RL-721	
REV 3	

## NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

Document ID Number:

DOE/CX-00058

## I. Project Title:

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC - Proposed Actions For CY 2012 Scheduled To Take Place Under CX B1.17, "Polychlorinated Biphenyl Removal"

## II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC (WRPS) will remove polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) on & near the Hanford Site during Calendar Year 2012. WRPS will perform all activities in accordance with the categorical exclusion (CX) limitations set forth in 10 CFR 1021, Appendices A & B to Subpart D, & CX B1.17. WRPS' facilities include all those identified in the Tank Operations Contract Sections J.13 and J.14. Activities would include, but are not limited to:

- · Removal of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)-containing items (including, but not limited to, transformers and capacitors), PCB-containing oils flushed from transformers, PCB-flushing solutions, and PCB-containing spill materials from buildings or other above ground locations in accordance with applicable requirements.
- · Also includes servicing and testing of equipment, such as electrical transformers and
- capacitors, that contain PCBs, and replacement or servicing of PCB-containing lights.

   Action required to implement the above will also be undertaken, such as the transport of equipment, materials, and waste, modification of utilities by shutting off power or rerouting power and capping or re-routing piping as necessary to affect the removal of PCB and PCB-containing items. Includes the establishment of temporary support structures required to facilitate the removal and disposal of PCBs.

All locations are culturally exempt (see PNL-7264 & Battelle 9405630) &/or covered under NHPA Section 106 review, HCRC# 2003-200-044. The majority of the WRPS facilities are classified as historical non-contributing/exempt properties under DOE/RL-97-56, Revision 1. To ensure there will be no ecological/biological or cultural impacts, prior to work initiation, 1) any non-exempt facilities or work will have the appropriate cultural reviews obtained as needed, 2) ecological reviews will be obtained if needed, 3) all work activities (including associated staging &/or laydown areas) will be performed within or contiguous to an already developed area (where active site utilities & roads are readily accessible & no habitat/vegetation will be disturbed), & 4) if any cultural or ecological issues are identified, the identified issue(s) will be appropriately dealt with as required by relevant company or Hanford Site procedures & regulations.

III. Reviews (if applicable):									
Biological Review Report #: N/A									
Cultural Review Report #: PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 940	PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-200-044, & DOE/F								
Additional Attachments:									
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)									
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?									
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:									
And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).									
V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)									
Does the porposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical exclusion?									
If "NO," proceed to Section VI.				-					
If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete S	Sitewide Cate	egorical Exclusion (	Criteria:						

RL-721				Document ID Number:					
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued)  DOE/CX									
Sitewide Categorical Excl	usion Criteria				YES	NO			
Does the action fail to meet 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, A			egorical exclusion ("integral ele	ments") of					
Is the action connected to o cumulatively significant impa			s (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) o	r result in					
Are there extraordinary circular of the proposal?	umstances related to the p	roposal that may af	fect the significance of the envi	onmental effects					
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?									
Does the proposed action in	npact sensitive species or	their habitats?							
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categ signed NRSF to DOE NCO	orical Exclusion Criteria q for information (see Step 6	uestions above, cor of Contractor Scre	nplete Section VII and provide eening Process).	electronic copy of	Initiator/E	00			
If "YES" to any of the Sitewi NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE in	de Categorical Exclusion ( nitiates DOE NEPA Review	Criteria questions at v Screening Proces	pove, attach appropriate explan s - Step 1 by completing Sectio	atory information and VIII, as a	and provic ppropriate	ie }.			
VI. Categorical Exclusion	-				YES	NO			
Does the proposed action fa 10 CFR Part 1021?	all within a class of actions	that is listed in App	endixes A or B to Subpart D of		$\boxtimes$				
List CX to be applied and co	omplete Categorical Exclu	sion Criteria (based	on Eligibility Criteria of the NEF	PA Determination I	Procedure	·):			
CX B1.17, "Polychlo	orinated Biphenyl	Removal"		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Categorical Exclusion Cri	teria				YES	NO			
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?									
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?									
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?									
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?									
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?									
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?									
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.									
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.									
VII. Approvals/Determina		1 1)	0: 1		Date				
luiti-to-	Name (Printed) Holly Bowers		Signature	1100 110		<del></del>			
Initiator  Cognizant Environmental	HOITY BC	wers	Milly Mowel	18	1/5/12-				
Compliance Officer	Stem	Killey	Star	Paradi III	1-5-	12			
VIII. Approval/Determinat		J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del></del>			
DOE NEPA Compliance Of	ficer: Woody Russel	1							
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:									
NCO Determinatio		I I	is /	/					
Signature:	of Kussel	<u></u>	Date:	3/20/2		<del></del>			