters of the State.

North Plains will report all releases and spills immediately to NDDEQ-Environmental Incident Reporting at:

- Emergency: (800) 472-2121 (State Radio 24-Hour Hotline - valid only within North Dakota).
- Non-emergency: (701) 328-8020 or (701) 328-5210, Monday-Friday 9-5:00.

In addition, North Plains will follow the initial notification by submitting a Hazardous Materials Spill Report form at <https://www.dmr.nd.gov/dmr/oilgas/spills> (NDDEQ, 2024). As soon as safe conditions allow after a hazardous materials spill, North Plains will provide a written follow-up spill report to NDDEQ.

This report will include:

- actions taken to respond to and contain the spill;
- any known or anticipated acute or chronic health risks associated with the spill; and
- advice regarding medical attention necessary for exposed individuals, if needed.

Should a spill occur on National Forest System lands in North Dakota, North Plains will contact the applicable office, listed below, for guidance.

TABLE 9.1.3-1 Contact List for National Forest System Lands		
Land-Managing Agency	Address	Phone Number
USDA U.S. Forest Service Dakota Prairie Grasslands	2000 Miriam Circle Bismarck, ND 58501	(701) 989-7300
USDA U.S. Forest Service Little Missouri National Grasslands Medora Ranger District	99 23rd Ave. W. Suite B Dickinson, ND 58601	(701) 227-7800

10.0 POST-SPILL CLEAN UP REQUIREMENTS

10.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

The following general measures will be implemented during site clean-up after a spill:

- All equipment will be wiped down with absorbent pads or other oil-absorbing material where fuel, lubricants, or other materials have spilled.
- All impacted soil within the area of spill will be excavated, stored, and disposed of in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations.
- Excavated areas will be restored to original conditions by back-filling with clean soil.
- Cleanup of the spill area(s) will be completed within 48 hours after notification or discovery of the spill.

11.0 RECORDKEEPING

The following recordkeeping measures will be implemented after a spill:

- North Plains will complete a spill report, either in paper or electronic format.
- North Plains will be responsible for all waste identification and characterization, handling, labeling, storage, manifesting, transportation, record-keeping, and disposal in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations and ordinances.
- North Plains will maintain copies of sample results, shipping manifests, chain-of-custodies, and bills-of-lading for wastes transported for disposal.

12.0 PLAN REVISIONS

TABLE 12.0-1 Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial draft plan.	February 2025
Rev 1	Revised per North Plains comments. Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025
Rev 2	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	January 2026

13.0 REFERENCES

North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality. 2024. Unified Spill Reporting. Available online at: <https://www.spill.nd.gov/>. Accessed June 5, 2024.

ATTACHMENT A
CONSTRUCTION SPILL REPORT FORM

APPENDIX A

CONSTRUCTION SPILL REPORT FORM

If a spill is below the reportable quantity complete only Section 1.

If the spill exceeds the reportable quantity complete both Sections 1 and 2.

Section 1 (All Spills)

Facility name: _____ District/region: _____

Facility phone number: _____

Facility address: _____

Date of spill: _____

Date of spill discovery: _____

Time of spill: _____

Time of spill discovery: _____

Location of release: _____

County/State _____

Type of material spilled and manufacturer's name: _____

Substance released to (check all that apply): Land__ Air__ Water__ Secondary Containment__

Estimated volume of spill: _____ Estimated volume recovered: _____

Brief description of the incident including cause and corrective action: _____

Person completing form (Contact): _____ Date: __

Contact's phone number: _____

Section 2 (Reportable Spills)

Facility type (well, tank, flow line, pit): _____

Spill location: address: _____

County: _____ Coordinates: Latitude _____ Longitude _____

PLSS: Township _____ Range _____ Section _____

Additional location details: _____

Spill medium (pavement, sandy soil, water, etc.): _____

Topography and surface conditions of spill site: _____

Soil/Geology description: _____

Weather conditions: Wind Direction __ Wind Speed __ Temperature __ Precipitation _____

Proximity of spill to surface waters: _____

Did the spill reach ground water? _____ Yes _____ No

Did the spill reach surface water? _____ Yes _____ No

If so, was a sheen present? _____ Yes _____ No

Current land Use: _____

Distance to nearest...

Surface Water _____ Wetland _____ Building _____ Livestock _____ Water Wells _____

Spill Coordinator must complete this for any spill, regardless of size. The Contractor(s) must submit the form to North Plains within 24 hours of the occurrence.

APPENDIX A

CONSTRUCTION SPILL REPORT FORM

Depth to shallowest groundwater _____

Was there a fire associated with the release? ____Yes ____ No

Did the incident result in death or injury? ____Yes ____ No

Was there any immediate damage observed to plants or animals? ____Yes ____ No

Describe the extent of observed contamination, both horizontal and vertical (i.e., spill-stained soil in a 5-foot radius to a depth of 1 inch): _____

How was the extent of the spill determined? _____

Current status of cleanup actions: _____

Proposed remediation activities: _____

Describe measures to prevent reoccurrence: _____

Did the spill occur while in transit? _____

Duration of spill occurrence? _____

Directions from nearest community: _____

Name and title of discoverer: _____

Name of operator: _____

Address of operator: _____

Operator contact person: _____

Is the Operator the responsible party? ____Yes ____No

If no, what is the responsible party's name and contact information? _____

List all parties and agencies notified (Counties, BLM, EPA, DOT, local, etc.)

Date	Agency	Contact	Phone Number	Response

Spill Coordinator must complete this for any spill, regardless of size. The Contractor(s) must submit the form to North Plains within 24 hours of the occurrence.

APPENDIX A
CONSTRUCTION SPILL REPORT FORM

Any additional information: _____

Name/Company/Address/Phone Number for the following:

Construction Superintendent: _____

Spill Coordinator: _____

Environmental Manager: _____

Person Who Reported the Spill: _____

Inspections and Monitoring Staff: _____

Spill Coordinator must complete this for any spill, regardless of size. The Contractor(s) must submit the form to North Plains within 24 hours of the occurrence.

ATTACHMENT B
**EMERGENCY RESPONSE CONTRACTORS; DISPOSAL AND
TREATMENT FACILITIES**

APPENDIX B

EMERGENCY RESPONSE CONTRACTORS; DISPOSAL AND TREATMENT FACILITIES

Contractor(s) will dispose of all wastes according to applicable federal, state, and local requirements and is responsible for complying with all interstate requirements for transporting hazardous materials across state lines. A list of potential Emergency Spill Response Contractors and waste disposal facilities is provided below. This list was developed from state-wide databases representing firms operating at the time the data base was produced. Contractor(s) will be responsible for verifying if a contractor or facility is currently operating under appropriate permits or licenses. Selection of an Emergency Response Contractor or disposal facility will be subject to approval by North Plains.

Spill Response Contractors

Name/ Company	Under Contract	Phone Number	Location	Services Provided
Clean Harbors Environmental Services	☐ Yes ☐ No	(800) 645-8265	Locations Nationwide	24-hour Emergency Response
Ambipar Group	☐ Yes ☐ No	(866) 610-7928	12 Sunrise Estates Rd, Watford City, ND 58854	24-hour Emergency Response
JMAC Resources	☐ Yes ☐ No	(701) 774-8511	121 48th Ave. SW Williston, ND 58801	Emergency Spill Response Hazardous Waste Transport

Waste Handling/Disposal Contractors

Name/ Company	Under Contract	Phone Number	Location	Services Provided
Nuverra Environmental Solutions	☐ Yes ☐ No	(701) 580-0336	13195 26th St NW Arnegard, ND 58835	Petroleum contaminated soil disposal facility
IHD Solids Management	☐ Yes ☐ No	(701) 774-8514	14070 43rd St NW, Alexander, ND 58831	Petroleum contaminated soil disposal facility

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT K

Traffic and Transportation Management Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Draft Traffic and Transportation Management Plan

North Plains Connector LLC



GRID UNITED

January 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE.....	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE.....	1
4.0	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	1
4.1	ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION.....	2
5.0	TRAINING.....	2
6.0	TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT MEASURES	2
7.0	TRANSPORTATION OF PROJECT PERSONNEL, EQUIPMENT, AND MATERIALS ..	3
8.0	ACCESS ROAD CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENTS.....	3
9.0	ROAD AND RAILROAD CROSSINGS	3
9.1	INSTALLATION OF GUARD STUCTURES.....	4
10.0	IMPACT PREVENTION AND MAINTENANCE OF PROJECT ROADS.....	6
11.0	PLAN REVISIONS.....	7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 9.1-1	Typical Guard Structure	5
--------------	-------------------------------	---

LIST OF TABLES

Table 11.0-1	Plan Revisions	7
--------------	----------------------	---

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CMRP	Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan
Contractor	construction contractor
EI	environmental inspector
Grid United	Grid United LLC
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
Project	North Plains Connector Project
Plan	Draft Traffic and Transportation Plan
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains) is developing the North Plains Connector Project (Project), an approximately 422-mile high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the east and west energy grids in the United States. The Project is designed for bi-directional transport of electricity and is located in southeastern Montana and southwestern North Dakota.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

The Draft Traffic and Transportation Management Plan (Plan) outlines the strategies that North Plains will implement throughout Project construction to minimize or prevent impacts to traffic and transportation resulting from construction-related activities.

North Plains has also developed a Construction Mitigation and Reclamation Plan (CMRP), which describes the construction procedures and mitigation measures North Plains will implement to reduce potential Project-related impacts. This Plan references the CMRP where additional guidance is provided therein.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Multiple agencies will have jurisdiction over the transportation-related components of the Project, including the U.S. Department of Transportation, Montana Department of Transportation, North Dakota Department of Transportation, local county and township road authorities, and local law enforcement in the counties crossed by the Project. In addition, the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forest Service, and USDA Agricultural Research Station at Fort Keogh have jurisdiction over roads located on the lands that they manage and that will be used by the Project.

North Plains plans to use existing public roads to bring equipment, materials and supplies to the Project area and to access the Project workspace. Where needed, North Plains will improve existing public roads to accommodate Project construction vehicles (e.g., intersection improvements). Before construction begins, North Plains will secure all necessary federal, state, and local permits for road use and crossings such as utility permits, approach/access permits, encroachment permits, and oversize and overweight load permits. All Project personnel will adhere to permit requirements and conditions to reduce traffic impacts and interruptions, ensure public safety, and reduce impacts to roads. North Plains will distribute this Plan, along with the relevant permits, to the necessary personnel.

4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

North Plains will select a third-party construction contractor to construct the Project. The construction contractor (Contractor) will be responsible for site preparation, installation of support structures, general Project construction, testing and commissioning, health and safety, and environmental compliance, to include hazardous materials management. However, North Plains is responsible for construction of all associated facilities.

For the duration of the Project construction, North Plains will implement and maintain the measures outlined in this Plan, the CMRP, and in all applicable Project permits.

North Plains will collaborate with local law enforcement, fire departments, and emergency medical services to coordinate access for effective emergency response during construction.

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

North Plains will employ environmental inspectors (EIs) during the construction phase. Further information on EIs and their responsibilities is provided in the CMRP.

5.0 TRAINING

Before commencing construction, North Plains will organize environmental training sessions for relevant Project personnel. These trainings will cover relevant construction, restoration, and mitigation plans, including this Plan, along with any applicable permit conditions. Furthermore, North Plains will conduct large-group training sessions before each work crew begins construction, followed by periodic follow-up training for newly assigned personnel. North Plains will document training will be documented, and training records will be saved with Project files.

6.0 TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT MEASURES

North Plains will make every reasonable effort to minimize the effects of Project construction activities on public transportation and to provide for public safety. North Plains will map and clearly mark authorized access routes in the field with signs or flagging, which North Plains will maintain until final cleanup and reclamation is completed, at which time North Plains will remove the signs and flags.. All signage and flagging will be implemented and maintained in accordance with this Plan and the CMRP.

North Plains will review the location of permitted access and will be responsible for ensuring construction travel is limited to designated areas. Although construction traffic is not expected to disrupt access to residences along the right-of-way, North Plains will notify adjacent landowners of the construction schedule, where applicable. Relevant field personnel will attend an environmental training program during which North Plains will instruct field personnel to use only approved roads, drive within the delineated road limits, and obey jurisdictional and posted speed limits to minimize potential impacts to environmental resources.

Road and lane closures are anticipated to be limited and temporary, most commonly associated with conductor stringing activities or during blasting. If road and lane closures are needed, North Plains will notify the appropriate regulatory agencies, affected parties, and emergency service providers in advance.

To further minimize impacts on roadway traffic, North Plains will implement traffic control measures to assist with the efficient transportation of construction equipment and materials to the Project workspace, and to ensure public safety. North Plains will place caution signs on roads to alert drivers of ongoing electric transmission line construction and warn them of potential slow traffic due to construction activities across roadways. North Plains will also station flaggers, equipped with the appropriately classed high-visibility safety vests and stop/slow signs, on each side of the road when equipment is operating on or crossing the road.

North Plains, inspections staff, and traffic-directing personnel will maintain a communications network via two-way radios or cellular or satellite phones. This will allow for coordination of

equipment traffic along existing access roads so public safety, traffic, and resource impacts are minimized.

7.0 TRANSPORTATION OF PROJECT PERSONNEL, EQUIPMENT, AND MATERIALS

The transportation of construction equipment, materials, and Project personnel will temporarily increase traffic volumes on local roadways. Where available, North Plains will primarily use large multi-lane highways to transport heavy construction equipment and large deliveries of materials to the right-of-way to minimize disruptions to traffic on local roads. However, the majority of the Project access points will be from state, county, and local roads. Contractor yards will serve as staging areas for construction, storage for materials, and parking for equipment when not in use on-site. Construction crews will park only in designated areas and will be shuttled to the appropriate work sites, as necessary.

North Plains will make use of existing public and private roads to access the Project workspace during the construction and operation of the Project. Once within the Project workspace, construction equipment will move along the right-of-way as work progresses, thereby reducing traffic on local roads.

All vehicles will obey jurisdictional traffic speed regulations and the posted speed limits. Speed limits may be reduced based on conditions in the field, as discussed in the CMRP. North Plains will discuss speed limits and any associated reduction in limits during the Project safety meetings.

8.0 ACCESS ROAD CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENTS

North Plains will use only roads approved by the applicable land managing agency or landowner. In remote areas, North Plains will construct new access roads. If additional roads are needed to complete the construction of the Project, North Plains will not construct or use the additional roads until the relevant land managing agency or landowner has approved them. North Plains will clearly identify all approved access roads by signage visible to all parties using the road.

Some existing access roads may need improvements such as grading, widening, adding gravel, or removing obstructions to ensure proper drainage or to safely accommodate construction equipment and vehicles. North Plains will make road improvements with relevant land managing agency or landowner approval and in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements, permits, and agreements with land-managing agencies and landowners.

Further information on access roads and associated improvements is provided in the CMRP.

9.0 ROAD AND RAILROAD CROSSINGS

North Plains will conduct construction activities across paved roads, highways, and railroads in line with requirements specified in road and railroad crossing permits or approvals, as applicable. North Plains will maintain traffic control measures including the use of flaggers, warning signs, lights, and barriers to maintain safety and minimize road congestion for emergency vehicles and landowners. Traffic delays may occur when construction equipment is moved in or out of the Project workspace; however, delays are anticipated to be short term in duration. In these situations, North Plains will employ flaggers and signage to safely slow or direct traffic, as needed.

9.1 INSTALLATION OF GUARD STRUCTURES

Temporary guard structures will be erected at road and railroad crossing locations where necessary to protect the public during Project activities. Guard structures will typically consist of H-frame wood poles placed on either side of the road to prevent ground wires, conductors, or equipment from falling and disrupting road traffic. Guard structures may not be required for small or rarely traveled rural roads. In such cases, other safety measures such as barriers, flaggers, or other traffic controls will be used.

Typically, guard structures will be installed just outside of the road right-of-way. Although the preference is for access to each of these guard structures to be located outside the road right-of-way, it may be necessary for access to be in the road right-of-way depending on topography and access restrictions imposed by the land-managing agency. Where the Project crosses interstate highways, North Plains will install temporary guard structures in medians between opposite-traffic-flow lanes. Short-term traffic impacts resulting from guard structure installation and removal may include short-term traffic diversions, traffic congestion, and brief road closures.

Railroad crossing operations and procedures are controlled by the railroad company operating the affected rail line. Typically, stoppage of railroad traffic is not required during construction or conductor stringing and tensioning activities. Crossing activities are similar to those for road crossings and typically involve the use of guard structures, as discussed above. North Plains will perform stringing and tensioning activities in coordination with the appropriate railroad authorities. The railroad will typically provide a switchman to be present when work is being performed near or over railroad lines.

For safety and efficiency reasons, construction crews typically perform wire stringing and tensioning activities during daylight hours and typically schedule these activities at road and railway crossings to coincide with periods of minimal use to minimize traffic disruptions. North Plains will pull or string pilot lines from structure to structure by either a helicopter or land-operated equipment, then thread the pilot line through the stringing sheaves at each structure. North Plains will use a helicopter as necessary to pull the pilot lines at railway crossings to minimize or avoid impacts to railroad traffic.

Following the completion of Project activities, all guard structures will be removed, and the area reclaimed in accordance with the CMRP.

A typical guard structure is shown on Figure 9.1-1 below.




Figure 9.1-1
Guard Structure Typical Drawing
North Plains Connector Project

10.0 IMPACT PREVENTION AND MAINTENANCE OF PROJECT ROADS

North Plains will take precautions to prevent damage to road surfaces crossed by construction equipment. North Plains will use tires, equipment mats, or plywood sheets to minimize the potential for damage from tracked equipment crossing paved roads. North Plains will remove excess soil or mud tracked onto paved roadways as soon as possible, or in the timeline required by permit conditions, and return it to the construction work area.

Throughout construction, North Plains will conduct maintenance activities, including blading or filling activities, to ensure the safety and proper functioning of all access roads. North Plains will control dust emissions along unpaved access roads by applying suppressants, as needed and in accordance with the CMRP. If excessive rutting occurs on access roads, North Plains will conduct maintenance activities on the road before continuing its use. All road maintenance will comply with federal, state, and local, landowner, or land-managing agency requirements.

North Plains will be responsible for removing obstructions that affect access roads within the boundaries of the roadway. North Plains will clear obstructions in the following manners, as appropriate:

- North Plains will limit removal of trees, limbs, brush, and other obstructions to those which obstruct the driver's sight distance.
- North Plains will use pruning saws, power saws, nippers, bow saws, or crosscuts flush with the trunk of the tree for limbing, except for portions of overhanging limbs; axes will not be used for limbing.
- North Plains will dispose of removed material from approved areas as directed by the landowner or land management agency.

During winter, North Plains will remove snow from approved access roads in accordance with the CMRP to allow safe access to the construction rights-of-way. North Plains will plow access roads, as needed for safe access and completion of Project activities, until the end of active construction.

Upon completion of construction, North Plains will repair any road damage that occurs due to construction and will restore roadways to their preconstruction condition or leave the roads in place in accordance with land management agency or private landowner requests. This may necessitate the temporary closure of the road to traffic and the establishment of detours. North Plains will coordinate with the road administrator to determine lane closures, detours, and safety and communication measures such as posting signs at road crossings. North Plains will notify landowners, land managing agencies, law enforcement agencies, and local businesses that could be affected by the closure.

11.0 PLAN REVISIONS

TABLE 11.0-1		
Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 0	Initial Plan draft	April 2025
Rev 1	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	August 2025
Rev 2	Updated language for consistency across all project plans.	January 2026

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT L

General Permits

USACE Nationwide Permit 57

Nationwide Permit 57 - Electric Utility Line and Telecommunications Activities

Effective Date: March 15, 2026; Expiration Date: March 15, 2031

(NWP Final Notice, 91 FR 768, Pages 768-886)

Electric Utility Line and Telecommunications Activities. Activities required for the construction, maintenance, repair, and removal of electric utility lines, telecommunication lines, and associated facilities in waters of the United States, provided the activity does not result in the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of waters of the United States for each single and complete project.

Electric utility lines and telecommunication lines: This NWP authorizes discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States and structures or work in navigable waters for crossings of those waters associated with the construction, maintenance, or repair of electric utility lines and telecommunication lines. There must be no change in pre-construction contours of waters of the United States. An “electric utility line and telecommunication line” is defined as any cable, line, fiber optic line, or wire for the transmission for any purpose of electrical energy, telephone, and telegraph messages, and internet, radio, and television communication.

Material resulting from trench excavation may be temporarily sidecast into waters of the United States for no more than three months, provided the material is not placed in such a manner that it is dispersed by currents or other forces. The district engineer may extend the period of temporary side casting for no more than a total of 180 days, where appropriate. In wetlands, the top 6 to 12 inches of the trench should normally be backfilled with topsoil from the trench. The trench cannot be constructed or backfilled in such a manner as to drain waters of the United States (e.g., backfilling with extensive gravel layers, creating a French drain effect). Any exposed slopes and stream banks must be stabilized immediately upon completion of the electric utility line or telecommunication line crossing of each waterbody.

Electric utility line and telecommunications substations: This NWP authorizes the construction, maintenance, or expansion of substation facilities associated with an electric utility line or telecommunication line in non-tidal waters of the United States, provided the activity, in combination with all other activities included in one single and complete project, does not result in the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of waters of the United States. This NWP does not authorize discharges of dredged or fill material into non-tidal wetlands adjacent to tidal waters of the United States to construct, maintain, or expand substation facilities.

Foundations for overhead electric utility line or telecommunication line towers, poles, and anchors: This NWP authorizes the construction or maintenance of foundations for overhead electric utility line or telecommunication line towers, poles, and anchors in all waters of the United States, provided the foundations are the minimum size necessary and separate footings for each tower leg (rather than a larger single pad) are used where feasible.

Access roads: This NWP authorizes the construction of access roads for the construction and maintenance of electric utility lines or telecommunication lines,

including overhead lines and substations, in non-tidal waters of the United States, provided the activity, in combination with all other activities included in one single and complete project, does not cause the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of non-tidal waters of the United States. This NWP does not authorize discharges of dredged or fill material into non-tidal wetlands adjacent to tidal waters for access roads. Access roads must be the minimum width necessary (see Note 2, below). Access roads must be constructed so that the length of the road minimizes any adverse effects on waters of the United States and must be as near as possible to pre-construction contours and elevations (e.g., at grade corduroy roads or geotextile/gravel roads). Access roads constructed above pre-construction contours and elevations in waters of the United States must be properly bridged or culverted to maintain surface flows.

This NWP may authorize electric utility lines or telecommunication lines in or affecting navigable waters of the United States even if there is no associated discharge of dredged or fill material (see 33 CFR part 322). Electric utility lines or telecommunication lines constructed over section 10 waters and electric utility lines or telecommunication lines that are routed in or under section 10 waters without a discharge of dredged or fill material require a section 10 permit.

This NWP authorizes, to the extent that Department of the Army authorization is required, temporary structures, fills, and work necessary for the remediation of inadvertent returns of drilling fluids to waters of the United States through sub-soil fissures or fractures that might occur during horizontal directional drilling activities conducted for the purpose of installing or replacing electric utility lines or telecommunication lines. These remediation activities must be done as soon as practicable, to restore the affected waterbody. District engineers may add special conditions to this NWP to require a remediation plan for addressing inadvertent returns of drilling fluids to waters of the United States during horizontal directional drilling activities conducted for the purpose of installing or replacing electric utility lines or telecommunication lines.

This NWP also authorizes temporary structures, fills, and work, including the use of temporary mats, necessary to conduct the electric utility line activity. Appropriate measures must be taken to maintain normal downstream flows and minimize flooding to the maximum extent practicable, when temporary structures, work, and discharges of dredged or fill material, including cofferdams, are necessary for construction activities, access fills, or dewatering of construction sites. Temporary fills must consist of materials, and be placed in a manner, that will not be eroded by expected high flows. After construction, temporary fills must be removed in their entirety and the affected areas returned to pre-construction elevations. The areas affected by temporary fills must be revegetated, as appropriate.

Notification: The permittee must submit a pre-construction notification to the district engineer prior to commencing the activity if: (1) a section 10 permit is required; or (2) the discharge will result in the loss of greater than 1/10-acre of waters of the United States. (See general condition 32.) (Authorities: Sections 10 and 404)

Note 1: Where structures or work are authorized in navigable waters of the United States (i.e., section 10 waters) within the coastal United States, the Great Lakes, and

United States territories, the permittee should provide a copy of the 'as-built drawings' and the geographic coordinate system used in the 'as-built drawings' to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Ocean Service (NOS), to inform updates to nautical charts and Coast Pilot corrections. The information should be transmitted via email to ocs.ndb@noaa.gov.

Note 2: For electric utility line or telecommunications activities crossing a single waterbody more than one time at separate and distant locations, or multiple waterbodies at separate and distant locations, each crossing is considered a single and complete project for purposes of NWP authorization. Electric utility line and telecommunications activities must comply with 33 CFR 330.6(d).

Note 3: Electric utility lines or telecommunication lines consisting of aerial electric power transmission lines crossing navigable waters of the United States (which are defined at 33 CFR part 329) must comply with the applicable minimum clearances specified in 33 CFR 322.5(i).

Note 4: Access roads used for both construction and maintenance may be authorized, provided they meet the terms and conditions of this NWP. Access roads used solely for construction of the electric utility line or telecommunication line must be removed upon completion of the work, in accordance with the requirements for temporary fills.

Note 5: This NWP authorizes electric utility line and telecommunication line maintenance and repair activities that do not qualify for the Clean Water Act section 404(f) exemption for maintenance of currently serviceable fills or fill structures.

Note 6: For overhead electric utility lines and telecommunication lines authorized by this NWP, a copy of the PCN and NWP verification will be provided by the Corps to the Department of Defense Siting Clearinghouse, which will evaluate potential effects on military activities.

Note 7: For activities that require pre-construction notification, the PCN must include any other NWP(s), regional general permit(s), or individual permit(s) used or intended to be used to authorize any part of the proposed project or any related activity, including other separate and distant crossings that require Department of the Army authorization but do not require pre-construction notification (see paragraph (b)(4) of general condition 32). The district engineer will evaluate the PCN in accordance with Section D, "District Engineer's Decision." The district engineer may require mitigation to ensure that the authorized activity results in no more than minimal individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects (see general condition 23).

Note 8: Where structures or work are proposed in navigable waters of the United States, project proponents should ensure they provide the location and dimensions of the proposed structures to the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) prior to submittal of a Pre-Construction Notification, or prior to beginning construction. The USCG may assess potential navigation-related concerns associated with the location of proposed structures or work, and may inform project proponents of marking and lighting requirements necessary to comply with General Condition 1 (Navigation). For assistance identifying the appropriate USCG District or Sector Waterways Management

Staff responsible for the area of the proposed work, contact USCG at CGWWM@uscg.mil.

Nationwide Permit General Conditions

Note: To qualify for NWP authorization, the prospective permittee must comply with the following general conditions, as applicable, in addition to any regional or case-specific conditions imposed by the division engineer or district engineer. Prospective permittees should contact the appropriate Corps district office to determine if regional conditions have been imposed on an NWP. Prospective permittees should also contact the appropriate Corps district office to determine the status of Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification and/or Coastal Zone Management Act consistency for an NWP. Every person who may wish to obtain permit authorization under one or more NWPs, or who is currently relying on an existing or prior permit authorization under one or more NWPs, has been and is on notice that all of the provisions of 33 CFR 330.1 through 330.6 apply to every NWP authorization. Note especially 33 CFR 330.5 relating to the modification, suspension, or revocation of any NWP authorization.

1. Navigation. (a) No activity may cause more than a minimal adverse effect on navigation.

(b) Any safety lights and signals prescribed by the U.S. Coast Guard, through regulations or otherwise, must be installed and maintained at the permittee's expense on authorized facilities in navigable waters of the United States.

(c) The permittee understands and agrees that, if future operations by the United States require the removal, relocation, or other alteration, of the structure or work herein authorized, or if, in the opinion of the Secretary of the Army or his or her authorized representative, said structure or work shall cause unreasonable obstruction to the free navigation of the navigable waters, the permittee will be required, upon due notice from the Corps of Engineers, to remove, relocate, or alter the structural work or obstructions caused thereby, without expense to the United States. No claim shall be made against the United States on account of any such removal or alteration.

2. Aquatic Life Movements. No activity may substantially disrupt the necessary life cycle movements of those species of aquatic life indigenous to the waterbody, including those species that normally migrate through the area, unless the activity's primary purpose is to impound water. All permanent and temporary crossings of waterbodies shall be suitably culverted, bridged, or otherwise designed and constructed to maintain low flows to sustain the movement of those aquatic species. If a bottomless culvert cannot be used, then the crossing should be designed and constructed to minimize adverse effects to aquatic life movements.

3. Spawning Areas. Activities in spawning areas during spawning seasons must be avoided to the maximum extent practicable. Activities that result in the physical destruction (e.g., through excavation, fill, or downstream smothering by substantial turbidity) of an important spawning area are not authorized.

4. Migratory Bird Breeding Areas. Activities in waters of the United States that serve as breeding areas for migratory birds must be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.

5. Shellfish Beds. No activity may occur in areas of concentrated shellfish populations, unless the activity is directly related to a shellfish harvesting activity authorized by NWP 4 and 48, or is a shellfish seeding or habitat restoration activity authorized by NWP 27.

6. Suitable Material. No activity may use unsuitable material (e.g., trash, debris, car bodies, asphalt, etc.). Material used for construction or discharged must be free from toxic pollutants in toxic amounts (see section 307 of the Clean Water Act).

7. Water Supply Intakes. No activity may occur in the proximity of a public water supply intake, except where the activity is for the repair or improvement of public water supply intake structures or adjacent bank stabilization.

8. Adverse Effects From Impoundments. If the activity creates an impoundment of water, adverse effects to the aquatic system due to accelerating the passage of water, and/or restricting its flow must be minimized to the maximum extent practicable.

9. Management of Water Flows. To the maximum extent practicable, the pre-construction course, condition, capacity, and location of open waters must be maintained for each activity, including stream channelization, storm water management activities, and temporary and permanent road crossings, except as provided below. The activity must be constructed to withstand expected high flows, including tidal flows. The activity must not restrict or impede the passage of normal or high flows, including tidal flows, unless the primary purpose of the activity is to impound water or manage high flows. The activity may alter the pre-construction course, condition, capacity, and location of open waters if it benefits the aquatic environment (e.g., stream restoration or relocation activities).

10. Fills Within 100-Year Floodplains. The activity must comply with applicable FEMA-approved state or local floodplain management requirements.

11. Equipment. Heavy equipment working in wetlands or mudflats must be placed on mats, or other measures must be taken to minimize soil disturbance. If mats are used to minimize soil disturbance, the affected areas must be returned to pre-construction elevations, and revegetated as appropriate. In circumstances where the use of mats has caused significant soil compaction, efforts using techniques (e.g., soil reaeration techniques) to break up the compaction should be employed to return the soil to a pre-construction state prior to returning to pre-construction elevations.

12. Soil Erosion and Sediment Controls. Appropriate soil erosion and sediment controls must be used and maintained in effective operating condition during construction, and all exposed soil and other fills, as well as any work below the ordinary high water mark or high tide line, must be permanently stabilized at the earliest practicable date. Permittees are encouraged to perform work within waters of the United States during periods of low-flow or no-flow, or during low tides.

13. Removal of Temporary Structures and Fills. Temporary structures must be removed, to the maximum extent practicable, after their use has been discontinued. Temporary fills must be removed in their entirety and the affected areas returned to pre-construction elevations. The affected areas must be revegetated, as appropriate.

14. Proper Maintenance. Any authorized structure or fill shall be properly maintained, including maintenance to ensure public safety and compliance with applicable NWP general conditions, as well as any activity-specific conditions added by the district engineer to an NWP authorization.

15. Single and Complete Project. The activity must be a single and complete project. The same NWP cannot be used more than once for the same single and complete project.

16. Wild and Scenic Rivers. (a) No NWP activity may occur in a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System, or in a river officially designated by Congress as a “study river” for possible inclusion in the system while the river is in an official study status, unless the appropriate Federal agency with direct management responsibility for such river has determined in writing that the proposed activity will not adversely affect the Wild and Scenic River designation or study status.

(b) If a proposed NWP activity will occur in a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System, or in a river officially designated by Congress as a “study river” for possible inclusion in the system while the river is in an official study status, the permittee must submit a pre-construction notification (see general condition 32). The district engineer will coordinate the PCN with the Federal agency with direct management responsibility for that river. Permittees shall not begin the NWP activity until notified by the district engineer that the Federal agency with direct management responsibility for that river has determined in writing that the proposed NWP activity will not adversely affect the Wild and Scenic River designation or study status.

(c) Information on Wild and Scenic Rivers may be obtained from the appropriate Federal land management agency responsible for the designated Wild and Scenic River or study river (e.g., National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). Information on these rivers is also available at: <http://www.rivers.gov/>.

17. Tribal Rights. No activity or its operation may impair reserved tribal rights, including, but not limited to, reserved water rights and treaty fishing and hunting rights.

18. Endangered Species. (a) No activity is authorized under any NWP which is likely to directly or indirectly jeopardize the continued existence of a threatened or endangered species or a species proposed for such designation, as identified under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), or which will directly or indirectly destroy or adversely modify designated critical habitat or critical habitat proposed for such designation. No activity is authorized under any NWP which “may affect” a listed species or critical habitat, unless ESA section 7 consultation addressing the consequences of the proposed activity on listed species or critical habitat has been completed. See 50 CFR 402.02 for the definition of “effects of the action” for the purposes of ESA section 7 consultation.

(b) Federal agencies should follow their own procedures for complying with the requirements of the ESA (see 33 CFR 330.4(f)(1)). If pre-construction notification is required for the proposed activity, the federal permittee must provide the district

engineer with the appropriate documentation to demonstrate compliance with those requirements. The district engineer will verify that the appropriate documentation has been submitted. If the appropriate documentation has not been submitted, additional ESA section 7 consultation may be necessary for the activity and the respective federal agency would be responsible for fulfilling its obligation under section 7 of the ESA.

(c) Non-federal permittees must submit a pre-construction notification to the district engineer if any listed species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed such designation) might be affected or is in the vicinity of the activity, or if the activity is located in designated critical habitat or critical habitat proposed for such designation, and shall not begin work on the activity until notified by the district engineer that the requirements of the ESA have been satisfied and that the activity is authorized. For activities that might affect federally-listed endangered or threatened species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation), the pre-construction notification must include the name(s) of the endangered or threatened species (or species proposed for listing) that might be affected by the proposed activity or that utilize the designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) that might be affected by the proposed activity. The district engineer will determine whether the proposed activity “may affect” or will have “no effect” to listed species and designated critical habitat and will notify the non-federal applicant of the Corps’ determination within 45 days of receipt of a complete pre-construction notification. For activities where the non-federal applicant has identified listed species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) that might be affected or is in the vicinity of the activity, and has so notified the Corps, the applicant shall not begin work until the Corps has provided notification that the proposed activity will have “no effect” on listed species (or species proposed for listing or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation), or until ESA section 7 consultation or conference has been completed. If the non-federal applicant has not heard back from the Corps within 45 days, the applicant must still wait for notification from the Corps.

(d) As a result of formal or informal consultation or conference with the FWS or NMFS the district engineer may add species-specific permit conditions to the NWP.

(e) Authorization of an activity by an NWP does not authorize the “take” of a threatened or endangered species as defined under the ESA. In the absence of separate authorization (e.g., an ESA Section 10 Permit, a Biological Opinion with “incidental take” provisions, etc.) from the FWS or the NMFS, the Endangered Species Act prohibits any person subject to the jurisdiction of the United States to take a listed species, where “take” means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. The word “harm” in the definition of “take” means an act which actually kills or injures wildlife. Such an act may include significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding or sheltering.

(f) If the non-federal permittee has a valid ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) incidental take permit with an approved Habitat Conservation Plan for a project or a group of projects that includes the proposed NWP activity, the non-federal permittee should provide a copy of that ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit with the PCN required by paragraph (c) of this general condition. The district engineer will coordinate with the agency that issued the ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit to determine whether the proposed NWP activity and the associated incidental take were considered in the internal ESA section 7 consultation conducted for the ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit. If that coordination results in concurrence from the agency that the proposed NWP activity and the associated incidental take were considered in the internal ESA section 7 consultation for the ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit, the district engineer does not need to conduct a separate ESA section 7 consultation for the proposed NWP activity. The district engineer will notify the non-federal applicant within 45 days of receipt of a complete pre-construction notification whether the ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit covers the proposed NWP activity or whether additional ESA section 7 consultation is required.

(g) Information on the location of threatened and endangered species and their critical habitat can be obtained directly from the offices of the FWS and NMFS or their web pages at <http://www.fws.gov/> or <http://www.fws.gov/ipac> and <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/esa/> respectively.

19. Migratory Birds and Bald and Golden Eagles. The permittee is responsible for ensuring that an action authorized by an NWP complies with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. The permittee is responsible for contacting the appropriate local office of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to determine what measures, if any, are necessary or appropriate to reduce adverse effects to migratory birds or eagles, including whether "incidental take" permits are necessary and available under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act or Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act for a particular activity.

20. Historic Properties. (a) No activity is authorized under any NWP which may have the potential to cause effects on properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places until the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) have been satisfied.

(b) Federal permittees should follow their own procedures for complying with the requirements of section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (see 33 CFR 330.4(g)(1)). If pre-construction notification is required for the proposed NWP activity, the federal permittee must provide the district engineer with the appropriate documentation to demonstrate compliance with those requirements. The district engineer will verify that the appropriate documentation has been submitted. If the appropriate documentation is not submitted, then additional consultation under section 106 may be necessary. The respective federal agency is responsible for fulfilling its obligation to comply with section 106.

(c) Non-federal permittees must submit a pre-construction notification to the district engineer if the NWP activity might have the potential to cause effects on any historic properties listed on, determined to be eligible for listing on, or potentially eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, including previously unidentified

properties. For such activities, the pre-construction notification must state which historic properties might have the potential to be affected by the proposed NWP activity or include a vicinity map indicating the location of the historic properties or the potential for the presence of historic properties. Assistance regarding information on the location of, or potential for, the presence of historic properties can be sought from the State Historic Preservation Officer, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, or designated tribal representative, as appropriate, and the National Register of Historic Places (see 33 CFR 330.4(g)). When reviewing pre-construction notifications, district engineers will comply with the current procedures for addressing the requirements of section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The district engineer shall make a reasonable and good faith effort to carry out appropriate identification efforts commensurate with potential impacts, which may include background research, consultation, oral history interviews, sample field investigation, and/or field survey. Based on the information submitted in the PCN and these identification efforts, the district engineer shall determine whether the proposed NWP activity has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Section 106 consultation is not required when the district engineer determines that the activity does not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties (see 36 CFR 800.3(a)). Section 106 consultation is required when the district engineer determines that the activity has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. The district engineer will conduct consultation with consulting parties identified under 36 CFR 800.2(c) when he or she makes any of the following effect determinations for the purposes of section 106 of the NHPA: no historic properties affected, no adverse effect, or adverse effect.

(d) Where the non-federal applicant has identified historic properties on which the proposed NWP activity might have the potential to cause effects and has so notified the Corps, the non-federal applicant shall not begin the activity until notified by the district engineer either that the activity has no potential to cause effects on historic properties or that NHPA section 106 consultation has been completed. For non-federal permittees, the district engineer will notify the prospective permittee within 45 days of receipt of a complete pre-construction notification whether NHPA section 106 consultation is required. If NHPA section 106 consultation is required, the district engineer will notify the non-federal applicant that he or she cannot begin the activity until section 106 consultation is completed. If the non-federal applicant has not heard back from the Corps within 45 days, the applicant must still wait for notification from the Corps.

(e) Prospective permittees should be aware that section 110k of the NHPA (54 U.S.C. 306113) prevents the Corps from granting a permit or other assistance to an applicant who, with intent to avoid the requirements of section 106 of the NHPA, has intentionally significantly adversely affected a historic property to which the permit would relate, or having legal power to prevent it, allowed such significant adverse effect to occur, unless the Corps, after consultation with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), determines that circumstances justify granting such assistance despite the adverse effect created or permitted by the applicant. If circumstances justify granting the assistance, the Corps is required to notify the ACHP and provide documentation specifying the circumstances, the degree of damage to the integrity of any historic properties affected, and proposed mitigation. This documentation must include any

views obtained from the applicant, SHPO/THPO, appropriate Indian tribes if the undertaking occurs on or affects historic properties on tribal lands or affects properties of interest to those tribes, and other parties known to have a legitimate interest in the impacts to the permitted activity on historic properties.

21. Discovery of Previously Unknown Remains and Artifacts. Permittees that discover any previously unknown historic, cultural or archeological remains and artifacts while accomplishing the activities authorized by NWPs, must immediately notify the district engineer of what they have found, and to the maximum extent practicable, avoid construction activities that may affect the remains and artifacts until the required coordination has been completed. The district engineer will initiate the federal, tribal, and state coordination required to determine if the items or remains warrant a recovery effort or if the site is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

22. Designated Critical Resource Waters. Critical resource waters include, NOAA-managed marine sanctuaries and marine monuments, and National Estuarine Research Reserves. The district engineer may designate, after notice and opportunity for public comment, additional waters officially designated by a state as having particular environmental or ecological significance, such as outstanding national resource waters or state natural heritage sites. The district engineer may also designate additional critical resource waters after notice and opportunity for public comment.

(a) Discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States are not authorized by NWPs 7, 12, 14, 16, 17, 21, 29, 31, 35, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 49, 50, 51, 52, 57 and 58 for any activity within, or directly affecting, critical resource waters, including wetlands adjacent to such waters.

(b) For NWPs 3, 8, 10, 13, 15, 18, 19, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, and 54, notification is required in accordance with general condition 32, for any activity proposed by permittees in the designated critical resource waters including wetlands adjacent to those waters. The district engineer may authorize activities under these NWPs only after she or he determines that the impacts to the critical resource waters will be no more than minimal.

23. Mitigation. The district engineer will consider the following factors when determining appropriate and practicable mitigation necessary to ensure that the individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal:

(a) The activity must be designed and constructed to avoid and minimize adverse effects, both temporary and permanent, to waters of the United States to the maximum extent practicable at the project site (i.e., on site).

(b) Mitigation in all its forms (avoiding, minimizing, rectifying, reducing, or compensating for resource losses) will be required to the extent necessary to ensure that the individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal.

(c) Compensatory mitigation at a minimum one-for-one ratio will be required for all wetland losses that exceed 1/10-acre and require pre-construction notification, unless the district engineer determines in writing that either some other form of mitigation would be more environmentally appropriate or the adverse environmental effects of the

proposed activity are no more than minimal, and provides an activity-specific waiver of this requirement. For wetland losses of 1/10-acre or less that require pre-construction notification, the district engineer may determine on a case-by-case basis that compensatory mitigation is required to ensure that the activity results in only minimal adverse environmental effects.

(d) Compensatory mitigation at a minimum one-for-one ratio will be required for all losses of stream bed that exceed 3/100-acre and require pre-construction notification, unless the district engineer determines in writing that either some other form of mitigation would be more environmentally appropriate or the adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are no more than minimal, and provides an activity-specific waiver of this requirement. This compensatory mitigation requirement may be satisfied through the restoration or enhancement of riparian areas next to streams in accordance with paragraph (e) of this general condition. For losses of stream bed of 3/100-acre or less that require pre-construction notification, the district engineer may determine on a case-by-case basis that compensatory mitigation is required to ensure that the activity results in only minimal adverse environmental effects. Compensatory mitigation for losses of streams should be provided, if practicable, through stream rehabilitation, enhancement, or preservation, because streams are difficult-to-replace resources (see 33 CFR 332.3(e)(3)).

(e) Compensatory mitigation plans for NWP activities in or near streams or other open waters will normally include a requirement for the restoration or enhancement, maintenance, and legal protection (e.g., conservation easements) of riparian areas next to open waters. In some cases, the restoration or maintenance/protection of riparian areas may be the only compensatory mitigation required. If restoring riparian areas involves planting vegetation, only native species should be planted. The width of the required riparian area will address documented water quality or aquatic habitat loss concerns. Normally, the riparian area will be 25 to 50 feet wide on each side of the stream, but the district engineer may require slightly wider riparian areas to address documented water quality or habitat loss concerns. If it is not possible to restore or maintain/protect a riparian area on both sides of a stream, or if the waterbody is a lake or coastal waters, then restoring or maintaining/protecting a riparian area along a single bank or shoreline may be sufficient. Where both wetlands and open waters exist on the project site, the district engineer will determine the appropriate compensatory mitigation (e.g., riparian areas and/or wetlands compensation) based on what is best for the aquatic environment on a watershed basis. In cases where riparian areas are determined to be the most appropriate form of minimization or compensatory mitigation, the district engineer may waive or reduce the requirement to provide wetland compensatory mitigation for wetland losses.

(f) Compensatory mitigation projects provided to offset losses of aquatic resources must comply with the applicable provisions of 33 CFR part 332.

(1) The prospective permittee is responsible for proposing an appropriate compensatory mitigation option if compensatory mitigation is necessary to ensure that the activity results in no more than minimal adverse environmental effects. For the NWPs, the preferred mechanism for providing compensatory mitigation is mitigation bank credits or

in-lieu fee program credits (see 33 CFR 332.3(b)(2) and (3)). However, if an appropriate number and type of mitigation bank or in-lieu credits are not available at the time the PCN is submitted to the district engineer, the district engineer may approve the use of permittee-responsible mitigation.

(2) The amount of compensatory mitigation required by the district engineer must be sufficient to ensure that the authorized activity results in no more than minimal individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects (see 33 CFR 330.1(e)(3)). (See also 33 CFR 332.3(f).)

(3) Since the likelihood of success is greater and the impacts to potentially valuable uplands are reduced, aquatic resource restoration should be the first compensatory mitigation option considered for permittee-responsible mitigation.

(4) If permittee-responsible mitigation is the proposed option, the prospective permittee is responsible for submitting a mitigation plan. A conceptual or detailed mitigation plan may be used by the district engineer to make the decision on the NWP verification request, but a final mitigation plan that addresses the applicable requirements of 33 CFR 332.4(c)(2) through (14) must be approved by the district engineer before the permittee begins work in waters of the United States, unless the district engineer determines that prior approval of the final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required compensatory mitigation (see 33 CFR 332.3(k)(3)). If permittee-responsible mitigation is the proposed option, and the proposed compensatory mitigation site is located on land in which another federal agency holds an easement, the district engineer will coordinate with that federal agency to determine if proposed compensatory mitigation project is compatible with the terms of the easement.

(5) If mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program credits are the proposed option, the mitigation plan needs to address only the baseline conditions at the impact site and the number of credits to be provided (see 33 CFR 332.4(c)(1)(ii)).

(6) Compensatory mitigation requirements (e.g., resource type and amount to be provided as compensatory mitigation, site protection, ecological performance standards, monitoring requirements) may be addressed through conditions added to the NWP authorization, instead of components of a compensatory mitigation plan (see 33 CFR 332.4(c)(1)(ii)).

(g) Compensatory mitigation will not be used to increase the acreage losses allowed by the acreage limits of the NWPs. For example, if an NWP has an acreage limit of 1/2-acre, it cannot be used to authorize any NWP activity resulting in the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of waters of the United States, even if compensatory mitigation is provided that replaces or restores some of the lost waters. However, compensatory mitigation can and should be used, as necessary, to ensure that an NWP activity already meeting the established acreage limits also satisfies the no more than minimal impact requirement for the NWPs.

(h) Permittees may propose the use of mitigation banks, in-lieu fee programs, or permittee-responsible mitigation. When developing a compensatory mitigation proposal,

the permittee must consider appropriate and practicable options consistent with the framework at 33 CFR 332.3(b). For activities resulting in the loss of marine or estuarine resources, permittee-responsible mitigation may be environmentally preferable if there are no mitigation banks or in-lieu fee programs in the area that have marine or estuarine credits available for sale or transfer to the permittee. For permittee-responsible mitigation, the special conditions of the NWP verification must clearly indicate the party or parties responsible for the implementation and performance of the compensatory mitigation project, and, if required, its long-term management.

(i) Where certain functions and services of waters of the United States are permanently adversely affected by a regulated activity, such as discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States that will convert a forested or scrub-shrub wetland to a herbaceous wetland in a permanently maintained utility line right-of-way, mitigation may be required to reduce the adverse environmental effects of the activity to the no more than minimal level.

24. Safety of Impoundment Structures. To ensure that all impoundment structures are safely designed, the district engineer may require non-federal applicants to demonstrate that the structures comply with established state or federal, dam safety criteria or have been designed by qualified persons. The district engineer may also require documentation that the design has been independently reviewed by similarly qualified persons, and appropriate modifications made to ensure safety.

25. Water Quality. (a) Where the certifying authority (state, authorized tribe, or EPA, as appropriate) has not previously certified compliance of an NWP with CWA section 401, a CWA section 401 water quality certification for the proposed activity which may result in any discharge from a point source into waters of the United States must be obtained or waived (see 33 CFR 330.4(c)). If the permittee cannot comply with all of the conditions of a water quality certification previously issued by the certifying authority for the issuance of the NWP, then the permittee must obtain a water quality certification or waiver for the proposed activity which may result in any discharge from a point source into waters of the United States in order for the activity to be authorized by an NWP.

(b) If the NWP activity requires pre-construction notification and the certifying authority has not previously certified compliance of an NWP with CWA section 401, the proposed activity which may result in any discharge from a point source into waters of the United States is not authorized by an NWP until water quality certification is obtained or waived. If the certifying authority issues a water quality certification for the proposed discharge into waters of the United States, the permittee must submit a copy of the certification to the district engineer. The discharge into waters of the United States is not authorized by an NWP until the district engineer has notified the permittee that the water quality certification requirement has been satisfied (i.e., by the issuance of a water quality certification or a waiver and completion of the Section 401(a)(2) process).

(c) The district engineer or certifying authority may require additional water quality management measures to ensure that the authorized activity does not result in more than minimal degradation of water quality.

26. Coastal Zone Management. In coastal states where an NWP has not previously received a state coastal zone management consistency concurrence, an individual state coastal zone management consistency concurrence must be obtained, or a presumption of concurrence must occur (see 33 CFR 330.4(d)). If the permittee cannot comply with all of the conditions of a coastal zone management consistency concurrence previously issued by the state, then the permittee must obtain an individual coastal zone management consistency concurrence or presumption of concurrence in order for the activity to be authorized by an NWP. The district engineer or a state may require additional measures to ensure that the authorized activity is consistent with state coastal zone management requirements.

27. Regional and Case-By-Case Conditions. The activity must comply with any regional conditions that may have been added by the division engineer (see 33 CFR 330.4(e)) and with any case specific conditions added by the Corps or by the state, Indian Tribe, or U.S. EPA in its CWA section 401 Water Quality Certification, or by the state in its Coastal Zone Management Act consistency determination.

28. Use of Multiple Nationwide Permits. The use of more than one NWP for a single and complete project is authorized, subject to the following restrictions:

(a) The total acreage loss of waters of the United States for a single and complete project cannot exceed the acreage limit of the NWP with the highest specified acreage limit when multiple NWPs are used to authorize an activity.

(b) If only one of the NWPs used to authorize the single and complete project has a specified acreage limit, the acreage loss of waters of the United States for that single and complete project cannot exceed that specified acreage limit. For example, if a road crossing over tidal waters is constructed under NWP 14 (which has an acreage limit of 1/3 acre in tidal waters), with associated bank stabilization authorized by NWP 13 (which does not have a specified acreage limit), the maximum acreage loss of waters of the United States for the total project cannot exceed 1/3-acre.

(c) If two or more of the NWPs used to authorize the single and complete project have specified acreage limits, the acreage loss of waters of the United States authorized by each of those NWPs cannot exceed the specified acreage limits of each of those NWPs. For example, if a commercial development is constructed under NWP 39 (which as a 1/2-acre limit), and the single and complete project includes the filling of a ditch authorized by NWP 46 (which has a 1-acre limit), the maximum acreage loss of waters of the United States for the construction of the commercial development under NWP 39 cannot exceed 1/2-acre, and the total acreage loss of waters of United States caused by the combination of the NWP 39 and NWP 46 activities cannot exceed 1 acre.

29. Transfer of Nationwide Permit Verifications. If the permittee sells the property associated with a nationwide permit verification, the permittee may transfer the nationwide permit verification to the new owner by submitting a letter to the appropriate Corps district office to validate the transfer. A copy of the nationwide permit verification must be attached to the letter, and the letter must contain the following statement and signature:

“When the structures or work authorized by this nationwide permit are still in existence at the time the property is transferred, the terms and conditions of this nationwide permit, including any special conditions, will continue to be binding on the new owner(s) of the property. To validate the transfer of this nationwide permit and the associated liabilities associated with compliance with its terms and conditions, have the transferee sign and date below.”

(Transferee)

(Date)

30. Compliance Certification. Each permittee who receives an NWP verification letter from the Corps must provide a signed certification documenting completion of the authorized activity and implementation of any required compensatory mitigation. The successful completion of any required permittee-responsible mitigation, including the achievement of ecological performance standards, will be addressed separately by the district engineer. The Corps will provide the permittee the certification document with the NWP verification letter. The certification document will include:

- (a) A statement that the authorized activity was done in accordance with the NWP authorization, including any general, regional, or activity-specific conditions;
- (b) A statement that the implementation of any required compensatory mitigation was completed in accordance with the permit conditions. If credits from a mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program are used to satisfy the compensatory mitigation requirements, the certification must include the documentation required by 33 CFR 332.3(l)(3) to confirm that the permittee secured the appropriate number and resource type of credits; and
- (c) The signature of the permittee certifying the completion of the activity and mitigation.

The completed certification document must be submitted to the district engineer within 30 days of completion of the authorized activity or the implementation of any required compensatory mitigation, whichever occurs later.

31. Activities Affecting Structures or Works Built by the United States. If an NWP activity also requires review by, or permission from, the Corps pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 408 because it will alter or temporarily or permanently occupy or use a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) federally authorized Civil Works project (a “USACE project”), the prospective permittee must submit a pre-construction notification. See paragraph (b)(10) of general condition 32. An activity that requires section 408 permission and/or review is not authorized by an NWP until the appropriate Corps office issues the section 408 permission or completes its review to alter, occupy, or use the USACE project, and the district engineer issues a written NWP verification.

32. Pre-Construction Notification. (a) *Timing*. Where required by the terms of the NWP, the prospective permittee must notify the district engineer by submitting a pre-construction notification (PCN) as early as possible. The district engineer must determine if the PCN is complete within 30 calendar days of the date of receipt and, if the PCN is determined to be incomplete, notify the prospective permittee within that 30 day period to request the additional information necessary to make the PCN complete. The request must specify the information needed to make the PCN complete. As a general rule, district engineers will request additional information necessary to make the PCN complete only once. However, if the prospective permittee does not provide all of the requested information, then the district engineer will notify the prospective permittee that the PCN is still incomplete and the PCN review process will not commence until all of the requested information has been received by the district engineer. The prospective permittee shall not begin the activity until either:

(1) He or she is notified in writing by the district engineer that the activity may proceed under the NWP with any special conditions imposed by the district or division engineer; or

(2) 45 calendar days have passed from the district engineer's receipt of the complete PCN and the prospective permittee has not received written notice from the district or division engineer. However, if the permittee was required to notify the Corps pursuant to general condition 18 that listed species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) might be affected or are in the vicinity of the activity, or to notify the Corps pursuant to general condition 20 that the activity might have the potential to cause effects to historic properties, the permittee cannot begin the activity until receiving written notification from the Corps that there is "no effect" on listed species or "no potential to cause effects" on historic properties, or that any consultation required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (see 33 CFR 330.4(f)) and/or section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (see 33 CFR 330.4(g)) has been completed. If the proposed activity requires a written waiver to exceed specified limits of an NWP, the permittee may not begin the activity until the district engineer issues the waiver. If the district or division engineer notifies the permittee in writing that an individual permit is required within 45 calendar days of receipt of a complete PCN, the permittee cannot begin the activity until an individual permit has been obtained. Subsequently, the permittee's right to proceed under the NWP may be modified, suspended, or revoked only in accordance with the procedure set forth in 33 CFR 330.5(d)(2).

(b) *Contents of Pre-Construction Notification*: The PCN must be in writing and include the following information:

(1) Name, address and telephone numbers of the prospective permittee;

(2) Location of the proposed activity;

(3) Identify the specific NWP or NWP(s) the prospective permittee wants to use to authorize the proposed activity;

(4) (i) A description of the proposed activity; the activity's purpose; direct and indirect adverse environmental effects the activity would cause, including the anticipated amount of loss of wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters expected to result from the NWP activity, in acres, linear feet, or other appropriate unit of measure; a description of any proposed mitigation measures intended to reduce the adverse environmental effects caused by the proposed activity; and any other NWP(s), regional general permit(s), or individual permit(s) used or intended to be used to authorize any part of the proposed project or any related activity, including other separate and distant crossings for linear projects that require Department of the Army authorization but do not require pre-construction notification. The description of the proposed activity and any proposed mitigation measures should be sufficiently detailed to allow the district engineer to determine that the adverse environmental effects of the activity will be no more than minimal and to determine the need for compensatory mitigation or other mitigation measures.

(ii) For linear projects where one or more single and complete crossings require pre-construction notification, the PCN must include the quantity of anticipated losses of wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters for each single and complete crossing of those wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters (including those single and complete crossings authorized by an NWP but do not require PCNs). This information will be used by the district engineer to evaluate the cumulative adverse environmental effects of the proposed linear project, and does not change those non-PCN NWP activities into NWP PCNs.

(iii) Sketches should be provided when necessary to show that the activity complies with the terms of the NWP. (Sketches usually clarify the activity and when provided results in a quicker decision. Sketches should contain sufficient detail to provide an illustrative description of the proposed activity (e.g., a conceptual plan), but do not need to be detailed engineering plans);

(5) The PCN must include a delineation of waters, wetlands, and other special aquatic sites on the project site. Wetland delineations must be prepared in accordance with the current method required by the Corps. The permittee may ask the Corps to delineate the special aquatic sites and other waters on the project site, but there may be a delay if the Corps does the delineation, especially if the project site is large or contains many wetlands, other special aquatic sites, and other waters. Furthermore, the 45-day period will not start until the delineation has been submitted to or completed by the Corps, as appropriate. For NWP 27 activities that require PCNs because of other general conditions or regional conditions imposed by division engineers, see Note 2 of that NWP;

(6) If the proposed activity will result in the loss of greater than 1/10-acre of wetlands or 3/100-acre of stream bed and a PCN is required, the prospective permittee must submit a statement describing how the compensatory mitigation requirement will be satisfied, or explaining why the adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal and why compensatory mitigation should not be required. As an alternative, the prospective permittee may submit a conceptual or detailed mitigation plan.

(7) For non-federal permittees, if any listed species (or species proposed for listing) or designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) might be affected or is in the vicinity of the activity, or if the activity is located in designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation), the PCN must include the name(s) of those endangered or threatened species (or species proposed for listing) that might be affected by the proposed activity or utilize the designated critical habitat (or critical habitat proposed for such designation) that might be affected by the proposed activity. For NWP activities that require pre-construction notification, federal permittees must provide documentation demonstrating compliance with the Endangered Species Act;

(8) For non-federal permittees, if the NWP activity might have the potential to cause effects to a historic property listed on, determined to be eligible for listing on, or potentially eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places, the PCN must state which historic property might have the potential to be affected by the proposed activity or include a vicinity map indicating the location of the historic property. For NWP activities that require pre-construction notification, federal permittees must provide documentation demonstrating compliance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act;

(9) For an activity that will occur in a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System, or in a river officially designated by Congress as a “study river” for possible inclusion in the system while the river is in an official study status, the PCN must identify the Wild and Scenic River or the “study river” (see general condition 16); and

(10) For an NWP activity that requires permission from, or review by, the Corps pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 408 because it will alter or temporarily or permanently occupy or use a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers federally authorized civil works project, the pre-construction notification must include a statement confirming that the project proponent has submitted a written request for section 408 permission from, or review by, the Corps office having jurisdiction over that USACE project.

(c) *Form of Pre-Construction Notification:* The nationwide permit pre-construction notification form (Form ENG 6082) should be used for NWP PCNs. A letter containing the required information may also be used. Applicants may provide electronic files of PCNs and supporting materials if the district engineer has established tools and procedures for electronic submittals.

(d) *Agency Coordination:* (1) The district engineer will consider any comments from federal and state agencies concerning the proposed activity’s compliance with the terms and conditions of the NWPs and the need for mitigation to reduce the activity’s adverse environmental effects so that they are no more than minimal.

(2) Agency coordination is required for: (i) all NWP activities that require pre-construction notification and result in the loss of greater than 1/2-acre of waters of the United States; (ii) NWP 13 activities in excess of 500 linear feet, fills greater than one cubic yard per running foot, or involve discharges of dredged or fill material into special aquatic sites; and (iii) NWP 54 activities in excess of 500 linear feet, or that extend into

the waterbody more than 30 feet from the mean low water line in tidal waters or the ordinary high water mark in the Great Lakes.

(3) When agency coordination is required, the district engineer will immediately provide (e.g., via e-mail, facsimile transmission, overnight mail, or other expeditious manner) a copy of the complete PCN to the appropriate federal or state offices (FWS, state natural resource or water quality agency, EPA, and, if appropriate, the NMFS). With the exception of NWP 37, these agencies will have 10 calendar days from the date the material is transmitted to notify the district engineer via telephone, facsimile transmission, or e-mail that they intend to provide substantive, site-specific comments. The comments must explain why the agency believes the adverse environmental effects will be more than minimal. If so contacted by an agency, the district engineer will wait an additional 15 calendar days before making a decision on the pre-construction notification. The district engineer will fully consider agency comments received within the specified time frame concerning the proposed activity's compliance with the terms and conditions of the NWPs, including the need for mitigation to ensure that the net adverse environmental effects of the proposed activity are no more than minimal. The district engineer will provide no response to the resource agency, except as provided below. The district engineer will indicate in the administrative record associated with each pre-construction notification that the resource agencies' concerns were considered. For NWP 37, the emergency watershed protection and rehabilitation activity may proceed immediately in cases where there is an unacceptable hazard to life or a significant loss of property or economic hardship will occur. The district engineer will consider any comments received to decide whether the NWP 37 authorization should be modified, suspended, or revoked in accordance with the procedures at 33 CFR 330.5.

(4) In cases where the prospective permittee is not a federal agency, the district engineer will provide a response to NMFS within 30 calendar days of receipt of any Essential Fish Habitat conservation recommendations, as required by section 305(b)(4)(B) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

(5) Applicants are encouraged to provide the Corps with either electronic files or multiple copies of pre-construction notifications to expedite agency coordination.

District Engineer's Decision

1. In reviewing the PCN for the proposed activity, the district engineer will determine whether the activity authorized by the NWP will result in more than minimal individual or cumulative adverse environmental effects or may be contrary to the public interest. If a project proponent requests authorization by a specific NWP, the district engineer should issue the NWP verification for that activity if it meets the terms and conditions of that NWP, unless he or she determines, after considering mitigation, that the proposed activity will result in more than minimal individual and cumulative adverse effects on the aquatic environment and other aspects of the public interest and exercises discretionary authority to require an individual permit for the proposed activity. For a linear project, this determination will include an evaluation of the single and complete crossings of

waters of the United States that require PCNs to determine whether they individually satisfy the terms and conditions of the NWP(s), as well as the cumulative effects caused by all of the crossings of waters of the United States authorized by an NWP. If an applicant requests a waiver of an applicable limit, as provided for in NWPs 13, 36, or 54, the district engineer will only grant the waiver upon a written determination that the NWP activity will result in only minimal individual and cumulative adverse environmental effects.

2. When making minimal adverse environmental effects determinations the district engineer will consider the direct and indirect effects caused by the NWP activity. He or she will also consider the cumulative adverse environmental effects caused by activities authorized by an NWP and whether those cumulative adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal. The district engineer will also consider site specific factors, such as the environmental setting in the vicinity of the NWP activity, the type of resource that will be affected by the NWP activity, the functions provided by the aquatic resources that will be affected by the NWP activity, the degree or magnitude to which the aquatic resources perform those functions, the extent that aquatic resource functions will be lost as a result of the NWP activity (e.g., partial or complete loss), the duration of the adverse effects (temporary or permanent), the importance of the aquatic resource functions to the region (e.g., watershed or ecoregion), and mitigation required by the district engineer. If an appropriate functional or condition assessment method is available and practicable to use, that assessment method may be used by the district engineer to assist in the minimal adverse environmental effects determination. The district engineer may add activity-specific conditions to the NWP authorization to address site-specific environmental concerns.

3. If the proposed NWP activity requires a PCN and will result in a loss of greater than 1/10-acre of wetlands or 3/100-acre of stream bed, the prospective permittee should submit a mitigation proposal with the PCN. Applicants may also propose compensatory mitigation for NWP activities with smaller impacts, or for impacts to other types of waters. However, compensatory mitigation shall not be required for activities authorized by NWP 27 because those activities must result in net increases in aquatic resource functions and services (see the text of NWP 27). The district engineer will consider any proposed compensatory mitigation or other mitigation measures the applicant has included in the proposal when determining whether the net adverse environmental effects of the proposed NWP activity are no more than minimal. The compensatory mitigation proposal may be either conceptual or detailed. If the district engineer determines that the proposed activity complies with the terms and conditions of the NWP and that the adverse environmental effects are no more than minimal, after considering mitigation, the district engineer will notify the permittee and include any activity-specific conditions in the NWP verification the district engineer deems necessary. Conditions for compensatory mitigation requirements must comply with the appropriate provisions at 33 CFR 332.3(k). The district engineer must approve the final mitigation plan before the permittee commences work in waters of the United States, unless the district engineer determines that prior approval of the final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required compensatory mitigation. If the prospective permittee elects to submit a compensatory

mitigation plan with the PCN, the district engineer will expeditiously review the proposed compensatory mitigation plan. The district engineer must review the proposed compensatory mitigation plan within 45 calendar days of receiving a complete PCN and determine whether the proposed mitigation would ensure that the NWP activity results in no more than minimal adverse environmental effects. If the net adverse environmental effects of the NWP activity (after consideration of the mitigation proposal) are determined by the district engineer to be no more than minimal, the district engineer will provide a timely written response to the applicant. The response will state that the NWP activity can proceed under the terms and conditions of the NWP, including any activity-specific conditions added to the NWP authorization by the district engineer.

4. If the district engineer determines that the adverse environmental effects of the proposed NWP activity are more than minimal, then the district engineer will notify the applicant either: (a) that the activity does not qualify for authorization under the NWP and instruct the applicant on the procedures to seek authorization under an individual permit; (b) that the activity is authorized under the NWP subject to the applicant's submission of a mitigation plan that would reduce the adverse environmental effects so that they are no more than minimal; or (c) that the activity is authorized under the NWP with specific modifications or conditions. Where the district engineer determines that mitigation is required to ensure no more than minimal adverse environmental effects, the activity will be authorized within the 45-day PCN review period (unless additional time is required to comply with general conditions 16, 18, 20, and/or 31), with activity-specific conditions that state the mitigation requirements. The authorization will include the necessary conceptual or detailed mitigation plan or a requirement that the applicant submit a mitigation plan that would reduce the adverse environmental effects so that they are no more than minimal. When compensatory mitigation is required, no work in waters of the United States may occur until the district engineer has approved a specific mitigation plan or has determined that prior approval of a final mitigation plan is not practicable or not necessary to ensure timely completion of the required compensatory mitigation.

Further Information

1. District engineers have authority to determine if an activity complies with the terms and conditions of an NWP.
2. NWPs do not obviate the need to obtain other federal, state, or local permits, approvals, or authorizations required by law.
3. NWPs do not grant any property rights or exclusive privileges.
4. NWPs do not authorize any injury to the property or rights of others.
5. NWPs do not authorize interference with any existing or proposed Federal project (see general condition 31).

Nationwide Permit Definitions

Best management practices (BMPs): Policies, practices, procedures, or structures implemented to mitigate the adverse environmental effects on surface water quality resulting from development. BMPs are categorized as structural or non-structural.

Compensatory mitigation: The restoration (re-establishment or rehabilitation), establishment (creation), enhancement, and/or in certain circumstances preservation of aquatic resources for the purposes of offsetting unavoidable adverse impacts which remain after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and minimization has been achieved.

Currently serviceable: Useable as is or with some maintenance, but not so degraded as to essentially require reconstruction.

Direct effects: Effects that are caused by the activity and occur at the same time and place.

Discharge: The term “discharge” means any discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States.

Ecological reference: A model used to plan and design an aquatic ecosystem restoration, enhancement, or establishment activity under NWP 27. An ecological reference may be based on: (1) the structure, functions, and dynamics of an aquatic ecosystem type or a riparian area type that currently exists in the region; (2) the structure, functions, and dynamics of an aquatic ecosystem type or riparian area type that existed in the region in the past; and/or (3) indigenous and local ecological knowledge that apply to the aquatic ecosystem type or riparian area type (i.e., a cultural ecosystem). Cultural ecosystems are ecosystems that have developed under the joint influence of natural processes and human management activities (e.g., fire stewardship). An ecological reference takes into account the range of variation of the aquatic habitat type or riparian area type in the region.

Enhancement: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of an aquatic resource to heighten, intensify, or improve a specific aquatic resource function(s). Enhancement results in the gain of selected aquatic resource function(s), but may also lead to a decline in other aquatic resource function(s). Enhancement does not result in a gain in aquatic resource area.

Establishment (creation): The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics present to develop an aquatic resource that did not previously exist at an upland site. Establishment results in a gain in aquatic resource area.

High Tide Line: The line of intersection of the land with the water’s surface at the maximum height reached by a rising tide. The high tide line may be determined, in the absence of actual data, by a line of oil or scum along shore objects, a more or less continuous deposit of fine shell or debris on the foreshore or berm, other physical markings or characteristics, vegetation lines, tidal gages, or other suitable means that delineate the general height reached by a rising tide. The line encompasses spring high tides and other high tides that occur with periodic frequency but does not include storm surges in which there is a departure from the normal or predicted reach of the tide due

to the piling up of water against a coast by strong winds such as those accompanying a hurricane or other intense storm.

Historic Property: Any prehistoric or historic district, site (including archaeological site), building, structure, or other object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. This term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. The term includes properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization and that meet the National Register criteria (36 CFR part 60).

Independent utility: A test to determine what constitutes a single and complete non-linear project in the Corps Regulatory Program. A project is considered to have independent utility if it would be constructed absent the construction of other projects in the project area. Portions of a multi-phase project that depend upon other phases of the project do not have independent utility. Phases of a project that would be constructed even if the other phases were not built can be considered as separate single and complete projects with independent utility.

Indirect effects: Effects that are caused by the activity and are later in time or farther removed in distance, but are still reasonably foreseeable.

Loss of waters of the United States: Waters of the United States that are permanently adversely affected by filling, flooding, excavation, or drainage because of the regulated activity. The loss of stream bed includes the acres of stream bed that are permanently adversely affected by filling or excavation because of the regulated activity. Permanent adverse effects include permanent discharges of dredged or fill material that change an aquatic area to dry land, increase the bottom elevation of a waterbody, or change the use of a waterbody. The acreage of loss of waters of the United States is a threshold measurement of the impact to jurisdictional waters or wetlands for determining whether a project may qualify for an NWP; it is not a net threshold that is calculated after considering compensatory mitigation that may be used to offset losses of aquatic functions and services. Waters of the United States temporarily filled, flooded, excavated, or drained, but restored to pre-construction contours and elevations after construction, are not included in the measurement of loss of waters of the United States. Impacts resulting from activities that do not require Department of the Army authorization, such as activities eligible for exemptions under section 404(f) of the Clean Water Act, are not considered when calculating the loss of waters of the United States.

Nature-based solutions: Actions to protect, sustainably manage, and restore natural or modified ecosystems, that address societal challenges effectively and adaptively, simultaneously providing human well-being and biodiversity benefits.

Navigable waters: Waters subject to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. These waters are defined at 33 CFR part 329.

Non-tidal wetland: A non-tidal wetland is a wetland that is not subject to the ebb and flow of tidal waters. Non-tidal wetlands contiguous to tidal waters are located landward of the high tide line (i.e., spring high tide line).

Open water: For purposes of the NWP, an open water is any area that in a year with normal patterns of precipitation has water flowing or standing above ground to the extent that an ordinary high water mark can be determined. Aquatic vegetation within the area of flowing or standing water is either non-emergent, sparse, or absent. Vegetated shallows are considered to be open waters. Examples of “open waters” include rivers, streams, lakes, and ponds.

Ordinary High Water Mark: The term ordinary high water mark means that line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas.

Perennial stream: A perennial stream has surface water flowing continuously year-round during a typical year.

Practicable: Available and capable of being done after taking into consideration cost, existing technology, and logistics in light of overall project purposes.

Pre-construction notification: A request submitted by the project proponent to the Corps for confirmation that a particular activity is authorized by nationwide permit. The request may be a permit application, letter, or similar document that includes information about the proposed work and its anticipated environmental effects. Pre-construction notification may be required by the terms and conditions of a nationwide permit, or by regional conditions. A pre-construction notification may be voluntarily submitted in cases where pre-construction notification is not required and the project proponent wants confirmation that the activity is authorized by nationwide permit.

Preservation: The removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, aquatic resources by an action in or near those aquatic resources. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of aquatic resources through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions.

Re-establishment: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural/historic functions to a former aquatic resource. Re-establishment results in rebuilding a former aquatic resource and results in a gain in aquatic resource area and functions.

Rehabilitation: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of repairing natural/historic functions to a degraded aquatic resource. Rehabilitation results in a gain in aquatic resource function, but does not result in a gain in aquatic resource area.

Restoration: The manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural/historic functions to a former or degraded aquatic resource. For the purpose of tracking net gains in aquatic resource area, restoration is divided into two categories: re-establishment and rehabilitation.

Riffle and pool complex: Riffle and pool complexes are special aquatic sites under the 404(b)(1) Guidelines. Riffle and pool complexes sometimes characterize steep gradient sections of streams. Such stream sections are recognizable by their hydraulic characteristics. The rapid movement of water over a coarse substrate in riffles results in a rough flow, a turbulent surface, and high dissolved oxygen levels in the water. Pools are deeper areas associated with riffles. A slower stream velocity, a streaming flow, a smooth surface, and a finer substrate characterize pools.

Riparian areas: Riparian areas are lands next to streams, lakes, and estuarine-marine shorelines. Riparian areas are transitional between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, through which surface and subsurface hydrology connects riverine, lacustrine, estuarine, and marine waters with their adjacent wetlands, non-wetland waters, or uplands. Riparian areas provide a variety of ecological functions and services and help improve or maintain local water quality. (See general condition 23.)

Shellfish seeding: The placement of shellfish seed and/or suitable substrate to increase shellfish production. Shellfish seed consists of immature individual shellfish or individual shellfish attached to shells or shell fragments (i.e., spat on shell). Suitable substrate may consist of shellfish shells, shell fragments, or other appropriate materials placed into waters for shellfish habitat.

Single and complete linear project: A linear project is a project constructed for the purpose of getting people, goods, or services from a point of origin to a terminal point, which often involves multiple crossings of one or more waterbodies at separate and distant locations. The term “single and complete project” is defined as that portion of the total linear project proposed or accomplished by one owner/developer or partnership or other association of owners/developers that includes all crossings of a single water of the United States (i.e., a single waterbody) at a specific location. For linear projects crossing a single or multiple waterbodies several times at separate and distant locations, each crossing is considered a single and complete project for purposes of NWP authorization. However, individual channels in a braided stream or river, or individual arms of a large, irregularly shaped wetland or lake, etc., are not separate waterbodies, and crossings of such features cannot be considered separately.

Single and complete non-linear project: For non-linear projects, the term “single and complete project” is defined at 33 CFR 330.2(i) as the total project proposed or accomplished by one owner/developer or partnership or other association of owners/developers. A single and complete non-linear project must have independent utility (see definition of “independent utility”). Single and complete non-linear projects may not be “piecemealed” to avoid the limits in an NWP authorization.

Stormwater management: Stormwater management is the mechanism for controlling stormwater runoff for the purposes of reducing downstream erosion, water quality degradation, and flooding and mitigating the adverse effects of changes in land use on the aquatic environment.

Stormwater management facilities: Stormwater management facilities are those facilities, including but not limited to, stormwater retention and detention ponds and best management practices, which retain water for a period of time to control runoff and/or

improve the quality (i.e., by reducing the concentration of nutrients, sediments, hazardous substances and other pollutants) of stormwater runoff.

Stream bed: The substrate of the stream channel between the ordinary high water marks. The substrate may be bedrock or inorganic particles that range in size from clay to boulders. The substrate may also be comprised, in part, of organic matter, such as large or small wood fragments, leaves, algae, and other organic materials. Wetlands contiguous to the stream bed, but outside of the ordinary high water marks, are not considered part of the stream bed.

Stream channelization: The manipulation of a stream's course, condition, capacity, or location that causes more than minimal interruption of normal stream processes. A channelized jurisdictional stream remains a water of the United States.

Structure: An object that is arranged in a definite pattern of organization. Examples of structures include, without limitation, any pier, boat dock, boat ramp, wharf, dolphin, weir, boom, breakwater, bulkhead, revetment, riprap, jetty, artificial island, artificial reef, permanent mooring structure, power transmission line, permanently moored floating vessel, piling, aid to navigation, or any other manmade obstacle or obstruction.

Tidal wetland: A tidal wetland is a jurisdictional wetland that is inundated by tidal waters. Tidal waters rise and fall in a predictable and measurable rhythm or cycle due to the gravitational pulls of the moon and sun. Tidal waters end where the rise and fall of the water surface can no longer be practically measured in a predictable rhythm due to masking by other waters, wind, or other effects. Tidal wetlands are located channelward of the high tide line.

Tribal lands: Any lands title to which is either: 1) held in trust by the United States for the benefit of any Indian tribe or individual; or 2) held by any Indian tribe or individual subject to restrictions by the United States against alienation.

Tribal rights: Those rights legally accruing to a tribe or tribes by virtue of inherent sovereign authority, unextinguished aboriginal title, treaty, statute, judicial decisions, executive order or agreement, and that give rise to legally enforceable remedies.

Vegetated shallows: Vegetated shallows are special aquatic sites under the 404(b)(1) Guidelines. They are areas that are permanently inundated and under normal circumstances have rooted aquatic vegetation, such as seagrasses in marine and estuarine systems and a variety of vascular rooted plants in freshwater systems.

Waterbody: For purposes of the NWP, a waterbody is a "water of the United States." If a wetland is adjacent to a waterbody determined to be a water of the United States, that waterbody and any adjacent wetlands are considered together as a single aquatic unit (see 33 CFR 328.4(c)(2)).

2026 Nationwide Permits
Proposed Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State Of Montana and North
Dakota



US Army Corps
of Engineers®
Omaha District

**2026 Nationwide Permits
Final Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State of Montana**

The following Nationwide Permit (NWP) regional conditions will be used in the State of Montana. The issuance of the 2026 NWPs was announced in the June 18, 2025, publication of the Federal Register (90 FR 26100); the final action was published in the Federal Register (91 FR 768) on January 8, 2026. Regional conditions are placed on NWPs to ensure projects result in no more than minimal adverse impacts to the aquatic environment and to address local resources concerns.

A. PRECONSTRUCTION NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO ALL NWPs

For all NWPs, permittees must notify the Corps in accordance with General Condition 32 Preconstruction Notification (PCN) requirements for regulated activities located within or comprised of the following:

1. Aquatic Resources of Special Concern:

Aquatic resources of special concern are resources that are difficult to replace, unique, and/or have high ecological functions. PCN required for any regulated activity located in aquatic resources of special concern that fall into the categories listed below. The District Engineer may authorize activities under NWPs only after determining that the impacts to the following aquatic resources of special concern will be no more than minimal:

- a. **Wetlands classified as peatlands:** For purposes of this condition, peatlands are permanently or seasonally waterlogged areas with a surface accumulation of peat (organic matter) 30 centimeters (12 inches) or more thick. Under cool, anaerobic, and acidic conditions, the rate of organic matter accumulation exceeds organic decay. Any peat-covered areas, including fens, bogs, and muskegs, are all peatlands.
 - i. PCN required for NWP 3, 5, 6, 20, 27, 32, 38, and 45.
 - ii. All NWPs not listed above are revoked for use in peatlands.
- b. **Natural Springs:** Within 100 feet of the water source in natural spring areas. For the purpose of this condition, a spring water source is defined as any location where there is flow emanating from a distinct point at any time during the growing season. Springs do not include seeps and other groundwater discharge areas where there is no distinct point source of waters. Springs do not include drain tile outlets.
- c. **Specific Waters:** Within the following waters and their impoundments:
 - i. Bitterroot River
 - ii. Clark Fork River
 - iii. East Rosebud Creek
 - iv. Flathead Lake
 - v. Flathead River
 - vi. Kootenai River
 - vii. Milk River
 - viii. Missouri River
 - ix. Yellowstone River



US Army Corps
of Engineers®
Omaha District

**2026 Nationwide Permits
Final Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State of Montana**

- d. **Special River Management Zone (SRMZ) of the Upper Yellowstone River:** Within the SRMZ. This area is defined within the Special Area Management Plan (SAMP) as the 48-mile reach of the upper Yellowstone River (River Miles 531.8 to 483.6) from upstream of Emigrant River downstream to a few miles below the Shields River and Mission Creek confluences (0.7 miles downstream from the bridge at the community of Springdale). It includes secondary channels, side channels, and the main (primary) channels, and adjacent wetlands within the channel migration zone (CMZ) or, in absence of a CMZ, within areas flooded by the 100-year discharge. The SMRZ is located entirely within Park County, Montana.
- i. In addition to any NWP revocations, restrictions, and regional conditions listed here, additional revocations, restrictions, and conditions apply within the SRMZ described above.
 - ii. See the current Special Area Management Plan (SAMP) found here: <https://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory-Program/Montana/EA-Upper-Yellowstone-River/>.

2. Tribal Reservations and Tribal Trust Lands:

PCN required for any regulated activity located within Tribal Reservations and Tribal Trust Lands. The following link provides a map showing Tribal Reservations and Tribal Trust Lands: <https://onemap-bia-geospatial.hub.arcgis.com/apps/718497a94a15450d8d48b51625dc330f/explore>

3. Bank Stabilization Activities (verified under any NWP).

PCN required for any regulated activity that involves bank stabilization impacting an area greater than 3/100 of an acre (1,306.8 square feet) of streambed below the Ordinary High-Water Mark or includes features that extend out from the existing bank line greater than 25% of the bankfull channel width.

B. CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES

1. Suitable Material:

Permittees are reminded of General Condition No. 6 which prohibits use of unsuitable material. A list of materials prohibited or restricted as fill material in waters of the United States within the Omaha District can be found at: <http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Media/FactSheets/FactSheetArticleView/tabid/2034/Article/12320/prohibited-restricted-materials.aspx>



2026 Nationwide Permits
Final Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State of Montana

2. Revegetation of Disturbed Areas:

All jurisdictional waters disturbed by construction shall be revegetated with appropriate perennial, native grasses and forbs and maintained in this condition. Seed mixes shall not include invasive or noxious species. The following link provides information and resources on invasive species: <https://www.invasivespeciesinfo.gov/us>

3. Culvert Countersinking:

For all NWP in streams with relatively permanent flow and a stable stream bed, culvert stream crossings shall be installed with the bottom of the culvert set below the natural stream channel flow line. This regional condition does not apply in instances where the lowering of the bottom of the culvert would allow a headcut to migrate upstream of the project into an unaffected stream reach or result in lowering the elevation of the stream reach.

- a. The stream's flow line (or the main path of the water) will be determined by calculating the average elevation along the streambed during periods when the stream is at its lowest flow levels.
- b. The slope of the culvert should be parallel to the slope of the stream flow line.
- c. Riprap inlet and outlet protection shall be placed to match the height of the bottom of the culvert.

4. Intake Structures:

In USFWS identified Pallid Sturgeon waterways (<http://www.fws.gov/ipac>), any intake structure shall meet the following criteria to protect the pallid sturgeon:

- a. Intake screens with a mesh opening of ¼ inch or less shall be installed, inspected annually, and maintained.
- b. For Johnson intake screens, the maximum width between wires shall not exceed 1/8 inch.
- c. Water velocity at the intake screen shall not exceed ½ foot per second.
- d. Intakes must be located in the deepest water available and be elevated off the bottom of the riverbed or lakebed.

5. Bank Stabilization Activities:

The following additional requirements apply to all bank stabilization activities:

- a. The revetment must conform to the existing bank, unless such work is determined by the Corps to be biologically or geomorphically beneficial for the system; must not extend above the top of the bank (i.e., no new levees); and the slopes must be flatter than the angle of repose for the selected revetment material (i.e., rock riprap normally needs to be placed on a slope flatter than 1.5 Horizontal to 1 Vertical (1.5H:1V)).
- b. The revetment must not wholly or partially block flows from entering a side channel or an overflow channel.



US Army Corps
of Engineers®
Omaha District

**2026 Nationwide Permits
Final Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State of Montana**

6. Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Measures:

A PCN is required for any regulated activity seeking a waiver of the conditions pertaining to soil erosion and sediment control measures identified in item “c” below.

- a. Temporary Measures: Temporary controls (e.g., silt fences, netting, mats) must be removed and disposed of once they have served their purpose.
- b. Permanent Measures: Permanent controls used in or near waters of the U.S. must be made of 100% biodegradable, non-plastic materials (such as jute, sisal, or coir). Plastic alternatives (including any degradable or oxo-degradable materials) are not authorized unless the district engineer gives prior approval.
- c. Waivers: A waiver from these requirements may be requested through a PCN. The district engineer may approve nondegradable materials for permanent use on a case-by-case basis if it is demonstrated that they will not harm fish, wildlife, or public safety.

7. NWP-3 – Maintenance and NWP-45 – Repair of Uplands Damaged by Discrete Events Definition of “Discrete Event”:

The definition of “discrete event,” as used in these permits, includes, but is not limited to, unexpected natural and human-caused events such as fires, storms, landslides, avalanches, earthquakes, accidents, debris or ice jams, and floods. For the purpose of the NWPs, discrete event floods are stream flow events that overflow the OHWM.



December 15, 2025

Sage L. Joyce
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District
Montana Regulatory Office
100 Neill Avenue
Helena, Montana 59601-3329

Subject: Clean Water Act, Section 401 Certification Final Determination of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Proposed 2026 Nationwide Permits within the State of Montana

Dear Sage Joyce:

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) completed its review of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Clean Water Act Section 404 proposed 2026 Nationwide Permits (NWP) and the proposed regional conditions. The attachment to this letter (Parts A through D) constitutes DEQ's position on the subject Nationwide Permits. It should not result in an undue burden to either of our agencies, while still providing adequate water quality protection. Also, please find enclosed the Montana Department of Environmental Quality's December 5, 2000, 'Guidelines for Materials for Streambank Stabilization' as referenced in the attached certification.

Please instruct your regulatory staff to CC verification letters to DEQ's Section 401 certification coordinator when corresponding with permittees. If a project fails to meet the enclosed conditions direct the applicant to contact DEQ's Section 401 certification coordinator to request a pre-filing meeting, to apply for an individual Section 401 certification determination, and to inquire about Section 401 certification related questions.

We look forward to continuing the close cooperation and coordination between our two agencies. Please contact me at (406) 444-5546 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tatiana Davila", is written over a faint, stylized blue wave graphic.

Tatiana Davila, Bureau Chief
Water Protection Bureau

CC: Estella Moore, USEPA Region 8, w/ Attachments, via email at: moore.estella@epa.gov

Enclosure

Montana Department of Environmental Quality Clean Water Act Section 401 Certification Final Determination of the 2026 Nationwide Permits

This Clean Water Act Section 401 certification determination applies to any potential projects or activities authorized under the reissuance of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) proposed 2026 Nationwide Permits (NWP) into waters of the U.S. occurring where DEQ is the certifying authority in the State of Montana.

A. NWP Permit Certification Granted with Conditions¹

Clean Water Act Section 401 certification for the following proposed NWPs is granted with the General Conditions listed in Section D.

NWPs: 1, 2, 4-11, 14-20, 22-25, 28, 30-36, 38, 41, 46, 48, 49, 54, 55, 58

B. NWP Permit Certification Granted with Specific Conditions¹

NWP 3. Certified subject to the General Conditions listed in Section D. An Individual DEQ Section 401 review is required for projects or activities authorized under this NWP if:

- New work being proposed below the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) is greater than 10% of the existing footprint below OHWM.
- Cubic yardage of the new material placed exceeds an average of one cubic yard per running foot, as measured along the length of the treated bank, below the plane of the OHWM.

NWP 12. DEQ is granting Section 401 certification for projects or activities where a static or vibratory plow is used and/or where Horizontal Directional Drilling technology is implemented. DEQ denies Section 401 certification for all other projects or activities that qualify under this NWP.

NWPs 13, 21, 29, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 50. Certified subject to the General Conditions listed in Section D. An Individual DEQ Section 401 review is required for projects or activities authorized under these NWPs if:

- The project or activity results in total (cumulative) temporary and permanent impacts to streambanks or shorelines of greater than 500 feet for bank stabilization projects when natural or bioengineering techniques (e.g. sloping, vegetation, soil lifts) are utilized.
- The project or activity results in total (cumulative) temporary and permanent impacts to streambanks or shorelines of greater than 300 feet for bank stabilization projects when hard armoring techniques (e.g. rip-rap, gabion baskets, deflection walls) are utilized.
- The project or activity results in total (cumulative) loss of greater than 300 linear feet of stream bed or along the bank of streams, rivers, ditches, lakes, reservoirs by filling, channelization, piping, flooding, excavation, or drainage.
- The project or activity results in total (cumulative) permanent impacts greater than 1/4 acre to wetlands.

NWP 27. DEQ is granting Section 401 certification for the following projects or activities; all other projects or activities that qualify under this NWP require individual DEQ Section 401 review:

- DEQ is granting Section 401 certification for activities conducted on non-Federal public lands and private lands, in accordance with the terms and conditions of a binding stream enhancement or restoration agreement or wetland enhancement, restoration, or establishment agreement between the landowner and the FWS, NRCS, FSA, NMFS, NOS, USFS, BLM or their designated state cooperating agencies.
- DEQ is granting Section 401 certification for voluntary stream or wetland restoration or enhancement action, or wetland establishment action, documented by the NRCS or USDA Technical Service Provider pursuant to NRCS Field Office Technical Guide standards.

¹ MCA 75-5 et seq.; MCA 75-7 et seq.; MCA 87-5 et. seq.; ARM 17.30 et. seq.

- DEQ is granting Section 401 certification for the reclamation of surface coal mine lands, in accordance with a Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act permit issued by the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement or the applicable state agency.
- DEQ is granting Section 401 certification for watershed implementation project activities conducted under a Clean Water Act Section 319 grant.
- DEQ is granting Section 401 certification for the construction of fish habitat improvement structures and fish attraction devices within lakes, ponds, and reservoirs; the discharge within the waterway involved shall not exceed 50 cubic yards.
- DEQ is granting Section 401 certification for projects or activities that will cause a physical alteration of equal to or less than a total (cumulative) of 500 linear feet in length of a stream or river when measured along the bank or 1/4 acre of wetlands.

C. NWP's Denied²

NWP's 51, 52, 53, 57, 59, Proposed NWP A. 'Activities to Improve Passage of Fish and Other Aquatic Organisms' are denied for the five-year cycle.

D. State General Conditions for all NWP's²

The following state general Section 401 certification conditions apply to all NWP's whether granted with conditions or granted with specific conditions in Montana where DEQ is the certifying authority.

- 1) This certification does not authorize the placement or construction of septic/leach systems or other sewage treatment facilities in wetlands.
- 2) This certification does not authorize construction of dams, except for aquatic restoration projects and temporary dams associated with construction activity.
- 3) This certification requires that materials used in stream bank or shore stabilization projects adhere to the Montana Department of Environmental Quality's December 5, 2000 'Guidelines for Materials for Streambank Stabilization'. Tires may not be used to stabilize any banks in state waters.
- 4) This certification requires that all equipment be inspected for oil, gas, diesel, anti-freeze, hydraulic fluid and other petroleum leaks. Equipment cannot continue operating in or near the water if a leak is discovered. All such leaks will be properly repaired prior to equipment being allowed on the project site. Leaks that occur after the equipment is moved to the project site will be fixed that same day or the next day or be removed from the project area. If equipment is to be operated in or near water, a spill containment kit shall be available at the project site and DEQ shall be notified of spills.
- 5) This certification requires that all permittees shall, to the maximum extent practicable, incorporate and construct design features that eliminate bridge deck run-off containing sediment, salt, or other pollutants from discharging directly into state water. To the extent practicable, bridge deck run-off should be directed to a detention basin of unspecified size prior to continuing into state waters.
- 6) This certification requires that riprap projects, to the extent practicable, avoid the use of geotextile fabric as riprap bedding material. To the extent practicable, riprap voids shall incorporate approximately 30-50% fines/soil and dormant plant material and/or root-stock.
- 7) Restored riparian areas shall be stable and should consist of native species.

² MCA 75-5 et seq.; MCA 75-7 et seq.; MCA 87-5 et. seq.; ARM 17.30 et. seq.

Policy on Streambank Stabilization

This policy outlines the guidelines for approved materials to be used for streambank stabilization in Montana. This policy and a draft Environment Assessment were provided to the public for comment via public notice MT-00-10 issued September 18, 2000. Comments were accepted until October 17, 2000. The draft Environmental Assessment is adopted as the final Environmental Assessment with the Responses to Comments incorporated.

Signed into policy 12/05/00 by Bonnie Lovelace, Chief, Water Protection Bureau and 12/06/00 by Jan Sensibaugh, Administrator, Permitting & Compliance Division.

GUIDELINES FOR MATERIALS FOR STREAMBANK STABILIZATION

The following guidelines represent the efforts of a work group composed of Conservation District representatives, natural resource consultants, environmental interests, and state and federal regulatory agencies. They are suggested by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality and not necessarily endorsed by all the work group members. These guidelines are only for use in areas where the use of high-density, angular rock is not practicable. (The term "practicable" means available and capable of being done after taking into consideration cost, existing technology, and logistics in light of overall project purposes [40 CFR 230.3(q)]). Sandstone or broken concrete may be acceptable alternatives to high-density, angular rock in certain situations, although local regulation may prohibit their use. The use of any river training device/structure may directly or cumulatively alter the ecology of Montana rivers and streams. Cumulative impact considerations may preclude the use of any river training device.

Bank stabilization projects are sometimes authorized under the following jurisdictions: Local Conservation District - Natural Streambed & Land Conservation Act (31O); Montana Department of Fish Wildlife and Parks – Stream Protection Act (SPA124); County Floodplain Administrator - Floodplain Permit; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Section 404/10 Permit; Montana Department of Environmental Quality - 75-5-318, MCA Authorization; Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation - Navigable Rivers Land Use License/Easement.

The following optional design concepts should be considered in conjunction with the guidelines to minimize environmental/aesthetic concerns:

- Utilize rock only in the lower* portion or toe of the riprap with woody structures/features, biodegradable fabric, etc. in the upper* portions.
 - * The elevation at which the mean annual flow occurs is the division between "upper" and "lower."
- Incorporate soil in the upper portions of the project with appropriate woody (usually willow) plantings as near average water elevations as possible and herbaceous plantings elsewhere.
- Provide a temporary or permanent buffer strip (streamside area where protection promotes growth and sustenance of woody vegetation) along the project length to provide for vegetation stability where grazing or recreational use may impact plant growth.
- Preferably, plantings should be on slopes of 3:1 or flatter and irrigated, if possible.

(*Note:* Numerous documents with more detailed information are available. Contact the Natural Resource Conservation Service or the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation for their "Stream Project Manual.")



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**
Omaha District

**2026 Nationwide Permits
Final Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State of North Dakota**

The following Nationwide Permit (NWP) regional conditions will be used in the State of North Dakota. The issuance of the 2026 NWPs was announced in the June 18, 2025, publication of the Federal Register (90 FR 26100); the final action was published in the Federal Register (91 FR 768) on January 8, 2026. Regional conditions are placed on NWPs to ensure projects result in no more than minimal adverse impacts to the aquatic environment and to address local resources concerns.

A. PRECONSTRUCTION NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO ALL NWPs

For all NWPs, permittees must notify the Corps in accordance with General Condition 32 Preconstruction Notification (PCN) requirements for regulated activities located within or comprised of the following:

1. Aquatic Resources of Special Concern:

Aquatic resources of special concern are resources that are difficult to replace, unique, and/or have high ecological function. PCN required for any regulated activity located in aquatic resources of special concern that fall into the categories listed below. The District Engineer may authorize activities under NWPs only after determining that the impacts to the following aquatic resources of special concern will be no more than minimal:

- a. **Wetlands classified as peatlands:** For purposes of this condition, peatlands are permanently or seasonally waterlogged areas with a surface accumulation of peat (organic matter) 30 centimeters (12 inches) or more thick. Under cool, anaerobic, and acidic conditions, the rate of organic matter accumulation exceeds organic decay. Any peat-covered areas, including fens, bogs, and muskegs, are all peatlands.
 - i. PCN required for NWP 3, 5, 6, 20, 27, 32, 38 and 45.
 - ii. All NWPs not listed above are revoked for use in peatlands.
- b. **Natural Springs:** Within 100 feet of the water source in natural spring areas. For the purpose of this condition, a spring water source is defined as any location where there is flow emanating from a distinct point at any time during the growing season. Springs do not include seeps and other groundwater discharge areas where there is no distinct point source of waters. Springs do not include drain tile outlets.
- c. **Specific Waters:** Occurring in or under the Missouri River, including Lake Sakakawea and Lake Oahe. In addition, a PCN is required for any activity occurring in an offchannel area (e.g. marinas and bays) of any of these waterways.

2. Tribal Reservations and Tribal Trust Lands:

PCN required for any regulated activity located within Tribal Reservations and Tribal Trust Lands. The following link provides a map showing Tribal Reservations and Tribal Trust Lands:
<https://onemap-bia-geospatial.hub.arcgis.com/apps/718497a94a15450d8d48b51625dc330f/explore>



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**
Omaha District

**2026 Nationwide Permits
Final Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State of North Dakota**

3. Bank Stabilization Activities (verified under any NWP):

PCN required for any regulated activity that involves bank stabilization impacting an area greater than 3/100 of an acre (1,306.8 square feet) below the Ordinary High-Water Mark or includes features that extend out from the existing bank line greater than 25% of the bankfull channel width.

B. CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES:

1. Suitable Material:

Permittees are reminded of General Condition No. 6 which prohibits use of unsuitable material. A list of materials prohibited or restricted as fill material in waters of the United States within the Omaha District can be found at:

<http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Media/FactSheets/FactSheetArticleView/tabid/2034/Article/12320/prohibited-restricted-materials.aspx>

2. Revegetation of Disturbed Areas:

All jurisdictional waters disturbed by construction shall be revegetated with appropriate perennial, native grasses and forbs and maintained in this condition. Seed mixes shall not include invasive or noxious species. The following link provides information and resources on invasive species: <https://www.invasivespeciesinfo.gov/us>

3. Culvert Countersinking:

For all NWPs in streams with relatively permanent flow and a stable stream bed, culvert stream crossings shall be installed with the bottom of the culvert set below the natural stream channel flow line. This regional condition does not apply in instances where the lowering of the bottom of the culvert would allow a headcut to migrate upstream of the project into an unaffected stream reach or result in lowering the elevation of the stream reach.

- a. The stream's flow line (or the main path of the water) will be determined by calculating the average elevation along the streambed during periods when the stream is at its lowest flow levels.
- b. The slope of the culvert should be parallel to the slope of the stream flow line.
- c. Riprap inlet and outlet protection shall be placed to match the height of the bottom of the culvert.



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**
Omaha District

**2026 Nationwide Permits
Final Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State of North Dakota**

4. Intake Structures:

In USFWS identified Pallid Sturgeon waterways (<https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/>), any intake structure shall meet the following criteria to protect the pallid sturgeon:

- a. Intake screens with a maximum mesh opening of 1/4-inch must be provided, inspected annually, and maintained. Wire, Johnson-like, screens must have a maximum distance between wires of 1/8-inch. Water velocity at the intake screen shall not exceed 1/2-foot per second.
- b. Pumping plant sound levels will not exceed 75 dB at 50 feet.
- c. Intakes located in Lake Sakakawea, above river mile 1519, and on the Yellowstone River, are subject to the following conditions:
 - i. The intakes shall be floating.
 - ii. At the beginning of the pumping season, the intake shall be placed over water with a minimum depth of 20 feet.
 - iii. If the 20-foot depth is not attainable, then the intake shall be located over the deepest water available.
 - iv. If the water depth falls below six feet, the intake shall be moved to deeper water or the maximum intake velocity shall be limited to 1/4-foot per second.
- d. Intakes located in Lake Sakakawea, below river mile 1519, and the Missouri River below Garrison Dam are subject to the following conditions:
 - i. The intakes shall be submerged.
 - ii. At the beginning of the pumping season, the intake will be placed at least 20 vertical feet below the existing water level.
 - iii. The intake shall be elevated 2 to 4 feet off the bottom of the river or reservoir bed.
 - iv. If the 20-foot depth is not attainable, then the intake velocity shall be limited to 1/4-foot per second with intake placed at the maximum practicable attainable depth.
- e. Intakes and associated utility lines that are proposed to cross sandbars in areas designated as piping plover critical habitat are prohibited.
- f. Any temporary open trench associated with utility lines are to be closed within 30 days of excavation. This time limit may be extended by notifying the North Dakota Regulatory Office and receiving a written response that the extension is acceptable.



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**
Omaha District

**2026 Nationwide Permits
Final Regional Conditions
Omaha District
State of North Dakota**

5. Boat Docks:

To ensure that the work or structure shall not cause unreasonable obstruction to the free navigation of Section 10 navigable waters, the following conditions are required:

- a. No boat dock shall be located on a sandbar or barren sand feature. The farthest point riverward of a dock shall not exceed a total length of 30 feet from the Ordinary High Water Mark. Information Note: Issuance of this permit does not supersede authorization required by the North Dakota State Engineer's Office.
- b. Any boat dock shall be anchored to the top of the high bank.
- c. Any boat dock located within an excavated bay or marina that is off the main river channel may be anchored to the bay or marina bottom with spuds.
- d. Section 10 Waters located in the State of North Dakota are:
 - i. Bois de Sioux River
 - ii. James River
 - iii. Missouri River
 - iv. Red River of the North
 - v. Upper Des Lacs Lake
 - vi. Yellowstone River

November 24, 2025

Benjamin Soiseth
Chief, North Dakota Section U.S.A.C.E.
3319 University Drive
Bismarck, ND 58504

Re: Nationwide Permits – 2026 Reissuance

Dear Mr. Soiseth:

The North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality (department) has completed reviewing the proposed 2026 Nationwide Permits (NWP) for compliance with Standards of Quality for Waters of the State and for Certification under § 401 of the Clean Water Act.

The department has Clean Water Act § 401 authority for North Dakota. Under that authority the department certifies, certifies with conditions, or denies select 2026 NWP. Certification, certification with condition, and denials are issued to ensure that the water quality requirements as defined in the Standards of Quality for Waters of the State, North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Chapter 33.1-16-02.1 are supported as intended by the Clean Water Act (CWA) § 301, 303, 306, 307 and the 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 121 and the North Dakota Century Code (NDCC) § 61-28-04.

Activities that impact waters of the state that are not waters of the United States are required to comply with state law and state water quality standards. The department maintains jurisdiction over waters of the state as outlined in NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1 (WQ-Standards) and can enforce conditions in waters of the state. "Waters of the state" consist of all waters within the limit of the state and defined as waters on the surface and underground of the earth, including surface waters (lakes, ponds marshes, and waters flowing in well-defined channels), underground waters (flowing in defined subterranean channels or diffused percolating underground water) and artificial waters (residual waters from beneficial use appropriation and artificially drained) pursuant to NDCC § 61-01-01.

If a project is unable to meet the enclosed conditions, or if the certification is denied for an applicable NWP, the applicant may request individual certification from the department. An individual certification request must follow the requirements outlined in § 121.5 of EPA's CWA § 401 Certification Rule, effective November 27, 2023.

The department may inspect any authorized activity to determine compliance with the terms and conditions (§ 121.3(a)).

General Conditions

- 1) Nationwide Permits that authorize dredging activities involving the removal and subsequent upland storage of material for dewatering purposes that may result in a discharge (33 CFR § 323.2(d)) to waters of the state defined under the WQ-Standards will require a temporary discharge permit through the department's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Program compliance with NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-01 as promulgated under NDCC Chapter 61-28. Temporary Discharge Permit information can be found at (https://deq.nd.gov/WQ/2_NDPDES_Permits/9_TempDischarges/TD.aspx)
- 2) Nationwide Permits that authorize the discharge of dewatered dredged material as backfill for beneficial reuse purposes into Class I, IA, II, and III rivers and streams and classified lakes listed in the Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards may require testing for contaminants and trace elements using elutriate methods to verify that the dredged material does not pose a risk of violating NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1 Standards of Quality for Waters of the State. Federal and non-federal permittees shall provide a preconstruction notification (PCN) to the department so that the department can ascertain whether the Standards of Quality for Waters of the State are being supported.
 - a. The permittee must prepare and submit a Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) for the elutriate sampling method used, analytes measured and proposed beneficial reuse purpose. Proponents can follow the U.S. EPA and U.S.A.C.E inland testing guidelines.
- 3) Projects that disturb one acre or more, singularly or cumulatively, will need to apply for a general construction permit and develop a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to minimize pollution from soil erosion and other sources pursuant to NDAC 33.1-16 under NDCC Chapter 61-28. General Construction Permit information can be found at (https://deq.nd.gov/WQ/2_NDPDES_Permits/8_Stormwater/StW.aspx)

Under § 401 of the CWA this department grants certification to the following NWP's as the discharge(s) will comply with water quality requirements:

- 1) **NWP's Not Applicable: No. 8, 24, 34, 54, and 55.**
- 2) **NWP's Clean Water Certified: Number 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 18, 20, 21, 22, 25, 28, 30, 36, 38, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 57, 59**

Under § 401 of the CWA, the department grants certification with conditions (40 CFR 121.7(d)) to the following Nationwide Permits, as with these conditions the department is sure that the discharge(s) will comply with the state's WQ-Standards.

- 3) NWPs 3, 19, 31, and 33:** Nationwide Permits 3, 19, 31 and 33 are granted under § 401 Clean Water Certification with the condition that all projects involving the removal and subsequent upland storage of material for dewatering purposes that may discharge to Waters of the State defined under the WQ-Standards will require a temporary discharge permit through the department's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Program under § 402 of the CWA and follow all procedures therein. Projects that plan to place dewatered dredge material back below the ordinary highwater mark in Class I, IA, II, and Class III rivers and streams, and classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards will require testing for contaminants and trace elements using elutriate methods to verify that the dredged material does not pose a risk of violating the Standards of Quality for Waters of the State NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1.

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) Dewatering activities associated with dredged materials that result in a discharge is considered a point source discharge. Products of dewatering activities such as dredged spoil, solid waste, or elutriate have the potential to leech or carry pollutants into receiving surface waters of the state and infiltrate through the soil profile and into groundwater sources.
- (ii) Dredged materials often carry pollutants that are particulate bound to the sediment, which has the propensity to be liberated from that material and become bioavailable within the water column.
- (iii) Point source discharges are regulated under § 402 of the CWA by the department under the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program.
- (iv) Waters of the state under NDCC § 61-28-04 are defined in and protected by the NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1 which includes rivers, streams, lakes, reservoirs, wetlands, and groundwater. Conditioning of NWP 3, 19, 31 and 33 ensures support for § 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA.

- 4) NWPs 7, 13, 16, 32, 35 and 52:** Nationwide Permits 7, 13, 16, 32, 35 and 52 are granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification with the condition that all projects, (including Federal and Non-Federal Permittees), provide preconstruction notification (PCN) for projects in, over or under Class I, IA, II, and Class III rivers and streams, and classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards.

40 FR 121.7(d)

- (i) A PCN requirement for Federal and Non-Federal Permittees provides the department with the means to ascertain if the WQ-Standards are being supported and to confirm if the action was implemented as permitted. Projects under NWP 16 must follow general condition 1 and 2 for the state to determine that the quality of the return water is compliant with state WQ-Standards. Both are required under 40 CFR § 121.2 [Clean Water Certification is required for any license or permit that authorizes an activity that may result in a discharge] and 40 CFR-121.3(a) [When a certifying authority reviews a request for certification, the certifying authority shall evaluate whether the activity will comply with applicable water quality requirements. The certifying authority's evaluation is limited to the water quality-related impacts from the activity subject to the Federal license or permit, including the activity's construction and operation].
- (ii) NWPs that utilize nature-based solutions must do so in a way that does not compromise the structural integrity of the project, be able to withstand the hydraulic energies of the water, destabilize food web dynamics (e.g. evasive habitat for invasive species, alteration of trophic cascades). Ensuring these metrics can be obtained with nature-based solutions assures that the WQ-Standards for aquatic life use can be maintained in all waters of the state.
- (iii) Without a PCN the department and the USACE regulator will be blind to any violations, preventing the requirements of 40 CFR 121.3(a). Without a PCN, § 301, 302, 303, 306 and 307 of the CWA cannot be supported.

41 **NWPs 15 and 17:** NWPs 15 and 17 are granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification except those in, on or over Class I, IA, II rivers and streams listed in Appendix I of the WQ-Standards

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) Bridges and hydropower dams sequester sediments and other pollutants from runoff and riverine transportation, as well as modify the flow velocities in waters of the state. Maintaining natural, or pre-construction, morphological characteristics of the stream reduces the potential to destabilize and degrade the river/stream.

Drainage directly from hydropower surfaces and bridge decks may cause erosion due to control flow enhancing velocities, and introduce anthropogenic pollutants such as oil, gas, and particulate bound constituents during sediment transport. Directing bridge deck drainage into constructed runoff water quality control systems will help prevent erosion and keep pollutants from directly entering the waterway.

The placement of a structure within the ordinary highwater mark alters the hydrologic characteristics of the waterbody leading to increased erosional forces due to turbulent forces enhancing velocity. The increase in velocity and turbulence around structures, like bridge piers, cause scour and erosion which can undermine the structure itself and increase sediment loading to the waterbody.

- (ii) All streams are waters of the state under NDCC § 61-28-04 and protected by NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1 for beneficial uses, which include municipal, domestic, aquatic life, recreation, agriculture, and industrial use for the specified classified streams and rivers. In order to ensure that state law is supported, bridges and hydropower projects need project and specific conditions and allow inspections during and after construction (40 CFR 121.3(a)).
- (iii) Authority for the state to certify NWP 15 and 17 is in accordance with 40 CFR 121.2 [Clean Water Certification is required for any license or permit that authorizes an activity that may result in a discharge] and 40 CFR 121.3(a) [When a certifying authority reviews a request for certification, the certifying authority shall evaluate whether the activity will comply with applicable water quality requirements. The certifying authority's evaluation is limited to the water quality-related impacts from the activity subject to the Federal license or permit, including the activity's construction and operation]. Conditioning of NWP 15 and 17 ensure that § 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA are supported.

42 NWP 23: Nationwide Permit 23 is granted § 401 Clean Water Certification with the condition that the project is associated with a Linear Transportation Project. Any projects that are not associated with Linear Transportation Projects that will result in stream or lakeshore bank loss exceeding 0.03-acre or are in, under or over Class I, IA, II and III streams or classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards will require individual certification. Projects that fail to meet this condition under NWP 23 will require individual certification.

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) Projects exceeding the 0.03-acre requirement for stream bank or lakeshore impacts have the capacity to remove the biological, disrupt hydraulic and geomorphic riverine or lacustrine function, fragment watershed connectivity in rivers, and disrupt in-lake physiochemical dynamics.
- (ii) Waters of the state under NDCC § 61-28-04 are defined in and protected by the NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1. Conditioning of NWP 23 ensures support for § 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA.

- 43 **NWP 27:** NWP 27 is granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification with the following conditions: (1) Projects in Class I, IA, II, III rivers and streams, and classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards must provide a preconstruction notification to the department that identifies the ecological reference in which the project is restoring to and (2) limit the human dimension in restoring aquatic ecosystems on Class I, IA, II, and III rivers or streams listed in Appendix I of the WQ-Standards unless carried out in a way that the streams current assimilative capacity for WQ-Standards and aquatic life passage are maintained.

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) NWP 27 can be used to enhance ecosystem function in aquatic systems. However, it is difficult to objectively determine a past ecological reference as a target metric. A PCN is required to ensure appropriate conditions are applied during and after construction to protect aquatic life passage, existing beneficial uses and prevent construction in ecological settings that may pose a risk to currently impaired waters.
- (ii) Authority for the state to certify NWP 27 is in accordance with 40 CFR 121.2 [Clean Water Certification is required for any license or permit that authorizes an activity that may result in a discharge] and 40 CFR 121.3(a) [When a certifying authority reviews a request for certification, the certifying authority shall evaluate whether the activity will comply with applicable water quality requirements. The certifying authority's evaluation is limited to the water quality-related impacts from the activity subject to the Federal license or permit, including the activity's construction and operation]. Conditioning of NWP 27 ensures that § 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA are supported.

- 44 **NWP 29:** NWP 29 is granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification under the condition that the project will not result in a stream bank loss exceeding 0.03-acre in Class I, IA, II, and III streams. Projects that cannot meet the conditions under NWP 29 will require individual certification.

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) Projects exceeding the 0.03-acre requirement for stream banks have the capacity to remove the biological, disrupt hydraulic and geomorphic stream function, disconnect and fragment the watershed and potentially result in the total loss of a stream.
- (ii) Waters of the state under NDCC § 61-28-04 are defined in and protected by the NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1 for the general beneficial uses of municipal, domestic, aquatic life, recreation, agriculture, and industry. Conditioning of NWP 29 ensures support for § 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA.

- 45 **NWP 53:** NWP 53 is granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification with the condition that (1) all projects, (including Federal and Non-Federal Permittees), provide PCN for projects in, over or under Class I, IA, II, and Class III rivers and streams in Appendix I of the WQ-Standards, (2) all dredged material during excavation be maintained in an upland staging area with the appropriate temporary discharge and dewatering permit and (3) appropriate best management practices are implemented to ensure that the quantity of sediment and sediment-associated contaminant transport does not impact WQ-standards.

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) Sediment buildup behind low head dams can be significant overtime. When the dams are removed, the release of this built-up sediment can exacerbate downstream water quality turbidity, smother aquatic organisms in sensitive life stages, and deliver particulate bound contaminants downstream, which can be resuspended and made bioavailable under conducive conditions. A PCN outlining the appropriate removal and BMPs implemented, as well as preliminary characterization of the chemical profile of accreted sediment if material is to be dredged and used as backfill in the water, is necessary to ensure the maintenance of beneficial uses and WQ-Standards.
- (ii) Authority for the state to certify NWP 53 is in accordance with 40 CFR 121.2 [Clean Water Certification is required for any license or permit that authorizes an activity that may result in a discharge] and 40 CFR 121.3(a) [When a certifying authority reviews a request for certification, the certifying authority shall evaluate whether the activity will comply with applicable water quality requirements. The certifying authority's evaluation is limited to the water quality-related impacts from the activity subject to the Federal license or permit, including the activity's construction and operation]. Conditioning of NWP 53 ensures that § 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA are supported.

- 46 **NWPs 39, 40 and 42:** NWPs 39, 40 and 42 is granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification under the condition that the project will not result in loss or relocation of 150 linear feet of any stream or river. Projects that cannot meet the conditions under Nationwide Permit 39, 40, and 42 will require individual certification.

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) North Dakota has many intermittent and perennial streams with bed widths of less than four (4) feet. Projects with large footprints (e.g. Commercial, Industrial, Agricultural, and Recreational) that remove ≥150 linear feet of stream bank have the capacity to remove the capability, singularly or cumulatively, of the biological,

assimilative, hydraulic and geomorphic stream function, disconnect and fragment the watershed and enhance in stream channelization.

- (ii) All streams are waters of the state under NDCC § 61-28-04 and protected by NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1 for the beneficial uses of municipal domestic, aquatic life, recreation, agriculture and industry. Conditioning of NWP 39, 40, and 42 ensure support of § 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA.

47 **NWP 57:** NWP 57 is granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification except those in, on or over Class I, IA, and III rivers and streams and classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards.

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) NWP 57 authorizes the construction of access roads which allow for the development of culverts, which can have negative erosion effects on stream beds and banks due to velocity and increased scour. NWP 57 also authorizes the remediation of inadvertent returns of drilling fluids (e.g. bentonite clay, polymers, surfactants) which will require post-remediation monitoring of select analytes to ensure WQ-Standards are maintained.

NWP 57 also authorizes the construction and maintenance of foundations for overhead utility lines in waters of the state. A PCN is required to ensure that all construction and maintenance of these foundations meet WQ-Standards for all waters of the state.

- (ii) All streams are waters of the state under NDCC § 61-28-04 and protected by NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1 for the beneficial uses of municipal domestic, aquatic life, recreation, agriculture and industry. Authority for the state to certify NWP 57 is in accordance with 40 CFR 121.2 [Clean Water Certification is required for any license or permit that authorizes an activity that may result in a discharge] and 40 CFR 121.3(a) [When a certifying authority reviews a request for certification, the certifying authority shall evaluate whether the activity will comply with applicable water quality requirements. The certifying authority's evaluation is limited to the water quality-related impacts from the activity subject to the Federal license or permit, including the activity's construction and operation]. Conditioning of NWP 57 ensures support for 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA.

48 **NWP 58:** NWP 58 is granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification with the condition that they do not carry oil and gas production water, or brine water, collectively called saltwater pipelines in, over or under Class I, IA, II, and class III rivers and streams, and classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards. Projects that fail to meet this condition will require an individual CWA § 401 Clean

Water Certification with conditions based on the specific waterbody, location on the water, type of construction, and safety controls applied prior, during and after construction.

40 CFR 121.7(d)(2)

- (i) Discharge by saltwater pipelines is in violation of NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1, the CWA § 301 and 302 (40 CFR 121). Three hundred and ninety-five (395) pipeline releases/failures have been reported in North Dakota from October 2021 to September 2025, a 39.1% reported increase in pipeline spills from January 1, 2016, to October 1, 2020 (284).
- (ii) Saltwater pipelines in, over or under Class I, IA, II, and Class III rivers and streams, and classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards need to be conditioned as appropriate specific to the waterbody, location of the pipeline on the waterbody, type of construction/installation of the pipeline, and safety controls applied prior, during and after construction in accordance with the type of substance being transported.
- (iii) Pipelines carrying oil or gas production water, produced water, or brine water can be constructed to minimize discharge and failure potential through appropriate permit conditions. The following information will be required by the project proponent when submitting for individual CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification: 1) pipeline construction type, 2) engineering design, 3) water crossing type (subterranean; above ground), 4) pre- and post-monitoring of water quality, 5) safety controls installed, 6) spill response procedures/plans (including BMPs), 7) identification of toxicant or pollutant being transported.
- (iv) Specific locational information to assess the ecological impacts of spills/releases will be required to prescribe appropriate conditions for each individual CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification: 1) geologic and geomorphic conditions at the construction site to determine likelihood of point source releases from construction activities such as the common frac-out of directional drilling fluids (slurry water mixtures, bentonite clay, polymers, surfactants) and the toxicity values of those fluids, 2) the location of the project to inspect during and after construction to ensure compliance and enforce conditions (40 CFR 121.3(a)) [When a certifying authority reviews a request for certification, the certifying authority shall evaluate whether the activity will comply with applicable water quality requirements. The certifying authority's evaluation is limited to the water quality-related impacts from the activity subject to the Federal license or permit, including the activity's construction and operation]. There is a physical requirement to ensure that all saltwater pipelines are installed at depths below any scour line to protect them from the hydraulic energies of the water.

- (v) Class I, IA, II and III rivers and stream under 40 CFR 131.10 and NDCC § 61-28-04 have federally and state defined beneficial uses. These include the CWA 101(a)(2) beneficial uses of *"wherever attainable, an interim goal of water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and provides for recreation in and on the water be achieved by July 1, 1983"*. These uses include, in addition to aquatic life use and recreation under the CWA 101(a)(2) uses, are municipal, domestic, agricultural and industrial uses. Pollutant releases into state waters may occur during and after construction of production, produced and brine water (saltwater) pipelines. Releases will violate § 301, 302, 303 and 306 of the CWA and WQ-Standards.

49 **NWP A:** NWP A is granted under CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification with the condition that all projects, (including Federal and Non-Federal Permittees), provide PCN for projects in, over or under Class I, IA, II, and Class III rivers and streams and Classified lakes in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards.

40 CFR 121.7(d)

- (i) NWP A would allow the construction of structures to improve fish passage and other aquatic organisms, which, during certain times of critical low flow periods along exposed unstable banks may increase pollutant loadings from construction activities. All equipment used in such projects shall be inspected for leaks of fuel, petroleum products and anti-freeze before operating within, or near, waters of the state.
- (ii) Authority for the state to certify NWP A is in accordance with 40 CFR 121.2 [Clean Water Certification is required for any license or permit that authorizes an activity that may result in a discharge] and 40 CFR 121.3(a) [When a certifying authority reviews a request for certification, the certifying authority shall evaluate whether the activity will comply with applicable water quality requirements. The certifying authority's evaluation is limited to the water quality-related impacts from the activity subject to the Federal license or permit, including the activity's construction and operation]. Conditioning of NWP A ensures that § 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA are supported.

Under § 401 of the CWA, the department denies (40 CFR 121.7(e)(2)) Clean Water Certification of the following NWPs as the discharge(s) will not comply with water quality requirements:

50 NWP 12: Oil and natural gas related projects in Class I, IA, II and Class III rivers and streams, and classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards are denied under CWA § 401 Water Quality Certification.

Denied 40 CFR 121.7(e)(2)

- (i) Discharge by oil and natural gas pipelines is in violation of NDAC Chapter 33.1-16-02.1, the CWA § 301 and 302 (40 CFR 121). Two hundred and twenty (220) pipeline releases/failures have been reported in North Dakota from October 2021 to September 2025, a 20.2% reported increase in oil spill from January 1, 2016, to October 1, 2020 (183).
- (ii) Oil and natural gas pipelines in, over or under Class I, IA, II, and Class III rivers and streams, and classified lakes listed in Appendices I and II of the WQ-Standards need to be conditioned as appropriate to the specific waterbody, location of the pipeline on the waterbody, type of construction/installation of the pipeline, and safety controls applied prior, during and after construction in accordance with the type of substance being transported.
- (iii) Pipelines carrying oil or natural gas can be constructed to minimize discharge and failure potential through appropriate permit conditions. The following information will be required by the project proponent when submitting for individual CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification: 1) pipeline construction type, 2) engineering design, 3) water crossing type (subterranean; above ground), 4) pre- and post-monitoring of water quality, 5) safety controls installed, 6) spill response procedures/plans (including BMPs), 7) identification of toxicant or specific pollutant being transported.
- (iv) Specific locational information to assess the ecological impacts of spills/releases will be required to prescribe appropriate conditions for each individual CWA § 401 Clean Water Certification: 1) geologic and geomorphic conditions at the construction site to determine likelihood of point source releases from construction activities such as the common frac-out of directional drilling fluids (slurry water mixtures, bentonite clay, polymers, surfactants) and the toxicity values of those fluids, 2) the location of the project to inspect during and after construction to ensure compliance and enforce conditions (40 CFR 121.3(a) [When a certifying authority reviews a request for certification, the certifying authority shall evaluate whether the activity will comply with applicable water quality requirements. The certifying authority's evaluation is limited to the water quality-related impacts from the activity subject to the Federal license or permit, including the activity's construction and operation]. There is a physical requirement to ensure that all oil and natural gas pipelines are installed at depths below any scour line to protect them from the hydraulic energies of the water.
- (v) Class I, IA, II and III rivers and stream under 40 CFR 131.10 and NDCC § 61-28-04 have federally and state defined beneficial uses. These include the CWA 101(a)(2) beneficial uses of "*wherever attainable, an interim goal of water quality which*

provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and provides for recreation in and on the water be achieved by July 1, 1983". These uses include, in addition to aquatic life use and recreation under the CWA 101(a)(2) uses, are municipal, domestic, agricultural and industrial uses. Pollutant releases into state waters may occur during and after construction of oil and natural gas pipelines. Releases will violate § 301, 302, 303 and 306 of the CWA and WQ-Standards.

- (vi) The department has a legal obligation to ensure the protection of the beneficial uses: municipal, domestic, industry, agriculture, recreation and fish and aquatic life by ensuring the NDCC § 61-28-04 is supported by the WQ-Standards as determined by the CWA § 301, 302, 303, 306 and 307.

The WQ-Standards may be found at <https://ndlegis.gov/information/acdata/pdf/33.1-16-02.1.pdf>. Within the WQ-Standards are the Authority 33.1-16-02.1-01, Beneficial use definitions under "Definitions" 33.1-16-02.1-04, Narrative standards under "General Water Quality Standards" 33.1-16-02.1-08, Numeric standards under "Surface Water Classifications, Mixing Zones, and Numeric Standards" 33.1-16-02.1-09, Stream Classifications (Appendix I), Lake and Reservoir Classifications (Appendix II), and Antidegradation Procedure (Appendix IV).

Sincerely,



Marty Haroldson

Director

Division of Water Quality

2021 Nationwide Permits
Proposed Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**
Omaha District

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

The following Nationwide Permit regional condition best management practices are required for Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming in the Omaha District. The issuance of the NWP was announced in the January 13, 2021, issue of the Federal Register (86 FR 2744) and December 27, 2021, issue of the Federal Register (86 FR 73522). Regional conditions are placed on NWPs to ensure projects result in no more than minimal adverse impacts to the aquatic environment and to address local resources concerns.

**A. REQUIRED BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES APPLICABLE TO MONTANA,
NEBRASKA, NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, AND WYOMING**

1. Suitable Material:

Permittees are reminded of General Condition No. 6 which prohibits use of unsuitable material. A list of materials prohibited or restricted as fill material in waters of the United States can be found at:

<http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Media/FactSheets/FactSheetArticleView/tabid/2034/Article/12320/prohibited-restricted-materials.aspx>

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

B. MONTANA REQUIRED BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

2. Bank and Shoreline Stabilization Activities:

The following additional requirements apply to all bank and shoreline stabilization:

- a. The revetment must conform to the existing bankline, unless such work is determined by the Corps to be biologically or geomorphically beneficial for the system; must not extend above the top of the bank (i.e., no new levees); and the slopes must be flatter than the angle of repose for the selected revetment material (i.e., rock riprap normally needs to be placed on a slope flatter than 1.5 Horizontal to 1 Vertical (1.5H:1V)).
- b. The revetment must not wholly or partially block flows from entering a side channel or an overflow channel.

3. Placement and Removal of Temporary Fills:

Temporary fills in wetlands must be placed on a horizontal marker layer, such as fabric or certified weed-free straw, to delineate the pre-project ground elevation and facilitate complete fill removal and site restoration.

4. Erosion and Sediment Control Blanket:

All erosion control blanket or fabric used in or adjacent to waters of the United States must be comprised of degradable material to ensure decomposition. Do not use material that includes stabilized netting or stabilized open mesh, as these products take a long time to degrade and they can trap small animals, birds, amphibians and fish. This prohibition also applies to mesh materials used for wattles, rolled materials, and bank wraps. Erosion control blanket or fabrics that break down within 24 months are acceptable. Non-degradable blankets or fabric may be allowed on a case-specific basis if it will be buried beneath riprap or structures and it is not likely to be exposed. Non-degradable blanket or fabric that becomes exposed within waters of the United States must be removed.

**5. NWP-3 – Maintenance and NWP-45 – Repair of Uplands Damaged by Discrete Events
Definition of “Discrete Event”:**

The definition of “discrete event,” as used in these permits, includes, but is not limited to, unexpected natural and human-caused events such as fires, storms, landslides, avalanches, earthquakes, accidents, debris or ice jams, and floods. For the purpose of the NWPs, discrete event floods are stream flow events that overflow the OHWM.

6. Outfall Structures and Associated Intake Structures:

Inlet screens for intakes in the Yellowstone River or the Missouri River in Blaine, Chouteau, Custer, Dawson, Fergus, Garfield, McCone, Petroleum, Phillips, Prairie, Richland, Roosevelt, Valley and Wibaux Counties must be installed on all pump intakes with a screen mesh opening size no larger than 1/4 inch. Water intake velocities must not exceed 1/2 foot per second through the mesh. Intakes must be located in the deepest water available and be elevated off the bottom of the river bed.

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

7. Culvert Countersink Depth:

For all NWP in jurisdictional streams and a stable stream bed, culvert stream crossings shall be installed with the culvert invert set below the natural stream channel flow line according to the table below. This regional condition does not apply in instances where the lowering of the culvert invert would allow a headcut to migrate upstream of the project into an unaffected stream reach or result in lowering the elevation of the stream reach.

Culvert Type	Drainage Area	Minimum Distance Culvert Invert Shall Be Lowered Below Stream Flow Line
All culvert types	< 100 acres	Not required
Pipe diameter <8.0 ft	100 to 640 acres	1/2-ft
Pipe diameter <8.0 ft	>640 acres	1-ft
Pipe diameter > 8.0 ft	All drainage sizes	20% of pipe diameter
Box culvert	All drainage sizes	1-ft

- a. The stream flow line shall be defined as the longitudinal average of the low flow stream channel.
- b. The slope of the culvert should be parallel to the slope of the stream flow line.
- c. The culvert invert depression depth shall be measured at the culvert inlet for culverts installed at a slope less than the slope of the stream flow line.
- d. Riprap inlet and outlet protection shall be placed to match the height of the culvert invert.

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

C. NEBRASKA REQUIRED BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

2. Revegetation of Disturbed Areas:

- a. All areas adjacent (contiguous, bordering, neighboring) to jurisdictional waters disturbed by construction shall be revegetated with appropriate perennial, native grasses and forbs and maintained in this condition. In accordance with Executive Order 13112, the use of invasive species and non- native species is not appropriate for revegetation of disturbed areas. A cover crop may be planted to aid in the establishment of native vegetation. The disturbed areas shall be reseeded concurrently with the project or immediately upon completion. Revegetation shall be acceptable when ground cover of appropriate perennial, native grasses and forbs reaches 75%. If this seeding cannot be accomplished by September 15 in the year of project completion, then an erosion blanket shall be placed on the disturbed areas. The erosion blanket shall remain in place until ground cover of appropriate perennial, native grasses and forbs reaches 75%. If the seeding can be accomplished by September 15, all seeded areas shall be properly mulched to prevent erosion.
- b. When the vegetation has become established, all temporary erosion control materials shall be removed from the project site. Biodegradable or photodegradable materials need not be removed.

3. Temporary Structures/Work/Fill:

- a. All NWP's
 - i. The use of dredged material in the construction of temporary structures or used for temporary work or used as temporary fill shall not be allowed. The term "dredged material" is defined as material that is excavated or dredged from waters of the United States. All temporary fill material shall be obtained from an upland source.
 - ii. Upon completion of the construction activity, all temporary fill material shall be removed in its entirety from the water of the United States to an upland area and the affected area shall be restored to its pre-construction condition. Wetlands disturbed by temporary construction shall be seeded with appropriate native hydrophytic species.
 - iii. General Condition 13 (Removal of Temporary Fills) is amended by adding the following: When temporary fills are placed in wetlands, a horizontal marker (i.e., fabric, certified weed-free straw, a ground survey with minimum accuracy of 1/10-foot, timber matting, etc.) must be used to demarcate the existing ground elevation of wetlands that will be temporarily filled during construction, in order to restore the wetlands to pre-project conditions.
- b. NWP's with a PCN Requirement

In addition to the above Regional Conditions in "a", the following apply to NWP's with a PCN requirements.

- i. A proposal for the temporary structure/work/fill, if not already provided, shall be submitted 14 days prior to construction and authorized/verified by the Nebraska Regulatory Office prior to the commencement of construction.
- ii. The Nebraska Regulatory Office shall be notified with documentation (i.e., photos) when the site has been restored to its pre-project condition.

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

D. NORTH DAKOTA REQUIRED BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

2. Minimum Culvert Width:

For all NWP in jurisdictional streams, the culvert opening width of a stream crossing shall not be less than the mean bank to bank width as measured from the Ordinary High Water Mark in the affected stream reach. In stable stream channels, the Ordinary High Water Mark is often found at the point where over-bank flow begins during a flood event. In incised stream channels that do not frequently access a floodplain or upper terrace, the Ordinary High Water Mark is generally located within the entrenched channel. The Ordinary High Water Mark may be identified by observing indicators such as a distinct change in slope, a change in vegetation characteristics, or a change in sediment characteristics, see 33 CFR 328.3(e).

3. Culvert Countersink Depth:

For all NWP in jurisdictional streams and a stable stream bed, culvert stream crossings shall be installed with the culvert invert set below the natural stream channel flow line according to the table below. This regional condition does not apply in instances where the lowering of the culvert invert would allow a headcut to migrate upstream of the project into an unaffected stream reach or result in lowering the elevation of the stream reach.

Culvert Type	Drainage Area	Minimum Distance Culvert Invert Shall Be Lowered Below Stream Flow Line
All culvert types	< 100 acres	Not required
Pipe diameter <8.0 ft	100 to 640 acres	1/2 ft
Pipe diameter <8.0 ft	>640 acres	1.0 ft
Pipe diameter > 8.0 ft	All drainage sizes	20% of pipe diameter
Box culvert	All drainage sizes	1.0 ft

- a. The stream flow line shall be defined as the longitudinal average of the low flow stream channel.
- b. The slope of the culvert should be parallel to the slope of the stream flow line.
- c. The culvert invert depression depth shall be measured at the culvert inlet for culverts installed at a slope less than the slope of the stream flow line.
- d. Riprap inlet and outlet protection shall be placed to match the height of the culvert invert.

4. Spawning Areas:

Spawning areas and seasons can be accessed on the North Dakota Game & Fish Department's website at: <http://gf.nd.gov/gnf/conservation/docs/spawning-restriction-exclusions.pdf>

5. Intake Structures:

- a. Intake screens with a maximum mesh opening of 1/4-inch must be provided, inspected annually, and maintained. Wire, Johnson-like, screens must have a maximum distance between wires of 1/8-inch. Water velocity at the intake screen shall not exceed 1/2-foot per second.
- b. Pumping plant sound levels will not exceed 75 dB at 50 feet.

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

- c. Intakes located in Lake Sakakawea, above river mile 1519, and on the Yellowstone River, are subject to the following conditions:
 - i. The intakes shall be floating.
 - ii. At the beginning of the pumping season, the intake shall be placed over water with a minimum depth of 20 feet.
 - iii. If the 20-foot depth is not attainable, then the intake shall be located over the deepest water available.
 - iv. If the water depth falls below six feet, the intake shall be moved to deeper water or the maximum intake velocity shall be limited to 1/4-foot per second.
- d. Intakes located in Lake Sakakawea, below river mile 1519, and the Missouri River below Garrison Dam are subject to the following conditions:
 - i. The intakes shall be submerged.
 - ii. At the beginning of the pumping season, the intake will be placed at least 20 vertical feet below the existing water level.
 - iii. The intake shall be elevated 2 to 4 feet off the bottom of the river or reservoir bed.
 - iv. If the 20-foot depth is not attainable, then the intake velocity shall be limited to 1/4-foot per second with intake placed at the maximum practicable attainable depth.
- e. Intakes and associated utility lines that are proposed to cross sandbars in areas designated as piping plover critical habitat are prohibited.
- f. Any temporary open trench associated with utility lines are to be closed within 30 days of excavation. This time limit may be extended by notifying the North Dakota Regulatory Office and receiving a written response that the extension is acceptable.

6. Boat Docks:

To ensure that the work or structure shall not cause unreasonable obstruction to the free navigation of the navigable waters, the following conditions are required:

- a. No boat dock shall be located on a sandbar or barren sand feature. The farthest point riverward of a dock shall not exceed a total length of 30 feet from the Ordinary High Water Mark. Information Note: Issuance of this permit does not supersede authorization required by the North Dakota State Engineer's Office.
- b. Any boat dock shall be anchored to the top of the high bank.
- c. Any boat dock located within an excavated bay or marina that is off the main river channel may be anchored to the bay or marina bottom with spuds.
- d. Section 10 Waters located in the State of North Dakota are:
 - i. Bois de Sioux River
 - ii. James River Missouri River
 - iii. Red River of the North
 - iv. Upper Des Lacs Lake
 - v. Yellowstone River

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

E. SOUTH DAKOTA REQUIRED BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

2. Culvert Countersink Depth:

For all NWP in jurisdictional streams and a stable stream bed, culvert stream crossings shall be installed with the culvert invert set below the natural stream channel flow line according to the table below. This regional condition does not apply in instances where the lowering of the culvert invert would allow a headcut to migrate upstream of the project into an unaffected stream reach or result in lowering the elevation of the stream reach.

Culvert Type	Drainage Area	Minimum Distance Culvert Invert Shall Be Lowered Below Stream Flow Line
All culvert types	< 100 acres	Not required
Pipe diameter <8.0 ft	100 to 640 acres	1/2-ft
Pipe diameter <8.0 ft	>640 acres	1-ft
Pipe diameter > 8.0 ft	All drainage sizes	20% of pipe diameter
Box culvert	All drainage sizes	1-ft

- a. The stream flow line shall be defined as the longitudinal average of the low flow stream channel.
- b. The slope of the culvert should be parallel to the slope of the stream flow line.
- c. The culvert invert depression depth shall be measured at the culvert inlet for culverts installed at a slope less than the slope of the stream flow line.
- d. Riprap inlet and outlet protection shall be placed to match the height of the culvert invert.

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

F. WYOMING REQUIRED BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

2. Spawning Areas:

Spawning locations are defined as sites within stream networks where mature fish congregate to release gametes into the riverine environment.

Spawning periods are driven by a host of local environmental factors including elevation, day length and water temperature. As such, there is a high degree of variability in timing from one location to the next in the state. If a permittee is proposing to undertake regulated activities in spawning locations and within the spawning periods identified below, they must first obtain site-specific information from Fisheries Supervisors in Wyoming Game and Fish Department Regional Offices (WGFD). Additional information is available at:

<https://wgfd.wyo.gov/Habitat/Habitat-Plans/Wyoming-State-Wildlife-Action-Plan>

Activities in spawning locations during the periods listed below must be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.

Spawning seasons for common native species are:

- i. Chub, Leatherside: April 1 through August 15
- ii. Chub, Roundtail: May 1 through July 15
- iii. Sauger: May 1 through June 15
- iv. Sturgeon: May 1 through June 15
- v. Sucker, Bluehead: May 1 through July 15
- vi. Sucker, Flannelmouth: May 1 through July 15
- vii. Trout, Bonneville Cutthroat: April 15 through July 31
- viii. Trout, Colorado River Cutthroat: May 1 through July 31
- ix. Trout, Snake River Cutthroat: March 15 through July 31
- x. Trout, Yellowstone Cutthroat: May 15 through July 31

Spawning seasons for common nonnative salmon and trout species are:

- xi. Salmon, Kokanee: September 15 through November 30
- xii. Trout, Brook: September 15 through November 30
- xiii. Trout, Brown: September 15 through November 30
- xiv. Trout, Rainbow: May 15 through July 31

The WGFD can provide information on Blue Ribbon and Red Ribbon trout streams or waters that contain State Wildlife Action Plan Native Species Status 1, 2, and 3 fish species. Potential effects on these important resources should be considered when formulating a project plan with the intent of minimizing adverse effects. If PCN is required, early coordination with Fisheries Supervisors in WGFD Regional Offices should be conducted prior to submitting a PCN for activities located in these waters. Otherwise, project modifications to minimize adverse effects after receiving a PCN may be required.

**2021 Nationwide Permits
Regional Conditions
Omaha District
Required Best Management Practices**

3. Culvert Countersink Depth:

For all NWP in jurisdictional streams and a stable stream bed, culvert stream crossings shall be installed with the culvert invert set below the natural stream channel flow line according to the table below. This regional condition does not apply in instances where the lowering of the culvert invert would allow a headcut to migrate upstream of the project into an unaffected stream reach or result in lowering the elevation of the stream reach.

Culvert Type	Drainage Area	Minimum Distance Culvert Invert Shall Be Lowered Below Stream Flow Line
All culvert types	< 100 acres	Not required
Pipe diameter <8.0 ft	100 to 640 acres	1/2ft
Pipe diameter <8.0 ft	>640 acres	1-ft
Pipe diameter > 8.0 ft	All drainage sizes	20% of pipe diameter
Box culvert	All drainage sizes	1-ft

- a. The stream flow line shall be defined as the longitudinal average of the low flow stream channel.
- b. The slope of the culvert should be parallel to the slope of the stream flow line.
- c. The culvert invert depression depth shall be measured at the culvert inlet for culverts installed at a slope less than the slope of the stream flow line.
- d. Riprap inlet and outlet protection shall be placed to match the height of the culvert invert.

Montana Department of Environmental Quality

General Permit

For Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity

Permit Number MTR100000

**GENERAL PERMIT
FOR
STORM WATER DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY**

PERMIT NUMBER MTR100000

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER
THE MONTANA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (MPDES)**

In compliance with Section 75-5-101 *et seq.*, Montana Code Annotated (MCA); Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.30.1101; 17.30.1301 *et seq.*; and ARM 17.30.601 *et seq.*, owners and operators (permittees) with authorization under this *General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity* are permitted to discharge storm water resulting from construction activities as described in Part 1.1 of this permit and subject to effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other conditions set forth herein.

This permit shall become effective January 1, 2023.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, December 31, 2027.

FOR THE MONTANA DEPARTMENT
OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

|S| Jon Kenning

Jon Kenning, Chief
Water Protection Bureau

Issuance Date: October 31, 2022

Table of Contents

(titles are hyperlinked)

1. Coverage Under this Permit	4
1.1 Eligibility.....	4
1.1.1 Construction Activities Covered.....	4
1.1.2 Allowable Storm Water Discharges	5
1.1.3 Allowable Non-Storm Water Discharges	5
1.1.4 Limitations on Coverage.....	6
1.1.5 Larger Common Plan of Development or Sale.....	6
1.2 Authorization under this Permit.....	7
1.2.1 Submission of Notice of Intention application packages, Modification Requests or Notice of Termination Forms	7
1.2.2 New Authorizations (Not Previously Authorized)	7
1.2.3 Continuing Authorizations Issued Under the 2018 General Permit	7
1.2.4 Modification Requests to Authorizations under this General Permit.....	8
1.2.5 Resubmittal and Administrative Processing.....	8
1.3 Transfer of Coverage.....	9
1.4 Termination of Coverage.....	9
1.4.1 Terminations for Projects Part of a Larger Common Plan	9
1.5 Public Sign	10
1.6 Storm Water Rainfall Erosivity Waiver	10
2. Effluent Limitations, Monitoring, and Reporting Requirements	11
2.1 Technology-Based Effluent Limitations	11
2.1.1 Universal Requirements for Best Management Practices.....	11
2.1.2 Erosion and Sediment Controls	11
2.1.3 Soil Stabilization.....	12
2.1.4 Dewatering.....	12
2.1.5 Pollution Prevention Measures	12
2.1.6 Surface Outlets.....	12
2.1.7 Prohibited Discharges	13
2.2 Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations.....	13
2.2.1 Water Quality Standards.....	13
2.2.2 Storm Water Discharges to Impaired Waterbodies	13
2.3 Inspections.....	14
2.3.1 Person(s) Responsible for Conducting and Documenting Inspections.....	14
2.3.2 Frequency of Inspections	14
2.3.3 Weekly Routine Inspections	14
2.3.4 Biweekly Routine and Post-Storm Event Inspections	14
2.3.5 Reductions in Inspection Frequency.....	15
2.3.6 Severe Winter Conditions Delay	15
2.3.7 Inspection Requirements	15
2.3.8 BMP Maintenance, Replacement, and Failures.....	16
2.4 Corrective Actions.....	16
2.5 Recordkeeping.....	17
2.6 Reporting.....	17
2.6.1 Notification of SWPPP Administrator Changes.....	17
2.6.2 Noncompliance Reporting	17
3. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).....	18
3.1 SWPPP General Requirements.....	18
3.1.1 SWPPP Definition	18
3.1.2 SWPPP Minimum Requirements.....	18
3.1.3 SWPPP Implementation	18
3.2 SWPPP Preparer and Administrator.....	18
3.2.1 SWPPP Preparer and Administrator Minimum Requirements.....	19
3.2.2 SWPPP Preparer	19

3.2.3 SWPPP Administrator	19
3.3 Site Description	20
3.4 Identification of Potential Pollutant Sources	20
3.5 Selection of Best Management Practices (BMPs)	21
3.6 Dewatering	21
3.7 Major Construction Activity and BMP Phasing	21
3.8 Final Stabilization	22
3.9 Post-Construction Storm Water Management	22
3.10 Site Map	22
3.11 Inspection and BMP Maintenance Procedures	23
3.12 SWPPP Revisions and Updates	23
3.12.1 Conditions Triggering Revisions and Updates	23
3.12.2 Revision and Update Options	23
4. Standard Conditions	25
4.1 Duty to Comply	25
4.2 Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions	25
4.3 Duty to Reapply	25
4.4 Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense	25
4.5 Duty to Mitigate	25
4.6 Proper Operation and Maintenance	25
4.7 Permit Actions	25
4.8 Property Rights	26
4.9 Duty to Provide Information	26
4.10 Inspection and Entry	26
4.11 Availability of Reports	26
4.12 Reporting Requirements- Monitoring and Monitoring Reports	26
4.13 Monitoring and Records- Representative Sampling	26
4.14 Monitoring and Records- Retention of Records	26
4.15 Monitoring and Records- Records Content	27
4.16 Monitoring and Records- Test Procedures	27
4.17 Monitoring and Records-Penalties for Falsification of Reports and Tampering	27
4.18 Signatory and Authorized Representative Requirements	27
4.18.1 Signatory Authority	27
4.18.2 Duly Authorized Representative	27
4.18.3 Certification:	28
4.19 Reporting Requirements - Planned Changes	28
4.20 Reporting Requirements- Anticipated Noncompliance	28
4.21 Reporting Requirements- Transfers	28
4.22 Reporting Requirements- Compliance Schedules	29
4.23 Reporting Requirements- Twenty-four Hour Reporting	29
4.23.1 Oral Notification	29
4.23.2 Waiver of Written Notification Requirement	29
4.24 Reporting Requirements- Other Noncompliance	29
4.25 Reporting Requirements- Other Information	29
4.26 Bypass	29
4.27 Upset Conditions	30
4.28 Fees	30
4.29 Removed Substances	30
4.30 Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability	30
4.31 Severability	30
4.32 Reopener Provisions	30
4.33 Toxic Pollutants	31
5. General Definitions and Abbreviations	32

1. Coverage Under this Permit

1.1 Eligibility

1.1.1 Construction Activities Covered

The MPDES Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity General Permit (SWC or the General Permit) applies to all areas of the State of Montana, except for areas within the boundary of “Indian country,” as defined in Part 5. This permit applies to “storm water discharge associated with construction activity,” as defined in Part 5 and in ARM 17.30.1102. In this permit, the “owner or operator” (owner/operator), as defined in Part 5, is also identified as the permittee.

Owner/operators with construction activities that meet the following criteria are required to obtain authorization under the General Permit:

- There are areas of ground disturbance or other potential pollutant sources related to construction activity where a storm water discharge to state surface waters can occur; and
- Construction activity disturbs a total area of greater than or equal to one acre. Construction activities include clearing, grading, excavation, stockpiling earth materials, and other placement or removal of earth material performed during construction projects.
 - Permit coverage is required for construction activities that disturb less than one acre but are part of a “larger common plan of development or sale (larger common plan)” whose “total area” is greater than or equal to one acre, as defined in Part 5. See Part 1.1.5, below.

For construction activities that result in disturbance of less than five acres of total land area, determination of the acreage of disturbance does not include disturbance for routine maintenance activities on existing roads. The exclusion for routine maintenance is not available if the maintenance or repaving operation will alter the line and grade or hydrologic capacity of the road or involves clear, grading, or excavating of underlying and/or surrounding soil.

In determining the occurrence or potential occurrence of a storm water discharge associated with construction activity based on the acreage of ground disturbance and discharge potential to state surface waters, the permittee must consider the following additional factors:

- All potential drainage/discharge conditions and flow patterns, and their variation during the different phases of the construction activity;
- All potential rainfall or snowmelt events and their unpredictability over time (such as experiencing a relatively higher amount of rainfall or snowmelt in a relatively shorter time period);
- Support activities for the construction project which may be on or off the conventional construction project “site” (as defined in Part 5);
- Storm water discharges must typically be regulated beyond the conventional construction earthwork and building phases, lasting from the initiation of construction-related ground disturbance to “final stabilization” (per Parts 3.8 and 5) of that disturbance, which can sometimes take significant extra time to achieve; and
- Storm water which discharges into a drain inlet and/or storm sewer system from the site is regulated as a discharge to state surface waters if the inlet or system ultimately discharges into a state surface water.

1.1.1.1 Support Activities

A support activity is a construction-related activity that occurs alongside construction and specifically supports construction activity. Support activities may include, but are not limited to:

- Areas used for access-related work,
- Earth material borrow areas,
- Equipment staging areas,
- Materials storage areas,
- Temporary concrete or asphalt batch plants, and
- Any areas used for fill placement.

For storm water discharges from support activities to be covered under a particular authorization under the General Permit, such support activities must:

- Be related to a specific construction activity with authorization under the General Permit;
- Not be part of a larger commercial operation serving multiple unrelated construction activities;
- Not be part of a larger commercial operation serving multiple unrelated construction activities, and not continue operation beyond the completion of the particular construction activity; and
- Not continue beyond the completion date of the associated construction activity authorized under the General Permit;
- Have appropriate controls and pollution prevention measures implemented and documented in the SWPPP, per Part [3](#).

1.1.2 Allowable Storm Water Discharges

Unless otherwise made ineligible through the provisions in Part [1.1.4](#), the following discharges are eligible for coverage under this permit:

- “Storm water discharges associated with construction activity” as defined in Part [5](#); and
- Storm water discharges to impaired waterbodies that are consistent with approved “TMDLs” (as defined in Part [5](#)) and assigned WLAs, and the additional requirements within the General Permit.

1.1.3 Allowable Non-Storm Water Discharges

The following are non-storm water discharges allowed under this permit:

- Uncontaminated condensate from air conditioners, coolers, and other compressors and from the outside storage of refrigerated gases or liquids;
- Irrigation drainage;
- Landscape watering provided all pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizer have been applied in accordance with the approved labeling;
- Pavement wash waters where no detergents are used and no spills or leaks of toxic or hazardous materials have occurred (unless all spilled material has been removed);
- Routine external building wash down that does not use detergents;
- Uncontaminated ground water or spring water;
- Water used to control dust;
- Discharges from emergency fire-fighting activities;
- Foundation or footing drains where flows are not contaminated with process materials; and
- Incidental windblown mist from cooling towers that collects on rooftops or adjacent portions of the facility, but not intentional discharges from the cooling tower (e.g., “piped” cooling tower blowdown or drains).

1.1.4 Limitations on Coverage

The following discharges are not eligible for coverage under this permit:

- Storm water discharges that are mixed with non-storm water, other than those non-storm water discharges listed in Part [1.1.3](#);
- Prohibited discharges as listed in Part [2.1.7](#);
- Discharges of construction dewatering effluent to state surface waters requiring authorization under the MPDES General Permit for Construction Dewatering;
- Storm water discharges to impaired waterbodies that are inconsistent with approved TMDLs and assigned WLAs, and the additional requirements with the General Permit;
- Storm water discharges to waterbodies that are inconsistent with additional Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) requirements, on a case-by-case basis; or
- Discharges which DEQ determines have a reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to, an exceedance of any applicable water quality standard, and/or DEQ has determined coverage under a MPDES Individual Permit is required.

Coverage does not relieve the permittee from any other statute, regulation, permits, or other regulatory requirements for activities occurring within the project area

DEQ may deny coverage for storm water discharges citing that the permittee appears unable to comply with one or more of the following requirements:

- Effluent standards, effluent limitations, standards of performance for new sources of pollutants, toxic effluent standards and prohibitions, and pretreatment standards;
- Water quality standards established pursuant to 75-5-301, MCA;
- Prohibition of discharge of any radiological, chemical, or biological warfare agent or high-level radioactive waste;
- Prohibition of any discharges to which the regional administrator has objected in writing;
- Prohibition of any discharge which is in conflict with a plan or amendment thereto approved pursuant to section 208(b) of the Clean Water Act;
- Any additional requirements that DEQ determines are necessary to carry out the provisions of 75-5-101, et seq., MCA; and
- A point source is a new source or a new discharge and the discharge from its construction or operation will cause or contribute to a violation of water quality standards per ARM 17.30.1311(7).

In addition, DEQ may deny coverage for the following reasons:

- The storm water discharge is different in degree or nature from discharges reasonably expected from sources or activities within the category described in this MPDES General Permit (including pollutants from process wastewater streams).
- The MPDES permit authorization for the same operation has previously been denied or revoked.
- The discharge sought to be authorized under the 2023 General Permit is also included within an application or is subject to review under the Major Facility Siting Act, 75-20-101, et seq., MCA.

The point source is, or will be, located in an area of unique ecological or recreational significance. Such determination must be based upon considerations of Montana stream classifications adopted under 75-5-301, MCA, impacts on fishery resources, local conditions at proposed discharge sites, and designations of wilderness areas under 16 USC 1132 or of wild and scenic rivers under 16 USC 1274.

1.1.5 Larger Common Plan of Development or Sale

A “larger common plan of development or sale (larger common plan)” is defined in Part [5](#) and referenced at ARM 17.30.1102. A larger common plan often involves dividing a parcel of land into smaller parts for individual sale, such as in residential communities, large commercial developments, or transportation projects.

See Parts [1.2.4.1](#); [1.3](#); and [1.4.1](#).

1.2 Authorization under this Permit

An “owner/operator” of a “storm water discharge associated with construction activity” (as defined in Part [5](#)) is required to obtain authorization under an MPDES permit. An owner/operator is a person who owns, leases, operates, controls, or supervises a point source. All construction activities that include ground disturbance and are part of a larger common plan that disturbs at least an acre are subject to coverage under the General Permit.

To obtain coverage under the General Permit, the owner/operator must submit a complete Notice of Intent application package to DEQ prior to discharge storm water associated with construction activity under this General Permit. By signing and submitting a complete NOI-SWC package the owner/operator confirms eligibility for coverage and agrees to comply with all conditions of this General Permit including effluent limits, monitoring requirements and special conditions.

1.2.1 Submission of Notice of Intention application packages, Modification Requests or Notice of Termination Forms

Documents related to requests for authorization (Part [1.1.5](#)), modification (Part [1.2.4](#)), transfer (Part [1.3](#)), or termination (Part [1.4](#)) of coverage under the General Permit must be completed and submitted via a DEQ-approved electronic method or mailed to:

Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Water Protection Bureau
P.O. Box 200901
Helena, MT 59620-0901

1.2.2 New Authorizations (Not Previously Authorized)

Owners or operators can obtain first-time coverage under this permit by submitting a complete a Notice of Intent to Discharge under the Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity General Permit (NOI-SWC) Package to DEQ.

The NOI-SWC Package must consist of:

- A complete NOI-SWC form (signed by an authorized signatory per Part [4.18.1](#)) and topographic map(s);
- A separate SWPPP (signed by an authorized signatory or duly authorized representative per Part [4.18](#)), including all associated SWPPP site maps, diagrams, details, and plans, which has been completed in accordance with the requirements identified in Part [3](#);
- A copy of the consultation letter from the Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (if applicable); and
- The appropriate application fee.

1.2.3 Continuing Authorizations Issued Under the 2018 General Permit

Permittees requiring continued authorization beyond the December 31, 2022, expiration date, must submit a complete a SWC Renewal Package to DEQ for coverage under this reissued General Permit.

The SWC Renewal Package must consist of:

- A complete renewal NOI-SWC form (signed by an authorized signatory per Part [4.18.1](#)) with “Renewal” selected in Section A and updated topographic map(s);
- A separate SWPPP (signed by an authorized signatory or duly authorized representative per Part [4.18](#)), including all associated SWPPP site maps, diagrams, details, and plans, updated which has been completed in accordance with the requirements identified in Part [3](#);
- A copy of the consultation letter from the Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (if applicable); and

- The appropriate fee.

1.2.4 Modification Requests to Authorizations under this General Permit

Permittees can request a modification to their authorization under the General Permit by submitting a SWC Modification Package to DEQ.

Timing of the modification request relative to initial authorization determines how the request is processed.

- Modification requests to current authorizations (including decreased or increased disturbance area) submitted within six months of the date of initial coverage under the General Permit are processed as minor modifications with the corresponding fee.
- Modification requests (other than transfers) submitted more than six months after the first date of coverage under the General Permit will be processed with an application fee for a new authorization.

A permittee may request to add additional area(s) if the new additional construction-related disturbance is directly contiguous to and directly associated with the original site, except for support activities.

A permittee may request to reduce the area of a project, only when these areas requested to be removed from coverage have achieved final stabilization as defined in this General Permit.

The SWC Modification Package must consist of:

- A complete NOI-SWC application form (signed by an authorized signatory per Part [4.18.1](#)) with “Modification” selected in Section A and updated topographic map(s);
- An updated SWPPP (signed by an authorized signatory or duly authorized representative per Part [4.18](#)), including all associated maps, diagrams, details, plans, and records, updated in accordance with the requirements identified in Part [3](#);
- A copy of the consultation letter from the Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (see below for applicability); and
- The appropriate fee.

1.2.4.1 Modifications to Projects Part of a Larger Common Plan

For projects part of a larger common plan per Parts [1.1.5](#) and [5](#), and referenced at ARM 17.30.1102, the permittee may request a modification to reduce the area covered under the General Permit if:

- The areas requested to be removed from coverage under the General Permit have achieved final stabilization, or
- There is a new owner/operator of a specific parcel(s) and the new owner/operator has obtained coverage under the General Permit.
 - As part of the SWC Modification Package, the owner/operator of record (i.e. the current permittee) must include the authorization number for the parcel(s) with a new owner/operator and provide a map showing the parcel(s) with coverage under a new authorization.

Until DEQ grants the modification, the owner/operator of record remains responsible for compliance with the terms of the authorization under the General Permit, including fees and/or violations.

1.2.4.2 Sage Grouse Consultation Requirements for Modifications

If the project is within designated sage grouse habitat, any modification due to a change in disturbed acreage requires verification from the Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program that may require a consultation letter and/or updates to a consultation letter. If the modification request is outside of sage grouse habitat, no consultation is required.

1.2.5 Resubmittal and Administrative Processing

DEQ may request a resubmittal of a NOI-SWC form, SWPPP, any required records, and any associated fees. Administrative processing fees may be assessed for DEQ reviews.

1.3 Transfer of Coverage

Permittees may request a transfer ownership or change the name of the entity that holds an authorization under the General Permit by submitting a Storm Water Construction Permit Transfer Notification form (PTN-SWC) and the corresponding fee. The PTN-SWC must be submitted at least 30 days before the effective date of the proposed transfer. Submittal constitutes written notice to DEQ under the Montana Water Quality Act that the new owner/operator assumes responsibility and liability for all the terms and conditions, including permit fees. The PTN-SWC form may not be used to transfer coverage to a new or different construction site, activity, or location.

The PTN-SWC form may only be used to transfer an entire project authorized under the General Permit to a new single owner/operator. For projects that are part of a larger common plan, it is more appropriate to modify (see Part [1.2.4](#)) or terminate (see Part [1.4](#)) an authorization if there will be several new owner/operators.

Until DEQ determines the submitted PTN-SWC form and the transfer to the new owner/operator a complete, the owner/operator of record remains responsible for compliance with the terms of the authorization under the General Permit, including fees and/or violations.

1.4 Termination of Coverage

Permittees may request termination of coverage under the General Permit after achieving “final stabilization” per Parts [3.8](#) and [5](#). In addition to achieving final stabilization, permittees must also complete the following prior to termination:

- Removal of all temporary storm water conveyances/channels and other temporary BMPs;
- Removal of all construction equipment and vehicles from the site; and
- Cessation of all potential pollutant-generating activities due to the construction activity.

To request that permit coverage be terminated, the permittee must submit a Notice of Termination for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity General Permit (NOT-SWC) to DEQ. A complete NOT-SWC form must be signed by an authorized signatory meeting the requirements in [4.18.1](#). See Part [1.4.1](#) for additional termination requirements for projects part of a larger common plan.

Coverage under the General Permit remains in effect until DEQ reviews and processes the NOT-SWC. The permittee is responsible for payment of annual fees for each calendar year covered under the General Permit. Failure to submit a NOT-SWC will result in accrual of annual permit fees. The permittee is responsible for complying with the terms of the General Permit until notified by DEQ that the authorization is terminated.

1.4.1 Terminations for Projects Part of a Larger Common Plan

Projects part of a larger common plan may request to terminate coverage under the General Permit in the following instances:

- The entire site meets the requirements in Part [1.4](#), above; or
- Each parcel has met the requirements in Part [1.4](#) or has a new owner/operator who has obtained coverage under the General Permit.

For projects part of a larger common plan, the NOT-SWC form must include:

- The authorization number for the parcel(s) with a new owner/operator, and
- A map showing:
 - The parcel(s) with coverage under a new authorization,
 - The parcel(s) that have achieved final stabilization, and
 - The owner/operator for each parcel.

If a one or more parcels part of the larger common plan have not reached final stabilization and do not have coverage under a General Permit authorization for a new owner/operator, then the authorization may not be terminated. The permittee may request a modification to permit authorization, per Part [1.2.4](#)

Coverage under the permit remains in effect until the Department processes a NOT-SWC form. The permittee is responsible for payment of annual fees for each calendar year covered under the permit. Failure to submit a NOT-SWC will result in accrual of annual permit fees. The permittee is responsible for complying with the terms of this permit until notified by the Department that the authorization is terminated.

1.5 Public Sign

The permittee must post a sign to publicly display confirmation of coverage under the General Permit. The sign must be posted starting on the authorization date and remain posted until permit authorization is terminated.

At a minimum the sign must:

- Use a large, readable font (at least 1" lettering);
- Be visible from the nearest road;
- Include the MPDES SWC authorization number for the project;
- Include the statement "Request project information from Montana DEQ Water Protection Bureau at (406) 444-3080"; and
- Include the statement "File a complaint at deq.mt.gov/reporting."

Sign location:

- The public sign must be posted at the construction site's entrance/exit, or most visible entrance/exit if there are multiple access points.
- For linear projects, the sign must be posted at the entrance to the equipment laydown, material storage, or job trailer location or at the entrance/exit(s) of the most active portion of the project.

1.6 Storm Water Rainfall Erosivity Waiver

The Storm Water Rainfall Erosivity Waiver (Erosivity Waiver) is an optional alternative to obtaining coverage under the General Permit for discharges associated with construction activity.

Construction activities must meet the following requirements to be eligible for coverage under the Erosivity Waiver:

- Total area of "disturbance related to construction activity" (disturbance), as defined in Part [5](#), is less than five acres;
- Disturbance related to construction activity starts after March 1 and reaches "final stabilization" (per Parts [3.8](#) and [5](#)) before November 30th of a given calendar year;
- The project's Rainfall Erosivity (R) Factor is less than five during the period of construction activity; and
- The Erosivity Waiver request includes the entire construction project.
 - The Erosivity Waiver is not available for individual filings, phases, or portions of a construction project or site. A project that is part of a larger common plan is only eligible for an Erosivity Waiver if the entire development meets the conditions listed above.

To request a Waiver, the "owner/operator" (as defined in Part [5](#)) must submit an Erosivity Waiver Request form, applicable attachments, and the associated fee to DEQ. A project is not waived from coverage under the General Permit until DEQ receives a complete application and issues an Erosivity Waiver Confirmation Letter.

Those covered by an Erosivity Waiver are not required to submit a Notice of Termination (NOT) to end coverage, however construction activities and associated discharge are only authorized for the date range listed in the Erosivity Waiver Confirmation Letter. If the project changes, and any of the above criteria are not met, the project no longer qualifies for an Erosivity Waiver and the owner/operator must apply for and obtain coverage under the General Permit.

Any discharge of storm water associated with small construction activity not covered by either the General Permit or an Erosivity Waiver may be considered an unpermitted discharge under the Montana Water Quality Act. DEQ may notify any owner/operator covered by an Erosivity Waiver that they must obtain General Permit coverage.

2. Effluent Limitations, Monitoring, and Reporting Requirements

2.1 Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology based effluent limits must be achieved through the good engineering practices and appropriate selection, design, implementation, installation, and maintenance of best management practices (BMPs) for all authorized storm water discharges associated with construction activities. To meet this requirement, the permittee must comply with all conditions in Part [2.1](#) and Part [3](#), and any other state or local requirements, regardless of stringency.

