**Tool 6.1: Sample Scoring Form for Prioritizing Energy Actions (Qualitative)**

This is an example[[1]](#footnote-1) of a method for collecting subjective (or qualitative) scoring information. Participants are asked to score each option based on criteria identified as important to CESP planners.

Circle the number that best corresponds to your view of each project: 1= Strongly Disagree; 5 = Strongly Agree

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Proposed Action:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Proposed Action:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| This project: | Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree | Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree |
| Will be easy to successfully implement | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know |
| Appears to be cost effective | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know |
| Will address barriers to better energy practices | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know |
| Is fair: will not unfairly burden or benefit anyone | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know |
| Has acceptable environmental impacts | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know |
| Has a high energy-savings potential | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know |
| Will benefit the local economy | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know | 1 2 3 4 5  Don’t Know |
|  | Add up the numbers circled for a total score:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Add up the numbers circled for a total score:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Even if most answers were “Don’t Know” | Do you consider the project appropriate for the Community Energy Strategic Plan?  Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Maybe \_\_\_\_ | Do you consider the project appropriate for the Community Energy Strategic Plan?  Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Maybe \_\_\_\_ |

1. Adopted from Alice Hubbard and Clay Fong, *Community Energy Workbook: A Guide to Building a Sustainable Economy* (Snowmass: Rocky Mountain Institute, 1995), 160. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)