## **Bonneville Power Administration**

## memorandum

DATE: September 24, 2012

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEP-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Brett Sherer

Project Manager - KEP-4

**Proposed Action:** Ross Transformer Oil Terminal Upgrade

**Work Order #:** 00298659

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.6, Additions or modifications to electric power transmission facilities

**Location:** Ross Complex, Township 2 North, Range 1 East, Section 14 of Clark County, Washington

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to install four new horizontal, double walled, 20,000 gallon insulating oil storage tanks at BPA's Ross Complex. In addition, BPA would install a railcar oil pumping and transfer system as well as a truck oil transfer pad. Both the new railcar and truck pad would be equipped with oil water separation secondary containment. Lastly, the existing oil cleaning system and six horizontal 25,000 gallon oil storage tanks would be retired. The purpose of this project is to bring BPA's oil storage facility into regulatory compliance regarding spill prevention, spill control and secondary containment systems.

The existing Ross tank farm complex is adjacent to Cold Creek and its watershed. When constructed, the initial Ross complex tank farm funding proved insufficient and the installation was not fully completed. The proper valves and instrumentation were not installed which resulted in the on hand oil volume being determined by estimation. Years later, the original system to monitor internal pressure malfunctioned and its repair was not funded. Without this alarm function, improper internal pressure equalization occurred without warning and resulted in the physical contraction of one of the six 25,000 gallon tanks upper structures.

In addition, following two inspections conducted at the Ross Complex by Washington's Department of Ecology, BPA was issued a notice of non-compliance for oil storage facilities not meeting regulatory requirements for spill prevention, control and secondary containment system requirements. A BPA cross organizational team has also concluded that BPA's oil storage/processing facilities did not meet current regulatory standards and posed an environmental compliance and spill risk. To address this problem, an Electrical Insulating Oil Supply/Storage Agency Decision Framework (ADF) was prepared with the recommendation for the construction of a similar, albeit smaller, electrical insulating oil tank farm on the Ross Complex to ensure an immediate, reliable source of BPA electrical insulating oil to support Power operations.

All construction activities would occur within the previously developed areas within BPA's existing facility boundaries.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211.

Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Michael Henjum
Michael Henjum
Environmental Engineer

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce Date: September 24, 2012

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

T & E species or their h	Potential, with ditions (describe)
tential for Notificance Con  X  TR will cease operations  T & E species or their h	Potential, with ditions (describe
T & E species or their h	ditions (describe
TR will cease operations  X T & E species or their h	
T & E species or their h	abitat will be
X	
X	
X	
X	
	loyed to prevent
r	
[	X liment control will be emp

Signed: <u>/s/ Michael Henjum</u> Date: <u>September 20, 2012</u>