2.1.1 Universal Requirements for Best Management Practices

- a. The permittee must select, design, install and maintain BMPs that address:
 - 1. The amount, frequency, intensity, and total duration of precipitation;
 - 2. Quantity and quality of storm water runoff including peak flow rates and total storm water volume;
 - 3. Characteristics of soils (including soil type and particle size) that are present at the construction project area(s); and
 - 4. Select BMPs appropriate to the timeframe and seasons in which the construction project will be completed.
- b. The permittee must complete the following for all BMPs:
 - 1. Document all BMPs in the SWPPP, SWPPP site map(s), and/or inspection records.
 - 2. Select, implement, and install all BMPs in accordance with good engineering practices and design specifications;
 - 3. Complete implementation and installation of BMPs appropriate to each phase of construction before or at the start of each major construction activity;
 - 4. Maintain BMPs in effective operating condition;
 - 5. Before terminating permit coverage, remove temporary BMPs or transition temporary BMPs to permanent BMPs.

2.1.2 Erosion and Sediment Controls

- a. To minimize soil erosion, the permittee must:
 - 1. Stabilize ditches, swales, channels, and outlets;
 - 2. Minimize erosion within the perimeter and interior of construction project area; and
 - 3. Divert storm water runoff from disturbed areas to sediment removal BMPs.
- b. To minimize sediment discharges, the permittee must:
 - 1. Construct storm water retention and detention facilities during initial site grading activities;
 - 2. Minimize erosion at outlets and conveyance channels;
 - 3. Protect downstream properties and waterways by controlling volume and velocity within the construction project area;
 - 4. Protect all storm drain inlets;
 - i. If the permittee has the authority to access offsite the storm drain inlets, he must protect offsite inlets which convey storm water flow from the construction site to a state surface water;
 - 5. Protect infrastructure, including infiltration facilities from sedimentation during active construction; and
 - 6. Stabilize and remove accumulated sediment from areas of disturbance, including storm water retention and detention facilities.
- c. To minimize offsite sediment transport, the permittee must:
 - 1. Minimize vehicle/equipment entrances and exits to the construction project area; and
 - 2. Manage vehicle/equipment entrances and exits, equipment laydown, and material storage areas with stabilization techniques.

- d. To minimize soil disturbance and maintain natural buffers, the permittee must:
 - 1. Limit areas of disturbance and soil exposure;
 - 2. Mark and maintain clearing limits before disturbing soils and during construction activities;
 - 3. Maintain topsoil;
 - 4. Provide a natural (such as vegetated) buffer within the construction project area;
 - 5. Maintain natural buffers around “state waters” as defined in Part 5; and
 - 6. Direct storm water runoff to vegetated areas.
- e. To minimize the disturbance of steep slopes of 15% or greater, the permittee must:
 - 1. Design and construct cut-and-fill slopes to minimize erosion;
 - 2. Divert off site storm water or ground water away from slopes and disturbed areas; and
 - 3. Prevent storm water run on from impacting sediment removal BMPs.

2.1.3 Soil Stabilization

- a. Temporary soil stabilization measures must include:
 - 1. Stabilization of disturbed areas immediately for any portion of the construction project that will remain inactive for 14 or more calendar days with erosion control BMPs.
- b. Final stabilization measures must include:
 - 1. Use erosion control BMPs (including post construction BMPs) to stabilize disturbed areas within any portion of the project that have completed clearing, grading, excavation, or other earth disturbing activities.

2.1.4 Dewatering

- a. For “construction dewatering” activities the permittee must:
 - 1. Control ground water, surface water, and/or accumulated storm water dewatering activities to prevent discharges to state waters; and
 - 2. Obtain authorization under the Construction Dewatering General Permit or an individual permit prior to discharge of dewatering effluent to state surface waters. See Part [3.6](#).

2.1.5 Pollution Prevention Measures

- a. To implement pollution prevention measures that effectively manage and dispose of all pollutants in a way that does not cause contamination of storm water, the permittee must:
 - 1. Provide cover, containment, and protection for all chemicals, liquids, petroleum products, and construction materials, products, and wastes;
 - 2. Use spill prevention and control measures for vehicle maintenance and fueling;
 - 3. Maintain appropriate spill kits; clean up spills and leaks immediately; and report appropriate quantities in accordance with Part [4](#);
 - 4. Prevent discharge of equipment wash water and clean-out wastes, and designate these activities away from and state waters and their conveyances;
 - 5. Apply fertilizers and herbicides per manufacturers’ requirements; and
 - 6. Prevent discharges of concrete products.

2.1.6 Surface Outlets

- a. The permittee must ensure discharge of the highest quality water using structures that withdraw water from the surface from basins and impoundments as follows:
 - 1. Retention facilities must have a surface outlet installed for active construction.
 - 2. Detention facilities must be designed to prevent discharges from bottom outlets during active construction.
 - 3. When discharging from impoundments such as sediment basins and traps, outlet structures must be utilized that withdraw water from the surface.

2.1.7 Prohibited Discharges

- a. The following discharges are prohibited:
 - 1. Wastewater from washout of concrete;
 - 2. Wastewater from washout and cleanout of stucco, paint, form release oils, curing compounds and other construction materials;
 - 3. Fuels, oils, or other potential pollutants used in vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance;
 - 4. Soaps or solvents used in vehicle and equipment washing or external building wash down;
 - 5. Storm water discharges of disturbed, contaminated soils; and
 - 6. Toxic or hazardous substances from a spill or other release including the disturbance and/or removal of contaminated soils.

2.2 Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

2.2.1 Water Quality Standards

Storm water discharges regulated under this permit must be controlled as necessary to meet applicable numeric and narrative water quality standards. A storm water discharge associated with construction activity may not cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable water quality standards.

If at any time the permittee becomes aware, or DEQ determines, that a storm water discharge causes or contributes to an exceedance of applicable water quality standards, the permittee must take corrective action as required in Part [2.4](#). Additionally, DEQ may require the permittee to obtain coverage under an individual permit, if information indicates the discharges are not controlled as necessary to meet applicable water quality standards.

2.2.2 Storm Water Discharges to Impaired Waterbodies

The permittee must identify if storm water discharges from their construction activity will discharge to impaired waterbodies. Information on impaired waterbodies may be obtained from DEQ. The permittee must consider all impairments and the presence of the corresponding pollutants of concern in their proposed discharges. Storm water-related pollutants contributing to impairments generally include sediment, suspended solids and turbidity, and any secondary sources of pollutants based on construction materials and support activities.

Permittees will be informed if any additional controls are necessary for discharges to protect beneficial uses or to be consistent that the assumptions of any available TMDL wasteload allocation. Such additional controls must be identified within the permittees SWPPP. In certain cases, DEQ may require a facility to obtain coverage under a MPDES individual permit.

Discharges of pollutants of concern to impaired waterbodies are eligible for coverage under this General Permit if consistent with approved TMDLs and assigned WLAs, and the requirements outlined below.

2.2.2.1 Discharges to an Impaired Waterbodies with No Approved TMDL

For regulated storm water discharges associated with construction activity under this permit, the SWPPP must include a section that describes BMPs that target and reduce any discharges of the identified pollutants of concern to the corresponding impaired waterbodies. Under this subsection of the General Permit, the permittee need only to include the identified pollutants of concern in its SWPPP if the waterbodies are listed as impaired for such pollutants.

2.2.2.2 Discharges to an Impaired Waterbodies with an Approved TMDL

For regulated storm water discharges associated with construction activity, the SWPPP must include a section that describes BMPs that target and reduce any discharges of the identified pollutants of concern to the corresponding impaired waterbodies. Under this subsection of the General Permit, the permittee need only include the identified pollutants of concern in its SWPPP if the waterbodies are listed as impaired for such pollutants. The section submitted by the permittee must ensure that all discharges are

consistent with the assumptions of any applicable TMDL wasteload allocation. All EPA approved TMDL wasteload allocations applicable to MPDES-regulated storm water construction activities are incorporated by reference into this permit.

2.3 Inspections

2.3.1 Person(s) Responsible for Conducting and Documenting Inspections

Inspections must be performed by a SWPPP Administrator as defined in Part [3.2](#).

2.3.2 Frequency of Inspections

Inspections must be performed in accordance with the inspection schedule in Part [2.3.3](#) or the inspection schedule in [2.3.4](#), unless the construction site or areas of the construction site meet the conditions for a reduction in inspection frequency as defined in Part [2.3.5](#). Inspections must be conducted during the construction project's normal business hours. The inspection schedule must be documented in the SWPPP. Any changes to the inspection schedule must be documented in the SWPPP or corresponding inspection report.

2.3.3 Weekly Routine Inspections

If the weekly inspection schedule is chosen, a SWPPP Administrator must do all of the following:

- Conduct a routine inspection at least once every 7 calendar days;
- Document any changes to the inspection schedule, even during periods of noncompliance, in the SWPPP or corresponding inspection report.

2.3.4 Biweekly Routine and Post-Storm Event Inspections

If a biweekly and post-storm event inspection schedule is chosen, a SWPPP Administrator must do all of the following:

- Conduct a routine inspection at least once every 14 calendar days;
- Conduct and a post-storm event inspection within 24-hours of the end of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater and within 24-hours of runoff from snowmelt (i.e., any snowmelt event resulting in a discharge); and
- Use one of the following methods to determine the amount of rainfall resulting from a storm event:
 - (1) Maintain a rain gage on site, or
 - (2) Obtain storm event information from a weather service representative of the site's location.
- For any day of rainfall 0.25 inches or greater, record the method of rainfall determination and the total rainfall measured in a calendar day.
- A post-storm event inspection may be used as a biweekly routine inspection, but the biweekly routine inspections must commence again no later than 14 calendar days after the last post-storm event inspection.
- Document any changes to the inspection schedule, even during periods of noncompliance, in the SWPPP or corresponding inspection report.

2.3.5 Reductions in Inspection Frequency

The inspection schedules in Parts [2.3.3](#) and [2.3.4](#) may be temporarily reduced to a routine inspection once every 30 calendar days for either the entire construction site or a portion of it. For any reduction in inspection frequency, the requirements in [a](#) (below) must be followed and the conditions of [b](#) or [c](#) must be met.

- a. For any reduction to inspection frequency:
 1. The change to the inspection schedule must be documented in the SWPPP or corresponding inspection report;
 2. BMPs must remain in place as identified in the SWPPP and/or inspection report, and SWPPP site map(s); and
 3. For a reduction in inspection frequency for a portion of the site, the portion of the construction site with reduced inspection frequency must be identified on updated SWPPP site map(s).
- b. The entire site is eligible for a reduction in inspection frequency if:
 1. All construction activities at the site are temporarily inactive or shutdown and all areas of disturbance have achieved “temporary stabilization” as defined in Part [5](#); or
 2. Earthwork and construction activities are completed at the site, and erosion and sediment controls are implemented or installed to establish “final stabilization” per Parts [3.8](#) and [5](#).
- c. A portion of the site is eligible for a reduction in inspection frequency if one of the following conditions is met and the portions of the construction site with reduced inspection frequency are identified on updated SWPPP site map(s):
 1. A portion of the site is temporarily inactive or shutdown and that portion has achieved “temporary stabilization” as defined in Part [5](#); or
 2. A portion of the site is completed and erosion and sediment controls are implemented or installed to establish “final stabilization” per Parts [3.8](#) and [5](#).

2.3.6 Severe Winter Conditions Delay

- a. A delayed inspection may be allowed if an inspection is not possible due to:
 1. Remote site access;
 2. Severe winter condition; and
 3. Temporary work shutdown at the site due to severe winter weather.
- b. In the event of a delayed inspection, the following are required:
 1. Documentation of the cause of the delayed inspection must be included in the corresponding inspection report and SWPPP, accordingly.
 2. A substitute inspection must be performed to compensate for the delayed inspection and follow requirements in accordance with Part [2.3.7](#).
 3. Inspections must resume as soon as the site is accessible. Delays are self-determined on a case-by-case basis with appropriate documentation, and determination is subject to review during a DEQ compliance evaluation inspection.

2.3.7 Inspection Requirements

Inspections conducted under Parts [2.3.3](#), [2.3.4](#), and [2.3.5](#) must comply with the inspection requirements in Part [2.3.7](#), below.

- a. At a minimum, the following areas must be inspected:
 1. All areas disturbed by the construction activity;
 2. All pollutant sources generated by the construction activity;
 3. Material and waste storage areas exposed to rainfall or snowmelt;
 4. Support activities exposed to rainfall or snowmelt;
 5. Entrance and exit locations to the construction activity;
 6. Site perimeter;
 7. All areas where storm water flows onto and within the construction project area; and
 8. Discharge locations and if impaired waterbodies were impacted.

- b. At a minimum, the inspection report must include:
 1. The MPDES permit authorization number;
 2. The inspection date and time;
 3. Name(s) of the SWPPP Administrator(s) completing the inspection;
 4. Weather conditions at the time of the inspection;
 5. The type of inspection based on Parts [2.3.3](#), [2.3.4](#), [2.3.5](#), and [2.3.6](#);
 6. Changes in the inspection schedule;
 7. Major construction activities at the time of the inspection;
 8. Pollutant sources present at the time of the inspection;
 9. BMPs implemented or installed at the time of the inspection;
 10. Description of all BMPs requiring maintenance;
 11. Corrective actions per Part [2.4](#) including a description of implementation including dates that the corrective action(s) were completed;
 12. Discharges of sediment or other pollutants;
 13. Instances of noncompliance; and
 14. Certification and signature.
- c. Inspection reports must be signed and certified by a SWPPP Administrator based on the requirements in Part [4.15](#).
- d. Inspection records must be maintained as required by Part [2.5](#).
- e. Maintenance, repair, replacement, or installation of new BMPs determined necessary during site inspections to address ineffective or inadequate BMPs must be conducted in accordance with Part [2.3.8](#).

2.3.8 BMP Maintenance, Replacement, and Failures

- a. All BMPs must be maintained in effective operating condition.
- b. If inspections identify BMPs that are not in effective operating condition:
 1. Maintenance must be documented and performed by the close of the next business day.
 - i. If this timeframe is “infeasible” (as defined in Part [5](#)), document rationale and provide a schedule of events with a maintenance timeframe making BMPs operational within seven (7) calendar days.
 2. If new or replacement BMPs are required to be implemented or installed or if additional BMPs are necessary, these additional measures must be implemented or installed by no later than seven (7) calendar days from the time of discovery.
 - i. If this timeframe is infeasible (as defined in Part [5](#)), document rationale and provide a schedule of events with a timeframe making BMPs operational as soon as feasible after the 7-day timeframe.
- c. All changes in the design, implementation, or installation of erosion and sediment controls or other BMPs must be documented according to Part [3.12.2](#).

2.4 Corrective Actions

Corrective actions are actions a SWPPP Administrator takes to:

- Repair, modify, or replace any BMP used at the site;
- Install new or additional BMPs;
- Immediately clean up, dispose of, and, under Part [4](#), report spills, releases, and other deposits; and
- Remedy a permit violation or noncompliance.

If any of the following conditions occur, a SWPPP Administrator must review and revise the selection, design, installation, implementation, and maintenance of BMPs to ensure the condition is eliminated and will not be repeated in the future:

- An unauthorized release or discharge (e.g., spill, leak, or discharge of non-storm water not authorized by this or another MPDES permit) occurs at the site;

- A SWPPP Administrator or DEQ determines that the BMPs are not adequate enough for the discharge as it causes or contributes to an exceedance of applicable water quality standards;
- A SWPPP Administrator or DEQ determines that modifications to the BMPs are necessary to meet the requirements in Part [2](#);
- A SWPPP Administrator or DEQ determines that the BMPs are not properly selected, designed, installed, operated, and/or maintained; or
- A failure of erosion or sediment controls resulting in sediment, solids, or other wastes being discharged from the site. Upon identification of sediment, solids, or other wastes lost or discharged from the site, the material must be cleaned up and placed back on site, or otherwise disposed of in an acceptable manner.
- A SWPPP Administrator must document the completed corrective actions in the corresponding inspection report, and complete any updates to the SWPPP site map(s). In addition, these changes can be updated in the SWPPP for the permittee to maintain consistency with their internal records.

2.5 Recordkeeping

At the identified site, the primary SWPPP Administrator must retain:

- A copy of the General Permit;
- A copy of the completed and signed NOI-SWC form including modification submittals;
- A copy of DEQ's confirmation letter;
- A copy of the signed SWPPP, including revisions and updates, and attachments;
- BMP installation, design, and maintenance specifications/standards for all BMPs installed and detailed in the SWPPP and/or inspection records;
- SWPPP site map(s) reflecting up-to-date site conditions
- SWPPP Administrator and Preparer documentation under Part [3.2](#);
- All inspection records required under Part [2.3](#), [2.4](#), [3.11](#), and [3.12](#);
- All reports of noncompliance under Part [4](#); and
- Sage Grouse consultation letter, as applicable.

These documents are to be made available at the site immediately upon request from a DEQ representative, EPA official, or local official. These records are to be maintained by the permittee for a period of three years from the date of termination.

2.6 Reporting

2.6.1 Notification of SWPPP Administrator Changes

The permittee must notify DEQ in writing of any change to the SWPPP Administrator's name, mailing address, and/or telephone number within 15 calendar days of the change. Notification can be submitted using Attachment A or other written correspondence sent to DEQ.

2.6.2 Noncompliance Reporting

Any instance of noncompliance must be reported to DEQ as required by Part [4.23](#).

3. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

3.1 SWPPP General Requirements

3.1.1 SWPPP Definition

The SWPPP is a document that must be developed, implemented, and maintained in accordance with good engineering selection and design, hydrologic principles, and pollution control practices to minimize and control potential pollutants in storm water associated with construction activity.

3.1.2 SWPPP Minimum Requirements

At a minimum, the SWPPP must have the following components:

- Include the information specified in Part [2](#) and Part [3](#) of the General Permit;
- Provide a site description of the nature of the construction activity that includes identification and details of the major construction activities and project area characteristics;
- Identify and describe all potential pollutant sources which may affect the quality of storm water discharges associated with the construction activity;
- Identify and describe the BMPs to be used to reduce potential pollutants in storm water discharges associated with the construction activity and to ensure compliance with the effluent limitations in the General Permit;
- Identify and describe the measures which will be used to achieve final stabilization; and
- Identify and clearly describe the inspection and maintenance procedures implemented at the site to maintain BMPs identified in the SWPPP in good and effective operating condition.

3.1.3 SWPPP Implementation

The SWPPP must be implemented as follows:

- The SWPPP must be implemented in accordance with the primary SWPPP Administrator's up-to-date field copy;
- SWPPP implementation must initiate at the start of ground disturbance associated with the construction activity;
- The SWPPP must be maintained to reflect up-to-date site conditions through documented revisions and updates in accordance with Part [3.12.2](#). Inspection reports, logs, and the SWPPP site map may supplement the SWPPP to reflect the most up-to-date site conditions; and
- SWPPP implementation must continue until final stabilization of all construction activity-related ground disturbance is achieved and permit coverage has been terminated.

3.2 SWPPP Preparer and Administrator

SWPPP Preparers and Administrators must obtain certification from a course approved by DEQ and maintain a valid certification by meeting the requirements in Parts [3.2.1](#), [3.2.2](#), and [3.2.3](#), as applicable to their role.

Consistent with standard industry practice, a SWPPP Preparer or Administrator certification is valid no more than 3 years after date of certification. Training providers issue certifications complete with expiration dates.

Validation of SWPPP Preparer and Administrator certification will be determined at the time a NOI-SWC Package is submitted or during a regulatory inspection. Valid certification demonstrating the minimum requirements for the SWPPP Preparer and Administrator(s) must be maintained with the SWPPP, and must include the following:

- Name(s), title(s), phone number(s), and email address(es) of SWPPP Preparer and Administrator(s); and
- Date and name of provider of course(s).

3.2.1 SWPPP Preparer and Administrator Minimum Requirements

DEQ identified minimum requirements for SWPPP Preparers and Administrators so that the quality of storm water discharges is controlled and the effluent limitations in Part [2](#) are complied with.

To adequately serve their assigned roles and maintain valid certification, SWPPP Preparers and Administrators must understand and be able to apply the following concepts:

- General Permit requirements including, but not limited to: applicability, application procedures, SWPPP elements, standard conditions, and termination conditions;
- Local permitting requirements;
- Sage Grouse requirements based on location of the project;
- Principles and practices of erosion and sediment controls and pollution prevention, including the minimum criteria for BMPs defined in Part [2.1](#);
- Construction site assessment and planning skills including knowledge and identification of major construction activities, phases of construction activities and all support activities, and the potential pollutants generated based on the scope of the project;
- Development, selection, and implementation skills for all BMPs on the site, including final stabilization measures, required by this permit based on appropriate design, installation, function, and location; and how they are to be maintained and/or repaired according to developed and/or manufacturers plans and specifications;
- Development, selection, and implementation skills for pollution prevention controls and BMPs required by the General Permit;
- Development and implementation skills for procedures and associated documentation for all inspections, maintenance, and required recordkeeping to include when and how to conduct inspections, record applicable findings, take corrective actions, and, when appropriate, report violations and/or noncompliance; and
- Ability to develop and update the SWPPP site map(s) required by the General Permit.

3.2.2 SWPPP Preparer

A SWPPP Preparer is a designated individual who is responsible for planning and development of the SWPPP prior to submission of the NOI-SWC Package. The permittee must specify a SWPPP Preparer in the NOI-SWC form and the SWPPP.

The SWPPP Preparer(s) must:

- Develop and document all aspects of the SWPPP, starting with the initiation of construction activities, and lasting until final stabilization is achieved and the permit authorization is terminated;
- Meet minimum requirements in Part [3.2.1](#) and obtain valid certification before the submittal of the NOI-SWC Package to DEQ.

3.2.3 SWPPP Administrator

A SWPPP Administrator is a designated individual who is responsible for developing, implementing, maintaining, revising, and updating the SWPPP. The permittee must specify at least one SWPPP Administrator in the NOI-SWC form and the SWPPP. For new employees hired after the submission of the NOI-SWC Package, the minimum requirements and valid certification must be completed before assuming SWPPP Administrator responsibilities. Validation of certification will be determined during an inspection. Valid certification demonstrating the minimum requirements for the SWPPP Administrator(s) must be maintained with the SWPPP.

The SWPPP Administrator(s) must:

- Address all aspects of the SWPPP, initiating with the start of construction activities, and lasting until final stabilization is achieved and the permit authorization is terminated;

- Apply knowledge of erosion and sediment controls and pollution prevention to assess site conditions and determine the effectiveness of selected BMPs;
- Meet minimum requirements in Part [3.2.1](#) and obtain valid certification before the submittal of the NOI-SWC Package to DEQ;
- Individuals seeking to assume the SWPPP Administrator responsibilities after the start of a project must first meet the minimum requirements Part [3.2.1](#) and obtain valid certification;
- Meet the duly authorized representative requirements as defined in Part 4.18 to sign inspection documents and other reports.

3.3 Site Description

- a. The SWPPP must include all of the following:
 1. A description of the nature of the construction activity and what is being constructed;
 2. A description of all support activities and associated storm water discharges dedicated to the construction activity including but not limited to: material borrow areas, material fill areas, concrete or asphalt batch plants, equipment staging areas, access roads/corridors, material storage areas, and material crushing/recycling/processing areas;
 3. The total area of the site (in acres), and the area of the site (in acres) expected to undergo construction-related disturbance (including all construction-related support activities);
 4. A description of the character and erodibility of soil(s) and other earth material to be disturbed at the site, including cut/fill material to be used;
 5. For construction-related disturbance of five acres or more of total land area:
 - i. An estimate of the runoff coefficient of the site, both before and after construction, including a source for the estimate; and
 - ii. An estimate of the increase in impervious area after the construction activity is completed;
 6. The names and impairment status of receiving state surface waters and a description of the size (drainage area), type, and location of each point source discharge or outfall with connectivity.
 - i. If there is no distinguishable point source discharge or outfall to the receiving state surface waters, a description of storm water runoff flow and drainage patterns into the receiving state surface waters.
 - ii. If the discharge is to unnamed drainage, the name of the first named waterbody downstream of the site that will receive the discharge.
 - iii. If the discharge is to a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4), the location of the MS4 outlet where the storm sewer discharges into receiving state surface waters.
 - iv. If there is no distinguishable point source discharge or outfall to the receiving state surface waters, a description of storm water runoff flow and drainage patterns into the receiving state surface waters.
 7. A brief description of the existing natural cover and vegetation at the site and an estimate of the percent density of vegetative ground cover.

3.4 Identification of Potential Pollutant Sources

All potential pollutant sources, including soils, materials, and activities, within the scope of the entire construction project must be evaluated for the potential to contribute pollutants to storm water discharges. The SWPPP must identify those sources determined to have the potential to contribute pollutants to storm water discharges, and these sources must be controlled through BMP selection and implementation, as required in Part [3.5](#), below.

The permittee must identify all potential pollutant sources within lists provided for soils, materials, and activities within the SWPPP. In addition, the permittee must identify and list the following:

- Other potential pollutant sources from soils, activities, and materials not already identified the SWPPP;
- Other non-storm water discharges if present; and
- Any additional potential pollutant sources.

3.5 Selection of Best Management Practices (BMPs)

The SWPPP must document the selection of BMPs based on the potential pollutant sources identified in Part [3.4](#) above that have been installed and implemented at the site to achieve the effluent limits in Parts [2.1](#) and [2.2](#). All BMPs must be designed, installed, and implemented, and maintained according to published specifications. A copy of specifications must be maintained on-site and be accessible upon request. Specification sources must be identified in the SWPPP and kept up-to-date. Any departures from the specifications must reflect good engineering practices and must be documented in the SWPPP or corresponding inspection reports.

The permittee must identify all selected BMPs within the SWPPP including:

- Erosion control BMPs;
- Sediment control BMPs;
- Run on/runoff control BMPs;
- Administrative controls; and
- Post construction controls.

In addition, the permittee must select and list the following:

- Additional BMPs not already identified in the SWPPP and likely to be used at the construction project;
- Local sediment and erosion controls including a description of requirements;
- BMPs that target and reduce discharges of the identified pollutants of impairment to impaired waterbodies as required under Part [2.2](#); and
- Sage Grouse controls (The consultation letter attached to the SWPPP will meet the requirements for this section in Part [2.5](#)).

3.6 Dewatering

All dewatering practices and BMPs associated with dewatering must be identified in the SWPPP and SWPPP site map(s) as required under Part [3.10](#).

- Ground water, surface water, and/or accumulated storm water due to dewatering practices which *will* discharge (or have the potential to discharge) to state surface waters are not authorized under the SWC General Permit and must obtain authorization under the MPDES General Permit for Construction Dewatering (CDGP) or an individual MPDES permit, as applicable. The CDGP applies to discharges that include in-stream dewatering, surface area dewatering, and ground water dewatering (See “Construction Dewatering” definition in Part [5](#)).

3.7 Major Construction Activity and BMP Phasing

A major construction activity is defined as any distinct construction related disturbance or pollutant generating activity that occurs within the schedule of activities associated with the project. Major construction activities are often referred to as construction phases.

For each major construction activity, the SWPPP must:

- Identify the activity;
- Document the activity and associated BMP phasing using a table or narrative description;
- Include a list of all the construction related tasks (i.e. the series of steps) necessary to complete the activity;
- Provide an estimated timeframe (from initiation to completion) of the activity;
- Document the selected BMPs throughout the succession of each major construction activity until the site reaches final stabilization;
- Identify BMP phasing of major construction activities the SWPPP site map(s) as required under Part [3.10](#).

3.8 Final Stabilization

The SWPPP must clearly describe all procedures and BMPs used to ensure that “final stabilization” (as defined in Part 5) is achieved.

To achieve final stabilization a permittee must:

- Uniformly establish vegetative cover or equivalent permanent physical erosion reduction methods over the entire disturbed area, without any relatively bare areas based on the pre-disturbance conditions;
- Establish vegetative cover to density of at least 70% of pre-disturbance levels, or implement equivalent permanent physical erosion reduction methods;
- For vegetative cover, use perennial plants adapted to site conditions; and
- Utilize final stabilization measures that can provide erosion control equivalent to pre-existing site conditions.

In addition to achieving final stabilization, the permittee must have completed the items listed in Part 1.4 to be eligible to terminate coverage under the General Permit.

3.9 Post-Construction Storm Water Management

The SWPPP must clearly describe any BMPs which will be used to control storm water and potential pollutants in storm water discharges that will occur after construction operations have been completed at the site, including any applicable local requirements. If a temporary BMP will be transitioned to a post-construction BMP, the SWPPP must clearly describe the transition process and how the BMP will be maintained. Where practicable, DEQ supports the use of low impact development (LID) and green infrastructure BMPs that allow for infiltration, evapotranspiration, or capture for reuse storm water runoff generated from the majority of expected storm events post-construction.

3.10 Site Map

The SWPPP must include at least one legible site map/plan of sufficient scale and size which clearly display site conditions. Multiple SWPPP site maps/plans are encouraged for clarity.

- a. At a minimum, the SWPPP site maps/plans must include the following:
 1. Site boundaries to include the perimeter of common plans of development;
 2. Locations and types of all dedicated construction activity support areas (including off-site) such as access-related work, earth material borrow areas, equipment staging areas, materials storage areas, temporary concrete or asphalt batch plants, and any areas used for fill placement;
 3. Locations where ground-disturbing activities will occur, noting any BMP phasing of major construction activities;
 4. Preconstruction topography of the site including showing state surface waters which will receive storm water runoff from the site.
 5. Any receiving state surface waters listed as impaired;
 6. Labeled outfalls with drainage pattern(s) and flow directions (use arrows) of storm water and authorized non-storm water flow onto, over, and from the site property before and after major grading activities, including lines showing boundaries between different drainage areas;
 7. Storm water, and allowable non-storm water discharge locations and types, including the locations of any storm drain inlets and where storm water or allowable non-storm water will be discharged to state surface waters;
 8. MS4s including the identification of applicable outlets, where the construction activity's storm water discharges flow into them;
 9. Locations and sources of run-on to the site from adjacent property that may contain potential pollutants (including sediment);
 10. Locations of areas of cut and fill;
 11. Locations of areas which are to remain undisturbed including vegetative buffer areas;
 12. Locations of existing natural cover and vegetation or other pre-existing ground stabilization measures before construction (such as forest, pasture, lawn, pavement, structures);

13. Approximate slopes before and after major grading activities. Note areas of steep slopes both before and after grading;
14. Locations where sediment, soil, or other construction and building materials will be stockpiled;
15. Locations of fueling, vehicle and equipment maintenance, and/or vehicle cleaning and washing areas;
16. Locations of concrete washout and other waste management areas;
17. Locations of ground water or other construction dewatering activities and discharges (see Part [3.6](#));
18. Designated points on the site where vehicles will exit onto paved roads;
19. Locations of other potential pollutant-generating activities not specified elsewhere;
20. Locations of all structural and non-structural BMPs for potential pollutants other than sediment;
21. Locations and specific types of all temporary or permanent erosion and sediment control BMPs;
22. Locations and specific types of all BMPs, including impoundments or conveyances such as retention and detention ponds, ditches, pipes, and swales;
23. Locations of structures and other impervious surfaces upon completion of construction;
24. Location(s) of the public sign(s);
25. Map scale;
26. North arrow; and
27. Map legend.

3.11 Inspection and BMP Maintenance Procedures

In the SWPPP, the permittee must identify which self-inspection schedule Part [2.3.2](#) they are following. The SWPPP must identify and clearly describe the inspection and maintenance procedures implemented to maintain BMPs identified in the SWPPP, in good and effective operating condition. These documented procedures must comply with the inspection requirements in Part [2.3](#) and correspond with BMP maintenance specifications. Refer to Parts [2.3.8](#), [2.4](#), [3.5](#), and [0](#) for related BMP maintenance requirements.

3.12 SWPPP Revisions and Updates

The permittee must maintain the SWPPP and SWPPP site map(s) to reflect inspections (per Part [2.3](#)) and corrective actions (per Part [2.4](#)).

3.12.1 Conditions Triggering Revisions and Updates

- a. The following conditions trigger required revisions and updates to the SWPPP:
 1. When there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance of the site, which would require the implementation of new, additional, or revised BMPs; or
 2. If the SWPPP proves to be ineffective in achieving the general objectives of controlling potential pollutants in storm water discharges associated with construction activity; or
 3. DEQ determines that the BMPs are not properly selected, designed, installed, operated, and/or maintained; or
 4. When BMPs are no longer necessary and are removed.
- b. If a permittee is applying for a renewal or modification of their authorization, as described in Part [1.2.3](#) and [1.2.4](#), respectively, an updated SWPPP including all associated maps, diagrams, details, plans, and records must be submitted.

3.12.2 Revision and Update Options

The permittee must document how revisions and updates to the SWPPP will be maintained to reflect current site conditions. SWPPP site map(s) must reflect any revisions or updates to the SWPPP or from corresponding inspection reports. Inspection reports may be used to supplement the SWPPP to reflect revisions and updates.

Revisions and updates must be made before changes in the site conditions except for BMP changes addressing installation/implementation. BMP changes addressing installation/implementation must be made as soon as practicable, but in no case more than 72 hours after the changes occur at the site.

The permittee may use any of the three options below to document revisions and updates to the SWPPP:

- (1) Revisions and updates directly to the SWPPP and the SWPPP site map(s). Updates to the SWPPP must include additional pages attached the SWPPP which include the time, date, and SWPPP Administrator authorizing the change; or
- (2) Revisions and updates reflected through inspection records, and the SWPPP site map(s); or
- (3) Revisions and updates reflected through a log, and the SWPPP site map(s). Log entries must include the time and date of the change(s) in the field; an identification of the BMP(s) removed or added; the location(s) of those BMP(s); and the name of the SWPPP Administrator authorizing the change.

4. Standard Conditions

4.1 Duty to Comply

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Montana Water Quality Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for termination under the General Permit; for revocation and reissuance of a confirmation letter; for a modification requirement; or for denial of coverage under the General Permit (new or renewed). The permittee shall give the department advance notice of any planned changes at the permitted facility or of an activity which may result in permit noncompliance.

4.2 Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

The Montana Water Quality Act at MCA 75-5-631 provides that in an action initiated by the department to collect civil penalties against a person who is found to have violated a permit condition of this Act is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000. Each day of violation constitutes a separate violation.

The Montana Water Quality Act at MCA 75-5-632 provides that any person who willingly or negligently violates a prohibition or permit condition of the Act is guilty of an offense, and upon conviction, is subject to a fine not to exceed \$25,000 per day of violation or imprisonment for not more than one year, or both, for the first conviction. Following an initial conviction, any subsequent convictions subject a person to a fine of up to \$50,000 per day of violation or by imprisonment for not more than two years, or both.

The Montana Water Quality Act at MCA 75-5-611 provides for administrative penalties not to exceed \$10,000 for each day of violation and up to a maximum not to exceed \$100,000 for any related series of violations. Except as provided in permit conditions "Bypass of Treatment Facilities" and "Upset Conditions", nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee of the civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.

4.3 Duty to Reapply

If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The reapplication must be submitted at least 30 days before the expiration date of this permit.

4.4 Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

4.5 Duty to Mitigate

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

4.6 Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

4.7 Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.

4.8 Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.

4.9 Duty to Provide Information

The permittee shall furnish to the department, within a reasonable time, any information which the department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the department, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

4.10 Inspection and Entry

The permittee shall allow the head of the department, or an authorized representative upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and as otherwise authorized by the Montana Water Quality Act, any substances or parameters at any location; and
- Sample, or monitor at reasonable times for the purpose of assuring permit compliance, any substances or parameters at any location.

4.11 Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the department. As required by the Clean Water Act, applications, permits and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

4.12 Reporting Requirements- Monitoring and Monitoring Reports

The department may require a permittee to monitor in addition to any conditions in this permit, on a case-by-case basis. If monitoring is required, the department will specify monitoring requirements to include, and not limited to, storm water sampling, analytical testing, and an evaluation of monitoring results, recording, and reporting. Monitoring results must be reported on a discharge monitoring report (DMR) or as required by the department. Monitoring results must be reported at the intervals specified.

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required, using approved test procedures, the results of this monitoring must be included in the calculation and reporting of data submitted in the DMR. Calculations for all limitations which require averaging of measurements must utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified by the department.

4.13 Monitoring and Records- Representative Sampling

Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring must be representative of the monitored activity.

4.14 Monitoring and Records- Retention of Records

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information including all calibrations and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended by request of the department at any time.

4.15 Monitoring and Records- Records Content

Records of monitoring information must include:

- The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
- The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
- The date(s) analyses were performed;
- The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
- The analytical techniques or methods used; and
- The results of such analyses.

4.16 Monitoring and Records- Test Procedures

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under Title 40 of the Code of Federal regulations (40 CFR) Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit, confirmation letter, or by the department.

4.17 Monitoring and Records-Penalties for Falsification of Reports and Tampering

The Montana Water Quality Act at MCA 75-5-633 provides that any person who knowingly falsifies, tampers with, or renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method, or makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance shall, upon conviction be punished by a fine of not more than \$25,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both.

4.18 Signatory and Authorized Representative Requirements

All applications, reports or information submitted to the department shall be signed and certified in accordance with ARM 17.30.1323.

4.18.1 Signatory Authority

All NOI-SWC application forms (including modifications and renewals), NOT, and PTN documents must be signed by an individual with signatory authority defined below:

- a. For a corporation, a responsible corporate officer. A responsible corporate officer means:
 1. A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation; or
 2. The manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities employing more than 250 persons or having gross annual sales or expenditures exceeding \$25 million (in second-quarter 1980 dollars), if authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- b. For a partnership or sole proprietorship, a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
- c. For a municipality, state, federal, or other public agency, either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. A principal executive officer of a federal agency includes:
 1. The chief executive officer of the agency; or
 2. A senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency.

4.18.2 Duly Authorized Representative

The SWPPP, inspections reports, and other documents required by the General Permit that are not identified as needing the signature of a signatory authority in Part [4.18.1](#) may be signed by either an individual with signatory authority or a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

- a. Authorization is made in writing by an individual with signatory authority (Part 4.18.1);
- b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company (a duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.)
- c. The written authorization is submitted to the department.

4.18.2.1 Changes to Duly Authorized Representative

If an authorization, described above, is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the above requirements must be submitted to the department prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.

4.18.3 Certification:

Any person signing a document under Part [4.18.1](#) or [4.18.2](#) shall make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

4.19 Reporting Requirements - Planned Changes

The permittee shall give notice to the department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility, activity, or operation.

Notice is required only when:

- The alteration or addition to the permitted facility, activity, or operation may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source; or
- The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutant discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are not subject to effluent limitations in the permit.

4.20 Reporting Requirements- Anticipated Noncompliance

The permittee shall give advance notice to the department of any planned changes in the permitted facility/activity/operation which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The permittee shall notify as soon as possible by phone and provide with the following information, in writing, within five (5) days of becoming aware of such condition:

- A description of the discharge and cause of noncompliance; and
- The period of noncompliance including exact dates and times, or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-complying discharge.

4.21 Reporting Requirements- Transfers

Permit coverage is not transferable to any person except after notice is given to the department and a transfer fee is paid. The Permit Transfer Notification (PTN-SWC) form provided by the department must be completed and must be received by the department at least 30 days prior to the anticipated date of transfer. The form must be signed by both the existing owner/operator and the new owner/operator following the signatory requirements of Part [4.18](#).

4.22 Reporting Requirements- Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim, and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit or required by the department shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.

4.23 Reporting Requirements- Twenty-four Hour Reporting

The permittee shall report any serious incident of noncompliance affecting the environment. Any information must be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the permittee first becomes aware of the following circumstances:

- Any noncompliance which may seriously endanger health or the environment;
- Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit;
- Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit; or
- As applicable, violation of a maximum daily discharge limit of any pollutant listed by the department in the General Permit or confirmation letter.

A written submission shall also be provided within five days of the time that the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain:

- A description of the noncompliance and its cause;
- The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
- The estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected; and
- Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

4.23.1 Oral Notification

The report shall be made orally to the Water Protection Bureau at (406) 444-5546 or the Office of Disaster and Emergency Services at (406) 324-4777.

4.23.2 Waiver of Written Notification Requirement

The department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours by the Water Protection Bureau, by phone, (406) 444-5546. Written reports shall be submitted to the following address:

Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Water Protection Bureau
PO Box 200901
Helena, Montana 59620-0901

4.24 Reporting Requirements- Other Noncompliance

Instances of noncompliance not required to be reported within 24 hours shall be reported as soon as possible. The reports shall contain the information listed above for written submissions under Part [4.23](#).

4.25 Reporting Requirements- Other Information

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application package, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application package or any report to the department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

4.26 Bypass

Intentional diversions of untreated waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility are prohibited unless:

- The bypass does not cause effluent to exceed effluent limitations and is necessary for essential maintenance to ensure efficient operation; or

- The bypass is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage; or
- There are no feasible alternatives;
- And the proper notification is submitted.

Bypass is prohibited and the department may take enforcement action against a permittee for a bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for anticipated bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible, at least ten days before the date of the bypass. The department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required under Part [4.23](#).

4.27 Upset Conditions

An upset may be used as an affirmative defense in actions brought to the permittee for noncompliance with a technology-based effluent limitation. The permittee (who has the burden of proof) must have operational logs or other evidence showing:

- When the upset occurred and its causes;
- That the facility was being operated properly;
- Proper notification was made; and
- Remedial measures were taken as required by the duty to mitigate standard condition.

4.28 Fees

The permittee is required to submit payment of an annual fee as set forth in ARM 17.30.201. If the permittee fails to pay the annual fee within 90 days after the due date for the payment, the department may:

- Impose an additional assessment computed at the rate established under ARM 17.30.201: and,
- Suspend the processing of the application for a permit or authorization or, if the nonpayment involves an annual permit fee, suspend the permit, certificate or authorization for which the fee is required. The department may lift suspension at any time up to one year after the suspension occurs if the holder has paid all outstanding fees, including all penalties, assessments and interest imposed under this sub-section. Suspensions are limited to one year, after which the permit will be terminated.

4.29 Removed Substances

Collected screenings, grit, solids, sludges, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment shall be disposed of in such a manner so as to prevent any pollutant from entering any waters of the state or creating a health hazard.

4.30 Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act.

4.31 Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

4.32 Reopener Provisions

This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include the appropriate effluent limitations (and compliance schedule, if necessary), or other appropriate requirements if one or more of the following events occurs:

- **Water Quality Standards:** The water quality standards of the receiving water(s) to which the permittee discharges are modified in such a manner as to require different permit conditions than contained in this permit.
- **Water Quality Standards are Exceeded:** If it is found that water quality standards or trigger values in the receiving stream are exceeded either for parameters included in the permit or others, the department may modify the permit conditions or water management plan.
- **TMDL or Wasteload Allocation:** TMDL requirements or a wasteload allocation is developed and approved by the department and/or EPA for incorporation in this permit.
- **Water Quality Management Plan:** A revision to the current water quality management plan is approved and adopted which calls for different effluent limitations than contained in this permit.

4.33 Toxic Pollutants

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established for toxic pollutants which are present in the discharge, within any specified timeframe within rule or thereof, and even if the General Permit or confirmation letter has not yet been modified to incorporate such standard or prohibition for the toxic pollutant.

5. General Definitions and Abbreviations

“Act” means the Montana Water Quality Act, Title 75, Chapter 5, MCA.

“Best management practices” (“BMPs”) means a schedule of activities, prohibition of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of state surface waters. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

“Board” means the Montana Board of Environmental Review established by 2-15-3502, MCA.

“CFR” means the Code of Federal Regulations.

“Clean Water Act” means the federal legislation at 33 USC 1251, et seq.

“Construction dewatering” means the action of pumping or actively removing ground water, surface water, and/or accumulated storm water from a construction site or other related activities. MPDES General Permit for Construction Dewatering applies to the discharge of construction dewatering effluent to state surface water with increased sediment and turbidity as the primary pollutants of concern, including:

- *In-stream dewatering*: cofferdams, drill hole or pylon development;
- *Surface area dewatering*: water pumped from disturbed surface areas (foundations, trenches, excavation pits, vaults, sumps, or other similar points of accumulation associated with a construction site or related activities where sediment-laden ground water, surface water, and/or storm water inflow must be removed); and
- *Ground water dewatering*: water discharged from well development, well pump tests, or pumping of ground water from a construction site or other related activities.

“Department” means the Montana Department of Environmental Quality. Established by 2-15- 3501, MCA.

“Disturbance related to construction activity” means areas that are subject to clearing, excavating, grading, stockpiling earth materials, and placement/removal of earth material performed during construction projects.

“Ephemeral stream” means a stream or part of a stream that flows only in direct response to precipitation in the immediate watershed or in response to the melting of a cover of snow and ice and whose channel bottom is always above the local water table.

“EPA” or **“US EPA”** means the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

“Facility or activity” means any MPDES point source or any other facility or activity (including land or appurtenances thereto) that is subject to regulation under the MPDES program.

“Final stabilization” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102(5), means the time at which all soil-disturbing activities at the site have been completed, and a vegetative cover has been established with a density of at least 70% of the pre-disturbance levels, or equivalent permanent, physical erosion reduction methods have been employed. Final stabilization using vegetation must be accomplished using seeding mixtures or forbs, grasses, and shrubs that are adapted to the conditions of the site. Establishment of a vegetative cover capable of providing erosion control equivalent to pre-existing conditions at the site will be considered final stabilization.

“General permit” means a MPDES permit issued under ARM 17.30.1341 authorizing a category of discharges under the Act within a geographical area.

“Indian country” as defined at 40 CFR § 122.2, means (a) all land within the limits of any Indian reservation under the jurisdiction of the United States Government, notwithstanding the issuance of any patent, and, including rights-of-way running through the reservation, (b) all dependent Indian communities within the borders of the United States whether within the original or subsequently acquired territory thereof, and whether within or without the

limits of a state, and (c) all Indian allotments, the Indian titles to which have not been extinguished, including rights-of-way running through the same.

“Infeasible” means not economically possible or economically practicable in light of best industry practices.

“Larger common plan of development or sale (larger common plan)” means a project where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times and/or schedules but remain related under one common plan. A “common plan” is defined as any announcement or piece of documentation (including, but not limited to a sign, public notice or hearing, sales pitch, advertisement, drawing, engineering plan, permit application, zoning request, or schematic) or physical demarcation (including boundary signs, lot stakes, surveyor markings, etc.) indicating that construction activities may occur within a specific geographic area. Construction activities which form a larger common plan of development or sale may have areas of disturbance which are not physically connected.

“Montana pollutant discharge elimination system (MPDES)” means the system developed by the Board and DEQ for issuing permits for the discharge of pollutants from point sources into state surface waters. The MPDES is specifically designed to be compatible with the federal NPDES program established and administered by the EPA.

“Owner or operator” (or **owner/operator**) as defined at 75-5-103, MCA, means a person who owns, leases, operates, controls, or supervises a point source.

“Point source” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means a discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel, or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agricultural storm water runoff.

“Pollutant” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, biological materials, radioactive materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal, and agricultural wastes discharged into water. The terms "sewage," "industrial waste," and "other wastes" as defined at 75-5-103, MCA, are interpreted as having the same meaning as pollutant.

“Process wastewater” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct, or waste product.

“Receiving state surface waters” means the initial surface water body which receives the discharge from the site. See definitions of “state waters” and “surface waters” below.

“Regional Administrator” is the administrator of the EPA Region with jurisdiction over federal water pollution control activities in the State of Montana.

“Runoff coefficient” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means the fraction of total rainfall that will appear at the conveyance as runoff.

“Severe property damage” means substantial physical damage to property, damage to treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.

“Site” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means the land or water area where any facility or activity is physically located or conducted, including adjacent land used in connection with the facility or activity.

“State waters” as defined at 75-5-103, MCA, means a body of water, irrigation system, or drainage system, either surface or underground. The term does not apply to:

- Ponds or lagoons used solely for treating, transporting, or impounding pollutants; or
- Irrigation waters or land application disposal waters when the waters are used up within the irrigation or land application disposal system and the waters are not returned to state waters.

“Storm water” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means storm water runoff from precipitation, snowmelt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.

“Storm water discharge associated with construction activity” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means a discharge of storm water from construction activities that result in the disturbance of equal to or greater than one acre of total land area. Construction activities include clearing, grading, excavation, stockpiling earth materials, and other placement or removal of earth material performed during construction projects. Construction activity includes the disturbance of less than one acre of total land area that is a part of a larger common plan of development or sale if the larger common plan will ultimately disturb one acre or more.

- Regardless of the acreage of disturbance resulting from a construction activity, this definition includes any other discharges from construction activity designated by the DEQ pursuant to ARM 17.30.1105(1)(f).
- For construction activities that result in disturbance of less than five acres of total land area, the acreage of disturbance does not include routine maintenance that is performed to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or original purpose of the facility.
- For construction activities that result in disturbance of five acres or more of total land area, this definition includes those requirements and clarifications stated in ARM 17.30.1102(29)(a), (b), (d) and (e).

“Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means a document developed to help identify sources of pollution potentially affecting the quality of storm water discharges associated with a facility or activity, and to ensure implementation of measures to minimize and control pollutants in storm water discharges associated with a facility or activity. DEQ determines specific requirements and information to be included in a SWPPP based on the type and characteristics of a facility or activity, and on the respective MPDES permit requirements.

“Surface waters” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means any waters on the earth's surface, including but not limited to streams, lakes, ponds, reservoirs, and irrigation and drainage systems. Water bodies used solely for treating, transporting, or impounding pollutants shall not be considered surface water.

“Temporary stabilization” means a condition where exposed soils or disturbed areas are provided a temporary vegetative and/or non-vegetative protective cover to prevent erosion and sediment loss. Temporary stabilization may include temporary seeding, geotextiles, mulches, and other techniques to reduce or eliminate erosion until either final stabilization can be achieved or until further construction activities take place to re-disturb this area.

“Total maximum daily load” or “TMDL” as defined at 75-5-103, MCA, means the sum of the individual waste load allocations for point sources and load allocations for both nonpoint sources and natural background sources established at a level necessary to achieve compliance with applicable surface water quality standards.

“Upset” means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

“Waste load allocation” as defined at ARM 17.30.1102, means the portion of a receiving water's loading capacity that is allocated to one of its existing or future point sources.

“Waste pile” means any non-containerized accumulation of solid, non-flowing waste that is used for treatment or storage.

Montana Department of Environmental Quality

General Permit

For Construction Dewatering

Permit Number MTG070000

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GENERAL PERMIT for CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING

Permit No.: MTG070000

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE MONTANA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with Montana Water Quality Act, Title 75, Chapter 5, Montana Code Annotated (MCA), and the federal Water Pollution Control Act (the "Clean Water Act"), 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*, applicants issued an authorization letter for coverage under this Construction Dewatering General Permit are permitted to discharge in accordance with permit compliance requirements and other conditions set forth herein.

A copy of this General Permit and the letter of authorization from the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) must be available at all times. The General Permit is not valid without a current letter of authorization for the dewatering activity.

This permit shall become effective **May 1, 2025**.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, **April 30, 2030**.

FOR THE MONTANA DEPARTMENT
OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



Tatiana Davila, Chief
Water Protection Bureau
Water Quality Division

Issuance Date: 4/1/2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	COVERAGE UNDER THIS GENERAL PERMIT.....	3
A.	COVERAGE AREA.....	3
B.	SOURCES ELIGIBLE FOR COVERAGE	3
C.	SOURCES EXCLUDED FROM COVERAGE	3
D.	REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZATION	4
II.	EFFLUENT LIMITS, MONITORING REQUIREMENTS & SPECIAL CONDITIONS.....	6
A.	EFFLUENT LIMITS	6
B.	MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.....	9
C.	RECORD-KEEPING	12
D.	SPECIAL CONDITIONS	12
III.	STANDARD CONDITIONS	17
A.	DUTY TO COMPLY.....	17
B.	PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF PERMIT CONDITIONS.....	17
C.	DUTY TO REAPPLY.....	17
D.	NEED TO HALT OR REDUCE ACTIVITY NOT A DEFENSE.....	17
E.	DUTY TO MITIGATE	17
F.	PROPER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	17
G.	PERMIT ACTIONS	17
H.	PROPERTY RIGHTS	17
I.	DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION	17
J.	INSPECTION AND ENTRY	18
K.	AVAILABILITY OF REPORTS.....	18
L.	MONITORING AND MONITORING REPORTS – REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.....	18
M.	MONITORING AND RECORDS	18
N.	SIGNATORY REQUIREMENT	19
O.	REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	20
P.	BYPASS	21
Q.	UPSET CONDITIONS.....	21
R.	FEES	22
S.	REMOVED SUBSTANCES.....	22
T.	OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE LIABILITY	22
U.	SEVERABILITY	22
V.	REOPENER PROVISIONS	22
W.	TOXIC POLLUTANTS.....	22
IV.	DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS	23

ATTACHMENT

- Attachment #1: 2025 Notice of Intent Form
Attachment #2: Daily Log Example
Attachment #3: Large Rivers Table

I. COVERAGE UNDER THIS GENERAL PERMIT

A. Coverage Area

The 2025 Construction Dewatering General Permit (2025-CDGP) applies to discharge of construction dewatering or well development effluent to state surface water in all areas in the State of Montana, except within the boundaries of Indian Reservations.

B. Sources Eligible for Coverage

The following activities are covered by the 2025-CDGP:

- *In-stream dewatering*: cofferdams, drill hole, or pylon development.
- *Surface area dewatering*: water pumped from disturbed surface areas (trenches, excavation pits, sumps, or other excavations associated with construction where sediment-laden ground water or surface water/storm water inflow must be removed); and
- *Ground water dewatering*:
 - *Water discharged from well development or well pump test* if the initial flush cannot be land applied or otherwise contained. CDGP authorization is also needed if a well development or pump test is within an area known or suspected to be contaminated.
 - *Water discharged from pumping ground water from a construction area*. A construction area is the area within the property boundaries of an active construction project. Common methods of dewatering include sumps and wells, generally described as follows:
 - *Sumps*: locally lowers ground water levels. Dewatering through sumps involves pumping ground water out of a lower collection point(s) typically gravity-fed by local ground water.
 - *Wells*: drilled wells, including bored/augured, driven, or jetted, which use vacuum or pumping to lower the ground water at greater depths than sumps at a construction site. The two most common types of wells used for dewatering ground water are:
 - ☐ Wellpoints.
 - ☐ Deep Wells.

CDGP authorization is not required for dewatering performed through a wellpoint or deep well that is installed and only operated prior to construction activities in an undisturbed area (i.e. an area not within an active construction site). Because this exemption applies to unaltered groundwater, the owner/operator must control the first flush/initial purge so that sediment-laden water is not discharged into surface water. However, once construction has been initiated at the site, well dewatering activity is no longer exempted and cannot occur without an authorization under the 2025-CDGP.

C. Sources Excluded from Coverage

1. The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) may deny a CDGP request for discharge for the following:
 - a) The specific source applying for authorization appears unable to comply with the following requirements:
 - effluent limitations or other terms and conditions of the permit;
 - water quality standards established pursuant to 75-5-301, MCA; or
 - discharges that the regional administrator has objected to in writing.
 - b) The discharge is different in degree or nature from discharges reasonably expected from sources or activities within the category described in the CDGP.

- c) A Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) permit or authorization for the same operation has previously been denied or revoked.
 - d) The discharge is also included within an application or is subject to review under the Major Facility Siting Act, 75-20-101, et seq., MCA.
 - e) The discharge will be located in an area of unique ecological or recreational significance. Such determination must be based upon considerations of Montana stream classifications, impacts on fishery resources, local conditions at proposed discharge sites, and designations of wilderness areas or of wild and scenic rivers.
2. DEQ may deny a CDGP request for discharge from dewatering activities at or near a contaminated site. If the dewatering activity is proposed to be located near a known or potential site, the applicant must demonstrate that there will not be pollutants in the dewatering effluent discharged at concentrations over the contaminant thresholds as presented in **Table 6** of this permit.

