

# National Nuclear Security Administration Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



NEPA ID#: HEDP 12-001-015

Proposed Action Title: Old AOWC Rebuild Project

### Program or Field Office: NNSA/OST

Location(s) (City/County/State): Kirtland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, NM

### Proposed Action Description:

The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Office of Secure Transportation (OST) proposes to demolish eight temporary, modular structures at the Old Agent Operations Western Command (AOWC) and build a new building for contractor operations on NNSA-owned property within the boundaries of Kirtland Air Force Base (KAFB), New Mexico. The new building will be used for electronics repair, kitting, and storage. The footprint of the new building will be 10,000 square feet. It will be two stories tall with 4,000 square feet of office space and 8,000 square feet of high-bay storage (electronic components, hardware, wire, paper products). Demolition and construction would produce a projected 1,879 tons of construction waste, which would either be recycled or disposed of at the KAFB landfill. The site is previously disturbed and covered by asphalt. A Sandia National Laboratories Environmental Restoration (ER) site, ER Site 33 (Sandia Motor Pool) is located immediately to the southwest of the proposed location; however, construction and demolition activities would not disturb ER Site 33. Substantial increases in water use, sewage, electricity use, or waste generation are not anticipated.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

- B1.23 Demolition and disposal of buildings
- B1.15 Support buildings

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions including the full text of each categorical exclusion, sec Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021.

## Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (Sec full text in regulation)

#### The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CPR Part 1021, Subpart D.

To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of I 0 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species) unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environn1cntal impact statement.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451. 1B), I have determined that' the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and that other-regulatory require1ncnts set forth above are 1net. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.