#307

			Project Information		
Project Title: TP Rotary Steeral DOE Code: 71096		TP Rotary Steera	ple Tool	Date:	7-29-10 8067-783
		71096		Contractor Code:	
Project Lead:		Frank Ingham			
Project C		cription [include uld impact the	The purpose of this project is to test a new design Rotary S by detecting hole deviation and correcting back to vertical. operation to allow the insertion of the TP RS tool downhole footage as allowed in the cost estimate with minimal disrupt tripping, make up of Partner tools and insertion into BHA, tr load out of Partner tools. RMOTC ongoing drilling operation NE SW Sec 21, T39N, R&*W (45-3-X-21 well) Approximately 1 day Drilling Rig.	This test will coordinate with an to accumulate a few hours of d tion of operations. Associated o ipping, drilling, tripping, breakdo	ongoing drilling drilling time and operations will be

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below		
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?		X				
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		X				
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		x				
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"		x				
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?		X				

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.		
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?		Х				
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?			х	N/A: The test is on disturbed ground and utilizes an existing well.		
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?		х				
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?			x	N/A: The test is on disturbed ground and utilizes an existing well.		
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?		Х				
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?		x				
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?		x				
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?		х				
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?		х				
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?		X		5:		

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.		
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?		X				
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?		х				
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)	х			Drilling Mud – MSDS will be maintained on site		
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?		х				
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?	х			Standard industry practices will be utilized to control potential releases. Site will be bermed.		
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?	x		EQ	Standard industry practices will be utilized to transport(DOT), dispose (WOGCC), store hazardous material (WY DEQ SHWD)		

				Impac Antici	ts pated?		If YES, then complete below.			
Cultural Impact					No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:			
Is there pot	ential for imp	pact on cult		х						
	Com	munity Impa	act	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigatio measures:			
	posed projectual, or other		significantly adv	erse 🗆	×					
	posed projects use of publ				×					
	posed projects access to				x					
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wet	The state of the s	quired to be a			applicable	SOPs fo	r Risk Assessment	
Are environ	mental pern	nits required	d? If YES, list bel	ow:			Y	es 🗌	No X	
		Saction bo	law to be review	ad by Environ	montal S	nocialia	t and DOE	NCO		
			low to be review	ed by Environ	mental 5					
Adequate M	itigation Me	1 5.000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			Yes			easures Provided?	
Mates Ovella		Yes	No I				1			
Water Quality I		X		Transportation Impacts			[
Air Quality Imp	acis	X	10.2	Waste Management Impacts Cultural Impacts			100]		
"Wildlife and H	abitat Impacts	^		Cultural Impacts		X	L	_		
Geology and S	oils Impacts	X		Community Impact		X	1			
Human Health	Impacts	Х		Categorical Ex	X	1				
				Approvals						
Comments and Conditions:	involved.		riments on materials	and equipment o		, no sour	ce, specia nu	uclear, or by	product materials	
Contractor ESS&H Tapken from							7.23-10			
Comments and Conditions:							. ===			
DOE NEPA Compliance	cumulatively h Part 1021. Bas Compliance Of other regulator my review of in authorized und	ave a significant sed on my review fficer (as authori y requirements s information conver DOE Order 4	effect on the human er v of information convey zed under DOE Order set forth above are met, reyed to me and in my p 51.1A). I have determine met, and the proposed	evironment. The actived to me and in my 451.1A), I have det and the proposed a possession (or attached that the proposed and	tivity fits with a possession of the possession of the ction is here the possession of the ction is here the possession of the ction fits of the ction of t	hin a class (or attache the proposity categori ing the pro- within the	of actions that ed) concerning sed action fits cally excluded oposed action, specified class	is listed in a the propose within the sp I from furthe as NEPA Co s of actions,	ecified class of actions, the r NEPA review. Based on ompliance Officer (as	

TP Rotary Steerable Tool

