

## U.S. Department of Energy Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



Some of the second				WE O
Program or Field Office:	Energy E	Efficiency and Conse	ervation Block Grant Progran	า
Project Title	IA-City-Cedar Rapids			
Location:	City	Cedar Rapids	IA	
Proposed Action or Project De	escription:		American Recovery and Re	einvestment Act:
1) Develop Energy Efficiency a management plan – study dev development only, 4) develop municipal buildings, 5) develop Energy Projects" which include Feasibility Study" for supplying Energy Efficiency Revolving F	relopment or LEED policion and impler es upgrades gelectricity to	nly, 3) develop a "Wa es and practices for ment a "Municipal Er to interior/exterior li	aste to Energy (Cogeneratio all new and major re-constru- nergy Efficiency Lighting and ghting 6) develop a "Renewa	n) Plan" – study uction of Renewable able Energy
Conditions: NoneProgramm	natic Agreen	nent covers activities	s associated with facilities >5	0 yrs
Categorical Exclusion(s) Appli		9, A11, B1.32, B3.6, B5.1		
*-For the complete DOE National En	nvironmental P	olicy Act regulations rega	arding categorical exclusions, see S	Subpart D of 10 CFR10 2
This action would not: threaten a vicincluding DOE and/or Executive Ord treatment facilities, but may include CERCLA-excluded petroleum and nunpermitted releases; or adversely B.(4)) of Appendix B to Subpart D o may affect the significance of the er significant impacts, is not related to 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.	ders; require sit such categorio atural gas prod affect environm f 10 CFR 1021 nvironmental ef	ting, construction, or maj- cally excluded facilities; d ducts that pre-exist in the nentally sensitive resourc ). Furthermore, there are fects of the action; this a	or expansion of waste storage, disp isturb hazardous substances, pollu environment such that there would es (including but not limited to thos no extraordinary circumstances re ction is not "connected" to other ac	osal, recovery, or tants, contaminants, or l be uncontrolled or e listed in paragraph lated to this action that tions with potentially
Based on my review of information of Compliance Officer (as authorized uclass(es) of action, the other regular from further NEPA review.	ınder DOE Ord	ler 451.1B), I have deterr	mined that the proposed action fits	within the specified
ORO NEPA Compliance Officer	Gary	S. Hartman	Date Determined:	5/25/2011
Comments:			Webmaster:	