D. Requirements for Authorization

1. Notice of Intent Package

Applicants must submit a CDGP Notice of Intent (NOI-07) package to DEQ and be authorized prior to discharging dewatering effluent that may reach state surface waters. A complete NOI package requires applicants to include the following:

- a. *NOI-07 Form*: Once DEQ's online Fees Application and Compliance Tracking System (FACTS) has been updated, applicants will be required to submit construction dewatering NOIs and the applicable items below electronically through the FACTS database. FACTS is located on DEQ's website at <https://svc.mt.gov/deq/factspermitting>.

Until such time, a hard copy of the updated NOI form and instructions will be available on DEQ's webpage at <https://deq.mt.gov/water/assistance> or upon request by calling DEQ at (406) 444-5546. See **Attachment #1** for the final 2025 NOI-07.
- b. *Dewatering Control Plan*: The initial Dewatering Control Plan must be submitted as part of the NOI-07 package.
- c. *Sage Grouse Habitat Executive Order No. 12-2015*: If the operation is in sage grouse core, general, or connectivity habitat, the applicant must include a consultation letter from the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program for new or modified projects. If the operation is outside of sage grouse habitat, a consultation letter is not required. Information regarding the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program can be found online at <https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/>.
- d. *MTNHP and SHPO*: As part of the NOI process, an applicant with a for new or modified projects will be required to provide information from both the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) for Species of Concern and the Montana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) for a report on any historical, cultural, or archeological resources. These analyses can be obtained from:
 - a. Montana National Heritage Program <https://mtnhp.org/>
 - b. Montana State Historic Preservation Office <https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/>

e. *Required Fees (per billable outfall):*

- New Application: \$900 *Includes first annual fee*
- Renewal Application: \$400
- Modification: \$400

2. New Authorization under the 2025-issued CDGP

The process for obtaining coverage for a new site under the CDGP is as follows:

- a. Applicants must submit a complete NOI Package to DEQ at least 30 days prior to the planned dewatering discharge. This includes applicable Sage Grouse Habitat, MTNHP, and SHPO documentation.
- b. DEQ will review the NOI package for completeness.
 - If there are no deficiencies, DEQ will issue an authorization letter.
 - If the NOI package is deficient, DEQ will notify the applicant of the required information. DEQ will issue an authorization letter once the deficient materials are addressed by the applicant.

As of May 1, 2025, applicants are not allowed to discharge to state surface waters without a current authorization letter from DEQ.

3. Renewed Authorization under the 2025-issued CDGP

Continued coverage applies to permittees currently authorized under the 2020-CDGP. DEQ will reissue authorization to existing permittees through the process outlined below:

- a. Applicants with an authorization under the 2020-CDGP must submit a complete 2025-CDGP NOI Package to DEQ for continued coverage. The NOI package must be submitted by May 1, 2025.
- b. DEQ will review the NOI package for completeness.
 - If there are no deficiencies, DEQ will issue a renewed authorization letter.
 - If the NOI package is deficient, DEQ will notify the applicant of the required information. DEQ will issue an authorization letter once the deficient materials are addressed by the applicant.

Applicants are not allowed to discharge to state surface waters without a 2025-CDGP authorization letter from DEQ as of May 30, 2025.

4. Terminate Permit Coverage

Permit coverage remains in effect until the expiration date of this General Permit or until DEQ receives notice from the permittee that the point source of discharge has been eliminated. The options for a permittee to terminate permit coverage are listed below:

- The permittee must submit a Request for Termination (RFT) in DEQ's FACTS database with CROMERR-compliant electronic certification by a Signatory Authority. In cases where the use of the FACTS interface is not feasible, the permittee may mail a hardcopy Notice of Termination (NOT) Form with original signature to DEQ. The NOT form is available at <http://deq.mt.gov/water/assistance>. **Annual fees (calendar year) will accrue until DEQ receives the complete RFT/NOT and sends an acknowledgement of the termination request.**
- Permittees may request to be excluded from coverage under this General Permit by applying for and obtaining an individual MPDES permit. If an individual MPDES permit is issued, coverage under this General Permit will be terminated on the effective date of the individual MPDES permit.

5. Transfer Permit Coverage

DEQ may transfer authorization to a new owner or operator under the General Permit. Both the current owner and the new owner must complete and certify a completed Permit Transfer Notification (PTN) form either electronically on the FACTS site at <https://svc.mt.gov/deq/factspermitting> or by hardcopy after downloading the PTN form available at <http://deq.mt.gov/water/assistance> (or available upon request from DEQ) and mail the completed PTN with original signatures and applicable fee to DEQ.

6. Denied Authorizations

If a permittee is denied authorization under the General Permit, DEQ may request additional information and additional application fee and process the request for authorization through the individual MPDES permit requirements unless the applicant withdraws the NOI or modifies the operations to be eligible under the General Permit.

II. EFFLUENT LIMITS, MONITORING REQUIREMENTS & SPECIAL CONDITIONS

A. Effluent Limits

Beginning on May 1, 2025, and lasting through the duration of this General Permit, each permittee requesting coverage under this CDGP will need to meet the applicable turbidity, oil & grease, and chemical use limits described below. All limits apply after treatment and prior to discharge to receiving waters.

1. **Turbidity.** Permittees requesting coverage under this CDGP are required to choose the most applicable turbidity category for each outfall, based on the potential relative impact to the receiving waterbody. **Table 1** presents the categories and associated turbidity limits. The following provides the descriptions of the receiving waterbody categories:

A. Minimal Impact

Construction dewatering discharges under the following subcategories are expected to have minimal impact on the receiving water turbidity:

- **Ephemeral waterbodies** – an “ephemeral stream” means a stream or part of a stream which flows only in direct response to precipitation in the immediate watershed or in response to the melting of a cover of snow and ice and whose channel bottom is always above the local water table. This subcategory can include a "seasonal lake or pond" which means a natural depression in the land surface that periodically holds water from precipitation or snow and ice melt in the immediate watershed.
- **Constructed storm sewer system** – drainage system designed and built solely for the transport of storm water or snow melt. This includes underground stormwater collection systems, road-side ditches, and stormwater retention and detention basins. Constructed storm sewer systems are typically ephemeral in that they only flow in response to rainfall or snow melt.
- **Dry intermittent waterbodies** – dewatering discharge to an “intermittent stream” (means a stream or reach of a stream that is below the local water table for at least some part of the year, and obtains its flow from both surface run-off and groundwater discharge) that **has no ambient water present during the dewatering period**. In addition, to be characterized under the dry intermittent subcategory, the discharge must dissipate and not reach downstream waters. This subcategory includes discharge to dry irrigation canals, dry intermittent streams, and semi-permanent lakes or ponds.

If the situation changes so that there is ambient water at the time of dewatering, the permittee is responsible for complying with the requirements for waterbodies under the

B-Category, “Increased Risk of Impact” and following the reporting requirements at Part II.A.2 herein.

- **Large rivers** – Direct discharge to specified segments for one of eight large rivers: Big Horn, Clark Fork, Flathead, Kootenai, Madison, Missouri, South Fork Flathead, or Yellowstone River (see **Attachment #3**).
- **A-Category Exceptions**
This exemption allows for coverage for A-Category discharges that have turbid ambient waters:
 - **Impaired Waters** – on the 303(d) list for turbidity or sediment. Identified in the Clean Water Act Information Center (CWAIC) <https://clean-water-act-information-center-mtdeq.hub.arcgis.com/>.
 - **Turbid ambient waters** – discharge will occur/may occur during periods with ambient receiving water that has a turbidity greater than 100 NTU.

B. Increased Risk of Impact

This category is more restrictive, to ensure protection of potentially sensitive receiving waters. The following waterbodies have an increased risk of being impacted by construction dewatering discharges:

- **Perennial waterbodies** – rivers (other than the eight large rivers listed above), streams, lakes, and reservoirs that have ambient water present all year.
- **Intermittent waterbodies** – dewatering discharge to an “intermittent stream” (means a stream or reach of a stream that is below the local water table for at least some part of the year, and obtains its flow from both surface run-off and groundwater discharge) that has or may have ambient water present during the dewatering period, or the discharge may reach downstream waters. This subcategory includes discharge to dry irrigation canals, dry intermittent streams, and semi-permanent lakes or ponds.
- **Wetlands.**
 - **B-Category Exceptions**
There are two waterbody classifications that have special considerations and have a specific turbidity limit under the “Increased Risk of Impact” category:
 - **Waterbodies classified as A-Closed or A-1** (see ARM 17.30 Subchapter 6). These waterbodies have ‘no increase above background’ regulatory requirements and are the most protected classes of waterbodies.

Table 1: Turbidity Categories Based on Receiving Waterbody Impact

Receiving Water <i>at Time of Discharge</i>	Effluent Turbidity Limit (NTU)	
	Maximum Daily Limit	Average Monthly Limit
A. Minimal Impact: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Ephemeral waterbodies ▪ Constructed storm sewer systems ▪ Dry intermittent waterbodies (no ambient water present ⁽¹⁾) ▪ Large Rivers: specific segments of the Big Horn, Clark Fork, Flathead, Kootenai, Madison, Missouri, South Fork Flathead, or Yellowstone River (see Attachment #3) 	100	100
<p>➤ A-Category Exceptions for Turbid Water</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Waterbodies listed as impaired on the 303(d) list for turbidity or sediment. ▪ Discharge will occur/may occur during periods with turbid ambient receiving water that is greater than 100 NTU 	(2)	100 ⁽²⁾
B. Increased Risk of Impact: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Perennial rivers and lakes ▪ Intermittent waterbodies ▪ Wetlands 	20	10
<p>➤ B-Category Exception for Clean Water</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Waterbodies classified as A-Closed or A-1 	(3)	10 ⁽³⁾
<p>Footnotes:</p> <p>(1) If there is an unexpected change in the ambient conditions (i.e. dry intermittent (A-Category) was selected but ambient flow is present), the permittee is responsible for meeting the B-Category limits and monitoring frequency. Also see below, Part II.A.2.</p> <p>(2) The A-Category exception turbidity limits for turbid water will change based on the relative turbidity of the receiving water (i.e., the effluent must always be at or below the upstream turbidity). The daily maximum discharge turbidity limit is no increase above background. The average monthly effluent quality must meet whichever is more stringent: no increase above average monthly background turbidity or 100 NTU.</p> <p>(3) The B-Category exception turbidity limits for clean water, which are waterbodies classified as A-Closed or A-1 (see ARM 17.30 Subchapter 6), will change based on the relative turbidity of the receiving water (i.e., the effluent must always be at or below the upstream turbidity). The daily maximum discharge turbidity limit is no increase above background. The average monthly effluent quality must meet whichever is more stringent: no increase above average monthly background turbidity or 10 NTU.</p>		

2. **Temporary Category Change Due to Changing Ambient Conditions.** If the applicant selected the “Dry Intermittent Waterbody” subcategory but the dewatering effluent is discharged into running surface water, rather than a dry stretch as permitted, the permittee must indicate the condition in the comment field of the NetDMRs, document the change in the Daily Log including date and time, and comply with the turbidity limits and associated monitoring for waterbodies with “Increased Risk of Impact.”
3. **Oil & Grease.** There may be no visible oil film, nor may oil & grease be present in concentrations at or in excess of 10 milligrams per liter. If a visual examination of the discharge

indicates the presence of hydrocarbons, by sheen, odor, or other sign, the permittee is required to take corrective action as specified under the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3** of this permit, including analyzing a grab sample of the discharge in accordance with 40 CFR 136 and ceasing discharge until the source is eliminated.

4. **Chemicals.** No chemicals, other than coagulants and/or flocculants used in accordance with manufacturer's specifications, may be added to, or discharged with, the construction dewatering effluent. Use of coagulants or flocculants, or the presence of contaminants must be reviewed and authorized by DEQ.

B. Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

Monitoring of the effluent must be representative of the volume and nature of the discharge. Effluent quality will be monitored at the discharge location (outfall) after all treatment has occurred, prior to entering the receiving water. Monitoring is only required during periods of discharge to state surface waters.

Monitoring results shall be noted on the daily log beginning the effective date of the authorization. In addition, the monitoring results are required to be reported to DEQ on Discharge Monitoring Reports (NetDMRs) by the 28th of the following month. If no discharge occurs, the permittee shall indicate "no discharge" on the monthly NetDMRs.

Samples shall be collected, preserved, and analyzed in accordance with approved procedures listed in 40 CFR Part 136 and any non-detects must meet the Required Reporting Values (RRVs) listed in Circular DEQ-7 unless otherwise specified. Turbidity grab samples can either be analyzed on-site using a turbidity meter or by sending to a laboratory for analysis.

1. **Monitoring Frequency.** The specific monitoring requirements for each outfall will depend on the category that was selected from **Table 1**, and will be indicated on the authorization letter sent to the permittee. Monitoring requirements for each of the categories are presented in **Tables 2 to 5**, as follows:
 - **Table 2:** A-Category: Minimal impact
 - **Table 3:** A-Category Exceptions
 - **Table 4:** B-Category: Increased Risk of Impact
 - **Table 5:** B-Category Exceptions

Table 2: A-Category “Minimal Impact” Monitoring Requirements

Parameter	Sample Location	Unit	Sample Frequency ⁽¹⁾	Sample Type	Reporting Requirement
Days with Discharge	Effluent	Days	1/Day	Visual	Value
Ambient Flow	Upstream	Y/N	1/Day	Visual	--
Turbidity	Effluent	Y/N ⁽²⁾	1/Day	Visual	--
		NTU	1/Week ⁽³⁾	Grab	Daily Max and Monthly Avg
Oil and grease	Effluent	Y/N ⁽⁴⁾	1/Day	Visual	--
		mg/L	⁽⁴⁾	Grab	Daily Max

Footnotes:

- 1) Monitoring is required during any periods with dewatering discharge that reaches state surface water.
- 2) Turbidity “Yes” indicates a visual observation of elevated turbidity that is suspected to be above the numeric NTU limit. This situation requires the permittee to take and analyze a grab sample of the discharge and take corrective action as specified under the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3**.
- 3) Turbidity grab samples of the discharge must be taken for analysis in the first four (4) hours of discharge, then at least once per week thereafter.
- 4) If a visual examination of the discharge indicates the presence of hydrocarbons, by sheen, odor, or other sign, the permittee is required to take corrective action as specified under the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3** including analyzing a grab sample of the discharge in accordance with 40 CFR 136.

**Table 3: A-Category Exceptions Turbid Water
“No Increase Above Background” Monitoring Requirements**

Parameter	Sample Location	Unit	Sample Frequency ⁽¹⁾	Sample Type	Reporting Requirement
Days with Discharge	Effluent	Days	1/Day	Visual	Value
Ambient Flow	Upstream	Y/N	1/Day	Visual	--
Turbidity	Effluent	Y/N ⁽²⁾	1/Day	Visual	--
	Effluent	NTU	1/Week ⁽³⁾	Grab	Daily Max and Monthly Avg
	Upstream			Grab	
	Difference ⁽⁴⁾			Calculated	
Oil and grease	Effluent	Y/N ⁽⁵⁾	1/Day	Visual	--
		mg/L	⁽⁵⁾	Grab	Daily Max

Footnotes:

- 1) Monitoring is required during any periods with dewatering discharge that reaches state surface water.
- 2) Turbidity “Yes” indicates a visual observation of elevated turbidity that is suspected to be above the numeric NTU limit. This situation requires the permittee to take and analyze a grab sample of the discharge and take corrective action as specified under the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3**.
- 3) Turbidity grab samples of the ambient (upstream) condition and the discharge must be taken for analysis in the first four (4) hours of discharge, then at least once per week thereafter, as well as when the visual observation indicates elevated effluent turbidity.
- 4) The turbidity net difference is the increase over background, calculated by subtracting the upstream turbidity from the effluent turbidity. The turbidity net (difference) must be at or below 0 NTU.
- 5) If a visual examination of the discharge indicates the presence of hydrocarbons, by sheen, odor, or other sign, the permittee is required to analyze a grab sample of the discharge in accordance with 40 CFR 136, cease discharge until the oil and grease is eliminated, and take corrective action as specified under the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3**.

Table 4: B-Category “Increased Risk of Impact” Monitoring Requirements					
Parameter	Sample Location	Unit	Sample Frequency ⁽¹⁾	Sample Type	Reporting Requirement
Days with Discharge	Effluent	Days	1/Day	Visual	Value
Turbidity	Effluent	Y/N ⁽²⁾	1/Day	Visual	--
		NTU	2/Week ⁽³⁾	Grab	Daily Max and Monthly Avg.
Oil and grease	Effluent	Y/N ⁽⁴⁾	1/Day	Visual	--
		mg/L	⁽⁴⁾	Grab	Daily Max

Footnotes:

1) Monitoring is required during any periods with dewatering discharge that reaches state surface water.

2) Turbidity “Yes” indicates a visual observation of elevated turbidity that is suspected to be above the numeric NTU limit. This situation requires the permittee to take and analyze a grab sample of the discharge and take corrective action as specified under the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3.**

3) Turbidity grab samples of the discharge must be taken for analysis in the first four (4) hours of discharge, then at least twice per week (at least one day apart) thereafter, as well as when the visual observation indicates elevated turbidity.

4) If a visual examination of the discharge indicates the presence of hydrocarbons, by sheen, odor, or other sign, the permittee is required to analyze a grab sample of the discharge in accordance with 40 CFR 136, cease discharge until the oil and grease is eliminated, and take corrective action as specified under the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3.**

Table 5: B-Category Exception for Clean Water “No Increase Above Background” Monitoring Requirements					
Parameter	Sample Location	Unit	Sample Frequency ⁽¹⁾	Sample Type	Reporting Requirement
Days with Discharge	Effluent	Days	1/Day	Visual	Value
Receiving Water Flow	Upstream	Y/N	1/Day	Visual	--
Turbidity	Effluent	Y/N ⁽²⁾	1/Day	Visual	--
	Effluent	NTU	2/Week ⁽⁴⁾	Grab	Daily Max and Monthly Avg
	Upstream			Grab	
	Difference ⁽⁴⁾			Calculated	
Oil and grease	Effluent	Y/N ⁽⁵⁾	1/Day	Visual	--
		mg/L	⁽⁵⁾	Grab	Daily Max

Footnotes:

- Monitoring is required during any periods with dewatering discharge that reaches state surface water.
- Turbidity “Yes” indicates a visual observation of elevated turbidity that is suspected to be above the numeric NTU limit. This situation requires the permittee to take and analyze a grab sample of the discharge and take corrective action as specified under the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3.**
- Turbidity grab samples of the ambient (upstream) condition and the discharge must be taken for analysis in the first four (4) hours of discharge, then at least once per week thereafter, as well as when the visual observation indicates elevated effluent turbidity. Samples must be taken at times representative of the site’s construction activity and the nature of the discharge.
- The turbidity net difference is the increase over background, calculated by subtracting the upstream turbidity from the effluent turbidity, and the net (difference) must be at or below 0 NTU.
- If a visual examination of the discharge indicates the presence of hydrocarbons, by sheen, odor, or other sign, the permittee is required to analyze a grab sample of the discharge in accordance with 40 CFR 136, cease discharge until the oil and grease is eliminated, and take corrective action as specified in accordance with the Special Conditions **Part II.D.3.**

2. **Oil & Grease.** A daily check for visible signs of oil & grease in the discharge must be conducted. If the visual examination of the discharge indicates the presence of hydrocarbons, by sheen, odor, or other sign, the permittee is required to take corrective action, including analyzing a grab sample of the discharge under 40 CFR 136 and ceasing discharge until the source is eliminated.
3. **Coagulant/ flocculant and Potential Contaminant Discharge.** The permittee shall use coagulant/flocculant as authorized and log the use of it on the daily log (see **Part II.D.1**). If authorized, the permittee shall keep records of potential contaminants and conduct required follow-up sampling and analysis as required (see **Part II.D.1 and 4**).

C. Recordkeeping

The permittee must maintain the following records onsite (hard-copy or electronic):

- 2025-CDGP;
- A copy of the completed and signed NOI-07 package including modification submittals;
- A copy of DEQ's authorization letter;
- Discharge Monitoring Reports (NetDMRs);
- Monitoring Records (lab reports or turbidity readings and equipment calibration);
- Daily visual log;
- Dewatering Control Plan (current version);
- Copies of all reports and reports of noncompliance;
- The Sage Grouse consultation letter, and SHPO and NRIS reports, as applicable; and
- A copy of the termination request and DEQ's confirmation of termination response.

The permittee must maintain the daily records for a period of at least three years and make these records available to DEQ upon request.

D. Special Conditions

1. **Daily log.** Permittees are required to maintain an observation log during the period of permit coverage in accordance with the schedule listed in the monitoring requirements table for the activity and the following:
 - When there is no dewatering activity the permittee must include an observation such as "not dewatering" on the log for the extent of permit coverage with no dewatering.
 - When there is dewatering but the discharge does not reach surface water, the permittee must include an observation such as "discharge not reaching surface water." This observation must be made at least daily during dewatering.
 - When dewatering reaches the surface water, all observations must be included on the log, and this activity is counted as a day of dewatering discharge for the NetDMRs.

An example log is included in **Attachment #2**. The permittee may use the log or develop their own log that contains the following data at a minimum: date and time of observations, identification of the person recording the observation, monitoring results (visual or grab sample), inspection observations as identified in the site's Dewatering Control Plan (see below), any problems observed, and any corrective action performed (including the use of authorized coagulant/ flocculants).

The permittee must maintain records, including the daily log, for a period of at least three years and make these records available to DEQ upon request. The observation log can be paper or

electronic. The daily log is considered a method for the permittee to ensure good operating practices as well as to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations.

2. **Dewatering Control Plan (Dewatering Plan).** Any permittee covered under the 2025-CDGP is required to develop a written site-specific Dewatering Plan, submit it as part of the NOI-package, and implement it. The plan must be maintained and available for inspection on-site in either paper or electronic format, and must include:
 - a) Evaluation, installation, and maintenance of Best Management Practices (BMPs), including but not limited to:
 - i. Run-on prevention and/or ground water exclusion methods;
 - ii. Erosion control to prevent surface water/stormwater contamination of site (i.e. soil roughening, riprap, mulching, geotextiles, etc.). Excavated material must be transported and stockpiled in such a manner as to prevent its erosion returning to the receiving stream;
 - iii. Treatment at dewatering pump intake (i.e., filtering sump, wrapping submersible pump in filter fabric);
 - iv. Sediment control for dewatering discharge (i.e. constructed settling pond, dewatering bags, fiber rolls, vegetated buffers, etc.); and
 - v. Proper use of anionic flocculants and coagulants, if needed (including maintaining MSDSs and following manufacturers' recommendations).
 - b) Measures taken to prevent first flush/initial purge discharges from entering state surface waters.
 - c) Measures taken to prevent spilled or leaking fuels and lubricants from entering the watercourse.
 - d) Measures taken to minimize erosion from the discharge through flow dissipation devices such as rip rap, baffles, or other methods, as necessary. The discharge shall not cause or result in erosion to the area of the discharge or the surrounding stream banks.
 - e) Discharge monitoring procedures for the site to ensure that monitoring is effective, and must cover all times of discharge (including weekends and holidays if applicable). The Dewatering Plan must include an identification of the person(s) responsible, monitoring frequency, any necessary equipment and its maintenance, including calibration materials, and record-keeping in the daily log.
 - f) BMP inspection procedures to prevent breakdowns or failures of the control equipment. The permittee must include the inspection frequency, person(s) responsible, and extent of the inspections (including erosion prevention, dewatering operations, dewatering treatment, and discharge quality), and record-keeping in the daily log. The permittee must also include names/numbers for off-hours notification of responsible personnel in the event of an emergency.
 - g) Corrective action protocol.
3. **Corrective Action.** Upon any visual observations of BMP failure, inadequate BMPs, elevated turbidity, or an oil sheen, the following steps must be conducted:
 - Take a grab sample for analysis anytime there is an observation of elevated turbidity, oil and grease, and/or other potential contaminants.
 - Cease discharge of dewatering effluent until the issue is resolved.

- Conduct a site-wide inspection to observe operating conditions and BMP maintenance.
 - Address any BMP failures by determining whether there was a failure in design, installation, or maintenance and perform the appropriate measures to fix the failure, including determining whether BMPs should be modified or if additional measures must be taken.
 - Document the issues and resolutions in the observation log and update the Dewatering Plan.
 - Include a report with the next DMR submittal.
- 4. Potential Contamination.** All applicants must determine whether the proposed dewatering activity may be in or near a known area of contamination. Dewatering within such an area is assumed to transfer contaminants into the receiving water, and is not allowed under this CDGP without DEQ approval. For areas in or near an area of contamination, the applicant must provide:
- Documentation that the relevant regulatory clean-up program (typically within DEQ's Waste Management & Remediation Division) has been consulted. Any jurisdictional remediation program recommendations must be implemented.
 - A list of parameters of concern that may be expected in the dewatering discharge based on the site conditions.
 - Evidence that the expected concentration(s) of the parameter(s) in the proposed dewatering discharge are below the coverage threshold(s) as found below in **Table 6** (*i.e.* below the greater of the RRV or 50% of the lowest water quality standard in Circular DEQ-7).

Table 6 provides proposed coverage/treatment thresholds for common contaminants.

Parameter (µg/L)	Circular DEQ-7 RRV	50% Lowest Water Quality Std	Coverage Threshold
Benzene	0.6	2.5	2.5
Toluene	1	28.5	28.5
Ethylbenzene	1	34	34
Xylene	3	5,000	5,000
Arsenic	1	5	5
Nitrate + Nitrite	20	5,000	5,000
Naphthalene	10	50	50
Pentachlorophenol	5	0.05	5
Perchloroethylene (Tetrachloroethylene)	0.7	2.5	2.5
(1) For other contaminants, the threshold will be the greater of the RRV or 50% of the lowest water quality standard in Circular DEQ-7.			

The expected groundwater concentrations may be an estimate by the remediation program and/or pre-discharge sample analysis conducted by the applicant. Applicants must request that the laboratories analysis be capable of detecting at the threshold or better, or provide an explanation if this is not possible.

If the groundwater concentration, and thus the expected dewatering effluent concentration, is greater than the threshold for any contaminant, DEQ will deny the dewatering project unless treatment is proposed that can reduce concentrations to below the eligibility thresholds. In order to approve the discharge, after-treatment concentrations must be below the **Table 6** coverage threshold and follow-up monitoring may be required.

- If it is not possible to provide laboratory analysis, or an acceptable concentration estimate from the DEQ remediation program at the time of submittal, the applicant may, if authorized, conduct sampling within the first four hours of dewatering discharge with expedited laboratory results. The pre-discharge sample should be taken after treatment. Details on the treatment system used (including pilot system and full-scale) must be included with the NOI. DEQ will process the CDGP authorization request *if* laboratory results for all relevant parameters (either Reporting Level (RL) or Method Detection Level (MDL)) show either:
 - non-detect at concentrations meeting the RRV as provided in Circular DEQ-7, or
 - detection at levels below the threshold in **Table 6**.

The permittee shall include a copy of the lab results with the NOI package submittal. If the laboratory RL or MDL is “non-detect” but is not capable of detecting down to the RRV, a detailed explanation of why the results cannot achieve the required detection level must be included with the analysis. DEQ may require additional information including, but not limited to, additional testing during dewatering.

- If additional tests performed during discharge of dewatering effluent result in concentrations above the threshold, the dewatering discharge to surface water must cease until a solution is found that brings the discharge concentrations below the threshold values.
 - The permittee must notify DEQ’s Water Protection Bureau verbally within 24 hours of an elevated concentration at or above the threshold value, and follow-up in writing within five days.
 - i. The permittee cannot resume discharging dewatering effluent into state surface waters until DEQ issues a written authorization.
 - ii. If contaminants are found in any sample at concentrations above the threshold, and if a solution cannot be found to reduce below the threshold, the discharge is not eligible for coverage under the CDGP.

5. Multiple Outfalls. Construction dewatering projects may have more than one outfall. An “outfall” means a disposal system through which effluent or waste leaves the facility or site. Each outfall will have a unique location (latitude and longitude). Specific outfalls must be identified on the NOI-07 for two reasons:

- **NetDMR reporting.** Limit sets and monitoring requirements are developed for each dewatering outfall depending on the receiving waterbody category (**Table 1**). Construction dewatering discharges from multiple outfalls that go to the same receiving waters or stream segment may be grouped under one outfall for NetDMR reporting and compliance purposes.
- **Fees.** Application fees and annual fees for construction dewatering authorizations are based on the number of billable outfalls. ARM 17.30.201(6)(a) states in relevant part: “... the Department shall assess a fee for each outfall... An application fee for multiple outfalls is not required if there are multiple outfalls from the same source that have similar effluent characteristics, *unless the discharges are to different receiving waters or stream segments*, or result in multiple or variable (flow dependent) effluent limits or monitoring requirements.”

Billable outfalls may be based on linear projects, or non-linear projects that discharge into the same waterbody.

a) Linear Projects

EPA’s 2022 CGP definition for a linear construction site includes the “construction of roads, bridges, conduits, substructures, pipelines, sewer lines, towers, poles, cables, wires, connectors, switching, regulating and transforming equipment and associated ancillary facilities in a long, narrow area.”

For DEQ's 2025-CDGP, any applicant with a linear project may group the potential construction dewatering discharge locations into **billable outfall groups** by size/type of receiving water. The billable outfall groups are:

1. *Large Rivers*: Specific segments of the Big Horn River, Clark Fork River, Flathead River, Kootenai River, Madison River, Missouri River, South Fork Flathead, or Yellowstone River. (See **Attachment #3**.)
2. *Perennial*: Rivers (other than the eight large rivers), streams, or wetlands/ lakes/ reservoirs.
3. *Intermittent*
4. *Ephemeral*

The applicant must list each billable outfall group with its central latitude/longitude on the NOI form. Application fees, annual fees, daily logs, and NetDMR outfalls will be based on the billable outfall groups.

In addition, the applicant must provide an attachment listing each potential discharge location as part of the NOI submittal, including each location (latitude/longitude), name of initial and first-named receiving waterbodies, and the associated billable outfall group.

If, after authorized, the permittee discovers the need for additional discharge locations, the permittee shall re-submit an updated outfall list prior to commencing any discharge to surface waters from a new or changed location. If the discharge location is to a new receiving water group, the permittee must submit a modification request and pay the \$900 fee for each new billable outfall.

The required Dewatering Control Plan may be general to all receiving waters if there is sufficient detail to determine the activities planned for any individual location.

b) **Non-Linear Projects**

For non-linear projects with more than one outfall, such as dewatering within subdivisions, any outfall leading to the same waterbody can be grouped as a billable outfall. However, if two or more outfalls discharge to two separate waterbodies – such as Farmers Canal and Cattail Creek – there are two billable outfalls. **This is a change from the 2020-CDGP for subdivision developers.**

III. STANDARD CONDITIONS

The permittee shall meet the following standard conditions of MPDES permits.

A. Duty to Comply

The permittee shall comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Montana Water Quality Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination; for revocation and reissuance of a confirmation letter; for a modification requirement; or for denial of coverage under the General Permit (new or renewed). The permittee must give DEQ Department advance notice of any planned changes which may result in permit noncompliance.

B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

The Montana Water Quality Act provides that any person who violates a permit condition of the Act is subject to civil or criminal penalties not to exceed \$25,000 per day or one year in prison, or both, for the first conviction, and \$50,000 per day of violation or by imprisonment for not more than two years, or both, for subsequent convictions. MCA 75-5-611(a) also provides for administrative penalties not to exceed \$10,000 for each day of violation and up to a maximum not to exceed \$100,000 for any related series of violations.

C. Duty to Reapply

If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The reapplication must be submitted at least 30 days before the expiration date of this permit.

D. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It is not a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

E. Duty to Mitigate

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

F. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems that are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

G. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.

H. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege, nor does it authorize any injury to persons or property or invasion of other private rights, or any infringement of state or local law or regulations.

I. Duty to Provide Information

The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or

terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

J. Inspection and Entry

The permittee shall allow the head of the Department, or an authorized representative upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

1. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
3. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and as otherwise authorized by the Montana Water Quality Act, any substances or parameters at any location; and
4. Sample, or monitor at reasonable times for the purpose of assuring permit compliance, any substances or parameters at any location.

K. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Department. As required by the Clean Water Act, applications, permits and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

L. Monitoring and Monitoring Reports – Reporting Requirements

The Department may require a permittee to monitor in addition to any conditions in this permit, on a case-by-case basis. If monitoring is required, the Department will specify monitoring requirements to include, and not limited to, storm water sampling, analytical testing, and an evaluation of monitoring results, recording, and reporting. Monitoring results must be reported on a discharge monitoring report (DMR) or as required by the Department. Monitoring results must be reported at the intervals specified.

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required, using approved test procedures, the results of this monitoring must be included in the calculation and reporting of data submitted in the DMR. Calculations for all limitations which require averaging of measurements must utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified by the Department.

M. Monitoring and Records

1. Representative Sampling

Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring must be representative of the monitored activity.

2. Retention of Records

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information including all calibrations and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

3. Records Content

Records of monitoring information must include:

- a. the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;

- b. the individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
- c. the date(s) analyses were performed;
- d. the individual(s) who performed the analyses;
- e. the analytical techniques or methods used; and,
- f. the results of such analyses.

4. Test Procedures – Monitoring and Records

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under Title 40 of the Code of Federal regulations (40 CFR) Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit, confirmation letter, or by the Department.

5. Penalties for Falsification and Tampering

The Montana Water Quality Act at MCA 75-5-633 provides that any person who knowingly falsifies, tampers with, or renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method, or makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance shall, upon conviction be punished by a fine of not more than \$25,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both.

N. Signatory Requirement

Authorized Representatives: All applications, reports or information submitted to the Department shall be signed and certified as required by ARM 17.30.1323.

1. All permit notices of intent shall be signed as follows:
 - a. For a corporation: by a principal executive officer or ranking elected official;
 - b. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively;
 - c. For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency: by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.
2. All reports required by the permit and other information requested by the Department shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is considered a duly authorized representative only if:
 - a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and submitted to the Department; and
 - b. The authorization specified either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or an individual occupying a named position.
3. **Changes to authorization:** If an authorization described above is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the above requirements must be submitted to the Department prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.
4. **Certification:** Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified

personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

O. Reporting Requirements

1. Planned Changes

The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility, activity, or operation. Notice is required only when:

- a. The alteration or addition to the permitted facility, activity, or operation may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source under ARM 17.30.1340(2); or
- b. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutant discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are not subject to effluent limitations in the permit, nor notification requirements under ARM 17.30.1343(1)(a).

2. Anticipated Noncompliance

The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility/activity/operation which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The permittee shall notify as soon as possible by phone and provide with the following information, in writing, within five (5) days of becoming aware of such condition:

- a. A description of the discharge and cause of noncompliance; and
- b. The period of noncompliance including exact dates and times, or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate and prevent recurrence of the non-complying discharge.

3. Transfers

This permit is not transferable to any person except after notice to the Department and a transfer fee is paid. The Permit Transfer Notification (PTN) form provided by the Department must be completed and must be received by the Department at least 30 days prior to the anticipated date of transfer. The form must be signed by both the existing owner/operator and the new owner/operator following signatory requirements of **Part III.N** of this Permit.

4. Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.

5. Twenty-Four Hour Reporting

The permittee shall report any serious incident of noncompliance affecting the environment. Any information must be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances:

- a. Any noncompliance which may seriously endanger health or environment;
- b. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit;
- c. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit; or
- d. As applicable, violation of a maximum *daily discharge* limit of any pollutant listed by the Department in the General Permit or confirmation letter [see 40 CFR 122.44(g)].

A written submission must also be provided within five days of the time that the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission must contain:

- a. A description of the noncompliance and its cause;
- b. The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
- c. The estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected; and,
- d. Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

Oral Notification: The report shall be made orally to the Water Protection at (406) 444-5546 or the Office of Disaster Emergency Services at (406) 324-4777.

Waiver of Written Notification Requirement: The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours by the Water Protection Bureau, by phone, (406) 444-5546. Written reports shall be submitted to the following address:

Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Water Protection Bureau
PO Box 200901
Helena, Montana 59620-0901

6. Other Noncompliance

Instances of noncompliance not required to be reported within 24 hours shall be reported as soon as possible. The reports shall contain the information listed above for written submissions under "Twenty-four Hour Reporting" (**Part III.O.5**).

7. Other Information

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

P. Bypass

Intentional diversions of untreated waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility are prohibited unless:

1. the bypass does not cause effluent to exceed effluent limitations and is necessary for essential maintenance to ensure efficient operation; or
2. the bypass is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage; or
3. there are no feasible alternatives;
4. and the proper notification is submitted.

Bypass is prohibited and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for a bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for anticipated bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible, at least ten days before the date of the bypass. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects.

The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required under **III.O.5**.

Q. Upset Conditions

An upset may be used as an affirmative defense in actions brought to the permittee for noncompliance with a technology-based effluent limitation. The permittee (who has the burden of proof) must have operational logs or other evidence showing:

1. when the upset occurred and its causes;
2. that the facility was being operated properly;

3. proper notification was made; and
4. remedial measures were taken as required by the duty to mitigate standard condition.

R. Fees

The permittee is required to submit payment of an annual fee as set forth in ARM 17.30.201. If the permittee fails to pay the annual fee within 90 days after the due date for the payment, the Department may impose an additional assessment computed at the rate established under ARM 17.30.201, and suspend the processing of the application for a permit or authorization or, if the nonpayment involves an annual permit fee, suspend the permit, certificate or authorization for which the fee is required. The Department may lift suspension at any time up to one year after the suspension occurs if the holder has paid all outstanding fees, including all penalties, assessments and interest imposed under this section. Suspensions are limited to one year, after which the permit will be terminated.

S. Removed Substances

Collected screenings, grit, solids, sludges, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment shall be disposed of in such a manner so as to prevent any pollutants from entering any waters of the state or creating a health hazard.

T. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act.

U. Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

V. Reopener Provisions

This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include the appropriate effluent limitations (and compliance schedule, if necessary), or other appropriate requirements if one or more of the following events occurs:

1. Water Quality Standards: The water quality standards of the receiving water(s) to which the permittee discharges are modified in such a manner as to require different permit conditions than contained in this permit.
2. Water Quality Standards are Exceeded: If it is found that water quality standards or trigger values in the receiving stream are exceeded either for parameters included in the permit or others, the Department may modify the permit conditions or water management plan.
3. TMDL or Wasteload Allocation: TMDL requirements or a wasteload allocation is developed and approved by the Department and/or EPA for incorporation in this permit.
4. Water Quality Management Plan: A revision to the current water quality management plan is approved and adopted which calls for different effluent limitations than contained in this permit.

W. Toxic Pollutants

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established for toxic pollutants which are present in the discharge, within any specified timeframe within rule or thereof, and even if the General permit or confirmation letter has not yet been modified to incorporate such standard or prohibition for the toxic pollutant.

IV. DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

“Act” means the Montana Water Quality Act, Title 75, Chapter 5, MCA. (ARM 17.30.1304(1))

“Average monthly limitation” means the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month. (ARM 17.30.1304(10))

“Best Management Practices” (“BMPs”) means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of state surface waters. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage. (ARM 17.30.1102(1))

“Bypass” means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility. (ARM 17.30.1304(14))

“CFR” means the Code of Federal Regulations.

“Clean Water Act” means the federal legislation at 33 USC 1251, et seq.

“Daily discharge” means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the daily discharge is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day. (ARM 17.30.1304(20))

“Department” means the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). Established by 2-15-3501, MCA. (ARM 17.30.1304(21))

“Discharge,” when used without qualification, means discharge of a pollutant. (ARM 17.30.1304(23))

“Discharge of a pollutant(s)” means any addition of any pollutant or combination of pollutants to state water from any point source. This definition includes additions of pollutants into waters of the state from: surface runoff which is collected or channeled by man; discharges through pipes, sewers, or other conveyances owned by the state, municipality, or other person which do not lead to a treatment works. This term does not include an addition of pollutants by any indirect discharger (ARM 17.30.1304(24))

“EPA” means the United States Environmental Protection Agency. (ARM 17.30.1304(31))

“Ephemeral Stream” means a stream or a part of a stream which flows only in direct response to precipitation in the immediate watershed or in response to the melting of a cover of snow and ice and whose channel bottom is always above the local water table. (ARM 17.30.602(10))

“Facility or activity” means any MPDES point source or any other facility or activity (including land or appurtenances thereto) that is subject to regulation under the MPDES program. (ARM 17.30.1304(34))

“Grab sample” means a sample that is taken from a waste stream on a one-time basis without consideration of flow rate of the effluent and without consideration of time. (2010 NPDES Permit Writers Manual Exhibit A-2 Glossary)

“Intermittent Stream” means a stream or reach of a stream that is below the local water table for at least some part of the year, and obtains its flow from both surface run-off and groundwater discharge. (ARM 17.30.602(13))

“Maximum Daily Limit” means the highest allowable discharge of a pollutant during a calendar day. Expressed as units of mass, the daily discharge is cumulative mass discharged over the course of the day. It is the arithmetic average of all measurements taken that day.

“Mixing zone” means an area established in a permit or final decision on nondegradation issued by the department where water quality standards may be exceeded, subject to conditions that are imposed by the Department and that are consistent with the rules adopted by the Department. (75-5-103(20) and also means a limited area of a surface water body or a portion of an aquifer, where initial dilution of a discharge takes place and where water quality changes may occur and where certain water quality standards may be exceeded. (ARM 17.30.602(14))

“Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MPDES)” means the system developed by the Department for issuing permits for the discharge of pollutants from point sources into state surface waters. The MPDES is specifically designed to be compatible with the federal NPDES program established and administered by the EPA. (ARM 17.30.1304(43))

“Outfall” means a disposal system through which effluent or waste leaves the facility or site. (ARM 17.30.201(2)). For each outfall, there typically is at least one monitoring location. Although the monitoring location might or might not be at the actual point of discharge, samples taken at the monitoring location should be representative of the discharge.

“Owner or operator” means any person who owns, leases, operates, controls, or supervises a point source. (ARM 17.30.1304(50))

“Point Source” means any discernible, confined, or discrete conveyance, including, but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel, or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agricultural storm water runoff. (ARM 17.30.1304(55))

“Pollutant” means dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, biological materials, radioactive materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal, and agricultural wastes discharged into water. The terms "sewage," "industrial waste," and "other wastes" as defined in 75-5-103, MCA, are interpreted as having the same meaning as pollutant. (ARM 17.30.1304(56))

“Required Reporting Values (RRV)” means the Department’s selection of a laboratory reporting limit that can be met by the majority of local laboratories. In most cases, the RRV is sufficiently sensitive to meet the most stringent numeric water quality standard. (Department Circular DEQ-7)

“Seasonal lake or pond” means a natural depression in the land surface that periodically holds water from precipitation or snow and ice melt in the immediate watershed.

“Semi-permanent lakes or ponds” means a natural depression in the land surface, not including reservoirs, that receives ground water in addition to precipitation runoff from the immediate watershed, and occasionally goes dry.

“Site” means the land or water area where any facility or activity is physically located or conducted, including adjacent land used in connection with the facility or activity. (ARM 17.30.1304(71))

“State Waters” means a body of water, irrigation system, or drainage system, either surface or underground. The term does not apply to: ponds or lagoons used solely for treating, transporting, or impounding pollutants; or, irrigation waters or land application disposal waters when the waters are used up within the irrigation or land application disposal system and the waters are not returned to state waters. (75-5-103(32), MCA)

"Surface waters" means any waters on the earth's surface, including but not limited to streams, lakes, ponds, and reservoirs; and irrigation and drainage systems discharging directly into a stream, lake, pond, reservoir, or other surface water. Water bodies used solely for treating, transporting, or impounding pollutants shall not be considered surface water. (ARM 17.30.602(31))

"Total maximum daily load" or "TMDL" means the sum of the individual waste load allocations for point sources and load allocations for both nonpoint sources and natural background sources established at a level necessary to achieve compliance with applicable surface water quality standards. (75-5-103(35), MCA)

"TSS" means the pollutant parameter total suspended solids.

"Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation. (ARM 17.30.1304(31))

"Waste load allocation" means the portion of a receiving water's loading capacity that is allocated to one of its existing or future point sources. (75-5-103(37), MCA)

Attachment #1 – Notice of Intent (NOI-07)

Attachment #2: Daily Log Template

Attachment #3: Large Rivers

Large river segments within the state of Montana.

River Name	Segment Description
Big Horn River	Yellowtail Dam to mouth
Clark Fork River	Bitterroot River to state-line
Flathead River	Origin to mouth
Kootenai River	Libby Dam to state-line
Madison River	Ennis Lake to mouth
Missouri River	Origin to state-line
South Fork Flathead River	Hungry Horse Dam to mouth
Yellowstone River	State-line to state-line

**Authorization to Discharge Under the
North Dakota Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
Permit Number NDR11-0000**

Permit No: NDR11-0000
Effective Date: April 1, 2025
Expiration Date: March 31, 2030

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE
NORTH DAKOTA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with Chapter 33.1-16-01 of the North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality rules as promulgated under Chapter 61-28 (North Dakota Water Pollution Control Act) of the North Dakota Century Code,

Facilities both qualifying for and satisfying the requirements identified in Part I of the permit

are authorized to discharge stormwater associated with construction activity


to waters of the state

provided all the conditions of this permit are met.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight,

March 31, 2030.

Signed this 25 day of March, 2025.


Marty Haroldson
Director
Division of Water Quality

BP 2025.02.05

Table of Contents

I.	PERMIT COVERAGE AND LIMITATIONS	3
A.	Discharges Covered	3
B.	Discharges Not Covered	4
C.	Obtaining Coverage and Authorization Effective Date	4
D.	Notice of Intent Process	5
E.	Notice of Termination (NOT)	6
F.	Transfer of Ownership or Control	6
G.	Continuation of Coverage for Existing Permittees After Permit Expiration	7
H.	Electronic Reporting	7
II.	STORMWATER DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS	7
A.	Prohibition of Non-Stormwater Discharges	7
B.	Releases in Excess of Reportable Quantities	8
C.	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans	8
D.	Local Requirements	13
E.	Final Stabilization	13
III.	SELF MONITORING AND REPORTING	14
A.	Inspection and Maintenance Requirements	14
B.	Records Location	17
IV.	STANDARD CONDITIONS	17
A.	COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES	17
B.	GENERAL PROVISIONS	20
V.	DEFINITIONS	22
	Appendix 1 – Requirements for Best Management Practices	25

I. PERMIT COVERAGE AND LIMITATIONS

A. Discharges Covered

1. This permit applies to all areas within the state of North Dakota, except for those areas defined as Indian Country. Construction activity located within Indian Country within the state of North Dakota must obtain a permit through the United States Environmental Protection Agency. If the construction activity is located with the jurisdiction of the state of North Dakota, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, a permit must be obtained from both regulatory entities.
2. This permit applies to stormwater discharges associated with construction activity and small construction activity as defined in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Parts 122.26(b)(14)(x) and (b)(15), respectively. The reference to construction activity in this permit includes both large construction activity and small construction activity as described below.
 - a. Large construction activity includes clearing, grading and excavation, that disturbs land of equal to or greater than five (5) acres and includes the disturbance of less than five (5) acres of total land area that is a part of a larger common plan of development or sale if the larger common plan will ultimately disturb five (5) acres or more.
 - b. Small construction activity includes clearing, grading and excavation, that disturbs land of equal to or greater than one (1) acre, and includes the disturbance of less than one (1) acre of total land area that is part of a larger common plan of development or sale if the larger common plan will ultimately disturb equal to or greater that one (1) and less than five (5) acres.
3. This permit applies to discharges of stormwater from construction activity identified in Part I(A)(1)-(2) associated with oil and gas exploration, production, processing or treatment operations, or transmission facilities resulting in the discharge of a reportable quantity for which notification is required pursuant to 40 CFR 110.6, 40 CFR 117.21, or 40 CFR 302.6 or contributes to a violation of a water quality standard.
4. Stormwater discharges from support activities (e.g., equipment staging yards, material storage areas, excavated material disposal areas, borrow areas) may be covered by this permit as part of a related construction site. The support activities may only be in association with one project. If the support activity is associated with more than one project, a separate stormwater permit (Industrial or mining, extraction or paving material preparation) is required.
5. Certain non-stormwater discharges from facilities covered by this permit and meeting the requirements specified in Part II(A).
6. Stormwater discharges from construction activity covered by the previous permit, issued April 1, 2020, where a notice has been submitted to obtain coverage under this permit.
7. Discharges from dewatering activities related to construction activities (discharges of uncontaminated stormwater, uncontaminated groundwater, and uncontaminated surface water).
8. Local Authority. This permit does not preempt or supersede the authority of local agencies or operators of municipal separate storm sewer systems to prohibit, restrict, or control discharges of stormwater to storm sewer systems or other water courses within their jurisdiction.

B. Discharges Not Covered

1. Stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity from any source other than construction activities described in Part I(A).
2. Post-construction discharges from industrial activity that originate from the site after construction activities have been completed at the site. Industrial and post-construction stormwater discharges may need to be covered by a separate stormwater permit.
3. The placement of fill into waters of the state requiring local, state, or federal authorizations (such as U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 permits).
4. This permit does not substitute for obligations under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Endangered Species Act (ESA), Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, or National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), it is the permittees responsibility to ensure the project and resulting discharges comply with the respective requirements.
5. Discharges to waters for which there is a total maximum daily load (TMDL) allocation are not covered unless you develop a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) that is consistent with the assumptions and requirements in the approved TMDL. To be eligible for coverage under this general permit, the SWPPP must incorporate the conditions applicable to the discharge necessary for consistency with the assumptions, allocations and requirements of the TMDL. If a specific numeric wasteload allocation has been established that would apply to discharges from construction activity, the permittee must incorporate that allocation into the SWPPP and implement necessary steps to meet that allocation. Information about TMDL allocations may be found at the following website: deg.nd.gov/WQ.
6. Stormwater discharges that the department determines will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to a violation of the standards for quality for waters of the state (North Dakota Administrative Code [NDAC] 33.1-16-02.1).
7. Discharges from hydrostatic testing, well points, water line disinfection, treatment of refined petroleum contaminated groundwater or surface water, treatment of crude oil contaminated groundwater or surface water, and oil and gas production water.
8. Discharges of wash water using detergents, wastewater, and sanitary waste.
9. Discharges of wastewater from washout of concrete.
10. Discharges of wastewater from washout and cleanout of stucco, paint, form release oils, curing compounds, and other construction materials.
11. Discharges of fuels, oils, or other pollutants used in vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance.
12. Discharges of soaps or solvents used in vehicle and equipment maintenance.

C. Obtaining Coverage and Authorization Effective Date

1. To obtain authorization under this general permit for stormwater discharges you must submit a complete notice of intent (NOI) and develop a SWPPP in accordance with Part II(C) of this permit.

A SWPPP must be in place as a condition of the permit and a copy of the SWPPP must be retained by the permittee.

2. Permit coverage will become effective seven (7) days after you submit a complete NOI unless otherwise notified by the department (based on the department receipt date).
3. Upon the effective date of permit coverage, permittees are authorized to discharge stormwater from eligible activities under the terms and conditions of this permit.

D. Notice of Intent Process

1. Applicants must use a NOI form or electronic NOI to complete the process to obtain coverage under the permit. The NOI form or electronic NOI can be found at: deg.nd.gov/eReporting.aspx. Submission of data contained within the NOI must be in compliance with the electronic reporting requirements found in 40 CFR 127.
2. NOI Content and Conditions.
 - a. The owner, or owner jointly with the operator (usually the general contractor), shall submit a completed NOI for this permit. The owner is responsible for compliance with all terms and conditions of this permit. The operator has day to day supervision of construction activities and is jointly responsible with the owner for compliance with the permit conditions as they pertain to the construction activities delegated to the operator.
 - b. The NOI shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:
 - 1) Owner name, mailing address, and phone number;
 - 2) Project contact name, phone number, and e-mail address;
 - 3) Project/site name;
 - 4) Project/site location (street address; section, township, range) and county;
 - 5) Project/site latitude and longitude;
 - 6) A brief description of the construction activity;
 - 7) The anticipated start date and the anticipated completion date for the project (if known);
 - 8) The estimated total area of the site and the total area of disturbance in acres;
 - 9) The name of receiving water(s), or the name of the municipal storm sewer system and receiving water; and
 - 10) The signature of the applicant(s), owner (and operator if co-applicants) signed in accordance with the Signatory Requirements in Part IV(A)(6) of this permit.
 - c. A SWPPP (Part II(C)) for the project must be prepared and available for review, upon request, by the department at the time of application. Permittees are not required to submit the SWPPP with the NOI unless otherwise notified by the department.
3. For residential construction activity occurring within a common plan of development (such as a subdivision) subject to the permit requirements, coverage may be obtained by the following:
 - a. The owner of the lot(s) shall submit one NOI for all of the owner's construction activity within the common plan of development, or
 - b. The operator, such as a homebuilder who may represent one or more lot owners, shall submit one NOI for all of the operator's construction activity within the common plan of development.

Additional phases of the common plan of development may be included under the initial NOI and permit coverage.

In addition, a SWPPP must be developed and implemented for the permittee's activities within the common plan of development. Additional phases of the common plan of development may be included provided the SWPPP is amended to include the additional area or phases.

4. For construction activity associated with oil and gas exploration, production, processing, treatment operations, or transmission facilities, which discharge contaminated stormwater, an NOI may be submitted for individual project sites or for an area of operations such as well field or by county.

E. Notice of Termination (NOT)

1. Permittees wishing to terminate coverage under this permit must submit a Notice of Termination (NOT). The NOT form or electronic NOT can be found at: deg.nd.gov/eReporting.aspx. Submission of data contained within the NOT must be in compliance with the electronic reporting requirements found in 40 CFR 127. Compliance with the conditions of this permit is required until a NOT is submitted to the department.
2. Permittees may only submit a NOT after one of the following conditions have been met:
 - a. Final stabilization (Part II(E)) has been achieved on all portions of the site for which the permittee is responsible.
 - b. Another owner/operator/permittee has assumed control in accordance with the Part I(F).
 - c. For residential construction only, a NOT is not required for each lot that is sold, transferred, or has achieved final stabilization. The permittee must modify the SWPPP to indicate that permit coverage is no longer required for that lot. The SWPPP shall indicate the reason why coverage is no longer needed and the date the lot was sold, transferred, or achieved final stabilization. In order to terminate coverage, all lots under the control of the owner or operator must be sold, transferred, or achieved final stabilization (Part II(E)).

