

# Fuel Displacement & Cost Potential of CNG, LNG, and LPG Vehicles

2012 DOE Hydrogen Program and Vehicle Technologies Annual Merit Review

May 16th, 2012

Jason Kwon, <u>Aymeric Rousseau</u> Argonne National Laboratory

Sponsored by David Anderson



U.S. Department of Energy Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

Bringing you a prosperous future where energy is clean, abundant, reliable, and affordable

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information



Project ID # VSS078

## **Project Overview**

#### Timeline

- Start: September 2011.
- End: September 2012.
- Status: 20% complete.

#### **Barriers**

- Evaluate the fuel displacement potential of different fuels.
- Provide guidance on future funding decisions.

### Budget FY11 \$200K

#### **Partners**

- Light duty OEM (engine data)
- Heavy duty OEM (engine data)
- Ricardo (cost)

### **Relevance** OEMs are Announcing Many CNG Models Worldwide



2012 Honda Civic named "Green Car of the Year"

General Motors said it would offer a natural-gas option on the 2013 Chevrolet Silverado and GMC Sierra 2500 heavy-duty pickup trucks



Audi announced at Geneva 2012 a CNG version of the A3

"Adding a hard-working, fully capable CNG-powered truck to the Ram lineup makes a lot of sense – both economically and environmentally", said Fred Diaz, Ram Truck President and CEO Ram Truck Brand– Chrysler Group LLC



"FT-Bh serves as a study for how even greater fuel efficiency might be achieved in the medium term by using two alternative

powertrains," said Toyota in a statement. "A compressed natural gas hybrid with 38g/km CO2 emissions and a plug-in hybrid, emitting just 19g/km."



## The objective is to evaluate the fuel displacement potential of CNG, LNG and LPG vehicles

- This study directly supports the diversification of energy source and oil reduction
- What vehicle applications and powertrain configurations would best benefit from these fuels?
- How should the vehicle control strategies be changed to optimize the fuel displacement?

## Approach

#### Evaluate Fuel Displacement for Light Duty

- Collect and integrate engine data
- Develop vehicle level control
- Simulate vehicle
- Compare results

#### Evaluate Fuel Displacement for Heavy Duty

- Collect and integrate engine data
- Develop vehicle level control
- Simulate vehicle
- Compare results

Analyze Potential of Fuels Across Applications

- Analyze fuel consumption
- Analyze cost
- Analyze GHG (GREET)
- Write report

## **Milestones**

- Collect engine data for different fuels and applications
- Evaluate fuel displacement on light duty vehicles on standard cycles
- Evaluate impact on real world drive cycles
- Evaluate fuel displacement on heavy duty vehicles on standard cycles
- Evaluate fuel displacement on heavy duty vehicles on real world cycles
- Write report





# **Technical Accomplishments**

Light Duty Conventional Vehicle Characteristics

	Unit	OEM Gasoline	OEM CNG	Gasoline with Resizing	CNG with Resizing
Engine	kW	136	112	136	145
Transmission		1st: 2.563, 2nd: 1.552, 3rd: 1.022, 4th: 0.727, 5th: 0.52			
Final Drive		4.43			
Tires		P195 / 65 R15			
Curb Weight	kg	1585	1650	1585	1675
0-60 mph	sec	9.5	10.2	9.5	9.5

Use of CNG fuel in the same engine leads to lower performance

**Important**: The OEM provided us with the gasoline and CNG map of the <u>same</u> engine to allow a fair comparison

### **Technical Accomplishments** Automated Sizing Algorithm used to Properly Size the Vehicle to Match the Vehicle Technical Specifications



The entire vehicle is built based on each individual component assumptions

## **Technical Accomplishments** Conventional Light Duty Vehicle Results

No Engine Resizing (data used as provided by OEM)

	Unit	Gasoline	CNG without Resizing	Percentage Difference	
Fuel Consumption	l/100km	6.42	6.56	2	
Fuel Economy	MPGGE	36.6	35.8	-2	

#### With Engine Resizing (CNG ICE sized to match VTS)

	Unit	Gasoline	CNG with Resizing	Percentage Difference
Fuel Consumption	l/100km	6.42	7.32	-12
Fuel Economy	MPGGE	36.6	32.1	

#### VTS: Vehicle Technical Specification

## **Technical Accomplishments** Conventional Light Duty Vehicle Results



Most of the fuel consumption penalties occur at low load on the UDDS drive cycle (3.3% without scaling and 14% with scaling) compared to the HWEFT (respectively 0% and 9.1%) => Hybridization would lower the CNG penalty

### **Technical Accomplishments** Conventional Light Duty Vehicle Results

#### CNG ICE on UDDS – With scaling



Low efficiency at low load penalizes the CNG under urban driving conditions on a conventional vehicle





## **Proposed Future Work**

### FY12 On going work

- Evaluate the fuel displacement potential on several electric drive vehicles (HEVs, PHEVs) for light duty applications
- Implement medium and heavy duty (MD&HD) engine data, including LNG and LPG)
- Define component sizing for each MD&HD application
- Select the drive cycles for each MD&HD application
- Evaluate the fuel displacement potential on several electric drive vehicles (HEVs, PHEVs) for medium and heavy duty applications

### FY13 Potential Activities

- Evaluate MD&HD different applications
- Evaluate potential of future CNG, LNG, LPG engine technologies (i.e., direct injection)

## Summary

- Study evaluates the fuel displacement potential of CNG, LNG and LPG fuels for different applications (i.e., light duty, delivery truck, transit bus) and powertrain configurations (i.e., conventional, electric drive).
- For conventional light duty vehicles, current CNG technology leads to:
  - 2% fuel consumption penalty when the engine is not resized (CNG has then lower performance).
  - 12% fuel consumption penalty when the engine is resized (CNG has then lower performance).
- Future work will focus on evaluating different powertrain configurations, applications, component sizes and controls strategies on a variety of drive cycles from a fuel consumption and cost perspective.