NEPA Review Routing Form

A copy of this form must be attached to all NEPA Compliance Surveys for review.

Originator: <u>Technical Assurance Department – Environmental Group</u>

Document Name: North Composting Facility

Document Number: 299

Date of Routing: 12/01/2010 (after MJT review 11/30/2010)

Name	Reviewed Date	Signature	Forwarded To	Forwarded Date
Anne Theriault	12/01/2010	Aur C	Steve Ames	12/01/2010
Steve Ames	12/01/2010	ames	Email MJT	12/01/2010
Steve Ames	12/01/2010	ames	Jeff Christiansen	12/01/2010
Jeff Christiansen	12/01/2010	Affichat-	Mike Taylor	12/01/2010
TAYLOR	12/2/10	NEEDS WORK	STEUE AMES	12/2/10
STEVE AMES	12/2/10	ames	Mike TAYCOK	12/2/10
TAYLOR	12/2/10	Micht Tay L	STEVE AMES	12/2/10
		APPROVED		



		Project Information	
Project Title:	North Composting	g Facility Date:	5/13/10
DOE Code:		Contractor Code:	The second
Project Lead:	Wes Riesland		
Project O	verview	The second of th	A-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Brief project design anything that conservironment Legal location Duration of the p Major equipment	old impact the	The purpose of the project is to build an additional composting facility at RMOTC to allow remediation capabilities at the site. The project will involve removing the top soil and place the operational area, in a "signed" pile for reclamation. Additional scraping of the area (6" material which will be used to erect a 2' berm around the location to control runon/runoff, and a locking gate will be installed around the location to deter unauthorized access. An graded to the site. The design incorporates mitigation measures to reduce/eliminate enviand safety risks. Site will be within the confines of perimeter points: 43*19'07.565"N, 106*12'11.179'W 43*19'10.164"N, 106*12'03.884'W 43*19'007.490"N, 106*12'09.664'W. Approximately 2 weeks Backhoe, Blade, and Dozer	cing it adjacent to -8") will generate A perimeter fence access road will be

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

	1	mpac ticipa		If YES, then complete below
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?				
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		Ø		

Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"		
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?		

		Impac ticipat		If YES, then complete below.
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?		Ø		
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?				
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?				
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?				
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?		×		
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?				
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?		⊠		
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?		Ø		
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?				

		cts cipate	d?	If YES, then complete below.	
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?					
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?		Ø			
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)					
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?					
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?		Ø			
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?					

				Impa Antic	cts ipated?		If YES, then	complete below.
	Cul	tural Impact		Yes	No	NA	unacceptable, re	ted impact might be ecommend mitigation easures:
Is there pote resources?	ential for imp	act on cultu	ral (historic)				WY SHPO (letter da determined that "no h defined by 36 CFR § affected by the project	nistoric properties, as 800.16 (I) (1), will be
	Com	munity Impac	ot	Yes	No	NA	unacceptable, re	ted impact might be ecommend mitigation easures:
Will the prop auditory, vis	100		ignificantly adv	erse 🗆				
		t adversely a ic land/resou						
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	t adversely a private land?						
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wetla		quired to be a and specific			The state of the s	or Risk Assessment
Are environ	mental perm	its required?	If YES, list belo	ow:			Yes 🛛	No 🗌
WOGCC NOI	and annual	report						
		Section belo	ow to be review	ed by Environ	mental S _l	pecialis	t and DOE NCO.	
Adequate M	itigation Me	asures Provid	ded?			Ade	quate Mitigation M	easures Provided?
		Yes	No			Yes	No	
Nater Quality In	mpacts			ransportation Im				
Air Quality Impa	acts			Vaste Manageme	ent Impacts			
Wildlife and Ha	abitat Impacts			Cultural Impacts				
Geology and S				Community Impac	t			
Human Health				ategorical Ex	clusion			
				Approval	s			
Comments and Conditions:	B1.30 Transi waste to be a operations at B3.12 Siting B6.1 Small-s dollars in coshazardous shandling the (e) Capping	fer actions, in who moved is small a the receiving should be a the rec	nich the predominar and incidental to the ite. Such transfers a peration/decommiss cleanup actions, un uration, to reduce ris luding treatment (e.g. troolved in the action	nt activity is trans amount of such are not regularly st ioning of microbion ander RCRA, Ator sk to human heal g., incineration), and ed soils or sludge	portation, ar materials, e icheduled and plogical and nic Energy / th or the en- recovery, sto	biomedic Act, or oth vironment orage, or	t, or waste that is alread ongoing routine operation cal facilities her authorities, less than at from the release or thr	of materials, equipment or ly a part of ongoing ons. approximately 5 million reat of release of a kisting facilities currently

Contractor ESS&H	Deplus ofmes	Date 12-2-10
Comments and Conditions:		
	Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have	
	within the specified class of actions, the other regulatory requirements set fort is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.	

6

Restoration - North Composting Facility North Composting Facility NE 1/4, SW 1/4, Section 27 T. 39 N., R. 78 W. 437 19 10 164° N 106° 12 03 884° W Elevation: Approx 5045 Composting Facility 43° 19' 07 565" N 106" 12'11.179" W 43°, 19', 04', 887", N 106°, 12', 09', 664", W **Access Road** Approx 45 43. 19.07.490° N 106. 12.02.686° N Legend Section Lines Roads PRIMARY - - - SECONDARY North Composting Facility Wetlands Palustrine, Aquatic Bed (PAB) Palustrine, Emergent (PEM) 500 Restoration - North Composting Facility Project Manager: T Bowler Author: J Buelt Data represented on this map is for planning purposes only. RMOTC 907 N Poplar, Suite 150 Casper, WY 82601 307-233-4800 makes no warranties as to its accuracy, reliability or completeness. Any use of this data is strictly the responsibility of the user. This is an GIS-Rest-6740.010.00000-1 Date: 02/18/2010 Rev Date: 11/19/2010 WY State Plane / East Central Zone / NAD27

uncontrolled RMOTC drawing.

ARTS. PARKS. HISTORY.

Wyoming State Parks & Cultural Resources

Aug 25, 2010

Michael J. Taylor Rocky Mountain Oilfield Testing Center Director, Technical Assurance 907 N. Poplar Street, Suite 150 U.S. Department of Energy Casper, WY 82601 State Historic Preservation Office Barrett Building, 3rd Floor 2301 Central Avenue

Cheyenne, WY 82002 Phone: (307) 777-7697 Fax: (307) 777-6421 http://wyoshpo.state.wy.us

Re: Class III Cultural Resource Survey for North Composting Facility (SHPO File # 0810JRD018)

Dear Mr. Taylor:

Thank you for consulting with the Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) regarding the above referenced project. We have reviewed the project report and find the documentation meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Archaeology and Historic Preservation (48 FR 44716-42). We concur with your finding that no historic properties, as defined in 36 CFR § 800.16(I)(1), will be affected by the project as planned.

We recommend the Rocky Mountain Oilfield Testing Center allow the project to proceed in accordance with state and federal laws subject to the following stipulation:

If any cultural materials are discovered during construction, work in the area shall halt immediately, the federal agency must be contacted, and the materials evaluated by an archaeologist or historian meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48 FR 22716, Sept. 1983).

This letter should be retained in your files as documentation of a SHPO concurrence on your finding of no historic properties affected. Please refer to SHPO project #0810JRD018 on any future correspondence regarding this project. If you have any questions, please contact Joseph Daniele, Archaeologist/Review and Federal Consultation at 307-777-8793.

Sincerely,

Joseph Daniele

Wyoming State Historic Preservation Office

