United States Government

memorandum

Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration

DATE: August 26, 2013

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEP-Alvey

- SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum
 - то: Jim Semrau Civil Engineer – TEP-TPP-1

Proposed Action: Wood pole replacement

PP&A Project No.: 2760

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

Location: Structures 4/5 and 4/6 of Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) 115-kilovolt Grand Ronde-Boyer No. 1 transmission line located in Polk County, Oregon (Willamette Meridian, T6S, R8W, section 8, se ¹/₄ of se ¹/₄).

Proposed by: BPA

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to fix two ground impairments between structures 4/5 and 4/6 on the Grand Ronde-Boyer No. 1 line. The impairments are required to be fixed within 30 days of detection due to concerns for public safety. The conductor on a typical summer day is within 13 feet of the ground and violates both safety and reliability criteria. To rectify safety and reliability concerns, BPA proposes to raise two wood pole structures by replacing the current 60 foot wood poles with 85 foot wood poles. Replacement will utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger will be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement. Landing or access road work construction is not planned or anticipated at these locations. The proposed project is within a clear-cut on private timberlands. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or

treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

<u>/s/ Philip W. Smith</u> Philip W. Smith Manager - Technical & Regional Services

Concur: <u>/s/ Katherine S. Pierce</u> Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer DATE: August 26, 2013

Attachments: Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions Effects Determination for T&E Species

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project: Grand Ronde-Boyer No. 1 Structure Impairment Emergency

Work Order #: <u>342312</u>

This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
 Historic Properties and Cultural Resources X Indicates X Indicates A set of the set of		
vicinity must stop, the area will be secured and the SHPO2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)No listed species or critical habitat, identified in the count transmission line structures.	X	
3. Floodplains or wetlands	X	
4. Areas of special designation	X	
5. Health & safety	X	
6. Prime or unique farmlands	X	
7. Special sources of water	X	
8. Other (describe)	X	
List supporting documentation attached (if needed):		

Signed: <u>/s/ Philip W. Smith</u> Phil Smith, KEPR-4 Date: August 26, 2013