RL-721	
REV 3	

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

Document ID Number:

DOE/CX-00061

I. Project Title:

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC - Proposed Actions For CY 2012 Scheduled To Take Place Under CX B2.5, "Facility Safety and Environmental Improvements"

II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC (WRPS) will perform safety and environmental improvements of a facility, including the replacement/upgrade of facility components on & near the Hanford Site during Calendar Year 2012. WRPS will perform all activities in accordance with the categorical exclusion (CX) limitations set forth in 10 CFR 1021, Appendices A & B to Subpart D, & CX B2.5. WRPS' facilities include all those identified in the Tank Operations Contract Sections J.13 and J.14. Activities would include, but are not limited to:

- Safety & environmental improvements of a facility (including, but not limited to, replacement & upgrade of facility components) that do not result in a significant change in the expected useful life, design capacity, or function of the facility & during which operations may be suspended & then resumed.
- Improvements include, but are not limited to, replacement/upgrade of control valves, incore monitoring devices, facility air filtration systems, addition of structural bracing to meet earthquake standards &/or sustain high wind loading, & replacement of above-ground or below-ground tanks and related piping, provided that there is no evidence of leakage, based on testing in accordance with applicable requirements.
- Includes decontaminating, isolating, disconnecting, and removal of utilities, components, machinery, equipment, and other items that are to be replaced or upgraded. Also may include installation of temporary support structures.

All locations are culturally exempt (see PNL-7264 & Battelle 9405630) &/or covered under NHPA Section 106 review, HCRC# 2003-200-044. The majority of the WRPS facilities are classified as historical non-contributing/exempt properties under DOE/RL-97-56, Revision 1. To ensure there will be no ecological/biological or cultural impacts, prior to work initiation, 1) any non-exempt facilities or work will have the appropriate cultural reviews obtained as needed, 2) ecological reviews will be obtained if needed, 3) all work activities (including associated staging &/or laydown areas) will be performed within or contiguous to an already developed area (where active site utilities & roads are readily accessible & no habitat/vegetation will be disturbed), & 4) if any cultural or ecological issues are identified, the identified issue(s) will be appropriately dealt with as required by relevant company or Hanford Site procedures & regulations.

2 Total on bound of months of the French of the Samuel of							
III. Reviews (if applicable):							
Biological Review Report #: N/A							
Cultural Review Report #: PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-200-	PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-200-044, & DOE/RL-97-56						
Additional Attachments:							
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)	,	YES	NO				
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?			\boxtimes				
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:							
And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).							
V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)		YES	NO				
Does the porposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewic exclusion?	ide categorical		\boxtimes				
If "NO," proceed to Section VI.			-				
If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Categorical Ex	riteria:						

		Document ID N	lumber:			
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX				-00061		
Sitewide Categorical Excl	usion Criteria			YES	NO	
10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, A	the eligibility requirements for Appendix B cate ppendix B, B(1) through B(4)?					
Is the action connected to c cumulatively significant imp	Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?					
Are there extraordinary circ of the proposal?	umstances related to the proposal that may affe	ect the significance of the envir	onmental effects			
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?						
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?						
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Ir signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).						
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information at NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as ap					le ∍.	
VI. Categorical Exclusion				YES	NO	
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?			\boxtimes			
List CX to be applied and c	omplete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based o	on Eligibility Criteria of the NEP	A Determination F	rocedure	·):	
CX B2.5, "Facility	Safety and Environmental Impro	vements"		TOTAL .		
Categorical Exclusion Criteria			YES	NO		
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?					\boxtimes	
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?				\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?						
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?						
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?						
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?						
to contractor, and otherwise	clusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO co e complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Revie	w Screening Process - Step 1.		-	RSF	
If "YES" to any of the Cated Screening Process - Step 1	porical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE , and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening P	NCO complies with Step 5 of rocess - Step 2.	the DOE NEPA R	eview		
VII. Approvals/Determina		<u> </u>				
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date		
Initiator Cognizant Environmental	Holly Bowers	flolly 4 Dowers	1	//S//2		
Compliance Officer	Stewn Killey	Than		1-5-	12	
VIII. Approval/Determinat	tion)					
DOE NEPA Compliance Of	ficer: Woody Russell					
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:						
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS						
Signature: Date: 134 01/13/90/2						