**Morris, Alexander**

**From:** FOIA-Central@hq.doe.gov
**Sent:** Monday, June 22, 2009 12:52 PM
**To:** FOIA-Central
**Subject:** DOE Headquarters FOIA Request

Name: Catherine Pieronek

JUN 23 2009

Organization: University of Notre Dame

Address:

SCIENTIFIC/EDUCATIONAL/NEWS MEDIA
00 FREE

Phone: [redacted]

FAX:

Email:

Record Description:

I would like to request a copy of the Title IX compliance report conducted by the Department of Energy in 2007 or 2008 at the University of Washington-Seattle, and any other compliance reports for such reviews conducted in 2008. (I have the Univ. of Wisconsin review and the Columbia University Review.)

Preferred Form or Format: e-mailed pdf is fine

Type of Requester (if provided):

Affiliated with an educational or noncommercial scientific institution, and this request is made for scholarly or scientific purpose and not for commercial use.

Fees and Fee Waivers:

I request a waiver or reduction of fees.

Waiver or Reduction of Fees Factors (if provided):

1. The subject of the request: Whether the subject of the requested records concerns "the operations or activities of the government."

This is a government review(s) of a federally funded program(s).

2. The informative value of the information to be disclosed: Whether the disclosure is "likely to contribute" to an understanding of government operations or activities.

This will contribute to an understanding of how the government is conducting Title IX reviews, which will help educational institutions discharge their obligations under Title IX properly.

3. The contribution to an understanding by the general public of the subject likely to result from disclosure, taking into account your ability and intent to disseminate the information to the public in a form that can further understanding of the subject matter.

See above. I intend to use this information as part of a law journal article on the subject of Title IX reviews, and also present at conferences where this is of interest.

4. The significance of the contribution to public understanding: Whether the disclosure is likely to contribute "significantly" to public understanding of government operations or activities.



FOIA-2009-472

Jerry

Title IX reviews are sort of mysterious to the public, and are reported on in negative ways that evidence misunderstanding. This will help to dispel that misunderstanding.

5. The existence and magnitude of a commercial interest: Whether the requester has a commercial interest that would be furthered by the requested disclosure, and, if so

I'm not making any money off this!

6. The primary interest in disclosure: Whether the magnitude of the identified commercial interest of the requester is sufficiently large, in comparison with the public interest in disclosure, that disclosure is "primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."

This is for academic, not commercial, use.

Expedited Processing:

Specific Justification for Expedited Processing: