United States Government

memorandum

DATE: August 27, 2012

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

TO: Joan Kendall Realty Specialist – TERR-3

Proposed Action: Bingen Substation Sectionalizing Switches Disposition

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property Transfers

Location: Klickitat County, Washington

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to sell two sectionalizing switches owned by BPA but located on PacifiCorp's Condit-Powerdale 69-kilovolt (kV) line in and adjacent to the Bingen Substation. BPA sold the Bingen substation to Klickitat County PUD in 1997 but retained ownership rights to inspect, maintain, repair, and replace its remaining revenue meters, positron, and telephone line in the substation control house. BPA would sell the two polemounted switches, one within the substation yard and one on Structure 2/5 of PacifiCorp's Condit-Powerdale 69-kV line, to PacifiCorp. BPA would retain ownership of existing metering equipment within the substation. The transfer in ownership would not change the use of the equipment, would not have the potential to release substances at a level or in a form that could pose a threat to public health or the environment, and would not have the potential to cause a significant change in impacts from before the disposition.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally

sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

<u>/s/ Carolyn A. Sharp</u> Carolyn A. Sharp Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

Date: August 27, 2012

<u>/s/Katherine S. Pierce</u> Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project: Bingen Substation Sectionalizing Switches Disposition

Work Order #: 310966

This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources	x	
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)	x	
3. Floodplains or wetlands	x	
4. Areas of special designation	x	
5. Health & safety	x	
6. Prime or unique farmlands	x	
7. Special sources of water	x	
8. Other (describe)	x	

List supporting documentation attached (if needed):

The transfer in ownership would not change the use of the property, would not have the potential to release substances at a level or in a form that could pose a threat to public health or the environment, and would not have the potential to cause a significant change in impacts from before the disposition.

Signed: <u>/s/ Carolyn A. Sharp</u>

Date: August 27, 2012