**United States Government** 

## Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration

## memorandum

date: July 16, 2013

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Cecilia Brown Project Manager - KEWM-4

Proposed Action: Upper Jocko River Property funding

Fish and Wildlife Project No. and Contract No.: 2002-003-00, BPA-007168

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.25 Real property transfers for cultural resources protection, habitat preservation, and wildlife management

Location: Township 16 North, Range 19 West, Section 10, Lake County, MT

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA proposes to fund the Salish and Kootenai Tribes for the purchase of 5 acres of property, referred to as the Upper Jocko River Land Acquisition in Lake County, MT. The Salish and Kootenai Tribes will own and manage the Upper Jocko River property for fish and wildlife conservation purposes and BPA will receive a conservation easement to ensure that the habitat values on the property are always protected.

BPA is funding this land acquisition as part of ongoing efforts to mitigate for the impacts of Hungry Horse hydroelectric facility on the South Fork of the Flathead River. The property includes riparian and wetland habitat along the Jocko River. Habitat protected by this purchase will primarily benefit bull trout and westslope cutthroat trout, but will also benefit native wildlife.

The Salish and Kootenai Tribes will provide long-term stewardship for the land and will develop a management plan to guide the protection and enhancement of habitat and other resources on the property. Prior to any funding of management activities, BPA will conduct further environmental review.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively

significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Kim Johnson Kim Johnson Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

<u>/s/ Katherine S. Pierce</u> Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer Date: July 16, 2013

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions Maps

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

Name of Proposed Project:	Secure and Restore Fish and Wildlife Habitat in Montana - Upper
	Jocko River Land Acquisition

**Work Order #:** 2002-003-00 Contract # BPA-007168


This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources No effect as this is strictly a land acquisition.	X	
<ol> <li>T &amp; E Species, or their habitat(s)</li> <li>No effect as this is strictly a land acquisition.</li> </ol>	X	
3. Floodplains or wetlands No effect as this is strictly a land acquisition.	X	
4. Areas of special designation No effect as this is strictly a land acquisition.	X	
5. Health & safety No effect as this is strictly a land acquisition.	X	
<ul><li>6. Prime or unique farmlands</li><li>No effect as this is strictly a land acquisition.</li></ul>	X	
<ul><li>7. Special sources of water</li><li>No effect as this is strictly a land acquisition.</li></ul>	X	
<ul><li>8. Other (describe)</li><li>No effect as this is strictly a land acquisition.</li></ul>	X	

Supporting documentation in the official project file: Effects Determination for Threatened and Endangered Species

Signed: /s/ Kim Johnson

Date: July 16, 2013



\\bud.bpa.gov\wg\gis\gis\_data2\work\JoeD\APEMaps\Jocko\mxd\JockoAPE.mxd jhd