F. Transfer of Ownership or Control

1. Coverage under this permit cannot be transferred from one owner to another. When a new owner assumes control of a construction project, or a portion of the project, or will commence construction of a new project within a larger common plan of development, the new owner must submit a NOI in accordance with Parts I(C) and (D). The previous owner may terminate coverage if the conditions of Part I(E) are met. The department reserves the right to take enforcement for any unpermitted discharges or permit noncompliance.
2. When the operator of a construction project changes, the new operator must submit a written request for permit modification within fourteen (14) days of assuming control of the site or commencing work on-site. Late submittals will not be rejected; however the department reserves the right to take enforcement for any permit noncompliance. Permittees shall ensure either directly or through coordination with other operators that their SWPPP meets all terms and conditions of this permit and that their activities do not interfere with another party's SWPPP.
3. A permit modification request is not required for the legal transfer, sale or closing on a property between permittees covered by this permit. Examples include the sale of a property parcel from a developer to a builder, or the transfer of an easement from a developer to a local government

authority. If the new party is not covered by this permit at the time of transfer or sale, then the new owner/operator must submit a completed NOI in accordance with Parts I(C) and (D).

G. Continuation of Coverage for Existing Permittees After Permit Expiration

1. It is the duty of the permittee to reapply for coverage under this permit to continue coverage and authorization to discharge. Permittees with existing coverage under the 2020 permit shall be covered under, and comply with, this permit until:
 - a. A NOI for coverage under the 2025 permit has been submitted to the department no later than June 30, 2025; or
 - b. Coverage is otherwise terminated.
2. Permittees with existing coverage under the 2020 permit who miss the June 30, 2025, deadline, and do not submit an NOI to the department by August 29, 2025, will have coverage administratively terminated by the department. Administrative termination will result in the permittee no longer being authorized to discharge under this permit.

H. Electronic Reporting

Prior to December 21, 2025, permittees may elect to electronically submit NOIs and NOTs using the electronic reporting system or hybrid approach (i.e., electronic submission along with a uniquely matched paper submission) instead of solely mailing paper forms. Beginning December 21, 2025, permittees must submit NOIs and NOTs using the electronic reporting system or hybrid approach. Submission of data contained within NOIs and NOTs must be in compliance with the electronic reporting requirements found in 40 CFR 127.

II. STORMWATER DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS

A. Prohibition of Non-Stormwater Discharges

The discharge of wastewater is not authorized by this permit. There shall be no discharge of wastewater from concrete washout. There shall be no discharge of wastewater from washout and cleanout of stucco, paint, form release oils, curing compounds, and other construction materials. There shall be no discharge of fuels, oils, or other pollutants used in vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance. There shall be no discharge of soaps or solvents used in vehicle and equipment washing.

The following sources of non-stormwater discharges are allowed if they are not a significant source of pollution and are identified in the SWPPP: fire-fighting activity, fire hydrant flushing, potable water line flushing, equipment wash down without detergents or hazardous cleaning products, uncontaminated foundation drains, springs, surface water, lawn watering, chemical treatment of stormwater, and air conditioning condensate. Impervious surface wash water may not be directed into any surface water or storm drain inlet unless appropriate pollution prevention measures have been implemented. Non-stormwater discharges may not come into contact with oil and grease deposits or any other toxic or hazardous materials (unless cleaned up using dry clean-up methods). The SWPPP must include a description of the pollution prevention measures to be implemented while non-stormwater discharges are occurring.

B. Releases in Excess of Reportable Quantities

This permit does not relieve the permittee of the reporting requirements of 40 CFR 110, 40 CFR 117, and 40 CFR 302, nor the reporting requirements found in NDAC 33.1-16-02.1. Any release which meets any reporting requirement shall be reported to the department in accordance with Part IV(A)(7).

C. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans

All permittees shall implement a SWPPP for any construction activity requiring this permit until final stabilization is achieved. The SWPPP and revisions are subject to review by the department. The objectives of the SWPPP are to identify potential sources of sediment and other sources of pollution associated with construction activity, and to ensure practices are implemented and maintained to reduce the contribution of pollutants in stormwater discharges from the construction site to waters of the state and storm sewer systems. Stormwater management documents developed under other regulatory programs may be included or incorporated by reference in the SWPPP or used in whole as a SWPPP if it meets the requirements of this part. A partially complete SWPPP is acceptable when it clearly identifies the item(s) to be completed, the person(s) responsible for completing the item(s) and the deadline for completing the item(s). The SWPPP must be completed prior to the start of construction (or the applicable construction phase). Permittees that obtained coverage under the 2020 construction general permit shall amend and implement a SWPPP that meets the requirements of this permit within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this permit.

The SWPPP may identify more than one permittee and may specify the responsibilities of each permittee by task, area, and/or timing. Permittees may coordinate and prepare more than one SWPPP to accomplish this. However, in the event there is a requirement under the SWPPP for which responsibility is ambiguous or is not included in the SWPPP, each permittee shall be responsible for implementation of that requirement. Each permittee is responsible for assuring that their activities do not render another permittee's controls ineffective.

The SWPPP must incorporate the requirements provided in Appendix 1 and shall include the following information.

1. **Site Description.** Each SWPPP shall provide a description of the construction activity and potential sources of pollution as indicated below:
 - a. A description of the overall project and the type of construction activity;
 - b. Estimates of the total area of the site and the total area that is expected to be disturbed by excavation, grading, grubbing, or other activities during the life of the project;
 - c. A proposed timetable/schedule, or chart, of activities that includes major phases/stages, BMP implementation, BMP removal, disturbances, and stabilization for major portions of the site;
 - d. A description of the soil within the disturbed area(s);
 - e. The name of the surface water(s) and municipal storm sewer system at or near the disturbed area that will receive stormwater runoff from the project site; and
 - f. A site map which indicates the following items as applicable (more than one map may be needed). If an item is not applicable, provide rationale describing why the item is not applicable to the construction activity:
 - 1) Location of project;

- 2) Project boundaries;
 - 3) Areas of ground disturbance during each phase/stage of the project;
 - 4) Areas where disturbance will not occur, such as avoidance areas (e.g. wetlands, critical habitat, Threatened and Endangered Species, etc);
 - 5) Drainage patterns including flow direction (run-on and runoff);
 - 6) Discharge points and storm sewer system inlets which the site drains to or may be affected by the activity;
 - 7) Location of all temporary and permanent sediment and erosion controls during each particular phase;
 - 8) Location of any stormwater conveyances such as retention ponds, detention ponds, ditches, pipes, swales, stormwater diversions, culverts, and ditch blocks;
 - 9) Location of potential sources of pollution (e.g. portable toilets, trash receptacles, etc.) or areas where potential sources of pollution cannot be located;
 - 10) Location of soil stockpiles;
 - 11) Identify steep slopes;
 - 12) Surface waters, including an aerial extent of wetlands;
 - 13) Location of surface water crossings;
 - 14) Locations where stormwater is discharged to surface waters;
 - 15) Location of dewatering discharge points;
 - 16) Locations where chemical treatment of stormwater will be performed, including discharge points;
 - 17) Fueling locations and storage, vehicle and equipment maintenance areas, designated wash water collection site, lubricant and chemical storage, paint storage, material storage, staging areas, and debris collection area;
 - 18) Location of any impervious surfaces upon completion of construction; and
 - 19) Where included as part of the project, the site maps for off-site concrete/asphalt batch plants, equipment staging areas, borrow sites or excavated fill material disposal sites. Site maps must show items 1 through 18 of this section.
- g. Projects that discharge stormwater which flows to a water body listed as impaired under section 303(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act due to sediment, suspended solids or turbidity must identify the water body and impairment in the SWPPP. The department's 303(d) list may be found at the following website under Integrated Reports: deq.nd.gov/WQ
- h. For water bodies which have a TMDL, the SWPPP must describe and conform to the Waste Load Allocations (WLA) of the water body. Information about TMDL allocations may be found at the following website: deq.nd.gov/WQ
2. **Narrative.** The SWPPP must include a narrative description of the selected operational controls and sediment and erosion controls as outlined in Part II(C)(3), Part II(C)(4), and Appendix 1 of this permit. When applicable, a description of the requirements for any additional environmental regulations and local requirements related to the project, as it relates to waters of the state, must also be included or incorporated by reference (e.g. The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, The National Historic Preservation Act, The Endangered Species Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, National Environmental Policy Act, Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, etc.).
- The narrative shall describe at a minimum:
- a. The installation, removal (if applicable), and maintenance requirements of selected BMPs for each phase/stage of construction activity;
 - b. The rationale for the selection of all BMPs (the design should be included where appropriate);
 - c. Whether selected BMPs are temporary or permanent;

- d. Any descriptions of infeasibility or explanations as required in Part II of this permit.
3. **Operational Controls.** The SWPPP shall describe the BMPs used in day-to-day operations on the project site that reduce the contribution of pollutants in stormwater runoff.
- a. The SWPPP must identify a person knowledgeable and experienced in the application of erosion and sediment control BMPs who will oversee the implementation of the SWPPP, and the installation, inspection, and maintenance of the erosion and sediment control BMPs before and during construction until a NOT is filed. A knowledgeable and experienced person is someone who meets the requirements of Part II(C)(3)(e) of this permit.
 - b. The owner shall develop a chain of responsibility with all operators on the site to ensure that the SWPPP will be implemented and stay in effect until the construction project is complete, the entire site has undergone final stabilization, and a NOT has been submitted to the department.
 - c. The SWPPP must include a description of good housekeeping practices used to maintain a clean and orderly site. The SWPPP shall describe how litter, debris, chemicals and parts will be handled to minimize exposure to stormwater. The SWPPP also shall describe what measures will be used to reduce and remove sediment tracked off site by vehicles or equipment. In addition, the SWPPP shall describe methods which will be used to reduce the generation of dust that could be discharged in stormwater from the project.
 - d. The SWPPP shall describe spill prevention and response procedures where potential spills can occur. Specific handling procedures, storage requirements, spill containment, cleanup procedures, and disposal must be identified.

The potential discharge of hazardous substances in stormwater discharges shall be minimized by including measures detailed in the SWPPP to prevent and respond to releases of hazardous substances. If a reportable quantity release occurs, the SWPPP shall be revised to prevent the reoccurrence of such a release.

- e. The SWPPP shall outline how employees and responsible parties shall be trained on the implementation of the SWPPP. Training must be provided at least annually, as new employees or responsible parties are hired, or as necessary to ensure compliance with the SWPPP and the general permit. Employees and responsible parties include individuals who are responsible for design, installation, maintenance, and repair of stormwater controls and conducting inspections.
- 1) On-site personnel must understand the requirements of this permit as it pertains to their role in implementing the SWPPP. On-site personnel must know:
 - a. The purpose of the SWPPP, requirements of the SWPPP, and how the SWPPP will be implemented;
 - b. The location of all BMPs identified in the SWPPP that pertain to their role; and
 - c. Correct installation, function, maintenance, and removal (if applicable) of BMPs identified in the SWPPP that pertain to their role.
 - 2) Personnel responsible for performing site inspections must understand when inspections must be conducted (Part III(A)), what must be inspected (Part III(A)(1)), how to record findings, and when to initiate and properly document corrective actions.

- 3) Maintenance personnel must understand when maintenance must be performed on BMPs in order to maintain properly functioning BMPs and what needs to be recorded for corrective actions/maintenance records in accordance with Part III(A)(7) of this permit.
- f. The SWPPP must describe how concrete grindings, slurry and wash water will be managed. Wastewater from concrete washout, cleanout or washout of stucco, paint, form release oil, curing compound, joint compound, and other construction materials shall not be discharged to waters of the state, storm sewer systems, or curb and gutter systems.
- g. The SWPPP shall describe any dewatering activities planned at the site. Dewatering or basin draining (e.g., pumped discharges, trench/ditch cuts for drainage) related to the permitted activity must be managed with appropriate BMPs, such that the discharge does not adversely affect the receiving water. The following conditions apply to dewatering activities:
 1. Dewatering is limited to uncontaminated stormwater, surface water, and groundwater that may collect on-site and those sources identified in Part II(A) if they are not a significant source of pollution. A separate permit must be obtained to discharge water from other sources such as hydrostatic testing of pipes, tanks, or other similar vessels; disinfection of potable water lines; pump testing of water wells; and the treatment of refined petroleum contaminated groundwater or surface water.
 2. When dewatering basins and impoundments, utilize structures or BMPs which allow for draw down to occur from the surface of the water, unless infeasible. If infeasible, documentation must be provided in the SWPPP. In addition, you must describe what BMP(s) will be used in its place.
 3. Chemical treatment of dewatering activities for sediment removal must be conducted in accordance with the chemical manufacturer's specifications. Treatment chemicals must be appropriately selected for the anticipated soil particle size and characteristics of the stormwater (pH, turbidity, flow rate of stormwater flowing into the chemical treatment system, etc.). A description of the chemical treatment process must be included in the SWPPP. Permittees shall ensure the selection and management of chemicals minimize the potential for harmful effects in the discharge. The following information must be included in the SWPPP.
 - a. Material Safety Data Sheet/Safety Data Sheet (MSDS/SDS);
 - b. Proposed water additive discharge concentration;
 - c. Discharge frequency (i.e., number of hours per day and number of days per year);
 - d. Monitoring point for product discharge;
 - e. Type of removal treatment, if any, that the water additive receives prior to discharge;
 - f. Product function (e.g., coagulant, flocculant, etc.);
 - g. A 48-hour LC₅₀ or EC₅₀ for a North American freshwater planktonic crustacean (*Ceriodaphnia* sp., *Daphnia* sp., or *Simocephalus* sp.); and
 - h. Results for a toxicity test for one other North American freshwater aquatic species (other than a planktonic crustacean).
4. **Erosion and Sediment Controls.** Erosion and sediment controls and stabilization requirements must be implemented for each major phase of site activity (e.g., clearing, grading, building, and landscaping phases). A description of the erosion and sediment controls and site stabilization methods must be provided in accordance with Part II(C)(2) of this permit. Erosion and sediment controls, and site stabilization must conform to the requirements provided in Appendix 1. The description and implementation of controls shall address the following minimum components:

- a. The selection of erosion and sediment controls, and site stabilization shall consider the following:
 - 1) The expected amount, frequency, intensity, and duration of precipitation events. Permittees may state that selected erosion and sediment controls and site stabilization methods are industry standards;
 - 2) The nature of stormwater run-on and runoff from the site as well as changes during, and as a result of, construction activity. This includes changes to impervious surfaces, slopes, seasonal changes, and drainage features on-site;
 - 3) Channelized flow must be handled in order to minimize erosion at outlets and to minimize impacts to downstream receiving waters;
 - 4) Soil types (wind and water erodibility, and settling time); and
 - 5) Seasonal conditions.
- b. Sediment basins, or an appropriate combination of equivalent sediment controls such as smaller sediment basins and/or sediment traps, silt fences, fiber logs, vegetative buffer strips, berms, etc., are required for all down slope boundaries of the disturbance area and for those side slope boundaries as may be appropriate for site conditions. Erosion protection is required to minimize channel and streambank erosion and scour in the immediate vicinity of discharge points.
- c. Temporary or permanent erosion protection and stabilization (such as cover crop planting or mulching) must be initiated immediately, as described in Appendix 1(A), for all exposed soil areas where activities have been completed or temporarily ceased.
- d. All control measures must be properly selected, installed and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and good engineering practices. If inspection or other information indicates a control has been used inappropriately or incorrectly, the permittee must replace or modify the control for site situations. Corrective actions must be made prior to the next anticipated rainfall event or within 24 hours of discovery (whichever comes first) or as soon as field conditions allow. Documentation must be provided in the maintenance records if field conditions do not allow access along with a plan of action for performing maintenance activities.

The permittee may deviate from the manufacturer's specifications and erosion and sediment control requirements in Appendix 1 if they provide justification for the deviation and document the rationale for the deviation in the SWPPP. Any deviation must provide equivalent erosion and sediment control.
- e. If sediment escapes from the site, off-site accumulations of sediment must be removed in a manner and frequency sufficient to minimize off-site impacts as outlined in Appendix 1(B). The SWPPP must be modified to prevent further sediment deposition off site.
- f. Stormwater controls are expected to withstand and function properly during precipitation events of up to the 2-year, 24-hour storm event. Visible erosion and/or off-site sediment deposition from such storm events should be minimal. The 2-year, 24-hour storm event is based on NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2, Midwestern States 2013. The 2-year, 24-hour rainfall event in North Dakota ranges from about 1.76 inches in the west to 2.50 inches in the east (NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2, Midwestern States 2013).

- g. For projects that discharge stormwater which flows to a water body for which there is a TMDL allocation the SWPPP must be consistent with the assumptions, allocations, and requirements in the approved TMDL. If a TMDL specifies certain BMPs or controls to meet a WLA applicable to the project's discharges, the BMPs or controls must be incorporated into the SWPPP. Information about TMDL allocations may be found at the following website: deg.nd.gov/WQ
6. **Maintenance.** The SWPPP shall describe preventative maintenance practices used to ensure the proper operation of erosion and sediment control devices and equipment used or stored on site. The SWPPP must indicate, as appropriate, the maintenance or clean out interval for sediment controls.
7. **Inspections.** The SWPPP must provide for site inspections as outlined in Part III. The permittee shall ensure that personnel conducting site inspections are familiar with permit conditions and the proper installation and operation of control measures. Inspectors must be knowledgeable in their role of the SWPPP, as outlined in Part II(C)(3)(e) of this permit.
8. **SWPPP Review and Revisions.**
 - a. The owner, or owner jointly with the operator, shall sign the SWPPP in accordance with Part IV(A)(6). The SWPPP shall be retained on site for the duration of activity as outlined in Part III(B).
 - b. The permittee shall make the SWPPP available upon request to the department, EPA, or, in the case of discharges to a municipal storm sewer system, the operator of the municipal system.
 - c. The permittee shall amend the SWPPP whenever there is a change in design, construction, operation, operational control, maintenance, or BMPs. The SWPPP shall be amended if the plan is found to be ineffective in controlling pollutants present in stormwater. The SWPPP shall include a description of the amendment process.

D. Local Requirements

All stormwater discharges and dewatering activities must comply with the requirements, policies, or guidelines of municipalities and other local agencies as applicable to the construction site. Any discharges to a storm sewer, ditch or other water course under the jurisdiction of a municipality must comply with any specific conditions or BMPs required by the municipality or agency.

E. Final Stabilization

The permittee(s) must ensure final stabilization of the site. Permittees should submit a NOT within 30 days after final stabilization has been achieved, or another owner/operator (permittee) has assumed control according to Part I(F) for all areas of the site that have not undergone final stabilization. Final stabilization can be achieved in the following ways.

1. All soil disturbing activities at the site have been completed and soils not covered by permanent structures or cover are stabilized by a uniform perennial vegetative cover with a density of 70 percent of the pre-existing cover over the entire pervious surface area, or other equivalent means necessary to prevent soil failure under erosive conditions and;
 - a. All drainage ditches, constructed to drain water from the site after construction is complete, must be stabilized to preclude erosion;

- b. All temporary erosion prevention and sediment control BMPs (such as silt fence) must be removed as part of the site final stabilization; and
 - c. The permittee(s) must remove all sediment from conveyances, temporary sedimentation basins that will be used as permanent water quality management basins, and other post-construction stormwater management features. Sediment must be stabilized to prevent it from being washed into basins, conveyances, drainage ways, or features, or discharging off-site to surface waters. The cleanout of permanent basins must be sufficient to return the basin to design capacity.
 - d. All construction materials, waste and waste handling devices are removed and properly disposed, and all equipment and vehicles used during construction are removed, unless intended for long-term use following construction.
 - e. All potential pollutants and pollutant-generating activities associated with construction have been removed.
2. For areas of the state where the average annual rainfall is less than 20 inches (per NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2, Midwestern States 2013), all soil disturbing activities at the site have been completed and erosion control measures (e.g., degradable rolled erosion control product) and stabilization methods are selected, designed, and installed along with an appropriate seed base to provide erosion control for at least three years and achieve 70 percent of the pre-existing vegetative cover within three (3) years without active maintenance. Sites must meet the criteria outlined in items 1(a), (b), and (c) above.
3. Disturbed areas on land used for agricultural purposes that are restored to their pre-construction agricultural use are not subject to these final stabilization criteria. If the construction activity removed standing crop, the area must be restored in accordance with the landowner.

Areas disturbed that were not previously used for agricultural activities, such as buffer strips immediately adjacent to waters of the state, and areas which are not being returned to their pre-disturbance use must meet the final stabilization criteria in (1) or (2) above.

4. For residential construction only, final stabilization may be achieved when soil is stabilized (see Appendix 1(A)(3)) and down gradient perimeter control for individual lots has been implemented and the residence has been transferred to the homeowner. Additionally, the permittee must distribute a "homeowner fact sheet" to the homeowner to inform the homeowner of the need for, and benefits of, final stabilization. The permittee also must demonstrate that the homeowner received the fact sheet.
5. Coverage under an individual or alternative general NDPDES permit has been obtained.

III. SELF MONITORING AND REPORTING

A. Inspection and Maintenance Requirements

1. All erosion prevention and sediment control BMPs must be inspected to ensure integrity and effectiveness. Erosion and sediment control measures and stabilized areas shall be observed to ensure they are operating correctly and in serviceable condition. Inspections shall include areas used for storage of materials, permanent stormwater control measures, vehicle maintenance areas, and dewatering activities. These areas shall be inspected for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering a drainage system. If necessary, the SWPPP shall be revised based on the observations and deficiencies noted during the inspection.

2. Inspections shall be performed by or under the direction of the permittee. Inspections shall be performed at least once every 14 calendar days and within 24 hours after any storm event of greater than 0.25 inches of rain per 24-hour period. Rainfall inspections do not take the place of the scheduled once every 14-calendar day inspection unless the rainfall inspection occurs on the same day as the once every 14-calendar day inspection. Inspections are only required during normal working hours. The permittee shall use a rain gauge on-site or utilize the nearest National Weather Service precipitation gauge station. Rain gauge locations or stations must be representative of the site.
 - a. "Within 24 hours after any storm event greater than 0.25 inches rain per 24-hour period" means that you are required to conduct an inspection within 24 hours once a storm event has produced 0.25 inches, even if the storm event is still continuing. If there is a storm event at your site that continues for multiple days, and each day of the storm produces 0.25 inches or more rain, you are required to conduct an inspection within 24 hours of the first day of the storm and within 24 hours after the end of the storm.
3. There may be times when a site inspection may not be practical at the specified time. Adverse climatic conditions, such as flooding, high winds, tornadoes, electrical storms, site access constraints, etc., may prohibit inspections. The permittee must include a description of why the inspection(s) could not be performed at the designated time in the next inspection record. If an inspection is delayed due to adverse weather conditions or rain events outside normal working hours, an inspection must be conducted during the next working day, or as conditions allow.
4. Some erosion and sediment control measures may require more frequent inspection based on location (e.g., sensitive areas or waters of the state) or as a result of recurring maintenance issues. Erosion or sediment control measures found in need of maintenance between inspections must be repaired or replaced with appropriate measures as soon as practicable. Erosion and sediment control measures which require more frequent inspection based on location or as a result of recurring maintenance issues must be identified in the SWPPP.
5. All inspections must be recorded. The record shall summarize the scope of the inspection, major observations relating to the SWPPP, and any corrective actions taken. These records (or reports) must be retained in accordance with Part III(B). Records (or reports) of each inspection activity shall include:
 - a. Date of inspections;
 - b. Name of person(s) conducting inspections;
 - c. Findings of inspections, including recordings or imagery if utilized, and recommendations and time frame for corrective actions;
 - d. Date and amount of all rainfall events greater than 1/4 inch (0.25 inches) in 24 hours;
 - e. Documentation that the SWPPP has been amended when changes are made to BMPs in response to inspections; and
 - f. Signature of person(s) conducting the inspection or other means used to verify an inspector (e.g., work order or preventative maintenance schedule completion).

6. All erosion and sediment control measures and other protective measures must be maintained in effective operating condition. Stabilized areas and pollution prevention measures also must be maintained in effective operating condition. Corrective actions and maintenance activities shall be arranged and accomplished in accordance with Appendix 1 or as soon as practicable.
7. Corrective actions (maintenance activities) must be recorded and these records must be retained in accordance with Part III(B). Records for maintenance activity shall include:
 - a. Best Management Practice corrected;
 - b. Date of corrective action;
 - c. Name of person(s) performing corrective actions;
 - d. Corrective actions taken, including recordings or imagery if utilized; and
 - e. Corrective actions/maintenance records shall be signed or use another means to verify corrective actions/maintenance were completed (e.g., work order or preventative maintenance schedule completion).
8. Completed areas that have been stabilized but do not meet the 70 percent perennial vegetative cover criteria for final stabilization may be inspected once per month. Inspections may be suspended for parts of the construction site that meet final stabilization requirements of Part II(E) of this permit. The SWPPP must update to identify any areas which meet this condition.
9. Inspections may be suspended where earthwork has been suspended due to frozen ground conditions. The required inspections and maintenance must resume as soon as runoff occurs or the ground begins to thaw at the site. The permittee must record freeze/thaw and runoff dates as part of the inspection records.
10. Dewatering activities shall be inspected daily. The inspection must include the dewatering site, areas where BMPs are being implemented and the discharge location. A record (or report) shall be maintained to document the inspections of the dewatering operation and actions taken to correct any problems that may be identified. Records shall contain at a minimum:
 - a. Date of inspections;
 - b. Name of person(s) conducting inspections;
 - c. Approximate times dewatering started and ended (run time) on the day of inspection;
 - d. Estimates of the rate of discharge (pump rate) on the day of discharge;
 - e. Findings of inspections, including recordings or imagery if utilized, and recommendations and time frame for corrective actions;
 - f. Corrective actions taken (including dates and party completing maintenance activities);
 - g. Documentation that the SWPPP has been amended when changes are made to the dewatering activity in response to inspections; and

- h. Signature of person(s) conducting inspections and maintenance or other means used to verify an individual (e.g., work order or preventative maintenance schedule completion).

B. Records Location

The SWPPP, site inspection records, corrective actions/maintenance records, and this general permit shall be kept at the site of the construction activity in a field office, trailer, shed, vehicle that is on-site during normal working hours, or other reasonable on-site location. If the site does not have a reasonable on-site location, then the documents must be retained at a readily available alternative location; preferably with the individual responsible for overseeing the implementation of the SWPPP. Electronic copies of records are acceptable if the records can be accessed on-site. If the site is inactive, then the documents may be stored at a local office. Permittees should avoid using personal electronic devices for storing electronic records. The NOI and coverage letter from the department are not required to be kept at the site. All records and documentation must be retained in accordance with Part IV(A)(5).

IV. STANDARD CONDITIONS

A. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES

1. Duty to Comply

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application.

2. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee shall at all times maintain in good working order and operate as efficiently as possible all treatment or control facilities or systems installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit. If necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, this shall include the operation and maintenance of backup or auxiliary systems.

3. Planned Changes

The department shall be given advance notice of any planned changes at the permitted facility or of an activity which may result in permit noncompliance. Any anticipated facility expansions, production increase, or process modifications which might result in new, different, or increased discharges of pollutants shall be reported to the department as soon as possible. Changes which may result in a facility being designated a "new source" as determined in 40 CFR 122.29(b) shall also be reported.

4. Duty to Provide Information

The permittee shall furnish to the department, within a reasonable time, any information which the department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the department, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit. When a permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or any report, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

5. Records Retention

All records and documentation required by this permit shall be kept by the permittee for at least three years from the date that permit coverage expires or is terminated or longer if requested by the department or EPA.

6. Signatory Requirements

NOIs and NOTs shall be signed by a responsible corporate officer for a corporation; a general

partner or the proprietor for a partnership or sole proprietorship; or a principal executive officer or ranking elected official for a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency.

The SWPPP shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

- a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and included in the SWPPP; and
- b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the position of plant manager, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters.

A copy of the written authorization must be submitted to the department upon request. If an authorization under 6. Signatory Requirements is no longer accurate for any reason, a new authorization satisfying the above requirements must be included in the SWPPP.

All inspection reports and maintenance records shall be signed in accordance with Part III(A)(5).

NOIs, NOTs, SWPPPs, or information submitted to the department shall be signed and certified. Any person signing a NOI, NOT, SWPPP, or other information submitted to the department under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

7. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting

- a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours from the time the permittee first became aware of the circumstances. The oral report shall be made the department at 701.328.5210.
- b. A written submission shall also be provided within five days of the time that the permittee became aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain:
 - 1) A description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 - 2) The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
 - 3) The estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected; and
 - 4) Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.

Written reports shall be submitted using the electronic reporting system or mailed to the department at the following address:

ND Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Water Quality
4201 Normandy Street
Bismarck ND 58503-1324

The department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours by the department at 701.328.5210 as identified above.

8. Bypass of Treatment Facilities

a. Prohibition of Bypass. Bypass is prohibited, and the department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:

- 1) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
- 2) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of preventive maintenance.

The department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the department determines that it will meet the two (2) conditions listed above.

9. Upset Conditions

An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with erosion and sediment or site stabilization methods if the requirements of the following paragraph are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.

A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:

- a. An upset occurred and the permittee can identify its cause(s);
- b. The permitted activity was, at the time being, properly operated;
- c. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required under 7. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting and
- d. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under 10. Duty to Mitigate.

In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

10. Duty to Mitigate

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. The permittee, at the department's request, shall provide accelerated or additional monitoring as necessary to determine the nature and impact of any discharge.

11. Removed Materials

Collected screenings, grit, solids, sludges, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment shall be managed or disposed of in such a manner to prevent any pollutant from entering any waters of the state or creating a health hazard.

12. Duty to Reapply

Any request to have this permit renewed must be done in accordance with Part I(G).

B. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. Inspection and Entry

The permittee shall allow department and EPA representatives, at reasonable times and upon the presentation of credentials if requested, to enter the permittee's premises to inspect the construction activity and monitoring equipment, to sample any discharges, and to have access to and copy any records required to be kept by this permit.

2. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the department and EPA. As required by the Act, permit applications, permits, and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

3. Transfers

This permit is not transferable.

4. New Limitations or Prohibitions

The permittee shall comply with any effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 306(a), Section 307(a), or Section 405 of the Act for any pollutant (toxic or conventional) present in the discharge or removed substances within the time identified in the regulations even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirements.

5. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. This includes the establishment of limitations or prohibitions based on changes to Water Quality Standards, the development and approval of waste load allocation plans, the development or revision to water quality management plans, or the establishment of prohibitions or more stringent limitations for toxic or conventional pollutants and/or sewage sludges. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

6. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

7. State Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable state law or regulation preserved under Section 510 of the Act.

8. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under Section 311 of the Act.

9. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, nor any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations.

10. Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances and the remainder of this permit shall not be affected thereby.

11. General Permits

Coverage under this permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The department may require permittees covered by this permit to apply and obtain an individual or alternative general permit if:

1. The discharge is not in compliance with the conditions of the general permit.
2. Conditions or standards have changed so that the discharge no longer qualifies for a general permit.
3. Information becomes available which indicates that the permittee's discharge has a reasonable potential to contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard.

When an individual NDPDES permit is issued to a permittee otherwise subject to this permit or the permittee is approved for coverage under an alternative NDPDES general permit, the applicability of this permit to the permittee is automatically inactivated upon the effective date of the individual permit or coverage under the alternative general permit.

V. DEFINITIONS

“303(d) list” or **“section 303(d) list”** means a list of North Dakota’s water quality-limited waters needing total maximum daily loads or TMDLs developed to comply with section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. A copy of the list is available on the state’s web site at: deg.nd.gov/WQ

“Act” means the Clean Water Act.

“Bankfull” means the channel is filled to the top of one or both of its banks.

“BMP” or **“best management practices”** means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the state. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures and practices to control construction site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

“Bypass” means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.

“Common plan of development or sale” means a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct land disturbing activities may be taking place at different times, on different schedules, but under one proposed plan. One plan is broadly defined to include design, permit application, advertisement or physical demarcation indicating that land-disturbing activities may occur.

“Construction activity” means construction activity as defined in 40 CFR part 122.26(b)(14)(x) and small construction activity as defined in 40 CFR part 122.26(b)(15). This includes a disturbance to the land that results in a change in topography, existing soil cover (both vegetative and non-vegetative), or the existing soil topography that may result in accelerated stormwater runoff, leading to soil erosion and movement of sediment into surface waters or drainage systems. Examples of construction activity may include clearing, grading, filling and excavating. Construction activity includes the disturbance of less than one acre of total land area that is part of a larger common plan of development or sale if the larger common plan will ultimately disturb one (1) acre or more. Construction activity does not include routine maintenance that is performed to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or original purpose of the facility.

“Department” means the North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Quality.

“Energy dissipation” means methods employed at pipe outlets to prevent erosion. Examples include, but are not limited to: concrete aprons, riprap, splash pads, and gabions that are designed to prevent erosion.

“Indian country” means (1) All land within the limits of any Indian reservation under the jurisdiction of the United States Government, notwithstanding the issuance of any patent, and including rights-of-way running through the reservations; (2) All dependent Indian communities within the borders of the United States whether within the originally or subsequently acquired territory thereof, and whether within or without the limits of a state; and (3) All Indian allotments, the Indian titles to which have not been extinguished, including rights-of-way running through the same.

“Infeasible” means not technologically possible or not economically practicable and achievable in light of best industry practices.

“Immediately” means as soon as practicable, but no later than the end of the next work day, following the day when the earth-disturbing activities have temporarily or permanently ceased.

“Large construction activity” means land disturbance of equal to or greater than five (5) acres. Large construction activity also includes the disturbance of less than one acre of total land area that is part of a larger common plan of development or sale, if the larger common plan will ultimately disturb equal to or greater that

five acres.

“Normal wetted perimeter” means the area of a conveyance, such as a ditch, channel, or pipe that is in contact with water during flow events that are expected to occur once every year.

“Non-stormwater discharges” means discharges other than stormwater. The term includes both process and non-process sources. Process wastewater sources that require a separate NDPDES permit include, but are not limited to industrial processes, domestic facilities and cooling water. Non-stormwater sources that may be addressed in this permit include, but are not limited to: fire-fighting, fire hydrant flushing, potable water line flushing, equipment wash down without detergents or hazardous cleaning products, uncontaminated foundation drains, springs, surface water, lawn watering, chemical treatment of stormwater and air conditioning condensate.

“Operator” means the person (usually the general contractor) designated by the owner who has day to day operational control and/or the ability to modify project plans and specifications related to the SWPPP. The person must be knowledgeable in those areas of the permit for which the operator is responsible and must perform those responsibilities in a workmanlike manner.

“Owner” means the person or party possessing the title of the land on which the construction activities will occur; or if the construction activity is for a lease holder, the party or individual identified as the lease holder; or the contracting government agency responsible for the construction activity.

“Permanently ceased” means clearing and excavation within any area of your construction site that will not include permanent structures has been completed.

“Permanent Cover” means final stabilization. Examples include grass, gravel, asphalt, and concrete.

“Severe property damage” means substantial physical damage to property, damage to best management practices which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in construction.

“Significant materials” includes, but is not limited to: raw materials; fuels; materials such as solvents, detergents, and plastic pellets; finished materials such as metallic products; hazardous substances designated under Section 101(14) of CERCLA; any chemical the facility is required to report pursuant to Section 313 of Title III of SARA; fertilizers; pesticides; and waste products such as ashes, slag and sludge that have the potential to be released with stormwater discharges.

“Significant spills” includes, but is not limited to: releases of oil or hazardous substances in excess of reportable quantities under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act (see 40 CFR 110.10 and CFR 117.21) or Section 102 of CERCLA (see 40 CFR 302.4).

“Small construction activity” means land disturbance of equal to or greater than one acre and less than five acres. Small construction activity also includes the disturbance of less than one acre of total land area that is part of a larger common plan of development or sale, if the larger common plan will ultimately disturb equal to or greater than one and less than five acres.

“Stabilized” means the exposed ground surface has been covered by appropriate materials such as mulch, staked sod, riprap, erosion control blanket, or other material that prevents erosion from occurring. Grass seeding alone is not stabilization. Snow cover and frozen ground conditions are not considered stabilized.

“Steep Slopes” means slopes which are 3:1 (Horizontal:Vertical) or greater in grade.

“Stormwater” means stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.

“Stormwater associated with industrial activity” means stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, or surface runoff and drainage from industrial activities as defined in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14).

“Stormwater associated with small construction activity” means the discharge of stormwater from:

(i) Construction activities including clearing, grading, and excavating that result in land disturbance of equal to or greater than one acre and less than five acres. Small construction activity also includes the disturbance of less than one acre of total land area that is part of a larger common plan of development or sale if the larger common plan will ultimately disturb equal to or greater than one and less than five acres. Small construction activity does not include routine maintenance that is performed to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or original purpose of the facility.

(ii) Any other construction activity designated by EPA or the Department, based on the potential for contribution to a violation of a water quality standard or for significant contribution of pollutants to waters of the state.

“Temporarily ceased” means clearing, grading, and excavation within any area of the site that will not include permanent structures, will not resume (i.e., the land will be idle) for a period of 14 or more calendar days, but such activities will resume in the future.

“Temporary erosion protection” means methods employed to prevent erosion. Examples of temporary cover include; mulch, straw, erosion control blanket, wood chips, tackifiers, and erosion netting.

“Upset” means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with permit requirements because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed erosion and sediment controls or site stabilization methods, inadequate erosion and sediment controls or site stabilization methods, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

“Waters of the state” means any and all surface waters that are contained in or flow in or through the state of North Dakota as defined in NDCC 61-28-02. This definition includes all water courses, even if they are usually dry.

Appendix 1 – Requirements for Best Management Practices

Requirements for designing, implementing, and maintaining erosion and sediment controls, stabilization, operational controls, and dewatering practices.

A. Erosion and Sediment Control Practices

1. Sediment basins, or an appropriate combination of equivalent sediment controls such as smaller sediment basins and/or sediment traps, silt fences, fiber logs, vegetative buffer strips, berms, etc., are required for all down slope boundaries of the disturbance area and for those side slope boundaries as may be appropriate for site conditions. Erosion protection is required to minimize channel and streambank erosion and scour in the immediate vicinity of discharge points.
2. Sites using temporary (or permanent) sediment basins must meet the following requirements:
 - a. Sediment basins shall be designed for a calculated volume of runoff from a 2-year, 24-hour storm per acre drained to the basin and provides not less than 1,800 cubic feet of sediment storage below the invert of the outlet pipe from each acre drained to the basin; or
 - b. Basins shall be sized to provide 3,600 cubic feet of sediment storage below the invert of the outlet pipe per acre drained to the basin if calculations are not performed.
 - c. Basin outlets must be designed to avoid short-circuiting and the discharge of floating debris. Basins must be designed with the ability to allow complete basin drawdown for maintenance activities. Basins must release the storage volume in at least 24 hours. Outlet structures must be designed to withdraw water from the surface, unless not practicable. If not practicable, rationale must be provided in the SWPPP. The basin must have a stabilized emergency overflow to prevent failure of pond integrity. Energy dissipation must be provided for the basin outlet.
3. Erosion, sediment, and stabilization practices shall be provided. Erosion, sediment, and stabilization practices include such things as: silt fences, fiber logs, stabilized earth berms, vegetative buffer strips, erosion control blankets, mulch, hydro-seeding combined with mulch or tackifiers, etc.
4. All exposed soil areas must be stabilized (see definitions). Stabilization must be initiated immediately where activities have been permanently or temporarily ceased on any portion of the site and will not resume for a period exceeding fourteen (14) calendar days. Stabilization must be completed as soon as practicable, but no later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the initiation of soil stabilization. Temporary stockpiles without significant silt, clay or organic components (e.g., clean aggregate stockpiles, demolition concrete stockpiles, sand stockpiles) or intended for structural use (e.g., structural fill) are exempt from this requirement.
 - a. For slopes with a grade of 3:1 or greater, stabilization must be initiated immediately once activities have been completed or temporarily ceased. Stabilization must be completed as soon as practicable, but no later than seven (7) calendar days after the initiation of soil stabilization.
5. Temporary soil stockpiles must have effective sediment controls, and cannot be placed in surface waters, including stormwater conveyances such as curb and gutter systems, or conduits and ditches.
6. The normal wetted perimeter of any temporary or permanent drainage ditch that drains water from a construction site, or diverts water around a site, must be stabilized at least 200 linear feet from the property edge, or from the point of discharge to any surface water. Stabilization shall be completed prior to connection with a surface water. Any remaining portion of the temporary or permanent drainage

ditch must be stabilized within fourteen (14) calendar days for portions which construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased.

7. If stabilization requirements cannot be met due to circumstances beyond the control of the permittee, the permittee must initiate stabilization in areas of the site as soon as conditions or circumstances allow. The permittee must document in the SWPPP the conditions or circumstances which prevented the stabilization requirements from being met and develop and implement a stabilization plan to meet the stabilization requirements. The stabilization plan must be included with the SWPPP. Permittees are responsible for implementing stabilization during frozen ground conditions if the site was not stabilized prior to the ground freezing.
8. Stream diversions, or any temporary or permanent drainage ditch or trench which will have continuous flow, shall be stabilized with appropriate controls prior to connection with any surface water. The entire area (channel and bank) of the stream diversion or temporary or permanent drainage ditch, or trench, must be appropriately stabilized to bankfull height.
9. While working in or around surface waters, sediment and erosion controls must be used above the anticipated level of the surface water. Floating silt curtain does not satisfy the down slope and side slope boundary requirements in Part II(C)(4)(b) of this permit, unless the construction activity is on or below the elevation of the surface water. Floating silt curtain must be placed as close to shore as possible. Sediment controls must be installed where exposed soils drain to the surface water immediately after construction activity along the waterline has been completed.
10. Pipe and culvert outlets must be provided with energy dissipation prior to connection with a surface water.
11. Splash pads and/or downspout extensions must be provided for roof drains to prevent erosion from roof runoff.
12. All storm drain inlets in the immediate vicinity of the construction site must be protected by appropriate BMPs during construction until all disturbed areas and stockpiles with the potential to discharge to the inlet have been stabilized. This includes storm drain inlets which may be affected by sediment tracked onto paved surfaces by vehicles or equipment.
13. Inlet protection devices are a last line of control – erosion and sediment control practices must be used on site. Inlet protection devices must conform to local ordinances or regulations. In general, inlet protection devices need to provide for adequate drainage to prevent excessive roadway flooding. Inlet protection may be removed for a particular inlet if a specific concern (i.e., street flooding/freezing, snow removal) has been identified and documented in the SWPPP. In this situation, additional erosion and sediment control practices, or stabilization methods must be used to supplement the loss of the inlet protection device to prevent sediment from entering the storm sewer system.
14. Vegetated buffers must have a minimum width of 1 foot for every 5 feet of disturbed area that drains to the buffer. The width of the buffer shall have a slope of 5 percent or less and the area draining to the buffer shall have a slope of 6 percent or less. Buffers shall consist of dense grassy vegetation, 3 to 12 inches tall with uniform coverage over 90 percent of the buffer. Woody vegetation shall not be counted for the 90 percent coverage. No more than 10 percent of the overall buffer may be comprised of woody vegetation. Additional erosion and sediment controls must be provided when a vegetated buffer does not meet these conditions or concentrated flows within the buffer bring about erosion.

15. A 50-foot natural buffer or equivalent erosion and sediment controls must be provided when a project is within 50 feet of a surface water and stormwater flows to the surface water. If equivalent erosion and sediment controls are used, rationale for using equivalent controls must be provided in the SWPPP.

If working within 100 feet of a surface water listed as impaired for sediment, suspended solids or turbidity, a 100-foot natural buffer or equivalent sediment and erosion controls must be provided. If equivalent erosion and sediment controls are to be used, rationale for using equivalent controls must be provided in the SWPPP.

16. Minimize the duration of exposed soils on steep slopes in accordance with the stabilization provisions of this permit.
17. In areas where vegetation is used to establish final stabilization or where infiltration practices will be installed, use techniques that rehabilitate and condition compacted soils to support vegetative growth before seeding or planting. Minimizing soil compaction is not required where the intended function of a specific area of the site dictates that it be compacted.
18. Preserve topsoil unless infeasible. Preserving topsoil is not required where the intended function of a specific area of the site dictates that the topsoil be disturbed or removed.

B. Maintenance Requirements for Erosion and Sediment Controls

1. All erosion prevention and sediment control BMPs must be inspected to ensure integrity and effectiveness. All nonfunctional BMPs must be repaired, maintained, or replaced with functional BMPs. Corrective actions must be made prior to the next anticipated rainfall event or within 24 hours of discovery (whichever comes first), or as soon as field conditions allow access. Documentation must be provided in the maintenance records if field conditions do not allow access along with a plan of action for performing maintenance activities.

Permittees must investigate and comply with the following inspection and maintenance requirements:

- a. All control devices similar to, and including, silt fence or fiber rolls must be repaired, replaced, or maintained when they become nonfunctional (torn from posts, visible tears, etc.). Collected sediment must be removed as it approaches 1/2 of the above ground capacity of the control device.
 - b. Fiber rolls must be replaced when 1/2 of the original above ground height of the device when it was installed has been lost as a result of flattening or other damage.
 - c. Sedimentation basins must be drained and the sediment removed when the depth of sediment collected in the basin reaches 1/2 the storage volume. Drainage and removal must be completed within 72 hours of discovery, or as soon as field conditions allow access. Documentation must be provided in the maintenance records if field conditions do not allow access along with a plan of action for performing maintenance activities.
 - d. Maintenance and cleaning of inlet protection devices must be performed when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised.
2. Surface waters, including drainage ditches and conveyance systems, must be inspected for evidence of sediment deposited by erosion. Permittees must remove all deltas and sediment deposits in surface waters, drainage ways, catch basins, and other drainage systems. Areas where sediment removal results in exposed soil must be stabilized. Removal and stabilization must take place immediately, but no more than, seven (7) calendar days after the discovery unless precluded by legal, regulatory or

physical access constraints. Permittees shall use all reasonable efforts to obtain access. If precluded, removal and stabilization shall take place immediately, but no more than, seven (7) calendar days after obtaining access. Permittees are responsible for contacting all local, regional, state, and federal authorities, and receiving any applicable permits prior to conducting any work.

3. Vehicle tracking of sediment from the site must be minimized by BMPs. This may include having a designated egress with aggregate surfacing from the site or by designating off-site parking. Permittees are responsible for (or making the arrangements for) street sweeping and/or scraping if BMPs are not adequate to prevent sediment from being tracked onto the street from the site.

Construction site egress locations must be inspected for evidence of sediment being tracked offsite by vehicles or equipment onto paved surfaces. Accumulations of tracked and deposited sediment must be removed from all off-site paved surfaces by the end of the work day, shift or if applicable, within a shorter time specified by local authorities or the department.

4. If sediment escapes the construction site, off-site accumulations of sediment must be removed in a manner and at a frequency sufficient to minimize off-site impacts (e.g., fugitive sediment in streets could be washed into storm sewers by the next rain event and/or pose a safety hazard to users of public streets). BMPs shall be used to minimize further impacts of off-site accumulations of sediment until the off-site accumulations are removed. Impervious surface wash water may not be directed into any surface water or storm drain inlet unless appropriate pollution prevention measures have been implemented.
5. Vegetative buffers must be inspected for proper distribution of flows, sediment accumulation and signs of rill formation. If a buffer becomes silt covered, contains rills, or is otherwise rendered ineffective, other control measures shall be implemented. Eroded areas shall be repaired and stabilized within 24 hours of discovery, or as soon as conditions allow access. Documentation must be provided in the maintenance records if field conditions do not allow access along with a plan of action for performing maintenance activities.

C. Operational Controls

1. Properly handle construction debris and waste materials.
 - a. Debris and waste must be handled appropriately until disposal. Litter and debris shall be collected and stored to reduce the potential for wind and water to carry the materials off-site or leachate discharging from a site. Collected material shall be taken to the appropriate facility for disposal or recycling.
 - b. Liquid or soluble materials including oil, fuel, paint, and any other hazardous substances must be properly stored, to prevent spills, leaks or other discharges. Restricted access to storage areas must be provided to prevent vandalism. Storage and disposal of liquid or soluble material must be in compliance with applicable regulations.
2. Minimize the exposure of building materials, building products, landscape materials, fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, detergents, sanitary waste and other materials present on the site to precipitation and to stormwater. Minimization of exposure is not required in cases where the exposure to precipitation and to stormwater will not result in a discharge of pollutants, or where exposure of a specific material or product poses little risk of stormwater contamination (such as final products and materials intended for outdoor use).

3. Wash water must be collected in leak-proof containers or leak-proof pits. Containers or pits must be designed and maintained so that overflows cannot occur due to inadequate sizing, precipitation events, or snowmelt. Wash water containments must be cleaned out (solids and liquid) before 80 percent of storage capacity is attained.
4. Minimize the discharge of pollutants from equipment and vehicle washing, wheel wash water, and other wash waters. Wash waters must be treated in a sediment basin or alternative control that provides equivalent or better treatment prior to discharge.
5. BMPs used in surface waters must be cleaned immediately upon removal from surface waters to prevent the transfer of aquatic nuisance species.
6. Fueling operations must be managed to minimize spills or leaks. Collected spill or leak material must be disposed in compliance with applicable regulations.
7. Storage structures for petroleum products and other chemicals shall have adequate leak and spill protection to prevent any spilled materials from entering waters of the state or storm sewer systems.

D. Dewatering Practices

4. Operate the discharge to minimize the release of sediment and provide adequate energy dissipation where necessary to minimize erosion due to the discharge.
5. Discharges must not lead to the deposition of sediment within stormwater conveyance systems or surface waters.
6. Discharges must not cause or potentially cause a visible plume within a surface water body.
7. Basins and impoundments shall be dewatered utilizing structures or BMPs which allow for draw down to occur from the surface of the water, unless infeasible. If infeasible, replacement BMP(s) that provide equivalent treatment shall be used instead.
8. Discharges from the chemical treatment of stormwater must not cause a violation of the standards of quality for waters of the state (NDAC 33.1-16-02.1).

**Authorization to Discharge Under the
North Dakota Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
Permit Number NDG070000**

Permit No: NDG070000
Effective Date: April 01, 2025
Expiration Date: March 31, 2030

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE
NORTH DAKOTA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with Chapter 33.1-16-01 of the North Dakota Department of Health rules as promulgated under Chapter 61-28 (North Dakota Water Pollution Control Act) of the North Dakota Century Code,

operations engaged in temporary discharge activities

are authorized to discharge from locations throughout the state of North Dakota

to Waters of the State

provided all the conditions of this permit are met.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight,
March 31, 2030.

Signed this 24 day of March, 2025.


Marty Haroldson
Director
Division of Water Quality

BP 2025.02.05

This page is intentionally left blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEFINITIONS Standard Permit BP 2019.05.29	5
DEFINITIONS Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) BP 2023.01.05	6
OUTFALL DESCRIPTION	7
PERMIT SUBMITTALS SUMMARY	7
SPECIAL CONDITIONS.....	7
Daily Logs	7
COVERAGE UNDER THIS PERMIT	7
Applicability of General Permit	7
Request for Authorization-Notice of Intent (NOI)	8
Discharges Not Covered	9
Request for Discharge of Water Treatment Additives.....	9
Notice of Termination (NOT)	10
POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN	10
Deadlines for Plan Preparation and Compliance.....	11
Signature and Plan Review	11
Keeping Plans Current	12
I. LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS.....	12
A. Discharge Authorization.....	12
B. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring	12
II. MONITORING, RECORDING, AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS BP 2019.05.29	16
A. Representative Sampling (Routine and Non-Routine Discharges).....	16
B. Test Procedures	16
C. Recording of Results	17
D. Additional Monitoring	17
E. Reporting of Monitoring Results.....	17
F. Records Retention	18
III. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES.....	18
A. Duty to Comply	18
B. Proper Operation and Maintenance.....	18
C. Planned Changes.....	18
D. Duty to Provide Information	18
E. Signatory Requirements.....	19
F. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting	19
G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities.....	20
H. Upset Conditions	20
I. Duty to Mitigate.....	21
J. Removed Materials	21
K. Duty to Reapply.....	21
IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS	21
A. Inspection and Entry.....	21
B. Availability of Reports	21
C. Transfers	21
D. New Limitations or Prohibitions	21
E. Permit Actions.....	22
F. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense	22

G. State Laws	22
H. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability	22
I. Property Rights	22
J. Severability	22
V. BENEFICIAL REUSES	22
A. Irrigation	22
B. Construction	22
C. Oil and Gas Production (including Hydraulic Fracturing)	23
D. Other Uses as Approved	23

DEFINITIONS Standard Permit BP 2019.05.29

1. **"Act"** means the Clean Water Act.
2. **"Average monthly discharge limitation"** means the highest allowable average of "daily discharges" over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all "daily discharges" measured during a calendar month divided by the number of "daily discharges" measured during that month.
3. **"Average weekly discharge limitation"** means the highest allowable average of "daily discharges" over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all "daily discharges" measured during a calendar week divided by the number of "daily discharges" measured during that week.
4. **"Best management practices"** (BMPs) means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the United States. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage areas.
5. **"Bypass"** means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
6. **"Composite"** sample means a combination of at least 4 discrete sample aliquots, collected over periodic intervals from the same location, during the operating hours of a facility not to exceed a 24-hour period. The sample aliquots must be collected and stored in accordance with procedures prescribed in the most recent edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.
7. **"Daily discharge"** means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.
8. **"Department"** means the North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Quality.
9. **"DMR"** means discharge monitoring report.
10. **"EPA"** means the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
11. **"Geometric mean"** means the n^{th} root of a product of n factors, or the antilogarithm of the arithmetic mean of the logarithms of the individual sample values.
12. **"Grab"** for monitoring requirements, means a single "dip and take" sample collected at a representative point in the discharge stream.
13. **"Instantaneous"** for monitoring requirements, means a single reading, observation, or measurement. If more than one sample is taken during any calendar day, each result obtained shall be considered.
14. **"Maximum daily discharge limitation"** means the highest allowable "daily discharge."
15. **"Salmonid"** means of, belonging to, or characteristic of the family Salmonidae, which includes the salmon, trout, and whitefish.

16. **"Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSO)"** means untreated or partially treated sewage overflows from a sanitary sewer collection system.
17. **"Severe property damage"** means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
18. **"Total drain"** means the total volume of effluent discharged.
19. **"Upset"** means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

DEFINITIONS Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) BP 2023.01.05

1. **"Acute toxic unit"** ("TUa") is a measure of acute toxicity. TUa is the reciprocal of the effluent concentration that causes 50 percent of the organisms to die by the end of the acute exposure period (i.e., $100/\text{"LC50"}$).
2. **"Chronic toxic unit"** ("TUC") is a measure of chronic toxicity. TUC is the reciprocal of the effluent concentration that causes no observable effect on the test organisms by the end of the chronic exposure period (i.e., $100/\text{"IC25"}$).
3. **"Inhibition concentration"**, ("IC"), is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that causes a given percent reduction (p) in a non-quantal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., Interpolation Method).
4. **"LC50"** means the concentration of toxicant (e.g., effluent) which is lethal to 50 percent of the organisms exposed in the time period prescribed by the test.
5. **"No observed effect concentration"**, ("NOEC"), is the highest concentration of toxicant (e.g., effluent) to which organisms are exposed in a chronic toxicity test [full life-cycle or partial life-cycle (short term) test], that causes no observable adverse effects on the test organisms (i.e., the highest concentration of effluent in which the values for the observed responses are not statistically significantly different from the controls).
6. **"Static Non-Renewal Test"**, the test organisms are exposed to the same test solution for the duration of the test.
7. **"Static-Renewal Test"**, the test organisms are exposed to a fresh solution of the same concentration of sample every 24 h or other prescribed interval, either by transferring the test organisms from one test chamber to another, or by replacing all or a portion of solution in the test chambers.
8. **"Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE)"**, is a site-specific study conducted in a step-wise process to identify the causative agents of effluent toxicity, isolate the source of toxicity, evaluate the effectiveness of toxicity control options, and then confirm the reduction in effluent toxicity after the control measures are put in place.

OUTFALL DESCRIPTION

Outfall 001 – Active. Final Outfall. Temporary discharge activities

PERMIT SUBMITTALS SUMMARY

Coverage Point	Submittal	Frequency	First Submittal Date
001, etc.	Discharge Monitoring Report	Quarterly	July 31, 2025
New Applicants	Notice of Intent	1/permit cycle	30 days prior to start of discharge

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

Daily Logs

The permittee shall maintain a log relating to the authorized discharge(s). The following information shall be included in the summaries if not already reported on the appropriate discharge monitoring report forms:

- Flow information and dates discharges;
- sample results;
- records of visual observations;
- notations of any problems relating to treatment of the discharge; and
- name of receiving water.

COVERAGE UNDER THIS PERMIT

Applicability of General Permit

Under this general permit, authorization to discharge relatively uncontaminated waters from temporary discharge activities into the waters of the State of North Dakota may be granted. Such activities include hydrostatic testing of pipes, tanks or other similar vessels; disinfection of potable water lines; pump testing of water wells; dewatering of swimming pools and similar structures; construction dewatering; the treatment of gasoline or diesel contaminated ground water; and other short-term discharges. The water discharged from any of these activities must not contribute non-conventional or toxic pollutant loadings to waters of the state.

Temporary dewatering activities as related to construction activities may be covered under the 2025 Construction – Stormwater permit NDR110000. The department determined that if construction dewatering activities are discharging relatively uncontaminated water using items outlined in their Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) then there is no need to administratively provide multiple permits for the same activities. This concept may change as rules and regulations change for stormwater activities.

Request for Authorization-Notice of Intent (NOI)

To be eligible for authorization to discharge under this general permit, the owner, operator, and/or authorized agent of any facility conducting temporary dewatering activities must fulfill the requirements of a Notice of Intent (NOI) by submitting a Short Form C (SFN 8319 (03/2022) to the department at the address listed at least 30 days prior to the anticipated start of any discharge. NOI's can also be submitted to the department electronically through the department's electronic reporting system. The department will then have 30 days to grant discharge authority, deny discharge authority, or request additional information. If the department fails to act on any request within the 30-day period, the facility is automatically covered under the permit. The department may waive, at its discretion, the 30-day period in special cases.

The NOI shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:

- a. The name, address, and descriptive location of the facility.
- b. The name of principal in charge of operation of the facility.
- c. The name of receiving waters.
- d. The location of the discharge point(s).
- e. A brief description of the type of activity resulting in the discharge.
- f. A map or schematic diagram showing the general area and/or routing of the activity.
- g. The anticipated total volume to be discharged.
- h. The anticipated average and maximum rates of discharge.
- i. The anticipated dates of discharge.
- j. For hydrostatic testing only, the type (size and material) of pipe or vessel, whether the pipe or vessel has been used or is of virgin material and a description of the fluid normally transported through the pipeline or contained in the vessel.
- k. For hydrostatic testing only, the source of water to be used in the testing. If water is to be obtained from a well, (other than used for potable water supply) or from an impoundment, the concentration of total dissolved solids or the specific conductance of this water shall be reported.
- l. Describe briefly what measures will be taken to minimize, within practical means, the effects of the discharge on water quality in the receiving waters. A list of BMPs can be found in Table 1.

The department may waive, at its discretion, some of the items listed above and/or the five-day period in special cases.

Authorization to Discharge

Coverage under this permit does not convey approval to discharge to any ditch, storm sewer, private property, or other method of routing the effluent from the site of discharge to the waters of the state. It shall be the permittee's responsibility to seek, apply for and obtain any additional authorizations necessary to initiate the discharge proposed in the permittee's NOI. If the process of obtaining all the authorizations

necessary to initiate the discharge results in changes to the permittee's NOI, the permittee shall modify the NOI and resubmit to the department. The permittee is not authorized to discharge wastewater other than the type and at the location specified in the NOI.

Discharges Not Covered

Temporary discharges associated with process wastewater or any water containing sanitary waste is not covered under this permit.

Any discharge not permitted correctly by local, state, or federal agencies (such as the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 permits) is not covered under this permit.

This general permit does not substitute for obligations under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Endangered Species Act (ESA), or the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), it is your responsibility to ensure the project and resulting discharges comply with the respective requirements.

Discharges to waters for which there is a total maximum daily load (TMDL) allocation for sediment and/or parameters associated with sediment transport are not covered unless you develop a Pollution Prevention Plan that is consistent with the assumptions, allocations and requirements in the approved TMDL. If a specific numeric waste load allocation has been established that would apply to the project's discharges, the permittee(s) must incorporate that allocation into the Pollution Prevention Plan and implement necessary steps to meet that allocation.

Request for Discharge of Water Treatment Additives

In the event a permittee proposes to discharge water additives, the permittee shall submit a request to discharge water additives to the department for review. Written notice from the department to discharge such additives at specified levels shall be obtained prior to discharge by the permittee. Additional monitoring and reporting may be required as a condition for approval to discharge the additive.

A request to discharge water additives shall include all of the following water additive usage and discharge information:

- a. Safety Data Sheet (SDS);
- b. the proposed water additive discharge concentration;
- c. the discharge frequency (i.e. number of hours per day and number of days per year);
- d. the monitoring point from which the product is to be discharged;
- e. the type of removal treatment, if any, that the water additive receives prior to discharge;
- f. product function (i.e. microbiocide, flocculant, etc.);
- g. a 48-hour LC_{50} or EC_{50} for a North American freshwater planktonic crustacean (either *Ceriodaphnia* sp., *Daphnia* sp. or *Simocephalus* sp.); and
- h. the results of toxicity test for one other North American freshwater aquatic species (other than a planktonic crustacean).

Notice of Termination (NOT)

Permittees wanting to terminate coverage under this permit must submit a Notice of Termination (NOT) or other written request identifying the facility, reason why the permit is no longer needed and signed in accordance with the signatory requirement of the permit. NOT's can also be submitted through the department's electronic reporting system. Compliance with the conditions of this permit is required until an official termination letter from the department is received.

POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

Instead of monitoring for total suspended solids, the permittee may request to develop and implement a pollution prevention plan before beginning temporary discharge activities. The plan must detail the best management practices (BMPs) the permittee will undertake to reduce or eliminate any discharge of pollutants. The following table lists examples of best management practices for temporary discharge activities.

Table 1: Examples of Best Management Practices	
Best Management Practice	Description of Practice
Block and Gravel Inlet Protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used in small drainage areas before the area has been permanently stabilized Where there is danger of silting in an inlet
Buffer Zones	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Floodplains, next to wetlands, along stream banks, and on steep, unstable slopes
Check Dams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Across swales or drainage ditches to reduce the velocity of flow
Dust Control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used where open dry areas of soil are anticipated on the site
Drainage Swale or Earth Dike	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Divert upslope flows from disturbed areas and to divert runoff to a stabilized outlet To reduce the length of slope the runoff will cross At the perimeter of the construction site to prevent sediment-laden runoff from leaving the site To direct sediment-laden runoff to a sediment trapping device
Excavated Gravel Inlet Protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used in small drainage areas before the area has been permanently stabilized Where there is danger of silting in an inlet Where ponds around the inlet structure could be a problem to traffic on site
Filter Berm	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A temporary ridge of gravel or crushed rock Retains sediment on-site by slowing and filtering runoff while allowing water to be discharged from the site.
Filter Fabric Inlet Protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used in small drainage areas before the area has been permanently stabilized Where there is danger of silting in an inlet
Filter Fence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A low fence made of filter cloth and fencing material Filters runoff water before discharge
Geotextiles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stabilize the flow on channels and swales Used on recently planted slopes to protect seedlings

	until they become established
Mulching	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas where slopes are steeper than 2:1 • Where runoff is flowing across the area • When seedings need protection from bad weather
Permanent Seeding and Planting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas where soils are unstable because of their texture, structure, water table, winds, or slopes • Filter strips, buffer areas, vegetated swales, steep slopes, and stream banks
Pipe Slope Drain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On slopes before permanent stormwater drainage structures have been installed • Where diversion measures have been used to concentrate flows
Sediment Pond	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small ponding area either diked or excavated • Allows sediment to settle out before discharge
Silt Fence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Immediately upstream of the point(s) of runoff discharge from a site before flow becomes concentrated • Below disturbed areas where runoff may occur in the form of overland flow
Stabilized Construction Entrance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wherever vehicles are leaving a construction site and enter onto a public road • At any unpaved entrance/exit where there is risk of transporting mud or sediment onto paved roads
Temporary Sediment Trap	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At the outlet of the perimeter controls installed during the first stage of construction • At the outlet of any structure which concentrates sediment-laden runoff, e.g. at the discharge point of diversions, channels, slope drains, or other runoff conveyances • Above a stormwater inlet that is in line to receive sediment-laden runoff
Temporary Seeding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas which have been disturbed by construction and which are likely to be redisturbed, e.g. denuded areas, soil stockpiles, dikes, dams, sides of sediment basins, and temporary road banks
Vegetative Buffer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An area of growing vegetation between the discharge and the receiving waters • Filters runoff and minimizes erosion
<p>Note: <i>Information obtained from the Environmental Protection Agency's "Stormwater Management for Construction Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices" (September 1992)</i></p>	

Deadlines for Plan Preparation and Compliance

If the permittee develops a pollution prevention plan instead of sampling, the plan must be developed and implemented prior to the start of dewatering. The permittee must receive approval from the department that the Pollution Prevention Plan has been accepted or sampling will be required for any discharges.

Signature and Plan Review

The plan shall be signed in accordance with the signatory requirements and retained on-site at the location which generates a permitted discharge.

The permittee shall make plans available upon request to the department or in the case of a discharge through a municipal separate storm sewer system, to the operator or the municipal system.

The department may notify the permittee at any time that the plan does not meet the minimum requirements of this permit. Such notification shall identify those provisions of the permit which are not being met by the plan and identify which provisions require modifications in order to meet the minimum requirements. Within 7 days of notification, the permittee shall make the required changes to the plan and shall submit to the department a written certification that the requested changes have been made.

Keeping Plans Current

The permittee shall amend the plan whenever there is a change in design, construction, operation, maintenance, or BMPs. The plan shall also be amended if the plan proves to be ineffective in controlling pollutants present in the discharge. The plan shall also include a description of the amendment process.

I. LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Discharge Authorization

During the period beginning on the effective date of this permit and the effective date of an individual coverage letter and lasting until the expiration of this permit or termination of the individual coverage, the permittee is authorized to discharge pollutants from the outfall(s) as specified to the following:

Waters of the State of North Dakota.

This permit authorizes the discharge of only those pollutants resulting from facility processes, waste streams, and operations that have been clearly identified in the permit application process.

B. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring

1. The permittee must limit and monitor all discharges as specified below:

Table 2: Effluent associated with disinfection of potable water lines, swimming pools and similar structures.					
Parameter	Effluent Limitations			Monitoring Requirements	
	Avg. Monthly Limit	Avg. Weekly Limit	Daily Maximum Limit	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	*	*	100 mg/l	Weekly	Grab
pH, SU	Shall remain between 6.5 to 9.0 for all Class I and IA waters; Shall remain between 6.0 to 9.0 for all Class II and Class III waters.			Weekly	Grab
Total Residual Chlorine ^b	*	*	0.05 mg/l	^a	Grab
Flow, MGD	Report	*	Report	Daily	Instantaneous or Calculated
Total Drain, MG	*	*	Report	Quarterly	Calculated
Notes: See Table 7					

Table 3: Effluent associated with pump testing of water wells.					
Parameter	Effluent Limitations			Monitoring Requirements	
	Avg. Monthly Limit	Avg. Weekly Limit	Daily Maximum Limit	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	*	*	100 mg/l	Weekly	Grab
pH, SU	Shall remain between 6.5 to 9.0 for all Class I and IA waters; Shall remain between 6.0 to 9.0 for all Class II and Class III waters.			Weekly	Grab
Total Radium (uranium-bearing wells)	5pCi/l	*	*	^a	Grab
Flow, MGD	Report	*	Report	Daily	Instantaneous or Calculated
Total Drain, MG	*	*	Report	Quarterly	Calculated
Notes: See Table 7					

Table 4: Effluent associated with construction dewatering					
Parameter	Effluent Limitations			Monitoring Requirements	
	Avg. Monthly Limit	Avg. Weekly Limit	Daily Maximum Limit	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	*	*	100 mg/l	Weekly	Grab
pH, SU	Shall remain between 6.5 to 9.0 for all Class I and IA waters; Shall remain between 6.0 to 9.0 for all Class II and Class III waters.			Weekly	Grab
Oil & Grease – Visual ^c	*	*	*	Daily	Visual
Oil and Grease ^c	*	*	10 mg/l	Conditional	Grab
Flow, MGD	Report	*	Report	Daily	Instantaneous or Calculated
Total Drain, MG	*	*	Report	Quarterly	Calculated
Notes: See Table 7					

Table 5: Effluent produced from the treatment of contaminated ground or surface water from remediation activities.					
Parameter	Effluent Limitations			Monitoring Requirements	
	Avg. Monthly Limit	Avg. Weekly Limit	Daily Maximum Limit	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	*	*	100 mg/l	Weekly	Grab
pH, SU	Shall remain between 6.5 to 9.0 for all Class I and IA waters; Shall remain between 6.0 to 9.0 for all Class II and Class III waters.			Weekly	Grab
Benzene	For direct discharges, the concentration shall not exceed 5 µg/l.			^a	Grab
Total BTEX ^d	For direct discharges, the concentration shall not exceed 100 µg/l.			^a	Grab
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons ^e	A limit of 1 mg/l shall apply to water classification for domestic water supply. Otherwise the limit shall be 10 mg/l.			^a	Grab
Oil & Grease – Visual ^c	*	*	*	Daily	Visual
Oil and Grease ^c	*	*	10 mg/l	Conditional	Grab

Table 5: Effluent produced from the treatment of contaminated ground or surface water from remediation activities.

Parameter	Effluent Limitations			Monitoring Requirements	
	Avg. Monthly Limit	Avg. Weekly Limit	Daily Maximum Limit	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Flow, MGD	Report	*	Report	Daily	Instantaneous or Calculated
Total Drain, MG	*	*	Report	Quarterly	Calculated

Notes: See Table 7
Table 6: Effluent produced from the hydrostatic testing of pipes, tanks or other vessels

Parameter	Effluent Limitations			Monitoring Requirements	
	Avg. Monthly Limit	Avg. Weekly Limit	Daily Maximum Limit	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	*	*	100 mg/l	Weekly	Grab
pH, SU	Shall remain between 7.0 to 9.0 for all Class I and IA waters; Shall remain between 6.0 to 9.0 for all Class II and Class III waters.			Weekly	Grab
Benzene ^f	For direct discharges, the concentration shall not exceed 5 µg/l.			^a	Grab
Total BTEX ^{d, f}	For direct discharges, the concentration shall not exceed 100 µg/l.			^a	Grab
Total Residual Chlorine ^b	*	*	0.05 mg/l	^a	Grab
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons ^e	A limit of 1 mg/l shall apply to water classification for domestic water supply. Otherwise the limit shall be 10 mg/l.			^a	Grab
Oil & Grease – Visual ^c	*			*	*
Oil and Grease ^c	*	*	10 mg/l	Conditional	Grab
Flow, MGD	Report	*	Report	Daily	Instantaneous or Calculated
Total Drain, MG	*	*	Report	Quarterly	Calculated

Notes: See Table 7

Table 7: Notes	
*	This parameter is not limited. However, the department may impose limitations based on sample history and to protect the receiving waters.
a.	Sample frequency shall be assigned based on the type of activity and what type of treatment is being provided. Sample frequencies may consist of daily, weekly, monthly, or quarterly.
b.	Total residual chlorine shall be analyzed if chlorinated water is used during the hydrostatic test. The analysis for TRC shall be conducted using reliable devices (Equivalent to EPA Method 330.5 DPD-Spectrophotometric). The method achieves a method detection limit of less than 0.05 mg/l. In the calculation of average TRC concentrations, those analytical results that are less than the method detection limit shall be considered to be zero for calculation purposes. If all individual analytical results that would be used in the calculations are below the method detection limit, then "< 0.05 mg/l" shall be reported on the quarterly Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR). Otherwise, report the calculated value.
c.	In the event that an oil sheen or floating oil is observed in the discharge, a grab sample shall be immediately taken, analyzed and reported. The sample shall not exceed 10 mg/l. Any noncompliance shall be reported as required to the department
d.	BTEX shall be measured as the sum of benzene, ethyl benzene, toluene, and xylene. EPA methods 602, 624, or 1624 shall be used for the measurement of benzene, ethyl benzene, and toluene. EPA methods 8260 or equivalent method shall be used for the measurement of xylene including ortho-, meta-, and para-xylene. (Note: Depending on Regional/State policy, EPA method 8260 may be used a substitute or equivalent for the CWA methods 602, 624, or 1624 required under the CWQ in 40 CFR Part 136.)
e.	Acceptable methods for this parameter are 1664 in the latest edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater and EPA SW846 Method 8015 (modified) for Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons.
f.	This parameter shall be analyzed if the discharge is from hydrostatic test water from the testing of used pipes, tanks, or other similar vessels which have or may have contained petroleum products.

II. MONITORING, RECORDING, AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS BP 2019.05.29

A. Representative Sampling (Routine and Non-Routine Discharges)

All samples and measurements taken shall be representative of the monitored discharge.

In order to ensure that the effluent limits set forth in this permit are not violated at times other than when routine samples are taken, the permittee must collect additional samples at the appropriate outfall whenever any discharge occurs that may reasonably be expected to cause or contribute to a violation that is unlikely to be detected by a routine sample. The permittee must analyze the additional samples for those parameters limited under **Part I Effluent Limitations and Monitoring** requirements of this permit that are likely to be affected by the discharge.

The permittee must collect such additional samples as soon as the spill, discharge, or bypassed effluent reaches the outfall. The samples must be analyzed in accordance with B. Test Procedures. The permittee must report all additional monitoring in accordance with D. Additional Monitoring.

B. Test Procedures

The collection and transportation of all samples shall conform with EPA preservation techniques and holding times found in 40 CFR 136. All laboratory tests shall be performed by a North Dakota certified laboratory in conformance with test procedures pursuant to 40 CFR 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit or approved by EPA as an alternate test procedure under 40 CFR 136.5. The method of determining the total amount of water discharged shall provide results within 10 percent of the actual amount.

C. Recording of Results

Records of monitoring information shall include:

1. the date, exact place and time of sampling or measurements;
2. the name(s) of the individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
3. the name of the laboratory;
4. the date(s) and time(s) analyses were performed;
5. the name(s) of the individual(s) who performed the analyses;
6. the analytical techniques or methods used; and
7. the results of such analyses.

D. Additional Monitoring

If the discharge is monitored more frequently than this permit requires, all additional results, if in compliance with B. Test Procedures, shall be included in the summary on the Discharge Monitoring Report.

E. Reporting of Monitoring Results

1. Monitoring results shall be summarized and reported to the department using Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs). If no discharge occurs during a reporting period, "No Discharge" shall be reported. The permittee must submit DMRs electronically using the electronic information reporting system unless requirements in subsection 3 are met.
2. Prior to December 21, 2020, the permittee may elect to electronically submit the following compliance monitoring data and reports instead of mailing paper forms. Beginning December 21, 2020, the permittee must report the following using the electronic reporting system:
 - a. General permit reports [e.g., notices of intent (NOI); notices of termination (NOT); no exposure certifications (NOE)];
 - b. Municipal separate storm sewer program reports;
 - c. Pretreatment program reports;
 - d. Sewer overflow/bypass event reports; and
 - e. Clean Water Act 316(b) annual reports.

3. The permittee may seek a waiver from electronic reporting. To obtain a waiver, the permittee must complete and submit an Application for Temporary Electronic Reporting Waiver form (SFN 60992) to the department. The department will have 120 days to approve or deny the waiver request. Once the waiver is approved, the permittee may submit paper versions of monitoring data and reports to the department.
 - a. One of the following criteria must be met in order to obtain a waiver. The department reserves the right to deny any waiver request, even if they meet one of the criteria below.
 1. No internet access,
 2. No computer access,
 3. Annual DMRs (upon approval of the department),
 4. Employee turnover (3-month periods only), or
 5. Short duration permits (upon approval of the department).

All reports must be postmarked by the last day of the month following the end of each reporting period. All original documents and reports required herein shall be signed and submitted to the department at the following address:

ND Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Water Quality
918 East Divide Ave
Bismarck ND 58501-1947

F. Records Retention

All records and information (including calibration and maintenance) required by this permit shall be kept for at least three years or longer if requested by the department or EPA.

III. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Duty to Comply

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application.

B. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee shall at all times maintain in good working order and operate as efficiently as possible all treatment or control facilities or systems installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit. If necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, this shall include the operation and maintenance of backup or auxiliary systems.

C. Planned Changes

The department shall be given advance notice of any planned changes at the permitted facility or of an activity which may result in permit noncompliance. Any anticipated facility expansions, production increase, or process modifications which might result in new, different, or increased discharges of pollutants shall be reported to the department as soon as possible. Changes which may result in a facility being designated a "new source" as determined in 40 CFR 122.29(b) shall also be reported.

D. Duty to Provide Information

The permittee shall furnish to the department, within a reasonable time, any information which the department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the department, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit. When a permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or any report, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

E. Signatory Requirements

All applications, reports, or information submitted to the department shall be signed and certified.

All permit applications shall be signed by a responsible corporate officer, a general partner, or a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.

All reports required by the permit and other information requested by the department shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and submitted to the department; and

The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the position of plant manager, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters.

If an authorization under E. Signatory Requirements is no longer accurate for any reason, a new authorization satisfying the above requirements must be submitted to the department prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.

Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

F. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting

1. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours from the time the permittee first became aware of the circumstances. The following occurrences of noncompliance shall be included in the oral report to the department at 701.328.5210:
 - a. Any lagoon cell overflow or any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit under G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities;
 - b. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit under H. Upset Conditions; or
 - c. Violation of any daily maximum effluent or instantaneous discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed in the permit.

2. A written submission shall also be provided within five days of the time that the permittee became aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain:
 - a. A description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 - b. The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
 - c. The estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected; and
 - d. Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.

Reports shall be submitted to the address in **Part II.E. Reporting of Monitoring Results**. The department may waive the written report on a case by case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours by the department at 701.328.5210 as identified above.

All other instances of noncompliance shall be reported no later than at the time of the next Discharge Monitoring Report submittal. The report shall include the four items listed in this subsection.

G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities

1. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to any of the following provisions in this section.
2. Bypass exceeding limitations-notification requirements.
 - a. Anticipated Bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten (10) days before the date of bypass.
 - b. Unanticipated Bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required under F. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting.
3. Prohibition of Bypass. Bypass is prohibited, and the department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - a. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 - b. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 - c. The permittee submitted notices as required under the 1. Anticipated Bypass subsection of this section.

The department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above.

H. Upset Conditions

An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of the following paragraph are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.

A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:

1. An upset occurred and the permittee can identify its cause(s);
2. The permitted facility was, at the time being, properly operated;
3. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required under F. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting and
4. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under I. Duty to Mitigate.

In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

I. Duty to Mitigate

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. The permittee, at the department's request, shall provide accelerated or additional monitoring as necessary to determine the nature and impact of any discharge.

J. Removed Materials

Collected screenings, grit, solids, sludges, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment shall be buried or disposed of in such a manner to prevent any pollutant from entering any waters of the state or creating a health hazard. Sludge/digester supernatant and filter backwash shall not be directly blended with or enter either the final plant discharge and/or waters of the state. The permit issuing authority shall be contacted prior to the disposal of any sewage sludges. At that time, concentration limitations and/or self-monitoring requirements may be established.

K. Duty to Reapply

Any request to have this permit renewed should be made six months prior to its expiration date.

IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Inspection and Entry

The permittee shall allow department and EPA representatives, at reasonable times and upon the presentation of credentials if requested, to enter the permittee's premises to inspect the wastewater treatment facilities and monitoring equipment, to sample any discharges, and to have access to and copy any records required to be kept by this permit.

B. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the department and EPA. As required by the Act, permit applications, permits, and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

C. Transfers

This permit is not transferable except upon the filing of a Statement of Acceptance by the new party and subsequent department approval. The current permit holder should inform the new controller, operator, or owner of the existence of this permit and also notify the department of the possible change.

D. New Limitations or Prohibitions

The permittee shall comply with any effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 306(a), Section 307(a), or Section 405 of the Act for any pollutant (toxic or conventional) present in the discharge

or removed substances within the time identified in the regulations even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirements.

E. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. This includes the establishment of limitations or prohibitions based on changes to Water Quality Standards, the development and approval of waste load allocation plans, the development or revision to water quality management plans, changes in sewage sludge practices, or the establishment of prohibitions or more stringent limitations for toxic or conventional pollutants and/or sewage sludges. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

F. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

G. State Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable state law or regulation preserved under Section 510 of the Act.

H. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under Section 311 of the Act.

I. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, nor any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations.

J. Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances and the remainder of this permit shall not be affected thereby.

V. BENEFICIAL REUSES

A. Irrigation

Discharged water may be used for irrigation provided soil and water compatibility testing confirms the water is suitable for irrigation. Wastewater used for irrigation shall be applied at a rate which would allow complete infiltration and not result in ponding or runoff from the irrigated area.

Agricultural land may be irrigated as well as forage crops used for livestock consumption or pastures. Public properties such as golf courses or parks may be irrigated.

Runoff that occurs from irrigated areas shall be monitored at the frequencies and with the types of measurements described in Part I.B.

The permittee shall maintain monitoring records indicating the location and usage (e.g., park or agricultural) of the land being irrigated, the dates irrigation occurred, the amount of wastewater used, and the total flow. In addition, monitoring records must include results from collected samples

B. Construction

Discharged water may be used for construction purposes such as soil compaction, dust suppression and washing aggregate, provided the wastewater is applied in a manner that does not result in runoff or ponding.

Runoff that occurs from the application areas shall be monitored at the frequencies and with the types of measurements described in Part. I.B.

The permittee shall maintain monitoring records indicating the location and usage of the land where application occurs, the dates application occurred, the amount of wastewater used, and the total flow. In addition, monitoring records must include results from collected samples.

C. Oil and Gas Production (including Hydraulic Fracturing)

The specific user of the discharged water may determine the specific treatment requirements for receiving wastewater.

The permittee shall maintain monitoring records indicating the specific user, the amount of wastewater used, and the total flow. In addition, monitoring records must include results from collected samples.

D. Other Uses as Approved


The permittee must consult with the department before beneficially reusing wastewater for purposes not identified in this permit.

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT


ATTACHMENT M

Typicals

PLAN
NO SCALE



PROFILE
NO SCALE




NOTES:

1. INSPECT BRIDGE OPENING PERIODICALLY AND REMOVE ANY DEBRIS RESTRICTING FLOW.
2. INSPECT THE BRIDGE ELEVATION SO BRIDGE REMAINS SUPPORTED ABOVE THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM).
3. THE BRIDGE MUST SPAN ABOVE OHWM TO OHWM.
4. EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GOVERNING JURISDICTION'S REQUIREMENTS.
5. SIDEBOARDS WILL BE INSTALLED ON TEMPORARY BRIDGES TO MINIMIZE THE POTENTIAL FOR SEDIMENT TRANSPORT AND AFFIXED TO THE OUTSIDE OF THE BRIDGE. GEOTEXTILE FABRIC, OR EQUIVALENT, MUST ALSO BE ADEQUATELY SECURED TO THE UNDERSIDE OF THE BRIDGE TO PREVENT MATERIAL FROM FALLING THROUGH THE BRIDGE DECK. THE GEOTEXTILE FABRIC OR AN EQUIVALENT SHOULD BE SECURED TO THE BOTTOM OF THE BRIDGE AND WRAPPED AROUND THE SIDEBOARDS IN A CONTINUOUS FASHION.




TYPICAL CLEAR SPAN BRIDGE

PLAN
NO SCALE



PROFILE
NO SCALE



NOTES:

1. INSPECT BRIDGE OPENING PERIODICALLY AND REMOVE ANY DEBRIS RESTRICTING FLOW.
2. INSPECT THE BRIDGE ELEVATION SO BRIDGE REMAINS SUPPORTED ABOVE THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK (OHWM).
3. THE CULVERT SUPPORT MUST BE PLACED ON THE STREAM BOTTOM AND MAY NOT BE SUPPORTED WITH FILL.
4. THE BRIDGE MUST SPAN ABOVE OHWM TO OHWM.
5. ADDITIONAL SUPPORT MUST BE ADDED ON TOP OF BANK AND UNDER SPAN IF INITIAL SUPPORT STARTS TO SETTLE.
6. EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GOVERNING JURISDICTION'S REQUIREMENTS.
7. SIDEBORDS WILL BE INSTALLED ON TEMPORARY BRIDGES TO MINIMIZE THE POTENTIAL FOR SEDIMENT TRANSPORT AND AFFIXED TO THE OUTSIDE OF THE BRIDGE. GEOTEXTILE FABRIC, OR EQUIVALENT, MUST ALSO BE ADEQUATELY SECURED TO THE UNDERSIDE OF THE BRIDGE TO PREVENT MATERIAL FROM FALLING THROUGH THE BRIDGE DECK. THE GEOTEXTILE FABRIC OR AN EQUIVALENT SHOULD BE SECURED TO THE BOTTOM OF THE BRIDGE AND WRAPPED AROUND THE SIDEBORDS IN A CONTINUOUS FASHION.



TYPICAL NON-CLEAR SPAN BRIDGE

Chapter 5—Low-Water Crossing Types: Pros, Cons, Idiosyncrasies, and Anecdotes





Figure 5.10—Sketches of various types of rockfill fords with design details.

TYPICAL ROCK FORD
SCALE: NONE

PRELIMINARY

FILE LOCATION: N:\SHARED\01 ECI\GRID UNITED\02 PROJECTS\GU-012 NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR (500+ KV HVDC)\NORTH PLAINS T-LINE\300 DESIGN\320 CIVIL AND STRUCTURAL\325 SITE DESIGN\GRADING DETAILS.DWG LAST SAVED BY: bdparks 11/6/2023 1:58 PM PLOTTED BY: Barron D. Parks 11/6/2023 2:00 PM



ELECTRICAL CONSULTANTS, INC.
BILLINGS, MONTANA


OA	PRELIMINARY	11/06/23	BP	NRF
NO	REVISION	DATE	BY	APR





GRID UNITED

ENGINEERING RECORD		DATE
DRAWN	<i>B. PARKS</i>	11/06/23
DESIGNED	<i>N. FOSTER</i>	10/30/23
CHECKED	<i>N. FOSTER</i>	11/06/23
APPROVED		
DWG SCALE:	NONE	PLT SCALE: 1:1

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR	
ACCESS ROAD DETAILS	
DWG. NAME:	NPC-D-P002-1
REVISION NO :	0A



1. Arrange the straw bales to the X and Y dimensions specified below.
2. If bottom of structure is not lined with straw bales (Option 1), line entire structure with geotextile filter fabric.



Minimum Sump Dimensions (ft.)		Maximum Pumping Rate (gal./min.)
X	Y	
10	20	300
15	20	350
20	20	400
20	25	450
25	25	500
25	30	550
30	30	660



For environmental review purposes only.

Standard Best Management Practices
Straw Bale Dewatering Structure
Figure

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT N

Seed Mixes

BLM Seed Mix

The holder shall seed all disturbed areas with the seed mixture listed below. There shall be no primary or secondary noxious weed seed in the seed mixture. Seed shall be tested, and the viability testing of seed shall be done in accordance with State law(s) and within six months prior to purchase. Commercial seed shall be either certified or registered seed. The seed mixture container shall be tagged in accordance with State law(s) and available for inspection by the authorized officer.

Seed shall be planted using rangeland drill spaced no greater than 6 inches and equipped with a depth regulator to ensure proper depth of planting. The seed mixture shall be evenly and uniformly planted over the disturbed area. Smaller/heavier seeds have a tendency to drop to the bottom of the drill and are planted first. The holder shall take appropriate measures to insure this does not occur. Where drilling is not possible, seed shall be broadcast, and the area shall be raked or chained to cover the seed. When broadcasting the seed, the pounds per acre noted below are to be doubled. The seeding will be repeated until a satisfactory stand is established as determined by the authorized officer. Evaluation of growth will not be made before completion of the second growing season after seeding. The authorized officer is to be notified a minimum of seven (7) days prior to seeding of the project.

Seed Mixture - Western wheatgrass and Wyoming big sagebrush must be included in the mix. Thickspike wheatgrass or Montana wheatgrass may be substituted only when western wheatgrass is unavailable. The combination of seed must include all growth forms (grasses, forbs, and shrubs). The percent of each species is the maximum percent of the total mix, for example on a clayey site, the selected grass species must make up 70% of the total seed mix, however individually they cannot make up more than indicated for each species (e.g., individually western wheatgrass may account for no more than 25% of the total seed mix). The seed mixture shall be planted at a rate of 30-50 small seeds plus 20-25 medium seeds plus 15-20 large seeds per square foot, totaling 65 to 95 live seeds per square foot, or 2,831,400 to 4,138,200 live seeds per acre. Seeding should be completed on a prepared seedbed, between October 15 and May 15; when conditions are appropriate.

To calculate total amount of Pure Live Seed needed *per acre* for each species use the following equation:

$$\frac{[(\text{Pounds of Pure Live Seed per acre}) \times (\text{percent of mix})]}{[(\text{Germination rate}) \times (\text{Purity of seed})]}$$

Add totals for each species to be planted and multiply by the number of acres to be seeded to determine the amount of seed necessary for the proposed project area.

Table 1. Seed mix group for ESD

ESD	MCFO Group	ESD	MCFO Group
Clayey	Clay-based	Silty-saline	Saline
Clayey-Steep		Saline lowlands	
Dense clay		Saline uplands	
Thin clayey		Saline overflow	
Shallow clay		Sands	Sands/Gravel
Shale		Sandy	
Shallow	Shallow	Sandy-steep	
Shallow loam		Gravel	
Thin Silty		Shallow to Gravel	
Very shallow		Wet meadow	Wet
Silty	Silty/Loamy	Subirrigated	
Loamy		Overflow	
Silty-steep			

MCFO Group: Clay-based**Grasses: 70% of total mix (Choose at least 4, one of which must be Western wheatgrass):**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Buffalograss	<i>Bouteloua dactoloides</i>	up to 5%	15
Bluebunch wheatgrass	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	up to 25%	7
Green needlegrass	<i>Nassella viridula</i>	up to 25%	6
Needle-and-thread	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	up to 10%	9
Plains muhly	<i>Muhlenbergia cuspidata</i>	up to 5%	4
Prairie junegrass	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	up to 5%	1
Sandberg's bluegrass	<i>Poa secunda</i>	up to 5%	1
Threedeaf sedge	<i>Carex filifolia</i>	up to 5%	5
Western wheatgrass	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	up to 25%	10

Forbs: 10% of total mix (choose at least 4, two of which are nitrogen fixers*):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
American vetch	<i>Vicia americana</i> *	up to 5%	3
Black Sampson	<i>Echinacea angustifolia</i>	up to 5%	7
Blanket flower	<i>Gaillardia aristata</i>	up to 5%	6
Buckwheat spp	<i>Eriogonum spp.</i>	up to 5%	1
Dotted gayfeather	<i>Liatris punctata</i>	up to 5%	7.5
Milkvetch spp	<i>Astragalus spp.*</i>	up to 5%	8
Purple prairie clover	<i>Dalea purpurea</i> *	up to 5%	3.5
Scarlet globemallow	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	up to 5%	2
Silvireleaf scurfpea	<i>Psoralea argophylla</i> *	up to 5%	4

Shrubs: 20% of total mix (Choose at least 2, one of which must be Wyoming big sagebrush):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Gardner's saltbush	<i>Atriplex gardneri</i>	up to 5%	1
Winterfat	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	up to 10%	6
Wyoming big sage	<i>Artemisia tridentata var. wyomingensis</i>	up to 10%	0.5

MCFO Group: Silt/Loam**Grasses: 80% of total mix (Choose at least 4, one of which must be western wheatgrass):**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Big bluestem	<i>Andropogon gerardii</i>	up to 5%	8
Blue grama	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	up to 5%	2
Bluebunch wheatgrass	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	up to 20%	7
Green needlegrass	<i>Nassella viridula</i>	up to 20%	6
Little bluestem	<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	up to 5%	4
Needle-and-thread	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	up to 20%	9
Needleleaf sedge	<i>Carex duriuscula</i>	up to 5%	5
Plains muhly	<i>Muhlenbergia cuspidata</i>	up to 5%	4
Prairie junegrass	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	up to 10%	1
Sandberg's bluegrass	<i>Poa secunda</i>	up to 10%	1
Sideoats grama	<i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i>	up to 5%	6
Threadleaf sedge	<i>Carex filifolia</i>	up to 5%	5
Western wheatgrass	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	up to 20%	10

Forbs: 15% of total mix (Choose at least 4, two of which are nitrogen fixers*):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
American vetch	<i>Vicia americana</i> *	up to 5%	3
Black Sampson	<i>Echinacea angustifolia</i>	up to 5%	7
Blanket flower	<i>Gaillardia aristata</i>	up to 5%	6
Buckwheat spp.	<i>Eriogonum spp.</i>	up to 5%	1
Dotted gayfeather	<i>Liatris punctata</i>	up to 5%	7.5
Milkvetch spp	<i>Astragalus spp.*</i>	up to 5%	8
Hood's phlox	<i>Phlox hoodii</i>	up to 5%	6
Penstemon spp.	<i>penstemon spp.</i>	up to 5%	2.5
Purple prairie clover	<i>Dalea purpurea</i> *	up to 5%	3.5
Scarlet globemallow	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	up to 5%	2
Silvleaf scurfpea	<i>Psoralea argophylla</i> *	up to 5%	4

Shrubs: 5% of total mix (Choose at least 2, one of which is Wyoming big sagebrush):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Prairie rose	<i>Rosa woodsii</i>	up to 5%	4
Silver sagebrush	<i>Artemisia cana</i>	up to 5%	0.5
Wyoming big sage	<i>Artemisia tridentata var. wyomingensis</i>	up to 5%	0.5
Yucca	<i>Yucca glauca</i>	up to 5%	8

MCFO Group: Sand/Gravel**Grasses: 75% of total mix (Choose at least 4, one of which must be western wheatgrass):**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Blue grama	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	up to 5%	2
Bluebunch wheatgrass	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	up to 15%	7
Little bluestem	<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	up to 5%	4
Needle-and-thread	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	up to 15%	9
Prairie junegrass	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	up to 10%	1
Prairie sandreed	<i>Calamovilfa longifolia</i>	up to 15%	4
Sand bluestem	<i>Andropogon hallii</i>	up to 10%	9
Indian ricegrass	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	up to 5%	5
Sandberg's bluegrass	<i>Poa secunda</i>	up to 10%	1
Threadleaf sedge	<i>Carex filifolia</i>	up to 15%	5
Western wheatgrass	Pascopyrum smithii	up to 20%	10

Forbs: 15% of total mix (Choose at least 4, two of which are nitrogen fixers*):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Black Sampson	<i>Echinacea angustifolia</i>	up to 5%	7
Dotted gayfeather	<i>Liatris punctata</i>	up to 5%	7.5
Hood's phlox	<i>Phlox hoodii</i>	up to 5%	6
Purple prairie clover	<i>Dalea purpurea*</i>	up to 5%	3.5
Scarlet globemallow	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	up to 5%	2
Silvleaf scurfpea	<i>Psoralea argophylla*</i>	up to 5%	4
White prairie clover	<i>Dalea candida*</i>	up to 5%	4

Shrubs: 10% of total mix (Choose at least 2, one of which is Wyoming big sagebrush):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Prairie rose	<i>Rosa woodsii</i>	up to 5%	4
Silver sagebrush	<i>Artemisia cana</i>	up to 5%	0.5
Skunkbush sumac	<i>Rhus trilobata</i>	up to 5%	2
Winterfat	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	up to 5%	6
Wyoming big sage	<i>Artemisia tridentata var. wyomingensis</i>	up to 5%	0.5
Yucca	<i>Yucca glauca</i>	up to 5%	8

MCFO Group: Saline**Grasses: 60% of total mix (Choose at least 4, one of which must be Western wheatgrass):**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Alkali cordgrass	<i>Spartina gracilis</i>	up to 15%	6
Alkali sacaton	<i>Sporobolus airoides</i>	up to 15%	1
Inland saltgrass	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	up to 20%	1.5
Needle-and-thread	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	up to 5%	9
Nuttall's alkaligrass	<i>Puccinellia nuttalliana</i>	up to 10%	1
Sandberg's bluegrass	<i>Poa secunda</i>	up to 5%	1
Western wheatgrass	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	up to 20%	10

Forbs: 10% of total mix (Choose at least 4, one of which is a nitrogen fixer*):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
American vetch	<i>Vicia americana</i> *	up to 5%	3
Buckwheat spp.	<i>Eriogonum spp.</i>	up to 5%	1
Dotted gayfeather	<i>Liatris punctata</i>	up to 5%	7.5
Milkvetch spp.	<i>Astragalus spp.*</i>	up to 5%	8
Purple prairie clover	<i>Dalea purpurea</i> *	up to 5%	3.5
Scarlet globemallow	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	up to 5%	2
Silvireleaf scurfpea	<i>Psoralea argophylla</i> *	up to 5%	4

Shrubs: 30% of total mix (Choose at least 2, one of which is Wyoming big sagebrush):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Greasewood	<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>	up to 10%	0.5
Gardner's saltbush	<i>Atriplex gardneri</i>	up to 5%	1
Winterfat	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	up to 15%	6
Wyoming big sage	<i>Artemisia tridentata var. wyomingensis</i>	up to 10%	0.5

MCFO Group: Shallow**Grasses: 75% of total mix (Choose at least 4, one of which must be western wheatgrass):**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Blue grama	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	up to 5%	2
Bluebunch wheatgrass	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	up to 20%	7
Green needlegrass	<i>Nassella viridula</i>	up to 15%	6
Little bluestem	<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	up to 5%	4
Needle-and-thread	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	up to 15%	9
Plains muhly	<i>Muhlenbergia cuspidata</i>	up to 10%	4
Prairie junegrass	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	up to 10%	1
Prairie sandreed	<i>Calamovilfa longifolia</i>	up to 15%	4.5
Sandberg's bluegrass	<i>Poa secunda</i>	up to 10%	1
Sideoats grama	<i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i>	up to 5%	6
Threadleaf sedge	<i>Carex filifolia</i>	up to 5%	5
Western wheatgrass	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	up to 20%	10

Forbs: 10% of total mix (Choose at least 4, two of which are nitrogen fixers*):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Black Sampson	<i>Echinacea angustifolia</i>	up to 5%	7
Hood's phlox	<i>Phlox hoodii</i>	up to 5%	6
Penstemon spp.	<i>penstemon spp.</i>	up to 5%	2.5
Purple prairie clover	<i>Dalea purpurea*</i>	up to 5%	3.5
Silvleaf scurfpea	<i>Psoralea argophylla*</i>	up to 5%	4
White prairie clover	<i>Dalea candida*</i>	up to 5%	4

Shrubs: 15% of total mix (Choose at least 2, one of which is Wyoming big sagebrush):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Prairie rose	<i>Rosa woodsii</i>	up to 5%	4
Skunkbush sumac	<i>Rhus trilobata</i>	up to 5%	2
Winterfat	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	up to 10%	6
Wyoming big sage	<i>Artemisia tridentata var. wyomingensis</i>	up to 5%	0.5
Yucca	<i>Yucca glauca</i>	up to 5%	8

MCFO Group: Wet**Grasses: 80% of total mix (Choose at least 4, one of which must be western wheatgrass):**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Big bluestem	<i>Andropogon gerardii</i>	up to 15%	8
Prairie cordgrass	<i>Spartina pectinata</i>	up to 25%	6
Slender wheatgrass	<i>Elymus trachycaulus</i>	up to 25%	7
Western wheatgrass	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	up to 25%	10

In Wet meadow and Subirrigated site these additional species are available

Baltic rush	<i>Juncus arcticus littoralis</i>	up to 5%	5
Clustered field sedge	<i>Carex praegracilis</i>	up to 5%	5
Nebraska sedge	<i>Carex nebrascensis</i>	up to 5%	5
Slender rush	<i>Juncus tenuis</i>	up to 20%	5
Wooly sedge	<i>Carex pellita</i>	up to 5%	5

Forbs: 10% of total mix (Choose at least 2):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Field mint	<i>Mentha arvensis</i>	up to 5%	1
Horsemint	<i>Monarda fistulosa</i>	up to 5%	1.5
Aster spp.	<i>Symphyotrichum spp.</i>	up to 5%	1
Northwest cinquefoil	<i>Potentilla gracilis</i>	up to 5%	2

Shrubs: 10% of total mix (Choose at least 2, one of which must be Chokecherry):

Common Name	Species of Seed	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Chokecherry	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>	up to 5%	10
Golden currant	<i>Ribes aureum</i>	up to 5%	0.5
Wood's rose	<i>Rosa woodsii</i>	up to 5%	4
Silver buffaloberry	<i>Shepherdia argentea</i>	up to 5%	0.5
Peachleaf willow	<i>Salix amygdaloides</i>	up to 5%	0.5

MCFO Group: Badlands**Select all species**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Percent of Total Mix	Pounds PLS/acre
Blue grama	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	5%	0.6
Inland saltgrass	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	5%	1.5
Slender wheatgrass	<i>Elymus trachycaulus</i>	5%	1.5
Western wheatgrass	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	30%	7.0
Indian ricegrass	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	20%	5.0
Needle-and-thread	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	10%	3.0
Buckwheat spp	<i>Eriogonum spp.</i>	5%	1.0
Scarlet globemallow	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	5%	2
American vetch	<i>Vicia americana</i>	5%	3
Wyoming big sage	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i> spp. <i>wyomingensis</i>	10%	0.5

USFS Seed Mix

#37-28A Seed Mixture (Revised 04/15/2016)

Seeding Rate Guidelines Scenario #13 All Sites

			A	B	C	D	E
Species	Preferred Cultivar, Ecotype, or Germplasm	Common Name	% of Mix	Number Seed per lb.	Number Seed per ft ²	Number Seed per acre	Drilled PLS lb./acre
Cool Season Grasses:							
<i>Elymus canadensis</i>	Mandan	Canada wildrye	0.15	115,000	7.5	326,700	2.8
<i>Nassella viridula</i>	Lodorm	Green needlegrass	0.20	180,000	10.0	435,600	2.4
<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	Rodan	Western wheatgrass	0.25	112,000	12.5	544,500	4.9
Warm Season Grasses							
<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	Bad River	Blue grama	0.10	750,000	5.0	217,800	0.3
<i>Calamovilfa longifolia</i>	Goshen	Prairie sandreed	0.10	275,000	5.0	217,800	0.8
<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	Badlands	Little bluestem	0.10	286,000	5.0	217,800	0.8
Alternate Warm Season (for one of above species)							
<i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i>	Pierre	Sideoats grama	0.10	180,000	5.0	217,800	1.2
Forbs							
<i>Dalea purpurea</i>	Local	Purple prairieclover	0.04	290,000	1.8	78,408	0.25
OR							
<i>Dalea candida</i>	Antelope	White prairieclover	0.04	278,000	1.8	78,408	0.3
<i>Helianthus pauciflorus</i>	Bismarck	Stiff sunflower	0.03	85,000	1.4	60,984	0.7
OR							
<i>Solidago rigida</i>	Local	Stiff goldenrod	0.03	656,000	1.4	60,984	0.1
<i>Echinacea angustifolia</i>	Bismarck	Purple coneflower	0.03	120,000	1.4	60,984	0.5
OR							
<i>Ratibida columnifera</i>	Local	Prairie coneflower	0.03	737,000	1.4	60,984	0.1
Totals			100%		49.6	Forbs 13.4 Alternate Forbs (12.5)	

Seeding Rates Formulas

A - % of Mix

B - Number of seeds per lb.

C - Number of seeds per ft² (C = A x 50)

D - Number of seeds per acre (D = C x 43560)

E - Drilled Pure Live Seed (PLS) lb./acre (E = D / B)

(SEE BACK PAGE)

- ❖ Use of Pure Live Seed (PLS) for calculating seed mixtures.
 - Planting is based on approximately 50 seed per square foot and/or 12-16 pounds PLS per acre.
 - All of the seed mixtures in this guide reflect the rate of PLS for each species per acre. These rates were derived using three basic figures: percent of each species desired by composition, number of seeds per pound according to species, and total number of PLS per square foot.
 - The following equation should be used to calculate how much seed is needed to provide the required pounds of PLS needed.

$$\% \text{ Purity} \times \text{Germination Rate } \% = \% \text{ PLS}$$

$$\text{Pounds of PLS Desired divided by } \% \text{ PLS} = \text{Pounds of Seed Required}$$

An example of this is: 10 lbs. of PLS is required. The given seed lot for this species has a purity of 95% and a germination rate of 85%. How many pounds of seed will be necessary to have 10 PLS?

$$.95 \text{ (Purity)} \times .85 \text{ (germination rate)} = .81 \text{ (\% PLS)}$$

$$10 \text{ (required poundage) divided by } .81 \text{ (\%PLS)} = 12.3$$

12.3 pounds of seed will be necessary to provide 10 lbs PLS of seed.

- ❖ Cultivars listed in the second column are preferred, but local seed collections grown for harvest are acceptable if performance and origin are certified or documented. **All seed sources should be derived from local collections and grown in a general area extending 300 miles north and 200 miles south of the area to be reclaimed**, within similar elevation and precipitation zones as western North Dakota, i.e., from Jamestown on the east to Billings, MT on the west.
- ❖ It is vital that seed suppliers provide the most consistent and reliable sources of locally produced seed in order to meet the standards for native seed mixes used for reclamation work.
- ❖ Seeding depth should be 1/4 inch or less for drilled seed.
- ❖ For broadcast seeding, multiply pounds of each species seeded by 1.5. The seed bed should be thoroughly worked and firm.
- ❖ The best average seeding dates for cool and warm season mixes is May – June. Note that seeding in the fall during the dormant period is discouraged, as it is likely to result in poor establishment of warm season species. However; if seeding during the dormant period in the fall is necessary, Forest Service staff reserve the right to evaluate the seeding in the spring, and where establishment appears weak or poor, may recommend inter-seeding with warm season species.
- ❖ The seed mix may need to be adjusted due to site characteristics and/or lack of available seed for some species. In the latter case, adjust the species seeding rates by the formulas below table to obtain approximately 50 seed per square foot and/or 12-16 lbs. of PLS per acre for drilled seed and 18-24 lbs. per acre for broadcast seeding.
- ❖ Note: The following steps/documents are required per Conditions of Approval #28 B, Certification & Reporting
 1. Report of Seeding (#37-28B)
 2. Certification of Seed Mixture from the Seed Company, and seed tags from bags or a copy of seed tags for all planted material must be submitted to the appropriate Medora or McKenzie Ranger Districts.
- ❖ Call the appropriate Medora or McKenzie Ranger Districts with any questions.
 - Medora Ranger District: 701-227-7800.
 - McKenzie Ranger District: 701-842-8500

North Dakota Department of Land Trust Seed Mix

**NORTH DAKOTA
BOARD OF UNIVERSITY & SCHOOL LANDS**
(ND Department of Trust Lands)

Native Grass Seeding Specifications

<u>Species</u>	<u>lbs.</u> <u>PLS*/acre</u>
Western wheatgrass	8
Slender wheatgrass	5
Green needlegrass	4
Side-oats grama	<u>2</u>
	19

*PLS - Pure Live Seed (based on 50 PLS/sq. feet)

1. The seed bed should be firmly packed (footprints left in the soil should be less than 1/2 inch deep).
2. An early spring seeding (before May 24th) is preferred. A dormant fall seeding (after October 20th) is acceptable.
3. A cover crop of oats at 10 lbs. PLS/acre must be seeded on the disturbed area.
4. A drill designed specifically for native grass seeding will give the best seeding results. The seed should be planted at a depth of 1/2 to 1 inch. Precaution must be taken not to plant the seed too deeply in the soil or poor germination will result.
5. On areas where equipment cannot be used, broadcast seed and rake or drag to cover seed. Where seed is broadcast, double the seeding rate.
6. Use only North Dakota certified seed.

Caution: Be sure to clean out the drill before seeding to avoid any contamination with smooth brome grass or crested wheatgrass that may remain in the drill from previous use on private land. These are invasive grasses in native prairie and are not allowed on school trust lands. Contamination with or use of crested wheatgrass or smooth brome will result in the applicant being required to spray out the grass and reseed with the above native grass seed mixture. Sweet clover and alfalfa are also not allowed – only the above native grass seed mixture may be used for revegetation on school trust land.

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT

ATTACHMENT O

Montana Greater Sage Grouse Mitigation Plan



NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR

A Grid United Project

Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Mitigation Plan

Prepared by:

North Plains Connector LLC



July 2026

**NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR LLC
NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR PROJECT
MONTANA GREATER SAGE-GROUSE MITIGATION PLAN**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PLAN PURPOSE	1
3.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	2
3.1.	STATE OF MONTANA	2
3.1.1.	Montana Greater Sage-grouse Stewardship Act	2
3.1.2.	Montana Executive Orders	2
3.2.	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT	3
4.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	5
4.1.	GENERAL HABITAT	6
4.2.	SAGE-GROUSE HABITAT	7
4.2.1.	Habitat Assessment	7
4.2.2.	Project Surveys and Active Leks	8
5.0	PROJECT ADHERENCE TO THE MITIGATION HIERARCHY	9
5.1.	AVOIDANCE	9
5.2.	MINIMIZATION	9
5.2.1.	Project Siting	10
5.2.2.	Project Design	11
5.2.3.	Project Construction and Operation	12
5.3.	RECLAMATION	13
5.4.	COMPENSATORY MITIGATION	13
5.4.1.	Raw Habitat Quantification Tool Score	14
5.4.2.	Policy Multipliers	14
5.4.3.	Mitigation Debit Obligation	15
5.4.4.	Mechanism Selected to Fulfill the Mitigation Obligation	15
6.0	PLAN REVISIONS	16
7.0	REFERENCES	17

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1-1	Land Cover Types within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance in Montana	6
Table 4.2-1	Sage-grouse Habitats within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance	7
Table 4.2-2	Active Sage-grouse Leks within 2 Miles of the Project Limits-of-Disturbance	8
Table 5.4-1	Estimated Raw Habitat Quantification Tool (HQT) Output	14
Table 5.4-2	Policy Multipliers Applied to the North Plains Connector Project	15
Table 5.4-3	Estimated Mitigation Debit Obligation for the North Plains Connector Project	15
Table 6.0-1	Plan Revisions	16

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A. Habitat Quantification Tool Results for the North Plains Connector Project
- Appendix B. Land Cover, Habitat Areas, and Sage Grouse Habitat Quality along the North Plains Connector Project
- Appendix C. Confirmed Active Greater Sage-grouse Leks within 2.0 Miles of the North Plains Connector Project Limits of Disturbance

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

APLIC	Avian Powerline Interaction Committee
2015 ARMP	Bureau of Land Management's Miles City Field Office Approved Resource Management Plan
2025 GRSG ARMP	Bureau of Land Management's Greater Sage-Grouse Rangewide Planning Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment for Montana and the Dakotas
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CMRP	Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan
Crucial Winter Range	Greater Sage-Grouse Crucial Winter Range
EO	Montana Executive Order
GHMA	General Habitat Management Areas
HQT	Habitat Quantification Tool
HQT Manual	Habitat Quantification Tool Technical Manual for Greater Sage- Grouse V.1 2018
LMHMA	Little Missouri Habitat Management Area
MCA	Montana Code Annotated
MFWP	Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks
MMST	Montana Mitigation Stakeholders Team
MSGOT	Montana Sage Grouse Oversight Team
North Plains	North Plains Connector LLC
PHMA	Priority Habitat Management Areas
Plan	Greater Sage-Grouse Mitigation Plan
Policy Guidance	Montana Mitigation System Policy Guidance Document for Greater Sage-grouse V.1 2018
Program	Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program
Project	North Plains Connector Project
sage-grouse	greater sage-grouse
Stewardship Account	Sage-Grouse Stewardship Account
Stewardship Act	Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Stewardship Act
WEST	Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

North Plains Connector LLC (North Plains), a Delaware limited liability company formed under Section 18-201 of the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, has prepared this Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Mitigation Plan (Plan) for the North Plains Connector Project (Project), a proposed interregional electric connector line system. North Plains is an affiliate of Grid United LLC, a Houston-based company developing next generation energy infrastructure to power the future. Grid United LLC is focused on the infrastructure needed to make the United States power grid more modern, efficient, reliable, and secure.

