

MEMORANDUM

To: Ex parte communications, US Department of Energy
From: Philip Walters
CC: Victor Petrolati - DOE
Date: April 26, 2012
Subject: BCEPS Proposed Rulemaking Ex Parte Communication with DOE on April 24, 2012

Teleconference summary

Attendees:

Dave Denkenberger – Ecova
Philip Walters – Ecova
Victor Petrolati – DOE
Mike Kido – DOE
Mathew Nardotti – Navigant
Ari Reeves – D&R

Ecova desires to provide valuable and informed comments to DOE during this process. Toward that goal, Ecova contacted DOE in teleconference to seek clarification of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR) summary, the NOPR Technical Support Document (TSD) and other documents in the public record, and where clarifying information might exist in the public record. Ecova's actions on the topics in general were:

- Sought comparison of the DOE proposed BCS standard with the adopted CEC standard and how findings from the California Energy Commission proceedings were considered in the DOE NOPR TSD or summary
- Sought clarification of treatment of changing costs over time and where it was used in analysis
- Sought clarification on the case Net Social Benefit is referenced against
- Discussed that there seem to be some large gaps in the CSLs for BC Product Class 3
- Discussed that there seems to be an inconsistency for BC Product Class 10a in the standard level and the max tech representative product level
- Sought clarification on boundary conditions and tolerances of product classes
- Sought to make sure we understood Table V-5 relationship of Weighted Average LCC savings with Median Payback period
- Brought up an apparent discrepancy between savings estimates in NOPR summary Table I-8 page 31 and a section in NOPR summary pdf page 455
- Asked DOE if the modeling equations for Maintenance and No-Battery Mode power described in TSD 5.7.17.2 could be made public and available to all stakeholders
- Asked a few administrative questions about the May 2 meeting

We appreciate DOE's time in pointing us toward the appropriate information in the public record and general guidance on DOE practice.