RL-721 REV 4 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM	Document ID Number:					
INTERNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	DOE/CX-00113, Rev 0					
I. Project Title:	01 01 0					
MSA Annual Categorical Exclusion for Support Buildings under 10 CFR 10 Appendix B, B1.15	21, Subpart D,					
II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur	and Project Dimensions - e.g.,					
acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, area/location/number of buildings, etc.): Mission Support Alliance (MSA) and its subcontractors perform siting,	construction or					
modification, and operation of support buildings and support structure limited to, trailers and prefabricated and modular buildings) within calready developed area (where active utilities and currently used road accessible). Covered support buildings and structures include, but are those for office purposes; parking; cafeteria services; education and reception; computer and data processing services; health services or routine maintenance activities; storage of supplies and equipment for services and routine maintenance activities; security (such as securit protection; small-scale fabrication (such as machine shop activities), testing of non-nuclear equipment or components; and similar support pufacilities for nuclear weapons activities and waste storage activities	s (including, but not r contiguous to an s are readily not limited to, training; visitor ecreation activities; administrative y posts); fire assembly, and rposes, but exclude					
Support building services include those in MSA contract DE-AC06-09RL14728, Attachment J.3. Buildings, structures, infrastructures, and equipment subject to siting, construction, modification, and operation include those in Section J.13 and J.14 of the Mission Support Contract where MSA is the assigned contractor or provides services to other Hanford contractors. Support building services discussed in MSC-PRO-12115, "Work Management" are also included.						
Plant and animal species will be reviewed for protection under the End candidates for protection, or listing by Washington State as threatene Caution will be exercised during bird nesting season (mid-March to mid birds, a pair of birds of the same species, or bird defensive behavior Ecological Resource Specialist will be contacted for further guidance.	d or endangeredJuly). If nesting s are observed, an					
Cultural resources will be reviewed for protection under the Historic Buildings Programmatic Agreement (DOE/RL-96-77), Historic Buildings Treatment Plan (DOE/RL-97-56), Cultural Resources Management Plan (DOE/RL-98-10), and other applicable requirements. Workers will be directed to watch for cultural materials (bones, stone tools, mussel shell, cans, bottles). If encountered, work near the discovery will stop until a Cultural Resource Specialist is contacted, the significance of the find assessed, appropriate Tribes notified, and mitigation arranged, as needed.						
This is an annual CX as allowed by 10 CFR 1021 and must be reauthorize NEPA Compliance Officer one-year from the date of approval.	d by the Hanford Site					
III. Reviews (if applicable):						
Biological Review Report #: Obtained prior to initiating work per MSA and DOE-I	RL requirements.					
Cultural Review Report #: Obtained prior to initiating work per MSA and DOE-I	RL requirements.					
Additional Attachments:						
IV. Eviating NEDA Decumentation	VEC NO					
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?	YES NO					
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:						
Not Applicable						
rioc vibrance						

And then complete Section VI. Provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information only. DOE NCO signature is not required.

RL-721		Document ID Number:				
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX-001			13, Re	v 0		
V. Categorical Exclusion				YES	NO	
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?		\boxtimes				
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?				\boxtimes		
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?				\boxtimes		
List CX to be applied and complete Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements (where an action might fit within multiple CXs, use the CX that best fits the proposed action):						
10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B1.15, "Support Buildings"						
Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements			YES	NO		
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?				\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?				\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?				\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?				\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species such that the action is NOT contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance to applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment?				\boxtimes		
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements questions above, complete Section VI, and provide to DOE NCO for final Approval/Determination and signature in Section VII.						
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA Review.						
VI. Responsible Contractor Signatures						
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date		
Initiator	Jerry W. Cammann, MSA NEPA SME	JW Camman	m.	12/5/	12	
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer		•				
VII. Approval/Determination						
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell						
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:						
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS						
Signature: Date: 12/5/12						