The Project is an approximately 422-mile-long high-voltage direct current electric transmission line system and associated infrastructure designed to connect the eastern and western energy grids in the United States. As proposed, the Project will extend from near Colstrip in southeastern Montana to two separate end points in southwestern North Dakota – one near the town of Center and the other near St. Anthony. In Montana, the Project is located in Custer, Fallon, and Rosebud counties and crosses lands owned by state and federal agencies, as well as private landowners.

2.0 PLAN PURPOSE

North Plains has prepared this Plan to demonstrate North Plains' compliance with Montana Executive Orders (EOs) 12-2015 and 21-2015, and the Montana Greater Sage-Grouse Stewardship Act of 2015 (76-22-101, *et seq.*, Montana Code Annotated (MCA); Stewardship Act). The Plan summarizes the steps North Plains will take to avoid and minimize potential Project impacts to greater sage-grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*; hereafter, sage-grouse) in Montana. The Plan also includes a description of the Project's compensatory mitigation, as determined in coordination with the Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (Program) within the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation.

North Plains held initial meetings with the Program between October 2021 and April 2022 to introduce the Project, request feedback on preliminary routing, and discuss potential survey needs. Since then, North Plains has coordinated with the Program to identify potential impacts to sage-grouse and calculate required mitigation offsets using the Program's Habitat Quantification Tool (HQT), which was developed by a diverse group of stakeholders to quantify impacts to sage-grouse.

This Plan was developed based on consultation with the Program, including HQT evaluations, EOs 12-2015 and 21-2015, and the Montana Mitigation System Policy Guidance Document for Greater Sage-Grouse V.1 2018 (Policy Guidance) (Montana Mitigation Stakeholders Team [MMST], 2018a). The Program issued a consultation letter and mitigation plan for the Project on July 14, 2026; however, coordination with the Program to determine the Project's mitigation fulfillment methods is ongoing. Should Project modifications become necessary, North Plains will coordinate with the Program to identify revised mitigation needs and ensure potential impacts are addressed in compliance with the EOs and Stewardship Act.

The Project also crosses lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and is additionally subject to BLM's sage-grouse conservation guidance where the Project crosses BLM lands. This Plan primarily focuses on sage-grouse conservation as governed by the State of Montana's EOs and Stewardship Act, but incorporates BLM guidance, where applicable based on the similarities between the State of Montana's and BLM's sage-grouse strategies. The results

of further coordination with BLM will be included in future Plan updates and/or the Project's Plan of Development, as appropriate.

3.0 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

This section provides a brief overview of federal and state legislation and regulatory compliance applicable to a transmission line sited within sage-grouse habitat. North Plains will conduct Project activities in accordance with applicable state and federal agency regulations.

3.1. STATE OF MONTANA

The sage-grouse is protected and managed in Montana under EOs 12-2015 and 21-2015 and the Stewardship Act, which collectively establish Montana's Sage Grouse Conservation Strategy. These regulations outline the state's efforts to proactively address sage-grouse habitat and population declines, with the shared goal of providing conservation and management guidance to prevent the need for Endangered Species Act listing.

Montana's Sage Grouse Conservation Strategy considers proposed development activities within sage-grouse habitats through a sequential hierarchy of conservation actions, including impact avoidance, minimization, reclamation, and compensatory mitigation. The strategy prioritizes impact avoidance within sage-grouse habitat to the extent possible, followed by minimization of unavoidable impacts, reclamation or restoration of impacted areas post-disturbance, and finally, offsetting remaining impacts through permittee-responsible mitigation or the purchase of mitigation credits through the Sage-Grouse Stewardship Account (Stewardship Account). Overall, Montana's Sage Grouse Conservation Strategy aims for no net loss (net gain preferred) of sage-grouse habitat by implementing this hierarchy.

3.1.1. Montana Greater Sage-grouse Stewardship Act

The Stewardship Act was passed by the Montana Legislature in 2015 to provide funds for sage-grouse conservation and establish the Montana Sage Grouse Oversight Team (MSGOT) to oversee the implementation of Montana's Sage Grouse Conservation Strategy via Program activities (see Section 3.1.2). MSGOT is attached to the Governor's Office and its membership includes an appointee from the Governor's Office, the directors or administrators of several state agencies, a representative from the rangeland resources committee, and two legislative liaisons.

MSGOT also oversees the Stewardship Account (see 76-22-111(1)(b)(ii), MCA). The purpose of the Stewardship Account is to generate credits that can be purchased to offset impacts related to project development. Funds deposited into the account are used to maintain, enhance, and/or restore sage-grouse habitat.

3.1.2. Montana Executive Orders

The Montana EOs require projects undergoing state permitting (including projects seeking a Montana Major Facilities Siting Act certificate) to conduct a consistency review and follow requirements under the EOs. Montana EO 12-2015 was developed to update sage-grouse conservation strategies originally described in EO 10-2014, such as land use and development regulations, and provide stipulations for activities occurring within sage-grouse habitat including seasonal use limitations and industry-specific development requirements.

The Program was created under EOs 10-2014 and 12-2015 to implement the Stewardship Act and EOs through partnerships with a variety of stakeholders, including state and federal agencies and private landowners. The Program provides guidance to other state agencies in Montana and coordinates with them to minimize impacts to sage-grouse habitat from activities that require a state authorization or permit. It also provides technical assistance and support for applications seeking Stewardship Account grants. As part of their mission, the Program consults with developers to review proposed activities, provide recommendations, and provide HQT results to quantify the loss of functional sage-grouse habitat as a result of development actions.

Habitat areas defined statewide in EO 12-2015 include the following:

- Core Area Habitats (Core Areas) contain sagebrush habitat of the highest conservation priority for sage-grouse and contain the greatest number of sage-grouse leks.
- Connectivity Area Habitats (Connectivity Areas) provide important pathways between sage-grouse populations, particularly between Core Areas or other priority populations in adjacent states and across international borders.
- General Habitats provide suitable habitat for sage-grouse nesting, brood-rearing, and wintering, but are not identified as Core or Connectivity Areas.

Spatial data and maps of these areas are maintained and published by Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (MFWP) (MFWP, 2023a). EO 12-2015 only applies in habitats designated as Core Areas, General Habitat, or Connectivity Areas in Montana.

EO 21-2015 provided revised maps containing corrections to the habitat areas originally included in EO 12-2015. North Plains utilized the Core Areas, Connectivity Areas, and General Habitat depicted in EO 21-2015 during Project planning.

3.2. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

The sage-grouse is protected on BLM-managed lands under the 2025 Greater Sage-Grouse Rangewide Planning Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment for Montana and the Dakotas (2025 GRSG ARMP) (BLM, 2025).

In December 2025, the BLM published the Record of Decision for the 2025 GRSG ARMP, effectively superseding the 2015 Miles City Field Office Approved Resource Management Plan (2015 ARMP¹; BLM, 2025). The 2025 GRSG ARMP was created to incorporate new science into management decisions and to address sage-grouse habitat loss and population declines across the species range.

The sage-grouse management plans and development guidance described in the 2025 GRSG ARMP typically apply only to lands managed by the BLM. Within BLM-managed lands, the 2025 GRSG ARMP defines two rangewide sage-grouse habitat management areas and three Montana/Dakotas-specific unique habitat management areas. The habitat management areas near the Project are described below:

¹ For actions not related to sage-grouse, the 2015 ARMP remains in effect. The 2025 GRSG ARMP replaced only the sage-grouse portions of the 2015 ARMP.

- Priority Habitat Management Areas (PHMA) have the highest value to support and maintain sage-grouse populations and closely resemble the Core Areas defined in Montana EOs 12-2015 and 21-2025.
- General Habitat Management Areas (GHMA) may require special management to sustain sage-grouse populations and are similar to the General Habitat defined in Montana EOs 12-2015 and 21-2025.
- Little Missouri Habitat Management Area (LMHMA) is a unique habitat management area containing high-quality sage grouse habitat in southeastern Montana and the remaining sage-grouse habitat in southwestern North Dakota.

BLM-managed lands without a habitat management area designation are classified as non-habitat, though sage-grouse may still be found in these areas.

Certain activities produce more disturbance than others and therefore may only be permitted in specific habitat management areas. Excluded activities are those that are not permitted on BLM lands unless specific exception criteria are met. Avoidance activities may be permitted by BLM, assuming the activity adheres to pre-determined avoidance, minimization, and mitigation efforts. Open activities are also permitted by BLM, but avoidance, minimization, and mitigation efforts are still required.

According to the 2025 GRSG ARMP, major rights-of-way should be avoided in GHMA within 1.2 miles of active leks and Sage-Grouse Crucial Winter Range (Crucial Winter Range); however, transmission line construction may be permitted on BLM-managed lands, based on the application of appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures. The remaining portions of the GHMA (outside Crucial Winter Range and over 1.2 miles from active leks) are open for development and subject to the habitat objectives described in the 2025 GRSG ARMP. PHMA and LHMA are also avoidance areas for major rights-of-way, and surface disturbing or disruptive activities are excluded within 0.6 miles of leks in both PHMA and LMHMA. However, as with GHMA, appropriate measures and exclusion criteria may be applied in PHMA to allow for development of major-rights-of-way.

Sage-grouse habitat objectives described in the 2025 GRSG ARMP include 1) providing suitable habitat by managing for connected mosaics of sagebrush and areas that provide seasonal habitats, dispersal, and migration for sage-grouse, while limiting widespread anthropogenic disturbance and fragmentation; and, 2) managing habitat management areas to provide seasonal habitats at the Habitat Assessment Framework site scale (Stiver et al., 2015) by providing habitat that supports seasonal needs including cover and food.

Where potential impacts to sage-grouse occur on BLM-managed lands, the 2025 GRSG ARMP requires that projects implement Montana's sage-grouse mitigation hierarchy to avoid and minimize habitat loss. The 2025 GRSG ARMP emphasizes avoiding and minimizing habitat loss to achieve the standard of no functional net loss. Mitigation due to impacts on BLM-managed lands, including debits to achieve the no net loss standard, can be evaluated using the Program's HQT. Mitigation must persist and should be prioritized in the area surrounding the disturbance, whenever possible.

4.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

As proposed, the Project will extend approximately 422 miles from near Colstrip, Montana, to two separate end points in North Dakota. The Project will include a high-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission line system and related facilities that will provide up to 3,000 megawatts of transfer capacity at 525 kilovolts (kV) between the eastern and western grids. Specifically, the Project will connect the Midcontinent Independent System Operator and Southwest Power Pool on the eastern grid with the Western Electricity Coordinating Council electrical power markets on the western grid.

In Montana, the Project will consist of the following:

- Modifications at the existing Colstrip Substation in Rosebud County, which is owned and operated by NorthWestern Energy. The existing Colstrip Substation will be modified to interconnect the Rosebud Transmission Line by installing equipment within and adjacent to the substation to accommodate the interconnection.
- A new 500-kV extra high voltage alternating current electrical transmission line in Rosebud County, Montana (Rosebud Transmission Line). The new line will consist of two separate, parallel circuits, each approximately 3 miles long. The line will extend east from the existing Colstrip Substation to the new Rosebud County Switchyard associated with the new Rosebud County Converter Station.
- One new alternating / direct current converter station in Rosebud County, Montana (Rosebud County Converter Station). The converter station will connect the eastern terminus of the Rosebud Transmission Line to the western terminus of the new 525-kV HVDC Transmission Line. Additionally, two 0.3- and 0.4-mile single-circuit lines connect the switchyard to the converter station; these lines and the switchyard are collectively part of the Rosebud County Converter Station.
- A new 174-mile HVDC Transmission Line rated up to 525 kV extending east from the new Rosebud County Converter Station in Rosebud County near Colstrip, Montana to the Montana-North Dakota state line in Fallon County.

Additionally, the Project includes a right-of-way centered on each transmission line. The Project rights-of-way are typically 200 feet wide but may range from 150 to 200 feet to accommodate line neckdowns and co-location. North Plains will not use the entire right-of-way to construct the transmission lines. Instead, North Plains will perform construction activities within specific Project workspaces. The Project workspace and rights-of-way are collectively referred to as the limits-of-disturbance (LOD) in this document.

The Project workspaces include:

- permanent structure foundations and associated temporary structure pad workspaces, typically located entirely within the Project right-of-way;
- temporary workspaces for guard structures;

- temporary contractor laydown yards and helicopter fly yards²;
- access roads both within and outside of the rights-of-way, including new temporary and permanent access roads, existing access roads with and without improvements, and temporary overland travel paths;
- temporary workspace for pulling and tensioning sites extending outside of the right-of-way; and
- temporary and/or permanent workspaces for the Rosebud County Converter Station and Rosebud County Switchyard.

The total construction timeframe for the Project is anticipated to be approximately three to four years. Transmission line construction will be concurrent with the construction of the converter stations and switchyards. North Plains anticipates pre-construction activities such as tree felling and access road improvements may begin in late 2027, followed by construction in 2028 and placing the facility in service by the end of 2032. Construction is anticipated to occur year-round, weather permitting, except for areas that have applicable timing restrictions to protect sensitive species and their associated habitats or applicable permit conditions.

4.1. GENERAL HABITAT

The Project lies within the Northwestern Great Plains level III ecoregion, which encompasses portions of eastern Montana, western North Dakota and South Dakota, northeastern Wyoming, and northern Nebraska (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2013). This ecoregion is semiarid and characterized by rolling plains, sporadic buttes, and badlands. Much of the region was originally dominated by native grasslands, which are now fragmented but persist in rangeland patches. Agricultural uses, including rangeland and crop production, are prevalent. Land cover within the Project LOD is predominantly comprised of grassland (48.9%), shrubland and steppe (22.7%), and agriculture (11.8%) cover types; no other vegetation community individually makes up more than 5% of the Project LOD (Montana Natural Heritage Program, 2020) (see Table 4.1-1 and Figure B1 in Appendix B).

TABLE 4.1-1				
Land Cover Types within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance in Montana				
Land Cover or Vegetation Community Type	Project Workspace (acres) ^a	Right-of-Way (acres)	Project Limits-of-Disturbance Total (acres) ^b	Project Limits-of-Disturbance Total (percentage)
Grassland ^c	1,083.9	1,423.8	2,507.7	48.9
Shrubland and Steppe	514.9	646.5	1,161.5	22.7
Agriculture ^d	248.2	357.5	605.7	11.8
Forest and Woodland ^e	102.5	149.4	251.9	4.9
Sparse and Barren	69.9	103.4	173.2	3.4
Recently Disturbed or Modified ^f	72.8	91.7	164.5	3.2
Open Water/Wetland and Riparian	56.9	101.9	158.8	3.1
Developed ^g	18.8	44.4	63.2	1.2

² North Plains estimates that one fly yard will be needed per county crossed by the Project in Montana; however, workspaces for helicopter fly yards have not yet been sited and were not included in the HQT calculations. North Plains will seek approximately 5 acres for each helicopter fly yard, preferably within areas already identified for temporary construction disturbance, including pulling and tensioning sites, transmission structure work areas, other temporary workspaces, or contractor laydown yards.

TABLE 4.1-1				
Land Cover Types within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance in Montana				
Land Cover or Vegetation Community Type	Project Workspace (acres) ^a	Right-of-Way (acres)	Project Limits-of-Disturbance Total (acres) ^b	Project Limits-of-Disturbance Total (percentage)
Mining and Resource Extraction ^h	17.1	22.3	39.4	0.8
Grand Totalⁱ	2,185.0	2,940.8	5,125.8	100
^a Existing access roads with no planned improvements are only included in the provided acreages where this road type overlaps forested habitat in the right-of-way. ^b Combined Project workspace and right-of-way, with overlap removed. ^c Includes lowland and prairie grassland. ^d Includes cultivated crops and hay/pasture. ^e Includes woodlands and wooded draws and ravines. ^f Includes introduced vegetation and recently burned vegetation. ^g Includes commercial/industrial areas, developed open spaces, low intensity residential areas, and roads/railroads. ^h Includes quarries, strip mines and gravel pits. ⁱ Totals may not add up due to rounding.				
Data Source: Montana Natural Heritage Program, 2020.				

4.2. SAGE-GROUSE HABITAT

4.2.1. Habitat Assessment

North Plains reviewed the Project LOD relative to the habitat classifications described in Montana EOs 12-2015 and 21-2025 and the Stewardship Act: Core Areas, General Habitat, and Connectivity Areas.

The LOD does not cross any Core Areas or Connectivity Areas in Montana (Figure B2 in Appendix B). However, 90% (4,683 acres) of the Project LOD overlaps General Habitat composed of grassland, cropland, and scattered sagebrush (*Artemisia* spp.) (Figure B1 in Appendix B; Table 4.2-1).

TABLE 4.2-1		
Sage-grouse Habitats within the Project Limits-of-Disturbance		
Habitat Type	Acres	Percent
General Habitat	4,682.7	90%
Non-habitat ^a	443.1	10%
Core Area Habitat	0	0%
Connectivity Area Habitat	0	0%
Total	5,125.8	100%
^a Areas that are not mapped sage-grouse habitats. Source: Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, 2023b.		

Similarly, the Project LOD only crosses areas designated by the BLM as GHMA or non-habitat and avoids PHMA and LMHMA entirely (Figure B3 in Appendix B). BLM's GHMA was not calculated separately because it overlaps the Montana EOs General Habitat in the Project LOD.

Winter concentration areas, also known as Crucial Winter Range, are not defined in the EOs or Stewardship Act, nor are they mapped by MFWP (personal communication, B. Dorak, MFWP). Crucial Winter Range is analyzed by the BLM using the best available science (personal communication, D. Wood, BLM); based on the best available information, the Project LOD does not cross Crucial Winter Range (Foster et al., 2014).

4.2.2. Project Surveys and Active Leks

On behalf of North Plains, Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc. (WEST) completed two years of aerial lek surveys in Montana in 2022 and 2023, using survey methods developed in coordination with BLM and MFWP to search for previously unknown leks and document activity at known leks. The survey area encompassed a 2-mile-buffer on either side of the Project centerline at the time of each survey. MFWP lek data (2021 and 2023b) were obtained prior to Project surveys, and known leks within 2 miles of the Project centerline were surveyed.

During Project surveys, observers documented lekking activity at three lek sites included in the MFWP dataset, as well as at one newly identified location within 2 miles of the Project LOD (Table 4.2-2). In addition, the MFWP dataset (2023b) identifies another four confirmed active leks within 2 miles of the Project LOD; however, no lekking activity was observed at these four sites during Project surveys in 2022 or 2023 (Table 4.2-2).

In 2026, MFWP conducted spring lek surveys to revisit leks previously identified as active³ during Project surveys. Based on those surveys, MFWP confirmed one Project lek (MT-236) as active according to the MFWP's definitions. Only confirmed active leks⁴ within 2 miles of the Project LOD are discussed in this Plan. For full survey results, see the *2022 Greater Sage-grouse and Sharp-tailed Grouse Lek Survey Report* (Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc. [WEST], 2023a) and the *2023 Greater Sage-grouse and Sharp-tailed Grouse Lek Survey Report* (WEST, 2023b).

TABLE 4.2-2			
Active Sage-grouse Leks within 2 miles of the Project Limits-of-Disturbance			
MFWP Lek ID	Project Lek Survey ID	Land Ownership	County
3139486 ^a	MT-015	Private	Rosebud
3141666	-	Private	Custer
3142139 ^a	MT-095	BLM	Fallon
3142692	-	BLM	Fallon
3143225 ^b	-	Private	Custer
3143291 ^a	MT-208	Private	Custer
3464909	-	Private	Fallon
Unknown	MT-236	Private	Custer
^a These MFWP leks were observed as active during Project surveys.			
^b This lek is located within 2 miles of Project workspaces, including access roads, but is not within 2 miles of the transmission line.			
Source: Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, 2023b; Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc., 2023a,b			

³ To provide a single-season status for leks observed during Project surveys, WEST defined an active lek status as having two or more grouse present during at least one round of Project survey.

⁴ MFWP, who manages Montana's sage-grouse lek data, defines a confirmed active lek as having two or more males displaying, or evidence of displaying (vegetation tramping, droppings, feathers), within 10 years of initial observation. MFWP provides the definitive lek status for leks in Montana, and provides these leks to the Program for inclusion in HQT analyses.

5.0 PROJECT ADHERENCE TO THE MITIGATION HIERARCHY

As described above, Montana's Sage Grouse Conservation Strategy requires the Project implement the mitigation hierarchy to consider sage-grouse conservation where proposed Project activities may impact sage-grouse habitat. North Plains reviewed the guidance applicable to the Project based on EO 12-2015, the Policy Guidance, and the Montana Mitigation System Habitat Quantification Tool Technical Manual for Greater Sage-Grouse V.1 (HQT Manual).

North Plains' approach to each step in the hierarchy and measures the Project will employ to adhere with this guidance are described below. Accordingly, the Project has prioritized the avoidance of sage-grouse habitat and active leks to the extent possible (see Section 5.1), and will minimize (Section 5.2) and reclaim (Section 5.3) impacts to sage-grouse habitat where avoidance is not feasible. Where impacts remain following avoidance, minimization, and reclamation, North Plains will achieve no net loss of sage-grouse habitat through compensatory mitigation, which could include a combination of permittee-responsible mitigation and/or the purchase of credits from the Stewardship Account (Section 5.4).

5.1. AVOIDANCE

As noted above, the Project LOD avoids the highest priority sage-grouse conservation habitat, EO-designated Core Areas, as well as linkages to those habitats within the Connectivity Areas. It is not practicable to avoid General Habitat, but the Project will apply minimization measures, as described in Sections 5.2 and 5.3.

Since most of the Project LOD crosses General Habitat (see Figure B1 in Appendix B), North Plains applied the following Project-wide avoidance measures during the Project siting process in Montana:

- Where possible, based on existing constraints, North Plains adjusted the route and access roads to avoid siting Project infrastructure within a 2-mile buffer of active sage-grouse leks. This buffer was applied to confirmed active leks provided by MFWP and confirmed active leks identified during Project and MFWP surveys (collectively, active leks).
- Where the Project is sited within 2 miles of an active sage-grouse lek, the Project right-of-way and workspaces have been designed to avoid disturbance within 0.25-mile of an active sage-grouse lek, referred to as the No Surface Occupancy area.

Many factors impact siting of infrastructure, such as landowner participation and requests, existing infrastructure, constructability and accessibility, and consideration of impacts to other sensitive resources. Because of these factors, the Project was unable to completely avoid impacts to sage-grouse habitat within 2 miles of eight active leks, including two leks on BLM-managed lands (Table 4.2-2).

5.2. MINIMIZATION

Impacts to sage-grouse from Project development will be minimized by the application of Project-specific commitments during Project siting, design, construction, and operation.

5.2.1. Project Siting

Where avoidance was not possible, North Plains implemented additional measures during Project siting to minimize disturbance to sage-grouse habitats and active leks. Project siting measures aimed to reduce overall impacts to sage-grouse by co-locating development in areas with existing impacts and limiting Project infrastructure in undisturbed habitats:

- The Project was co-located with existing infrastructure, such as transmission lines and roadways, where feasible.
- The Project right-of-way and workspaces were sited in areas with existing or past disturbance and/or low-quality sage-grouse habitat (per the Program), where feasible (see Figure B4 in Appendix B).
- To the extent possible, the Project will utilize existing two-tracks and roads for construction and operational access.

The eastern portion of the Project crosses sage-grouse habitat initially classified as low quality and then transitions into an area dominated by low- to mid-range habitat quality, as defined by the Program (Appendix B4).

The Project crosses General Habitat, as defined in the Montana EOs, and non-habitat. In General Habitat, the Project was co-located with existing roads and transmission lines to the extent possible. Co-location was defined as within 500 meters (1,640 feet) of moderate-traffic roads and within 1,000 meters (3,281 feet) of existing transmission or distribution structures. Approximately 70 miles (40%) of Project transmission line were co-located with existing roads or utility rights-of-way in General Habitat to minimize the potential for new impacts to sage-grouse habitat.

As noted in Section 5.1, the Project was unable to avoid crossing the 2-mile lek buffer for eight leks, including two leks on BLM land (Table 4.2-2). When development within a lek buffer cannot be avoided, the BLM may approve actions inside the buffer if the criteria for a justifiable departure can be met. The criteria for a justifiable departure include the following:

- 1) Using best available science, landscape features, and existing protections (e.g., land use allocations, state regulations), the BLM may determine that an alternative lek buffer provides equal or greater protection for sage-grouse and their seasonal habitat beyond the analyzed buffer;
- 2) The BLM determines the project minimizes impacts to sage-grouse and their habitats, resulting in little or no new disturbance (e.g., co-located with existing authorizations); or
- 3) Residual impacts within lek buffers are offset through compensatory mitigation sufficient to achieve no net loss.

The Project has been co-located with existing disturbances to avoid impacting previously undisturbed leks to the extent practicable. Though Project infrastructure has been sited within 2 miles of eight leks, each of the 2-mile sage-grouse lek buffers contains a source of existing anthropogenic disturbance, such as road or transmission line (Appendix C). Of the two leks on BLM land (3142139 and 3142692), each has at least one existing transmission line within 2 miles,

suggesting that disturbance associated with transmission line development may already be present. Lek 3142139 has two existing transmission lines within the 2-mile buffer, including one approximately one mile to the west while the Project would be one mile to the south. This lek buffer is also crossed by a railroad and bisected by an existing two-track road that crosses within approximately 700 feet of the lek, within the No Surface Occupancy area. Lek 3142692 is surrounded by oil and gas development, including access roads and well pads within the No Surface Occupancy area; this site is also located between an existing transmission line to the west and State Highway 7 to the east, both of which are closer to the lek than the Project LOD (see Appendix C). Based on the existing disturbance near both of these leks, the Project is unlikely to result in new or significant disturbance to leks on BLM-managed lands. However, consistent with criteria number 3 above, North Plains will demonstrate no net loss of functional sage-grouse habitat through compensatory mitigation, given the presence of unavoidable Project impacts within 2 miles on BLM-managed lands (see Section 5.4).

5.2.2. Project Design

Once constructed, transmission lines may impact sage-grouse directly through collisions (e.g., Beck et al., 2006, Connelly et al., 2000), and indirectly through residual habitat loss and by providing nesting and perch locations for avian predators (Kohl et al., 2019, Gibson et al., 2018). However, collisions would likely be infrequent because sage-grouse prefer to walk and fly at low altitudes (LeBeau et al., 2019). To minimize impacts to sage-grouse during Project operation, North Plains designed the Project to align with the Avian Powerline Interaction Committee (APLIC) recommendations for siting transmission lines in sage-grouse habitat (APLIC, 2015).

Specifically, North Plains has implemented the following design considerations to reduce construction and operational impacts:

- Installation of steel monopole structures to limit potential nesting substrate for raptors and corvids (particularly within 2 miles of active leks) and to minimize the structure footprint, where practicable.
- Where monopole structures cannot be used due to construction constraints or design considerations such as steep terrain, limited access, or sharp turning angles, North Plains may instead use multi-pole or lattice structures. The use of these alternate structures will be minimized to the extent practicable within 2 miles of active leks.
- Prohibit the use of guy wires at Project transmission structures.
- Use long transmission line span lengths (up to approximately 1,200 feet) to minimize the number of structures that can potentially be available for use as perches or nest surfaces by avian predators.
- Limit the size of workspaces that will require vegetation removal, specifically the clearing of sagebrush habitat within General Habitat as part of construction activities, to the minimum area required by the Project for construction and/or operational maintenance.

5.2.3. Project Construction and Operation

Minimization measures applied during construction focus on reducing Project impacts on breeding sage-grouse that may be present within potentially suitable sage-grouse habitats along the Project, and typically include measures to reduce impacts on occupied habitat during sensitive reproductive periods when disturbance could directly affect reproductive success.

Specifically, North Plains will implement the following measures to reduce impacts to sage-grouse reproduction during Project construction and operation:

- To the maximum extent practicable, Project construction and operational activities taking place within 2 miles of an active lek will be completed outside the March 15 to July 15 seasonal use window.
 - o Project activities that warrant an exception include mandatory compliance-related and/or emergency activities (collectively referred to as Allowed Activities) such as site reconnaissance and inspections, equipment retrieval, use or maintenance of established access roads, and installing or fixing erosion control measures. Seeding and weed control activities may also occur, with prior coordination from the Program; however, these activities should be minimized to the extent practicable.
 - o Where construction or operational activities must occur within 2 miles of an active lek (outside of the No Surface Occupancy area) during the seasonal use window, North Plains may proceed with Allowed Activities between 8 AM and 6 PM only; Allowed Activities will not occur between 6 PM and 8 AM.
 - o Emergency response activities are exempt from this seasonal use restriction (Attachment F in Montana EO 12-2015). However, proper coordination with the Program will be conducted should a state permit or authorization be required retroactively to conduct these emergency response activities.
- Implement speed limit guidelines (typically 25 miles per hour or less on non-public access roads) to reduce the potential for vehicle collision with sage-grouse.
- Cut vegetation at or slightly above the ground surface, leaving rootstock and the seed bank in place.
- Minimize ground disturbance within temporary workspaces (e.g., structure pads) during Project construction.
- Implement the Fire Prevention Plan, including precautionary measures to reduce the likelihood of accidental ignition from Project activities.
- Develop and implement an Avian Protection Plan to guide nest management during Project operation, which may consider measures related to avian predator nests on structures, as warranted.

5.3. RECLAMATION

Montana EO 12-2015 states, “reclamation should re-establish native grasses, forbs and shrubs during interim and final reclamation to achieve cover, species composition, and life form diversity commensurate with the surrounding plant community or desired ecological condition to benefit sage-grouse and replace or enhance sage-grouse habitat.” After construction is complete, North Plains will reclaim areas of native habitat that are temporarily disturbed during Project construction (e.g., temporary workspaces) in accordance with the Project’s Construction, Mitigation, and Reclamation Plan (CMRP).

Applicable measures related to reclamation and restoration in the CMRP include:

- Restore native vegetation using certified weed-free seed mixes that include native plants with a high forb-to-grass ratio, developed in coordination with the Natural Resource Conservation Service on private lands and state- and federal land-managing agencies on public lands.
- Implement the Project’s Noxious Weed and Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan in Montana to avoid and minimize the spread of invasive or nuisance species along the Project.
- Reclaim new Project access roads within 2 miles of active leks to two-tracks, if use is necessary during Project operation. Where new Project access roads within 2 miles of active leks cannot be reclaimed to two-tracks, gates will be installed to restrict public access.

5.4. COMPENSATORY MITIGATION

Montana’s approach to compensatory mitigation is outlined in the Policy Guidance, and incorporates the stipulations described in Montana EOs 12-2025 and 21-2015. The HQT was developed to assess direct, indirect, temporary, and permanent disturbances to sage-grouse habitat near a given project, and convert these disturbances into a loss of functional habitat. The HQT calculates "debits" for the loss of habitat, which must be offset by conservation benefits or "credits" as a part of required mitigation offsets.

As previously mentioned, North Plains has been in coordination with the Program since 2021. As a part of this ongoing coordination, the Program has provided preliminary HQT results to estimate the Project’s potential impacts and compensatory mitigation. The following section summarizes the compensatory mitigation figures and estimates based on the Program’s May 2026 HQT results (Appendix A). Official compensatory mitigation numbers were provided in the Program’s consultation letter and mitigation plan on July 14, 2026.

The HQT calculations described in the consultation letter assumes a 50-year operational timeframe⁵ for the Project, including 3 years of construction.

⁵ The operational life of the Project could be longer than 50 years, in which case, the Project owner would coordinate with the Program to verify whether the calculations need to be amended at a future date.

5.4.1. Raw Habitat Quantification Tool Score

The Raw HQT Score represents the functional acres of sage-grouse habitat that would be lost by Project development. This calculation considers the quantity and quality of the potential sage-grouse habitat, including factors such as existing disturbance already present on the landscape; as well as Project type and the duration of Project impacts. Impacts are broken down by Project phase (construction, operations, and reclamation) and include estimated direct and indirect impacts for the life of the Project.

Using the Program's HQT results (Appendix A), the Project's estimated Raw HQT Score is 814,000.06 (Table 5.4-1).

TABLE 5.4-1			
Estimated Raw Habitat Quantification Tool (HQT) Output			
Habitat Type	Project Phase	Impact Area	Raw HQT Score
General Habitat	Construction	Direct Impact	2,941.66
		Indirect Impact	63,160.50
	Operations	Direct Impact	33,830.02
		Indirect Impact	695,727.78
	Reclamation	Direct Impact Only	18,340.10
	All Phases	Direct Impact Subtotal	55,111.78
		Indirect Impact Subtotal	758,888.28
	Total Raw HQT Score		814,000.06

5.4.2. Policy Multipliers

Once the Raw HQT Score is calculated, policy multipliers are added. Table 5.4-2 provides policy multipliers for all phases of the Project, based on the Program's Policy Guidance and EO 12-2015 (see Section 3.1.2).

The Raw HQT Score (Table 5.4-1) was multiplied by 20% to calculate Reserve Account debits and multiplied by 10% to calculate the Advanced Payment. The Reserve Account acts as a separate pool of credits to timely replace lost or impaired credits that are lost due to an event outside of North Plains' control (e.g. wildfire). The Advanced Payment includes a 10% administrative multiplier to account for the shift in the responsibility for securing credits from permittee to the Program; this multiplier is intended to incentivize voluntary permittee-responsible mitigation, which would be implemented prior to construction and negate the risk of mitigation time-lag. A net gain of 10% was also included for debits created in Montana EO General Habitat on federally managed lands to provide a net conservation benefit, as described in Section 3.2.

It is not practicable for the Project to fully avoid siting infrastructure within 2-mile lek buffers in General Habitat; thus, site-specific policy multipliers were added to the HQT score. The seven seasonal use multipliers are associated with year-round operations of the Project within 2 miles of confirmed active leks.

As shown in Table 5.4-2, the debit total is primarily driven by indirect operational impacts associated with the long-term presence of the transmission line and permanent facilities.

TABLE 5.4-2			
Policy Multipliers Applied to the North Plains Connector Project			
Policy Application			
Multiplier Type ^a	Specific Multiplier	General	Debits
Programmatic Multipliers (Construction, Operation and Reclamation Phases)	Reserve Account (20%)	1	162,800.01
	Advanced Payment (10%)	1	81,400.01
Federal Portion Only	Net Gain (10%)	1	7,985.01
Site-Specific EO Stipulation Deviation Multipliers (5% of the General Habitat Operation Phase)	Seasonal Use	7	255,345.23
Total Policy Multiplier Debits		10	507,530.26
^a The Reserve Account Contribution is accounted for through a mandatory 20% Reserve Account multiplier. This accounts for the fact that impacts are estimated. The Reserve Account also functions as a shared insurance pool so that credits may be replaced if credit sites do not produce as many credits as predicted or credits are lost due to an Act of God, such as a wildfire. An Advanced Payment of 10% is calculated from the total Raw HQT Score as an administrative fee associated with the shift in the responsibility of finding credits moving from the permittee to the Program. A Net Gain of 10% is applied for impacts located on federal lands, such as crossing BLM-managed lands. Calculations are based on only the portion of the Project crossing federal lands and may change as project siting continues. Site-Specific Impacts are addressed through a 5% multiplier for General Habitat for each aspect of a proposed project that is not consistent with the EO 12-2015 stipulations during either construction or operations phase of a project. ^b The number of multipliers applied to disturbances occurring in General Habitat. No disturbances occur in Core or Connectivity areas; therefore, no multipliers are applied for those areas. EO = (Montana) Executive Order, HQT = Habitat Quantification Tool			

5.4.3. Mitigation Debit Obligation

The mitigation debit obligation is 1,321,530.32 debits (Table 5.4-3), which is based on the total Raw HQT Score's functional acres lost (Table 5.4-1) plus the total policy multiplier debits (Table 5.4-2).

Table 5.4-3	
Mitigation Debit Obligation for the North Plains Connector Project	
Raw Habitat Quantification Tool Score	814,000.06
Policy Multiplier Debits	507,530.26
Total Debit Obligation	1,321,530.32

5.4.4. Mechanism Selected to Fulfill the Mitigation Obligation

Per Policy Guidance, the Project's estimated debit obligation requires an equivalent number of credits through a 1:1 ratio. North Plains currently proposes to fulfill the debit obligation by contributing to the Stewardship Account. Credits obtained through the Stewardship Account have a base rate of \$13/credit. The rate is decreased through the application of a 3% discount per year for the life of the Project. When taking this discount into effect, the average cost per credit for the life of the Project is \$6.87. As such, the Project's total mitigation obligation is \$9,033,401.92, if funded with Stewardship Account credits.

North Plains is also exploring options for permittee-responsible mitigation, which may reduce the total mitigation obligation offset through the Stewardship Account. Coordination with the Program

is ongoing and North Plains would seek Program approval of a site monitoring plan, if a permittee-responsible mitigation option is chosen.

Funds would be deposited after confirmation of approval for permits, but before construction begins. MSGOT and the Program would disburse these funds through the Stewardship Account grant process to conserve habitat and sage-grouse populations through offsite mitigation. The Project is in the Southeastern Service Area and MSGOT would be expected to apply the Project's mitigation funds to credit projects within this service area to provide conservation benefits to sage-grouse impacted by Project construction, reclamation, and operation.

6.0 PLAN REVISIONS

TABLE 6.0-1		
Plan Revisions		
Revision Number	Revision Type(s)	Revision Date
Rev 1	Initial draft plan	October 2025
Rev 2	Updated to incorporate Rev 8 route; respond to draft plan comments from BLM and the Program; and include the results of additional coordination with the Program.	July 2026

7.0 REFERENCES

- Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 2015. Best Management Practices for Electric Utilities in Sage-Grouse Habitat. APLIC and Edison Electric Institute, Washington, D. C. June 2015. Available online at: http://www.aplic.org/uploads/files/15646/SAGR%20BMP%20FINAL_June%202015.pdf.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2025. Greater Sage-Grouse Rangewide Planning Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment for Montana and the Dakotas. BLM, U.S. Department of the Interior. December 11, 2025. Available online at: https://eplanning.blm.gov/public_projects/2016719/200502020/20148709/251048689/Montana%20Dakotas%20GRSG%20ROD-ARMPA.pdf.
- Beck, J. L., K. P. Reese, J. W. Connelly, and M. B. Lucia. 2006. Movements and Survival of Juvenile Greater Sage-Grouse in Southeastern Idaho. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 34: 1070-1078.
- Connelly, J. W., A. D. Apa, R. B. Smith, and K. P. Reese. 2000. Effects of Predation and Hunting on Adult Sage Grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) in Idaho. *Wildlife Biology* 6(4): 227-232.
- Foster, M. A., J. T. Ensign, W. N. Davis, and D. C. Tribby. 2014. Greater Sage-Grouse in the Southeast Montana Sage-Grouse Core Area. MFWP and BLM. Available online at: <https://www.pinedaleonline.com/pdfs/sagegrousereport.pdf>.
- Gibson, D., E. J. Blomberg, M. T. Atamian, S. P. Espinosa, and J. S. Sedinger. 2018. Effects of Power Lines on Habitat Use and Demography of Greater Sage-Grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*). *Wildlife Monographs* 200: 1-41. doi: 10.1002/wmon.1034.
- Kohl, M. T., T. A. Messmer, B. A. Crabb, M. R. Guttery, D. K. Dahlgren, R. T. Larsen, S. N. Frey, S. Liguori, and R. J. Baxter. 2019. The Effects of Electric Power Lines on the Breeding Ecology of Greater Sage-Grouse. *PLoS ONE* 14(3): e0213669. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0209968.
- LeBeau, C. W., K. T. Smith, M. J. Holloran, J. L. Beck, M. E. Kauffman, and G. D. Johnson. 2019. Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat Function Relative to 230-kV Transmission Lines. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 83(8): 1173-1786. doi: 10.1002/jwmg.21749.
- Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks (MFWP). 2016. Executive Order Sage-grouse Layers (core, connectivity and general habitat). Available online at: https://gis-mtfwp.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/555fd21a0f7e43059ab7991d618b4897_0/explore. Accessed February 2024.
- MFWP. 2021. Greater Sage-grouse Leks 2004 – 2020. Received December 2021 from MFWP.
- MFWP. 2023a. Executive Order Sage-grouse Layers (Core, Connectivity, and General Habitat). Available online at: https://gis-mtfwp.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/555fd21a0f7e43059ab7991d618b4897_0/explore.
- MFWP. 2023b. Greater Sage-grouse Leks. Received December 2023 from MFWP.

- Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2020. Montana Landcover Framework (2010 - 2017). Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena, Montana. Available online at: [https://mslservices.mt.gov/Geographic Information/Data/DataList/datalist_Details.aspx?did=%7BB24A26F3-0BAD-42FC-858A-426FD5DF1064%7D](https://mslservices.mt.gov/Geographic%20Information/Data/DataList/datalist_Details.aspx?did=%7BB24A26F3-0BAD-42FC-858A-426FD5DF1064%7D).
- Montana Mitigation Stakeholders Team (MMST). 2018a. Montana Mitigation System Policy Guidance Document for Greater Sage-Grouse Version 1.0. October 2018. Available online at: [https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/documents/1_Final MT Policy Guidance October4-2018 compressedV11.pdf](https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/documents/1_Final_MT_Policy_Guidance_October4-2018_compressedV11.pdf).
- MMST. 2018b. Montana Mitigation System Habitat Quantification Tool Technical Manual for Greater Sage-Grouse Version 1.1. December 2023. Available online at: <https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/documents/HabitatQuantificationToolTechnicalManualv1.1>.
- Stiver, S. J., E. T. Rinkes, D. E. Naugle, P. D. Makela, D. A. Nance, and J. W. Karl. 2015. Sage-Grouse Habitat Assessment Framework: A Multiscale Assessment Tool. Technical Reference 6710-1. Bureau of Land Management and Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, Denver, Colorado. June 2015. Available online at: <https://www.blm.gov/noc/blm-library/technical-reference/sage-grouse-habitat-assessment-framework-multiscale-assessment>.
- Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc. (WEST). 2023a. 2022 Greater Sage-Grouse and Sharp-Tailed Grouse Lek Survey Report, Montana and North Dakota. Prepared for North Plains Connector LLC, Houston, Texas. Prepared by WEST, Golden Valley, Minnesota. February 2023.
- WEST. 2023b. 2023 Greater Sage-Grouse and Sharp-Tailed Grouse Lek Survey Report, Montana. Prepared for North Plains Connector LLC, Houston, Texas. Prepared by WEST, Golden Valley, Minnesota. September 2023.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2013. Level III and Level IV Ecoregions of the Continental United States. Ecosystems Research, USEPA. April 16, 2013. Available online at: <https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/level-iii-and-iv-ecoregions-continental-united-states>. Accessed August 2025.

Appendix A. Habitat Quantification Tool Results for the North Plains Connector Project

PRELIMINARY HQT Results

Project Information					
Project ID	4636				
Project Name	North Plains Connector (Nest Facilitating; 2018 basemap)				
Service Area(s)	Southeastern				
Project Duration	Project Phases	# of Years			
	Construction	3			
	Operations	47			
	Reclamation	75			
Physical Acres	Project Phases	Core Area	General Habitat	Connectivity Area	All Habitat
	Construction	0.000	4364.072	0.000	4364.072
	Operations	0.000	3954.827	0.000	3954.827
	Reclamation	0.000	4364.072	0.000	4364.072
Versions, etc.	HQT Model	v1.4.8			
	HQT Tech Manual	Dec 2023 v1.1			
	HQT Basemap	2018			
	Policy Guidance	Oct 2018 v1.0			
	Date of HQT Run	5/27/2026			
List any project-specific HQT parameters	Spatial Resolution	3.75			
	Anthropogenic Variables included in the HQT Analysis & Indirect Impact Area assessed				
	Oil & Gas Facilities	Not Applicable			
	Cedar Creek or Elk Basin?	Not Applicable			
	Tall Structures	Not Applicable			
	NON-Nest Facilitating?	Not Applicable			
	Transmission/Distribution Structures	Applied: 2 Power Lines: 6.0-km Buffer; 2 Substations: 6.0-km Buffer			
	NON-Nest Facilitating?	Applied: No			
	Substation: buffer size	Applied: 6.0-km Buffer			
	Wind Facilities	Not Applicable			
	Major Roads & Mainline Railways	Not Applicable			
	Minor Roads & Spur Rails	Applied: 4 Roads: 500-m Buffer			
	Buried Utilities (pipelines, fiber, etc.)	Not Applicable			
	Agriculture & Mines	Not Applicable			
	Noise (compressor stations, etc.)	Not Applicable			
	Other	Applied: 6 Laydown Yards: 500-m Buffer			
Raw HQT Score - Preliminary Results					
Habitat Type	Project Phase	Impact Area	Raw HQT Score		
Core Area	Construction	Direct Impact	0.00		
		Indirect Impact	0.00		
	Operations	Direct Impact	0.00		
		Indirect Impact	0.00		
	Reclamation	Direct Impact Only	0.00		
		Direct Impact	0.00		
ALL Phases	Indirect Impact	0.00			
General Habitat	Construction	Direct Impact	2,941.66		
		Indirect Impact	63,160.50		
	Operations	Direct Impact	33,830.02		
		Indirect Impact	695,727.78		
	Reclamation	Direct Impact Only	18,340.10		
		Direct Impact	55,111.78		
ALL Phases	Indirect Impact	758,888.28			
Connectivity Area	Construction	Direct Impact	0.00		
		Indirect Impact	0.00		
	Operations	Direct Impact	0.00		
		Indirect Impact	0.00		
	Reclamation	Direct Impact Only	0.00		
		Direct Impact	0.00		
ALL Phases	Indirect Impact	0.00			
ALL Habitat	ALL Construction	Direct Impact	2,941.66		
		Indirect Impact	63,160.50		
	ALL Operations	Direct Impact	33,830.02		
		Indirect Impact	695,727.78		
	ALL Reclamation	Direct Impact Only	18,340.10		
		Direct Impact	55,111.78		
ALL Phases	Indirect Impact	758,888.28			
TOTAL Raw HQT Score			814,000.06		

<i>NO</i> Advanced Payment Multiplier:							
Policy Application (conversion from Functional Acres Lost to Debits)							
Multiplier Type	Specific Multiplier	# of Deviations			Debits		
		Core Area	General Habitat	Connectivity Area	Core Area	General Habitat	Connectivity Area
Programmatic Multipliers (COR)	Reserve Account (20%)	1			162,800.01		
	Adv. Payment (10%)	0			0.00		
Site-Specific Multipliers (CO only; Core - 10%; General Habitat & Connectivity - 5%)	Net Gain (10%)	0	1	0	0.00	7985.01	0.00
	DDCT - Core only	0	N/A	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A
	NSOs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Seasonal Use	0	7	0	0.00	255,345.23	0.00
	Veg Removal	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Noise	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Transportation	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Pipelines	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Transmission	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Oil/Gas 1:640	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Surface Mining	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Coal Mining	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Wind Energy	N/A	0	0	N/A	0.00	0.00
Total Debits from Policy Multipliers		9			426,130.25		

TOTAL Debits (Raw HQT Score + Debits from Policy)	1,240,130.31
--	---------------------

<i>WITH</i> Advanced Payment Multiplier: fulfilling obligation through contribution to Stewardship Account							
Policy Application (conversion from Functional Acres Lost to Debits)							
Multiplier Type	Specific Multiplier	# of Deviations			Debits		
		Core Area	General Habitat	Connectivity Area	Core Area	General Habitat	Connectivity Area
Programmatic Multipliers (COR)	Habitat Classification	ALL Habitat			ALL Habitat		
	Reserve Account (20%)	1			162,800.01		
	Adv. Payment (10%)	1			81,400.01		
Site-Specific Multipliers (CO only; Core - 10%; General Habitat & Connectivity - 5%)	Habitat Classification	Core Area	General Habitat	Connectivity Area	Core Area	General Habitat	Connectivity Area
	Net Gain (10%)	0	1	0	0.00	7,985.01	0.00
	DDCT - Core only	0	N/A	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A
	NSOs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Seasonal Use	0	7	0	0.00	255,345.23	0.00
	Veg Removal	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Noise	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Transportation	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Pipelines	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Transmission	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Oil/Gas 1:640	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Surface Mining	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Coal Mining	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Wind Energy	N/A	0	0	N/A	0.00	0.00
Total Debits from Policy Multipliers		ALL Habitat 10			ALL Habitat 507,530.26		

TOTAL Debits (Raw HQT Score + Debits from Policy)	1,321,530.32
--	---------------------

Total Cost		
Discount Rate Method of 3%	Project Phases	ALL Habitat
	Construction	\$ 1,093,326.39
	Operations	\$ 7,901,615.24
	Reclamation	\$ 38,460.28
All Phases		\$ 9,033,401.92

**Appendix B. Land Cover, Habitat Areas, and Sage Grouse Habitat Quality along the
North Plains Connector Project**




Figure B1. The land cover and active greater sage-grouse (GRSG) leks near the North Plains Connector Project.




Figure B2. Executive Order (EO) greater sage-grouse (GRSG) habitat areas near the North Plains Connector Project.
 (Note: White areas are non-habitat without an EO habitat designation.)




Figure B3. Bureau of Land Management greater sage-grouse habitat management areas described in the 2025 Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment near the North Plains Connector Project.

4636 - North Plains Connector




Figure B4. Habitat Quantification Tool (HQT) output showing habitat quality before Project construction (left) and during Project operation (right).

**Appendix C. Confirmed Active Greater Sage-grouse Leks within 2.0 Miles of the North
Plains Connector Project Limits of Disturbance**



<ul style="list-style-type: none">Active GRSG Lek2-mile Lek BufferGateExisting Development<ul style="list-style-type: none">Public Road (non-Project)Land Ownership<ul style="list-style-type: none">Bureau of Land Management	Project Development <ul style="list-style-type: none">Preferred RouteMilepost200-ft Right-of-WayPulling SiteStructure Footprint	Access Roads <ul style="list-style-type: none">Existing, Needs ImprovementNew, TemporaryOverland Travel
--	--	--

Greater Sage-grouse Lek 3139486

NORTH PLAINS CONNECTOR
A Grid United Project



- ◆ Active GRSG Lek
- 2-mile Lek Buffer
- Existing Development
 - Public Road (non-Project)
- Land Ownership
 - Bureau of Land Management

- Project Development
 - Preferred Route
 - Milepost
 - 200-ft Right-of-Way
 - Pulling Site
 - Structure Footprint

- Access Roads
 - Existing, Needs Improvement
 - Existing, No Improvements Needed
 - New, Permanent
 - New, Temporary
 - Overland Travel

**Greater Sage-grouse Lek
3143225**





Rosebud, Prairie, Wibaux, Fallon, Carleton, MT

Active GRSG Lek

2-mile Lek Buffer

Gate

Existing Development

Public Road (non-Project)

Land Ownership

Bureau of Land Management

Project Development

Preferred Route

Milepost

200-ft Right-of-Way

Pulling Site

Structure Footprint

Access Roads

Existing, Needs Improvement

Existing, No Improvements Needed

New, Permanent



New, Temporary

Overland Travel

**Greater Sage-grouse Lek
MT-236**

**NORTH PLAINS
CONNECTOR**

A Grid United Project





- Active GRSG Lek
- 2-mile Lek Buffer
- Gate
- Existing Development
- Public Road (non-Project)
- Land Ownership
- Bureau of Land Management

- Project Development
- Preferred Route
- Milepost
- 200-ft Right-of-Way
- Pulling Site
- Structure Footprint

- Access Roads
- Existing, Needs Improvement
- New, Permanent
- New, Temporary
- Overland Travel

**Greater Sage-grouse Lek
3143291**







- ◆ Active GRSG Lek
- 2-mile Lek Buffer
- Existing Development
- Public Road (non-Project)
- Land Ownership
- Bureau of Land Management

- Project Development
- Preferred Route
- Milepost
- 200-ft Right-of-Way
- Pulling Site
- Structure Footprint

- Access Roads
- Existing, No Improvements Needed
- New, Temporary
- Overland Travel

**Greater Sage-grouse Lek
3141666**







- Active GRSG Lek
- 2-mile Lek Buffer
- Gate
- Existing Development
 - Transmission Line
 - Public Road (non-Project)
- Land Ownership
 - Bureau of Land Management

- Project Development**
- Preferred Route
 - Milepost
 - 200-ft Right-of-Way
 - Pulling Site
 - Structure Footprint

- Access Roads**
- Existing, Needs Improvement
 - Existing, No Improvements Needed
 - New, Temporary
 - Overland Travel

**Greater Sage-grouse Lek
3142139**







<ul style="list-style-type: none">◆ Active GRSG Lek○ 2-mile Lek BufferExisting Development<ul style="list-style-type: none">— Transmission Line— Public Road (non-Project)Land Ownership<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Bureau of Land Management	Project Development <ul style="list-style-type: none">— Preferred Route● Milepost— 200-ft Right-of-Way□ Pulling Site■ Structure Footprint	Access Roads <ul style="list-style-type: none">— Existing, No Improvements Needed— New, Temporary— Overland Travel
---	--	---

**Greater Sage-grouse Lek
3142692**

**NORTH PLAINS
CONNECTOR**
A Grid United Project



<ul style="list-style-type: none">Active GRSG Lek2-mile Lek BufferExisting DevelopmentTransmission LinePublic Road (non-Project)Land OwnershipBureau of Land Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Project DevelopmentPreferred RouteMilepost200-ft Right-of-WayPulling SiteStructure Footprint	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Access RoadsExisting, No Improvements NeededNew, TemporaryOverland Travel
---	---	--

Greater Sage-grouse Lek
3464909

**NORTH PLAINS
CONNECTOR**
A Grid United Project